McDermott
Will&Emery

Boston Brussels Chicago Düsseldorf Frankfurt Houston London Los Angeles Miami
Milan Munich New York Orange County Paris Rome Seoul Silicon Valley Washington, D.C.
Strategic alliance with MWE China Law Offices (Shanghai)

Clare Connor Ranalli
Attorney at Law
cranalli@mwe.com
+1 312 984 3365

RECEIVED
FEB 13 2015
HEALTH FACILITIES &
SERVICES REVIEW BOARD

February 11, 2015

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

George K. Roate, Reviewer
Illinois Department of Public Health
Office of Health Systems Development
525 West Jefferson 2nd Flr.
Springfield, Illinois 62761

Re: Project #14-068

Dear George:

Per your request, please see the enclosed 10-K for Community Health System, Inc.

Thank you.

Very truly yours,

Clare Connor Ranalli

Clare Connor Ranalli

DM_US 58680862-1.T13706.0010

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

(Mark One)

☑ **ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the year ended December 31, 2013

OR

☐ **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 001-15925

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware	**13-3893191**
(State of incorporation)	(IRS Employer Identification No.)
4000 Meridian Boulevard Franklin, Tennessee *(Address of principal executive offices)*	**37067** *(Zip Code)*

Registrant's telephone number, including area code:
(615) 465-7000

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
Common Stock, $.01 par value	New York Stock Exchange

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. YES ☑ NO ☐

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act. YES ☐ NO ☑

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES ☑ NO ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☑ No ☐

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of the Form 10-K or any amendment to the Form 10-K. ☑

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer ☑	Accelerated filer ☐ (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Non-accelerated filer ☐	Smaller reporting company ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). YES ☐ NO ☑

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant was $4,444,202,435. Market value is determined by reference to the closing price on June 30, 2013 of the Registrant's Common Stock as reported by the New York Stock Exchange. The Registrant does not (and did not at June 30, 2013) have any non-voting common stock outstanding. As of February 18, 2014, there were 113,436,402 shares of common stock, par value $.01 per share, outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

The information required for Part III of this annual report is incorporated by reference to portions of the Registrant's definitive proxy statement for its 2014 annual meeting of stockholders to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of the Registrant's fiscal year ended December 31, 2013.

TABLE OF CONTENTS
COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC.
Year ended December 31, 2013

		Page
	PART I	
Item 1.	Business	1
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	23
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	34
Item 2.	Properties	34
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	40
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	49
	PART II	
Item 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	50
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	52
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	53
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	76
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	77
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	135
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	135
Item 9B.	Other Information	135
	PART III	
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	138
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	139
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	139
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	139
Item 14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	139
	PART IV	
Item 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	139

PART I

Item 1. *Business of Community Health Systems, Inc.*

Overview of Our Company

We are one of the largest publicly-traded operators of hospitals in the United States in terms of number of facilities and net operating revenues. We were originally founded in 1986 and were reincorporated in 1996 as a Delaware corporation. We provide healthcare services through the hospitals that we own and operate in non-urban and selected urban markets throughout the United States. As of December 31, 2013, we owned or leased 135 hospitals, comprised of 131 general acute care hospitals and four stand-alone rehabilitation or psychiatric hospitals. These hospitals are geographically diversified across 29 states, with an aggregate of 20,180 licensed beds. We generate revenues by providing a broad range of general and specialized hospital healthcare services and other outpatient services to patients in the communities in which we are located. Services provided through our hospitals and affiliated businesses include general acute care, emergency room, general and specialty surgery, critical care, internal medicine, obstetrics, diagnostic, psychiatric and rehabilitation services. We also provide additional outpatient services at urgent care centers, occupational medicine clinics, imaging centers, cancer centers, ambulatory surgery centers and home health and hospice agencies. An integral part of providing these services is our relationship and network of affiliated physicians at our hospitals and affiliated businesses. As of December 31, 2013, we employed approximately 2,500 physicians and an additional 650 licensed healthcare practitioners. Through our management and operation of these businesses, we provide standardization and centralization of operations across key business areas; strategic assistance to expand and improve services and facilities; implementation of patient safety and quality of care improvement programs and assistance in the recruitment of additional physicians and licensed healthcare practitioners to the markets in which our hospitals are located. In a number of our markets, we have partnered with local physicians or not-for-profit providers, or both, in the ownership of our facilities. In addition to our hospitals and related businesses, we also owned and operated 63 licensed home care agencies and 28 licensed hospice agencies as of December 31, 2013, located primarily in markets where we also operate a hospital. Also, through our wholly-owned subsidiary, Quorum Health Resources, LLC, or QHR, we provide management and consulting services to non-affiliated general acute care hospitals located throughout the United States. For the hospitals and home care agencies that we own and operate, we are paid for our services by governmental agencies, private insurers and directly by the patients we serve. For our management and consulting services, we are paid by the non-affiliated hospitals utilizing our services. The financial information for our reportable operating segments is presented in Note 14 of the Notes to our Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8 of this Report.

Our strategy has also included growth by acquisition. We generally target hospitals in growing, non-urban and selected urban healthcare markets for acquisition because of their favorable demographic and economic trends and competitive conditions. Because non-urban and suburban service areas have smaller populations, there are generally fewer hospitals and other healthcare service providers in these communities and generally a lower level of managed care presence in these markets. We believe that smaller populations support less direct competition for hospital-based services and these communities generally view the local hospital as an integral part of the community. We believe opportunities exist for skilled, disciplined operators in selected urban markets to create networks between urban hospitals and non-urban hospitals while improving physician alignment in those markets and making it more attractive to managed care. In recent years, our acquisition strategy has also included acquiring selective physician practices and physician-owned ancillary service providers. Such acquisitions are executed in markets where we already have a hospital presence and provide an opportunity to increase the number of affiliated physicians or expand the range of specialized healthcare services provided by our hospitals.

Throughout this Form 10-K, we refer to Community Health Systems, Inc., or the Parent Company, and its consolidated subsidiaries in a simplified manner and on a collective basis, using words like "we," "our," "us" and the "Company." This drafting style is suggested by the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, and is not meant to indicate that the publicly-traded Parent Company or any other subsidiary of the Parent Company owns or operates any asset, business or property. The hospitals, operations and businesses described in this filing are owned and operated, and management services provided, by distinct and indirect subsidiaries of Community Health Systems, Inc.

On January 27, 2014, we completed the previously announced acquisition of Health Management Associates, Inc., or HMA, for approximately $7.6 billion, including the assumption of approximately $3.7 billion of indebtedness, which is referred to in this report as the HMA merger. The discussion in this report relates to a period prior to the HMA merger and, except as otherwise noted, does not give effect to it. After the HMA merger, we will operate 206 facilities in 29 states.

Available Information

Our website address is www.chs.net and the investor relations section of our website is located at www.chs.net/investor/index.html. We make available free of charge, through the investor relations section of our website, annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K as well as amendments to those reports, as soon as reasonably practical after they are filed with the SEC. Our filings are also available to the public at the website maintained by the SEC, www.sec.gov.

We also make available free of charge, through the investor relations section of our website, our Governance Principles, our Code of Conduct and the charters of our Audit and Compliance Committee, Compensation Committee and Governance and Nominating Committee.

We have included the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer certifications regarding the public disclosure required by Sections 302 and 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 as Exhibits 31.1, 31.2, 32.1 and 32.2 of this report.

Our Business Strategy

Our objective is to increase shareholder value by providing high-quality patient care using cost effective and efficient operations while pursuing selective growth opportunities. The key elements of our business strategy to achieve this objective are to:

- increase revenue at our facilities,
- improve profitability,
- improve patient safety and quality of care and
- grow through selective acquisitions.

Increase Revenue at Our Facilities

Overview. We seek to increase revenue at our facilities by providing a broader range of services in a more attractive care setting, as well as by supporting, recruiting and employing physicians. We identify the healthcare needs of the community by analyzing demographic data and patient referral trends. We also work with local hospital boards, management teams and medical staffs to determine the number and type of additional physician specialties needed. Our initiatives to increase revenue include:

- recruiting and/or employing additional primary care physicians and specialists,
- expanding the breadth of services offered at our hospitals and in the communities in which we operate through targeted capital expenditures and physician alignment to support the addition of more complex services, including orthopedics, cardiovascular services and urology,
- providing the capital to invest in technology and the physical plant at our facilities, particularly in our emergency rooms, surgery departments, critical care departments and diagnostic services and
- executing select managed care contracts through a centrally managed review process.

Physician Recruiting. The primary method of adding or expanding medical services is the recruitment of new physicians into the community. A core group of primary care physicians is necessary as an initial contact point for all local healthcare. The addition of specialists who offer services, including general surgery, obstetrics and gynecology, cardiovascular services, orthopedics and urology, completes the full range of medical and surgical services required to meet a community's core healthcare needs. At the time we acquire a hospital and from time to time thereafter, we identify the healthcare needs of the community by analyzing demographic data and patient referral trends. As a result of this analysis, we are able to determine what we believe to be the optimum mix of primary care physicians and specialists. We employ recruiters at the corporate level to support the local hospital managers in their recruitment efforts. Additionally, in response to the recent trend in physicians seeking employment, we have begun employing more physicians, including, in many instances, acquiring physician practices. We have increased the number of physicians affiliated with us through our recruiting and employment efforts, net of turnover, by approximately 1,030 in 2013, 1,147 in 2012 and 869 in 2011. The percentage of recruited or other physicians commencing practice with us that were specialists was over 50% in 2013. However, most of the physicians in our communities remain in private practice and are not our employees. We believe we have been successful in recruiting physicians because of the practice opportunities afforded physicians in our markets, as well as lower managed care penetration as compared to larger urban areas.

Expansion of Services and Capital Investment. In an effort to better meet the healthcare needs of the communities we serve and to capture a greater portion of the healthcare spending in our markets, we have added a broad range of services to our facilities and, in certain markets, acquired physician practices to broaden our service offerings. These services range from various types of diagnostic equipment capabilities to additional and renovated emergency rooms, surgical and critical care suites and specialty services. For example, we spent approximately $166.1 million on 44 major construction projects that were completed in 2013. The 2013 projects included new emergency rooms, cardiac catheterization laboratories, cancer centers, hospital additions and surgical suites. These projects improved various diagnostic and other inpatient and outpatient service capabilities. We believe that appropriate capital investments in our facilities, combined with the development of our service capabilities, will reduce the migration of patients to competing providers while providing an attractive return on investment. We also employ a small group of clinical consultants at our corporate headquarters to assist the hospitals in their development of surgery, emergency, critical care, cardiovascular and hospitalist services. In addition to spending capital on expanding services at our existing hospitals, we also build replacement facilities in certain markets to better meet the healthcare needs in those communities. In 2013, we spent $61.3 million on construction projects related to the York and Birmingham replacement hospitals discussed below. In 2012, we spent $96.0 million on construction projects related to three replacement hospitals that we were required to build pursuant to either a hospital purchase agreement or an amendment to a lease agreement. All three of these hospitals were completed and opened in 2012. As part of an acquisition in 2012, we agreed to build a replacement hospital in York, Pennsylvania by July 2017. In addition, in September 2010, we received approval of our request for a certificate of need, or CON, from the Alabama Certificate of Need Review Board. This CON was challenged in the Alabama state circuit and appellate courts, but the CON was upheld by the Supreme Court of Alabama in May 2013, eliminating any further appeals. We expect to complete the replacement hospital in Birmingham by the end of 2015. The total cost of these remaining two replacement hospitals is estimated to be $380.0 million.

Managed Care Strategy. Managed care has seen growth across the U.S. as health plans expand service areas and membership in an attempt to control rising medical costs. As we service primarily non-urban markets, we do not have significant relationships with individual managed care organizations, including Medicare Advantage. We have responded with a proactive and carefully considered strategy developed specifically for each of our facilities. Our experienced corporate managed care department reviews and approves all managed care contracts, which are organized and monitored using a central database. The primary mission of this department is to select and evaluate appropriate managed care opportunities, manage existing reimbursement arrangements and negotiate increases. Generally, we do not intend to enter into capitated or risk sharing contracts. However, some purchased hospitals have risk sharing contracts at the time we acquire them. We seek to discontinue these contracts to eliminate risk retention related to payment for patient care. We do not believe that we have, at the present time, any risk sharing contracts that would have a material impact on our results of operations.

Improve Profitability

Overview. To improve efficiencies and increase operating margins, we implement cost containment programs and adhere to operating philosophies that include:

- standardizing and centralizing our methods of operation and management, including:
  - monitoring and enhancing productivity of our human resources,
  - capitalizing on purchasing efficiencies through the use of company-wide standardized purchasing contracts and terminating or renegotiating specified vendor contracts and
  - installing standardized management information systems, resulting in more streamlined clinical operations and more efficient billing and collection procedures; and
- improving patient safety and optimizing resource allocation through our case and resource management program, which assists in improving clinical care and containing costs.

In addition, each of our hospital management teams is supported by our centralized operational, reimbursement, regulatory and compliance expertise, as well as by our senior management team, a seasoned group of executives with an average of over 25 years of experience in the healthcare industry.

Standardization and Centralization. Our standardization and centralization initiatives encompass nearly every aspect of our business, from developing standard policies and procedures with respect to patient accounting and physician practice management to implementing standard processes to initiate, evaluate and complete construction projects. Our standardization and centralization initiatives are a key element in improving our operating results.

- *Billing and Collections.* We have adopted standard policies and procedures with respect to billing and collections. We have also automated and standardized various components of the collection cycle, including statement and collection letters and the movement of accounts through the collection cycle. Upon completion of an acquisition, our management information systems team converts the hospital's existing information system to our standardized system. This enables us to quickly implement our business controls and cost containment initiatives.

- *Physician Support.* We support our newly recruited physicians to enhance their transition into our communities. All newly recruited physicians who enter into contracts with us are required to attend a three-day introductory seminar that covers issues involved in starting up a practice. We have also implemented physician practice management seminars, webinars and other training. We host these seminars monthly.

- *Procurement and Materials Management.* We have standardized and centralized our operations with respect to medical supplies, equipment and pharmaceuticals used in our hospitals. We have a participation agreement with HealthTrust Purchasing Group, L.P., or HealthTrust, a group purchasing organization, or GPO. HealthTrust contracts with certain vendors who supply a substantial portion of our medical supplies, equipment and pharmaceuticals. Our agreement with HealthTrust extends to January 2015, with automatic renewal terms of one year unless either party terminates by giving notice of non-renewal.

- *Facilities Management.* We have standardized interiors, lighting and furniture programs. We have also implemented a standard process to initiate, evaluate and complete construction projects. Our corporate staff monitors all construction projects, and reviews and pays all construction project invoices. Our initiatives in this area have reduced our construction costs while maintaining the same level of quality and have shortened the time it takes us to complete these projects.

- *Other Initiatives.* We have also improved margins by implementing standard programs with respect to ancillary services in areas, including emergency rooms, pharmacy, laboratory, imaging, home care, skilled nursing, centralized outpatient scheduling and health information management. We have improved quality and reduced costs associated with these services by improving contract terms and standardizing information systems. We work to identify and communicate best practices and monitor these improvements throughout the Company.

- *Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting.* We have centralized many of our significant internal controls over financial reporting and standardized those other controls that are performed at our hospital locations. We continuously monitor compliance with and evaluate the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting.

Case and Resource Management. The primary goal of our case management program is to ensure the delivery of safe, high quality care in an efficient and cost effective manner. The program focuses on:

- appropriate management of length of stay consistent with national standards and benchmarks,

- reducing unnecessary utilization,

- discharge planning,

- developing and implementing operational best practices and

- compliance with all regulatory standards.

Our case management program integrates the functions of utilization review, discharge planning, assessment of medical necessity and resource management. Patients are assessed upon presentation to the hospital with ongoing reviews throughout their course of care. Industry standard criteria are utilized in patient assessments, and discharge plans are adjusted according to patient needs. Cases are monitored to prevent delays in service or unnecessary utilization of resources. When a patient is ready for discharge, a case manager works with the patient's attending physician to evaluate and coordinate the patient's needs for continued care in the post-acute setting. Each hospital has the support of a physician advisor to act as a liaison to the medical staff and assist with all the activities of the program.

Improve Patient Safety and Quality of Care

Each of our hospitals has a board of trustees, which includes members of the hospital's medical staff. The board of trustees establishes policies concerning the hospital's medical, professional, and ethical practices, monitors these practices, and is responsible for ensuring that these practices conform to legally required standards. We maintain quality assurance programs to support and monitor quality of care standards and to meet Medicare and Medicaid accreditation and regulatory requirements. Patient care evaluations and other quality of care assessment activities are reviewed and monitored continuously with comparison to regional and national benchmarks when available.

We have implemented various programs to support our hospitals in an effort to ensure continuous improvement in patient safety and the quality of care provided. We have developed high reliability/safety and quality training programs for all senior hospital management, chief nursing officers, quality directors, physicians and other clinical staff. We share information among our hospital management to implement best practices and assist in complying with regulatory requirements. We have standardized many of our processes for documenting compliance with accreditation requirements and clinical practices proven to lead to improved patient outcomes. All hospitals conduct patient, physician and staff satisfaction surveys to help identify methods of improving patient safety and the quality of care.

To ensure the experience of our emergency room patients meets our service and quality expectations, we have implemented a program to contact selected patients as a follow-up to the services they received. We verify that patients were able to obtain any prescriptions and outpatient appointments recommended at discharge. We also ensure that their symptoms have abated and that they understood the discharge instructions given at the hospital. Through this program, we placed over 1.5 million follow-up calls in 2013.

In 2011, we established a component patient safety organization, or PSO, which was listed by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality on January 11, 2012. We believe our PSO will assist us in improving patient safety at our hospitals.

Grow Through Selective Acquisitions

Acquisition Criteria. Each year we intend to acquire, on a selective basis, approximately two to four hospitals that fit our acquisition criteria. Generally, we pursue acquisition candidates that:

- have a stable or growing population base,
- are the sole or primary provider of acute care services in the community,
- are located in an area with the potential for service expansion,
- are not located in an area that is dependent upon a single employer or industry and
- have financial performance that we believe will benefit from our management's operating skills.

Occasionally, we have pursued acquisition opportunities outside of our specified criteria when such opportunities have had uniquely favorable characteristics. In addition, in recent years, we have been successful in acquiring multi-hospital systems in larger metropolitan areas. We believe the acquisition of certain hospitals located in select urban or other geographic regions can provide additional opportunities for increased services and leveraging of our existing presence in some regions as well as reduced costs through shared resources.

In 2011, we acquired four hospitals located in Scranton, Pennsylvania; Tunkhannock, Pennsylvania; Nanticoke, Pennsylvania and Tomball, Texas. In 2012, we acquired four hospitals located in Scranton, Pennsylvania; Peckville, Pennsylvania; Blue Island, Illinois and York, Pennsylvania and a large physician practice located in Longview, Texas. In July 2013, we announced that we, one of our wholly-owned subsidiaries, and HMA entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (which was subsequently amended on September 24, 2013), pursuant to which we agreed to acquire all the outstanding shares of common stock of HMA, or HMA Common Stock, for approximately $7.6 billion, including the assumption of approximately $3.7 billion of indebtedness, consisting of a combination of cash and Parent Company common stock, with each share of HMA Common Stock issued and outstanding immediately prior to the effective time of the HMA merger becoming converted into the right to receive $10.50 in cash, 0.06942 of a share of Parent Company common stock, and one contingent value right, or CVR, which would entitle the holder of each CVR to receive a cash payment of up to $1.00 per CVR, following and conditioned upon the final resolution of certain legal matters involving HMA, subject to downward adjustments relating to the amount of certain losses arising out of or relating to such legal matters. The HMA merger was completed on January 27, 2014. As of December 31, 2013, HMA, through its subsidiaries, owned or leased 71 hospitals. We believe that our access to capital, reputation for providing quality care and ability to recruit physicians makes us an attractive partner for the communities in which these hospitals are located.

Disciplined Acquisition Approach. We believe that we have been disciplined in our approach to acquisitions. We have a dedicated team of internal and external professionals who complete a thorough review of the hospital's financial and operating performance, the demographics and service needs of the market and the physical condition of the facilities. Based on our historical experience, we then build a pro forma financial model that reflects what we believe can be accomplished under our ownership. Whether we buy or lease the existing facility or agree to construct a replacement hospital, we believe we have been disciplined in our approach to pricing. We typically begin the acquisition process by entering into a non-binding letter of intent with an acquisition candidate. After we complete business and financial due diligence and financial modeling, we decide whether or not to enter into a definitive agreement. Once an acquisition is completed, we have an organized and systematic approach to transitioning and integrating the new hospital into our system of hospitals.

Acquisition Efforts. Most of our acquisition targets are municipal or other not-for-profit hospitals. We believe that our access to capital, ability to recruit physicians and reputation for providing quality care make us an attractive partner for these communities. In addition, we have found that communities located in states where we already operate a hospital are more receptive to our acquiring their hospitals, because they are aware of our operating track record with respect to our other hospitals within the state.

At the time we acquire a hospital, we may commit to an amount of capital expenditures, such as a replacement facility, renovations, or equipment over a specified period of time. Pursuant to a hospital purchase agreement in effect as of December 31, 2013, we are required to build a replacement facility in York, Pennsylvania by July 2017. Estimated construction costs, including equipment costs, are approximately $100.0 million for this replacement facility, of which approximately $0.7 million has been incurred to date. In addition, in October 2008, after the purchase of the noncontrolling owner's interest in our Birmingham, Alabama facility, we initiated the purchase of a site, which includes a partially constructed hospital structure, for a potential replacement for our existing Birmingham facility. In September 2010, we received approval of our request for a certificate of need from the Alabama Certificate of Need Review Board. This CON was challenged in the Alabama state circuit and appellate courts, but the CON was upheld by the Supreme Court of Alabama in May 2013, eliminating any further appeals. Our estimated construction costs, including the acquisition of the site and equipment costs, are approximately $280.0 million for the Birmingham replacement facility, of which approximately $64.2 million has been incurred to date. Under other purchase agreements in effect as of December 31, 2013, we have committed to spend $393.5 million, generally over a five to seven year period after acquisition, for costs such as capital improvements, equipment, selected leases and physician recruiting. Through December 31, 2013, we have incurred approximately $256.8 million related to these commitments.

Industry Overview

The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, or CMS, reported that in 2012 total U.S. healthcare expenditures grew by 3.7% to approximately $2.8 trillion. CMS also projected total U.S. healthcare spending to grow by 3.8% in 2013 and by an average of 5.8% annually from 2012 through 2022. By these estimates, healthcare expenditures will account for approximately $5.0 trillion, or 19.9% of the total U.S. gross domestic product, by 2022. Expected growth for 2014 is 6.1%, as 11 million Americans are projected to gain health insurance coverage, predominantly through either Medicaid or the health insurance marketplaces.

Hospital services, the market within the healthcare industry in which we operate, is the largest single category of healthcare expenditures at 31.6% of total healthcare spending in 2012, or approximately $882.3 billion, as reported by CMS. CMS projects the hospital services category to grow by at least 4.7% per year through 2022. It expects growth in hospital healthcare spending to continue due to the aging of the U.S. population and the impact of improved economic conditions.

U.S. Hospital Industry. The U.S. hospital industry is broadly defined to include acute care, rehabilitation and psychiatric facilities that are either public (government owned and operated), not-for-profit private (religious or secular), or for-profit institutions (investor owned). According to the American Hospital Association, there are approximately 5,000 inpatient hospitals in the U.S. which are not-for-profit owned, investor owned, or state or local government owned. Of these hospitals, approximately 40% are located in non-urban communities. We believe that a majority of these hospitals are owned by not-for-profit or governmental entities. These facilities offer a broad range of healthcare services, including internal medicine, general surgery, cardiology, oncology, orthopedics, OB/GYN and emergency services. In addition, hospitals also offer other ancillary services, including psychiatric, diagnostic, rehabilitation, home care and outpatient surgery services.

Urban vs. Non-Urban Hospitals. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, 19.3% of the U.S. population lives in communities designated as non-urban. In these non-urban communities, hospitals are typically the primary source of healthcare. In many cases a single hospital is the only provider of general healthcare services in these communities.

Factors Affecting Performance. Among the many factors that can influence a hospital's financial and operating performance are:

- facility size and location,
- facility ownership structure (i.e., tax-exempt or investor owned),
- a facility's ability to participate in group purchasing organizations and
- facility payor mix.

Patients needing the most complex care are more often served by the larger and/or more specialized urban hospitals. We believe opportunities exist in selected urban markets to create networks between urban hospitals and non-urban hospitals in order to expand the breadth of services offered in the non-urban hospitals while improving physician alignment in those markets and making it more attractive to managed care.

Hospital Industry Trends

Demographic Trends. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, there are presently approximately 40.3 million Americans aged 65 or older in the U.S. who comprise approximately 13.0% of the total U.S. population. By the year 2030, the number of Americans aged 65 or older is expected to climb to 72.1 million, or 19.3% of the total population. Due to the increasing life expectancy of Americans, the number of people aged 85 years and older is also expected to increase from 5.8 million to 8.7 million by the year 2030. This increase in life expectancy will increase demand for healthcare services and, as importantly, the demand for innovative, more sophisticated means of delivering those services. Hospitals, as the largest category of care in the healthcare market, will be among the main beneficiaries of this increase in demand. Based on data compiled for us, the populations of the service areas where our hospitals are located grew by 3.0% from 2007 to 2012 and are expected to grow by 3.4% from 2012 to 2017. The number of people aged 65 or older in these service areas grew by 10.6% from 2007 to 2012 and is expected to grow by 15.9% from 2012 to 2017. People aged 65 or older comprised 14.3% of the total population in our service areas in 2012, yet they could comprise 16.0% of the total population in our service areas by 2017.

Consolidation. In addition to our own acquisitions in recent years, consolidation activity in the hospital industry, primarily through mergers and acquisitions involving both for-profit and not-for-profit hospital systems, is continuing. Reasons for this activity include:

- ample supply of available capital,
- valuation levels,
- financial performance issues, including challenges associated with changes in reimbursement and collectability of self-pay revenue,
- the desire to enhance the local availability of healthcare in the community,
- the need and ability to recruit primary care physicians and specialists,
- the need to achieve general economics of scale and to gain access to standardized and centralized functions, including favorable supply agreements and access to malpractice coverage and
- regulatory changes.

The healthcare industry is also undergoing consolidation in anticipation of and in reaction to efforts to reform the payment system. Hospital systems are acquiring physician practices and other outpatient and sub-acute providers to position themselves for readmission, bundling and other payment restructuring. Similarly, payors are consolidating and acquiring disease management service providers in an effort to offer more competitive programs.

Trends in Payment for Healthcare Services. As discussed in more detail in the Government Regulation section, the impact of healthcare reform legislation, combined with the growing financial and economic pressures on the healthcare industry, has resulted in challenges to current and future reimbursement trends. Because of higher healthcare costs and expanded coverage for uninsured patients, the healthcare industry must face the risk that higher deductibles and co-payment requirements for insured patients will increase, resulting in the potential for greater write-offs of uncollectible amounts from those patients.

Shift to Outpatient Services. Because of the growing availability of stand-alone outpatient healthcare facilities and the increase in the services that are able to be provided at these locations, many individuals are seeking a broader range of services at outpatient facilities. This trend has contributed to an increase in outpatient services while inhibiting the growth of inpatient admissions.

Selected Operating Data

The following table sets forth operating statistics for our hospitals for each of the years presented, which are included in our continuing operations. Statistics for 2013 include a full year of operations for 135 hospitals. Statistics for 2012 include a full year of operations for 131 hospitals and partial periods for four hospitals acquired during the year. Statistics for 2011 include a full year of operations for 127 hospitals and partial periods for four hospitals acquired during the year. Statistics for hospitals which have been sold are excluded from all periods presented.

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Consolidated Data			
Number of hospitals (at end of period)	135	135	131
Licensed beds (at end of period)(1)	20,180	20,334	19,695
Beds in service (at end of period)(2)	17,320	17,265	16,832
Admissions(3)	654,945	701,837	675,050
Adjusted admissions(4)	1,362,344	1,418,472	1,330,988
Patient days(5)	2,897,491	3,058,931	2,970,044
Average length of stay (days)(6)	4.4	4.4	4.4
Occupancy rate (beds in service)(7)	45.9 %	48.6 %	49.1 %
Net operating revenues	$ 12,997,693	$ 13,028,985	$ 11,906,212
Net inpatient revenues as a % of operating revenues before provision for bad debt	43.2 %	44.7 %	46.1 %
Net outpatient revenues as a % of operating revenues before provision for bad debt	55.0 %	53.4 %	51.9 %
Net income attributable to Community Health Systems, Inc.	$ 141,203	$ 265,640	$ 201,948
Net income attributable to Community Health Systems, Inc. as a % of net operating revenues	1.1 %	2.0 %	1.7 %
Liquidity Data			
Adjusted EBITDA(8)	$ 1,725,079	$ 1,977,715	$ 1,836,650
Adjusted EBITDA as a % of net operating revenues(8)	13.3 %	15.2 %	15.4 %
Net cash flows provided by operating activities	$ 1,088,719	$ 1,280,120	$ 1,261,908
Net cash flows provided by operating activities as a % of net operating revenues	8.4 %	9.8 %	10.6 %
Net cash flows used in investing activities	$ (991,268)	$ (1,383,202)	$ (1,195,775)
Net cash flows (used in) provided by financing activities	$ (111,861)	$ 361,030	$ (235,437)

	Year Ended December 31,		Decrease
	2013	2012	
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Same-Store Data(9)			
Admissions(3)	651,044	701,837	(7.2) %
Adjusted admissions(4)	1,353,195	1,418,472	(4.6) %
Patient days(5)	2,882,332	3,058,931	
Average length of stay (days)(6)	4.4	4.4	
Occupancy rate (beds in service)(7)	45.9 %	48.6 %	
Net operating revenues	$ 12,922,186	$ 12,943,565	(0.2) %
Income from operations	$ 1,034,005	$ 1,182,538	(12.6) %
Income from operations as a % of net operating revenues	8.0 %	9.1 %	
Depreciation and amortization	$ 779,291	$ 725,557	
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	$ 42,641	$ 42,105	

(1) Licensed beds are the number of beds for which the appropriate state agency licenses a facility regardless of whether the beds are actually available for patient use.

(2) Beds in service are the number of beds that are readily available for patient use.

(3) Admissions represent the number of patients admitted for inpatient treatment.

(4) Adjusted admissions is a general measure of combined inpatient and outpatient volume. We computed adjusted admissions by multiplying admissions by gross patient revenues and then dividing that number by gross inpatient revenues.

(5) Patient days represent the total number of days of care provided to inpatients.

(6) Average length of stay (days) represents the average number of days inpatients stay in our hospitals.

(7) We calculated occupancy rate percentages by dividing the average daily number of inpatients by the weighted-average number of beds in service.

(8) EBITDA consists of net income attributable to Community Health Systems, Inc. before interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization. Adjusted EBITDA is EBITDA adjusted to exclude discontinued operations, impairment of long-lived assets, gain/loss from early extinguishment of debt and net income attributable to noncontrolling interests. We have from time to time sold noncontrolling interests in certain of our subsidiaries or acquired subsidiaries with existing noncontrolling interest ownership positions. We believe that it is useful to present adjusted EBITDA because it excludes the portion of EBITDA attributable to these third-party interests and clarifies for investors our portion of EBITDA generated by continuing operations. We use adjusted EBITDA as a measure of liquidity. We have included this measure because we believe it provides investors with additional information about our ability to incur and service debt and make capital expenditures. Adjusted EBITDA is the basis for a key component in the determination of our compliance with some of the covenants under our senior secured credit facility, as well as to determine the interest rate and commitment fee payable under the senior secured credit facility (although adjusted EBITDA does not include all of the adjustments described in the senior secured credit facility).

Adjusted EBITDA is not a measurement of financial performance or liquidity under generally accepted accounting principles. It should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for net income, operating income, cash flows from operating, investing or financing activities, or any other measure calculated in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The items excluded from adjusted EBITDA are significant components in understanding and evaluating financial performance and liquidity. Our calculation of adjusted EBITDA may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies.

The following table reconciles adjusted EBITDA, as defined, to our net cash provided by operating activities as derived directly from our Consolidated Financial Statements for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Adjusted EBITDA	$ 1,725,079	$ 1,977,715	$ 1,836,650
Interest expense, net	(615,147)	(622,933)	(644,410)
Provision for income taxes	(88,594)	(157,502)	(137,653)
Deferred income taxes	69,284	53,407	107,032
Loss from operations of hospitals sold	-	(466)	(7,769)
Depreciation and amortization of discontinued operations	-	-	4,991
Stock-based compensation expense	38,403	40,896	42,542
Government settlement and related costs	101,500	-	-
Excess tax benefit relating to stock-based compensation	(6,715)	(3,973)	(5,290)
Other non-cash expenses, net	60,839	33,251	28,716
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions and divestitures:			
Patient accounts receivable	(285,437)	(204,151)	(138,332)
Supplies, prepaid expenses and other current assets	(8,453)	(99,799)	(42,858)
Accounts payable, accrued liabilities and income taxes	72,474	246,301	246,110
Other	25,486	17,374	(27,821)
Net cash provided by operating activities	$ 1,088,719	$ 1,280,120	$ 1,261,908

(9) Includes acquired hospitals to the extent we operated them during comparable periods in both years.

Sources of Revenue

We receive payment for healthcare services provided by our hospitals from:

- the federal Medicare program,
- state Medicaid or similar programs,
- healthcare insurance carriers, health maintenance organizations or "HMOs," preferred provider organizations or "PPOs," and other managed care programs and
- patients directly.

The following table presents the approximate percentages of operating revenues, net of contractual allowances and discounts (but before provision for bad debts), by payor source for the periods indicated. The data for the years presented are not strictly comparable due to the effect that hospital acquisitions have had on these statistics.

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Medicare	24.9 %	26.0 % (1)	26.8 %
Medicaid	9.7	9.8	9.7
Managed Care and other third-party payors	51.7	51.2	51.5
Self-pay	13.7	13.0	12.0
Total	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %

(1) Excludes the $84.3 million reimbursement settlement and payment update as discussed below.

As shown above, we receive a substantial portion of our revenues from the Medicare and Medicaid programs. Included in Managed Care and other third-party payors is operating revenues from insurance companies with which we have insurance provider contracts, Medicare managed care, insurance companies for which we do not have insurance provider contracts, workers' compensation carriers and non-patient service revenue, such as rental income and cafeteria sales. In the future, we generally expect revenues received from the Medicare and Medicaid programs to increase due to the general aging of the population. In addition, as discussed below, the Reform Legislation should increase the number of insured patients, which, in turn, should reduce revenues from self-pay patients and reduce our provision for bad debts. The Reform Legislation, however, imposes significant reductions in amounts the government pays Medicare managed care plans. The trend toward increased enrollment in Medicare managed care may adversely affect our operating revenue growth. Other provisions in the Reform Legislation impose minimum medical-loss ratios and require insurers to meet specific benefit requirements. Furthermore, in the normal course of business, managed care programs, insurance companies and employers actively negotiate the amounts paid to hospitals. There can be no assurance that we will retain our existing reimbursement arrangements or that these third-party payors will not attempt to further reduce the rates they pay for our services.

Medicare is a federal program that provides medical insurance benefits to persons age 65 and over, some disabled persons, and persons with end-stage renal disease. Medicaid is a federal-state funded program, administered by the states, which provides medical benefits to individuals who are unable to afford healthcare. All of our hospitals are certified as providers of Medicare and Medicaid services. Amounts received under the Medicare and Medicaid programs are generally significantly less than a hospital's customary charges for the services provided. Since a substantial portion of our revenue comes from patients under Medicare and Medicaid programs, our ability to operate our business successfully in the future will depend in large measure on our ability to adapt to changes in these programs.

In addition to government programs, we are paid by private payors, which include insurance companies, HMOs, PPOs, other managed care companies and employers, and by patients directly. Blue Cross payors are included in the "Managed Care and other third-party payors" line in the above table. Patients are generally not responsible for any difference between customary hospital charges and amounts paid for hospital services by Medicare and Medicaid programs, insurance companies, HMOs, PPOs and other managed care companies, but are responsible for services not covered by these programs or plans, as well as for deductibles and co-insurance obligations of their coverage. The amount of these deductibles and co-insurance obligations has increased in recent years. Collection of amounts due from individuals is typically more difficult than collection of amounts due from government or business payors. To further reduce their healthcare costs, an increasing number of insurance companies, HMOs, PPOs and other managed care companies are negotiating discounted fee structures or fixed amounts for hospital services performed, rather than paying healthcare providers the amounts billed. We negotiate discounts with managed care companies, which are typically smaller than discounts under governmental programs. If an increased number of insurance companies, HMOs, PPOs and other managed care companies succeed in negotiating discounted fee structures or fixed amounts, our results of operations may be negatively affected. For more information on the payment programs on which our revenues depend, see "Payment" on page 19.

As of December 31, 2013, Texas, Pennsylvania and Indiana represented our only areas of geographic concentration. Operating revenues, net of contractual allowances and discounts (but before the provision for bad debts), generated in Texas, as a percentage of consolidated operating revenues, were 14.8% in 2013, 14.4% in 2012 and 13.1% in 2011. Operating revenues, net of contractual allowances and discounts (but before the provision for bad debts), generated in Pennsylvania, as a percentage of consolidated operating revenues, were 13.0% in 2013, 12.6% in 2012 and 11.5% in 2011. Operating revenues, net of contractual allowances and discounts (but before the provision for bad debts), generated in Indiana, as a percentage of consolidated operating revenues, were 10.5% in both 2013 and 2012, and 10.3% in 2011.

Hospital revenues depend upon inpatient occupancy levels, the volume of outpatient procedures and the charges or negotiated payment rates for hospital services provided. Charges and payment rates for routine inpatient services vary significantly depending on the type of service performed and the geographic location of the hospital. In recent years, we have experienced a significant increase in revenue received from outpatient services. We attribute this increase to:

- advances in technology, which have permitted us to provide more services on an outpatient basis and
- pressure from Medicare or Medicaid programs, insurance companies and managed care plans to reduce hospital stays and to reduce costs by having services provided on an outpatient rather than on an inpatient basis.

Government Regulation

Overview. The healthcare industry is required to comply with extensive government regulation at the federal, state and local levels. Under these regulations, hospitals must meet requirements to be certified as hospitals and qualified to participate in government programs, including the Medicare and Medicaid programs. These requirements relate to the adequacy of medical care, equipment, personnel, operating policies and procedures, maintenance of adequate records, hospital use, rate-setting, compliance with building codes and environmental protection laws. There are also extensive regulations governing a hospital's participation in these government programs. If we fail to comply with applicable laws and regulations, we can be subject to criminal penalties and civil sanctions, our hospitals can lose their licenses and we could lose our ability to participate in these government programs. In addition, government regulations may change. If that happens, we may have to make changes in our facilities, equipment, personnel and services so that our hospitals remain certified as hospitals and qualified to participate in these programs. We believe that our hospitals are in substantial compliance with current federal, state and local regulations and standards.

Hospitals are subject to periodic inspection by federal, state and local authorities to determine their compliance with applicable regulations and requirements necessary for licensing and certification. All of our hospitals are licensed under appropriate state laws and are qualified to participate in Medicare and Medicaid programs. In addition, most of our hospitals are accredited by the Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations. This accreditation indicates that a hospital satisfies the applicable health and administrative standards to participate in Medicare and Medicaid programs.

Healthcare Reform. The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, or ARRA, was signed into law on February 17, 2009, providing for a temporary increase in the federal matching assistance percentage (FMAP), a temporary increase in federal Medicaid Disproportionate Share Hospital, or DSH, allotments, subsidization of health insurance premiums (COBRA) for up to nine months, and grants and loans for infrastructure and incentive payments for providers who adopt and use health information technology. This act also provides penalties by reducing reimbursement from Medicare in the form of reductions to scheduled market basket increases beginning in federal fiscal year 2015 if eligible hospitals and professionals fail to demonstrate meaningful use of electronic health record technology.

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, or PPACA, was signed into law on March 23, 2010. In addition, the Health Care and Education Affordability Reconciliation Act of 2010, or Reconciliation Act, which contains a number of amendments to PPACA, was signed into law on March 30, 2010. These two healthcare acts, referred to collectively as the Reform Legislation, include a mandate that requires substantially all U.S. citizens to maintain medical insurance coverage, which will ultimately increase the number of persons with access to health insurance in the United States. The Reform Legislation, as originally enacted, is expected to expand health insurance coverage through a combination of public program expansion and private sector health insurance reforms. We believe the expansion of private sector and Medicaid coverage will, over time, increase our reimbursement related to providing services to individuals who were previously uninsured, which should reduce our expense from uncollectible accounts receivable. The Reform Legislation also makes a number of other changes to Medicare and Medicaid, such as reductions to the Medicare annual market basket update for federal fiscal years 2010 through 2019, a productivity offset to the Medicare market basket update which began October 1, 2011, and a reduction to the Medicare and Medicaid disproportionate share payments, that could adversely impact the reimbursement received under these programs. The various provisions in the Reform Legislation that directly or indirectly affect reimbursement are scheduled to take effect over a number of years. Over time, we believe the net impact of the overall changes as a result of the Reform Legislation will have a positive effect on our net operating revenues. Other provisions of the Reform Legislation, such as requirements related to employee health insurance coverage, should increase our operating costs.

Starting in 2014, the Reform Legislation may result in an increase in the number of patients using our facilities who have health insurance coverage. The Congressional Budget Office, or CBO, anticipates that, as a result of the Reform Legislation, millions of uninsured Americans across the nation could gain coverage through health insurance exchanges and Medicaid expansion. Based on CBO projections as issued on May 14, 2013, and July 30, 2013, the incremental insurance coverage due to the Reform Legislation could result in 13 million and 25 million formerly uninsured Americans gaining coverage by the end of 2014 and 2016, respectively. The CBO projects, by the end of 2016, a 45% reduction in the number of nonelderly Americans who remain uninsured due to the effects on insurance coverage from the Reform Legislation. The 29 states in which we operate hospitals include nine of the 10 states with the highest percentage of nonelderly uninsured people from among the state's nonelderly population. More broadly, the 29 states in which we operate hospitals include 26 of the 30 states with the highest percentage of nonelderly uninsured people from among the state's nonelderly population.

We have healthcare reform outreach efforts underway in select markets. Such efforts include the expanded use of eligibility screening services, select facility designations as Certified Application Counselor Organizations, and approximately 400 volunteers and staff members trained and designated as Certified Application Counselors, or CACs. These CACs will assist people in understanding and, if appropriate, enrolling in new coverage options, including, but not limited to Qualified Health Plans, or QHPs, on the health insurance exchange or marketplace, Medicaid Expansion, the Children's Health Insurance Program, and the Medicaid program for those eligible but not yet enrolled.

Our hospitals are well positioned to participate in the provider networks of various QHPs offering plan options on the health insurance exchanges. As of December 31, 2013, 134 of 135 of our hospitals participated in a health insurance exchange agreement, 95% of our hospitals possessed two or more contracts, 90% of our hospitals had a contract with the first or second lowest cost bronze plans (QHPs with a 60% actuarial value), and 92% of our hospitals had a contract with the first or second lowest cost silver plans (QHPs with a 70% actuarial value). Most of our exchange reimbursement arrangements reflect a slight discount to that of commercial rates.

Also included in the Reform Legislation are provisions aimed at reducing fraud, waste and abuse in the healthcare industry. These provisions allocate significant additional resources to federal enforcement agencies and expand the use of private contractors to recover potentially inappropriate Medicare and Medicaid payments. The Reform Legislation amends several existing federal laws, including the Medicare Anti-Kickback Statute and the False Claims Act, making it easier for government agencies and private plaintiffs to prevail in lawsuits brought against healthcare providers. These amendments also make it easier for potentially severe fines and penalties to be imposed on healthcare providers accused of violating applicable laws and regulations.

On June 28, 2012, the Supreme Court of the United States largely upheld the constitutionality of the Reform Legislation, though it overturned an aspect of the legislation that would have permitted the Federal government to withhold all Medicaid funding from a state if that state did not expand Medicaid coverage to the extent required by the Reform Legislation. The Supreme Court's ruling instead held that only new incremental funding could be withheld from a state in such a situation. As a result, states will face less severe financial consequences if they refuse to expand Medicaid coverage to individuals with incomes below certain thresholds. Since the Supreme Court's ruling, some states have suggested that, for budgetary and other reasons, they would not expand their Medicaid programs. If states refuse to expand their Medicaid programs, the number of uninsured patients at our hospitals will decline by a smaller margin as compared to our expectations when the Reform Legislation was first adopted. In response to the Supreme Court ruling, the previous estimates of the reduction in uninsured individuals as a result of the Reform Legislation have been revised, with approximately 25 million additional individuals expected to have health insurance coverage by 2016. Of the 29 states in which we operate hospitals, 12 states are expanding their Medicaid programs. At this time, the other 17 states are not expanding Medicaid coverage. Indiana, Pennsylvania and Texas, where we operated a significant number of hospitals as of December 31, 2013, are three of the states that are not expanding Medicaid coverage. After giving effect to the HMA merger, we will also operate a significant number of hospitals in Florida and Tennessee, which also have not expanded Medicaid coverage. In addition, three of the states that are not expanding Medicaid, including Pennsylvania, are evaluating options such as waiver plans to operate an alternative Medicaid expansion plan.

Because of the many variables involved, including clarifications and modifications resulting from the rule-making process, the development of agency guidance and future judicial interpretations, whether and how many states decide to expand or not to expand Medicaid coverage, the number of uninsured who elect to purchase health insurance coverage, budgetary issues at federal and state levels, and the potential for delays in the implementation of some of the provisions of the Reform Legislation, we may not be able to realize the positive impact the Reform Legislation may have on our business, results of operations, cash flow, capital resources and liquidity. Furthermore, we cannot predict whether we will be able to modify certain aspects of our operations to offset any potential adverse consequences from the Reform Legislation.

In a number of markets, we have partnered with local physicians in the ownership of our facilities. Such investments have been permitted under an exception to the physician self-referral law, or Stark Law, that allows physicians to invest in an entire hospital (as opposed to individual hospital departments). The Reform Legislation changes the "whole hospital" exception to the Stark Law. The Reform Legislation permits existing physician investments in a whole hospital to continue under a "grandfather" clause if the arrangement satisfies certain requirements and restrictions, but physicians are now prohibited, from the time the Reform Legislation became effective, from increasing the aggregate percentage of their ownership in the hospital. The Reform Legislation also restricts the ability of existing physician-owned hospitals to expand the capacity of their facilities.

In addition to the Reform Legislation, the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 included provisions for implementing health information technology under the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act, or HITECH. These provisions were designed to increase the use of electronic health records, or EHR, technology and establish the requirements for a Medicare and Medicaid incentive payments program beginning in 2011 for eligible hospitals and providers that adopt and meaningfully use certified EHR technology. These incentive payments are intended to offset a portion of the costs incurred to implement and qualify as a meaningful user of EHR. Rules adopted in July 2010 by the Department of Health and Human Services established an initial set of standards and certification criteria. Our hospital facilities have been implementing EHR technology on a facility-by-facility basis since 2011. We anticipate recognizing incentive reimbursement related to the Medicare or Medicaid incentives as we are able to implement the certified EHR technology, meet the defined "meaningful use criteria," and information from completed cost report periods is available from which to calculate the incentive reimbursement. The timing of recognizing incentive reimbursement will not correlate with the timing of recognizing operating expenses and incurring capital costs in connection with the implementation of EHR technology which may result in material period-to-period changes in our future results of operations. Hospitals that do not qualify as a meaningful user of EHR technology by 2015 are subject to a reduced market basket update to the inpatient prospective payment system standardized amount in 2015 and each subsequent fiscal year. Although we believe that our hospital facilities will be in compliance with the EHR standards by 2015, there can be no assurance that all of our facilities will be in compliance and therefore not subject to the penalty provisions of HITECH.

Fraud and Abuse Laws. Participation in the Medicare program is heavily regulated by federal statute and regulation. If a hospital fails substantially to comply with the requirements for participating in the Medicare program, the hospital's participation in the Medicare program may be terminated and/or civil or criminal penalties may be imposed. For example, a hospital may lose its ability to participate in the Medicare program if it performs any of the following acts:

- making claims to Medicare for services not provided or misrepresenting actual services provided in order to obtain higher payments,
- paying money to induce the referral of patients where services are reimbursable under a federal health program or
- paying money to limit or reduce the services provided to Medicare beneficiaries.

The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, or HIPAA, broadened the scope of the fraud and abuse laws. Under HIPAA, any person or entity that knowingly and willfully defrauds or attempts to defraud a healthcare benefit program, including private healthcare plans, may be subject to fines, imprisonment or both. Additionally, any person or entity that knowingly and willfully falsifies or conceals a material fact or makes any material false or fraudulent statements in connection with the delivery or payment of healthcare services by a healthcare benefit plan is subject to a fine, imprisonment or both.

Another law regulating the healthcare industry is a section of the Social Security Act, known as the "anti-kickback" statute. This law prohibits some business practices and relationships under Medicare, Medicaid and other federal healthcare programs. These practices include the payment, receipt, offer, or solicitation of remuneration of any kind in exchange for items or services that are reimbursed under most federal or state healthcare programs. Violations of the anti-kickback statute may be punished by criminal and civil fines, exclusion from federal healthcare programs and damages up to three times the total dollar amount involved.

The Office of Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services, or OIG, is responsible for identifying and investigating fraud and abuse activities in federal healthcare programs. As part of its duties, the OIG provides guidance to healthcare providers by identifying types of activities that could violate the anti-kickback statute. The OIG also publishes regulations outlining activities and business relationships that would be deemed not to violate the anti-kickback statute. These regulations are known as "safe harbor" regulations. However, the failure of a particular activity to comply with the safe harbor regulations does not necessarily mean that the activity violates the anti-kickback statute.

The OIG has identified the following incentive arrangements as potential violations of the anti-kickback statute:

- payment of any incentive by the hospital when a physician refers a patient to the hospital,
- use of free or significantly discounted office space or equipment for physicians in facilities usually located close to the hospital,

- provision of free or significantly discounted billing, nursing, or other staff services,
- free training for a physician's office staff, including management and laboratory techniques (but excluding compliance training),
- guarantees which provide that if the physician's income fails to reach a predetermined level, the hospital will pay any portion of the remainder,
- low-interest or interest-free loans, or loans which may be forgiven if a physician refers patients to the hospital,
- payment of the costs of a physician's travel and expenses for conferences,
- payment of services which require few, if any, substantive duties by the physician, or payment for services in excess of the fair market value of the services rendered or
- purchasing goods or services from physicians at prices in excess of their fair market value.

We have a variety of financial relationships with physicians who refer patients to our hospitals. Physicians own interests in a number of our facilities. Physicians may also own our stock. We also have contracts with physicians providing for a variety of financial arrangements, including employment contracts, leases, management agreements and professional service agreements. We provide financial incentives to recruit physicians to relocate to communities served by our hospitals. These incentives include relocation, reimbursement for certain direct expenses, income guarantees and, in some cases, loans. Although we believe that we have structured our arrangements with physicians in light of the "safe harbor" rules, we cannot assure you that regulatory authorities will not determine otherwise. If that happens, we could be subject to criminal and civil penalties and/or exclusion from participating in Medicare, Medicaid, or other government healthcare programs.

The Social Security Act also includes a provision commonly known as the "Stark Law." This law prohibits physicians from referring Medicare patients to healthcare entities in which they or any of their immediate family members have ownership interests or other financial arrangements. These types of referrals are commonly known as "self referrals." Sanctions for violating the Stark Law include denial of payment, civil money penalties, assessments equal to twice the dollar value of each service and exclusion from government payor programs. There are ownership and compensation arrangement exceptions to the self-referral prohibition. One exception allows a physician to make a referral to a hospital if the physician owns an interest in the entire hospital, as opposed to an ownership interest in a department of the hospital. Another exception allows a physician to refer patients to a healthcare entity in which the physician has an ownership interest if the entity is located in a rural area, as defined in the statute. There are also exceptions for many of the customary financial arrangements between physicians and providers, including employment contracts, leases and recruitment agreements. From time to time, the federal government has issued regulations which interpret the provisions included in the Stark Law.

The Reform Legislation changed the "whole hospital" exception to the Stark Law. The Reform Legislation permitted existing physician investments in a whole hospital to continue under a "grandfather" clause if the arrangement satisfies certain requirements and restrictions, but physicians became prohibited, from the time the Reform Legislation became effective, from increasing the aggregate percentage of their ownership in the hospital. The Reform Legislation also restricted the ability of existing physician-owned hospitals to expand the capacity of their aggregate licensed beds, operating rooms and procedure rooms. The whole hospital exception, as amended, also contains additional disclosure requirements. For example, a grandfathered physician-owned hospital is required to submit an annual report to the Department of Health and Human Services, or the DHHS, listing each investor in the hospital, including all physician owners. In addition, grandfathered physician-owned hospitals must have procedures in place that require each referring physician owner to disclose to patients, with enough notice for the patient to make a meaningful decision regarding receipt of care, the physician's ownership interest and, if applicable, any ownership interest held by the treating physician. A grandfathered physician-owned hospital also must disclose on its web site and in any public advertising the fact that it has physician ownership. The Reform Legislation required grandfathered physician-owned hospitals to comply with these new requirements by September 23, 2011, and required audits of the hospitals' compliance beginning no later than May 1, 2012.

Sanctions for violating the Stark Law include denial of payment, civil monetary penalties of up to $15,000 per claim submitted and exclusion from federal healthcare programs. The statute also provides for a penalty of up to $100,000 for a scheme intended to circumvent the Stark Law prohibitions.

In addition to the restrictions and disclosure requirements applicable to physician-owned hospitals under the Stark Law, CMS regulations require physician-owned hospitals and their physician owners to disclose certain ownership information to patients. Physician-owned hospitals that receive referrals from physician owners must disclose in writing to patients that such hospitals are owned by physicians and that patients may receive a list of the hospitals' physician investors upon request. Additionally, a physician-owned hospital must require all physician owners who are members of the hospital's medical staff to agree, as a condition of continued medical staff membership or admitting privileges, to disclose in writing to all patients whom they refer to the hospital their (or an immediate family member's) ownership interest in the hospital. A hospital is considered to be physician-owned if any physician, or an immediate family member of a physician, holds debt, stock or other types of investment in the hospital or in any owner of the hospital, excluding physician ownership through publicly-traded securities that meet certain conditions. If a hospital fails to comply with these regulations, the hospital could lose its Medicare provider agreement and be unable to participate in Medicare.

Evolving interpretations of current, or the adoption of new, federal or state laws or regulations could affect many of the arrangements entered into by each of our hospitals. In addition, law enforcement authorities, including the OIG, the courts and Congress are increasing scrutiny of arrangements between healthcare providers and potential referral sources to ensure that the arrangements are not designed as a mechanism to improperly pay for patient referrals and/or other business. Investigators also have demonstrated a willingness to look behind the formalities of a business transaction to determine the underlying purpose of payments between healthcare providers and potential referral sources.

Many states in which we operate have also adopted laws that prohibit payments to physicians in exchange for referrals similar to the federal anti-kickback statute or that otherwise prohibit fraud and abuse activities. Many states have also passed self-referral legislation similar to the Stark Law, prohibiting the referral of patients to entities with which the physician has a financial relationship. Often these state laws are broad in scope and may apply regardless of the source of payment for care. These statutes typically provide criminal and civil penalties, as well as loss of licensure. Little precedent exists for the interpretation or enforcement of these state laws.

Our operations could be adversely affected by the failure of our arrangements to comply with the anti-kickback statute, the Stark Law, billing laws and regulations, current state laws or other legislation or regulations in these areas adopted in the future. We are unable to predict whether other legislation or regulations at the federal or state level in any of these areas will be adopted, what form such legislation or regulations may take or how they may affect our operations. We are continuing to enter into new financial arrangements with physicians and other providers in a manner structured to comply in all material respects with these laws. We cannot assure you, however, that governmental officials responsible for enforcing these laws or whistleblowers will not assert that we are in violation of them or that such statutes or regulations ultimately will be interpreted by the courts in a manner consistent with our interpretation.

We strive to comply with the Stark Law and regulations; however, the government may interpret the law and regulations differently. If we are found to have violated the Stark Law or regulations, we could be subject to significant sanctions, including damages, penalties and exclusion from federal healthcare programs.

Federal False Claims Act and Similar State Laws. Another trend affecting the healthcare industry today is the increased use of the federal False Claims Act, or FCA, and, in particular, actions being brought by individuals on the government's behalf under the FCA's "qui tam" or whistleblower provisions. Whistleblower provisions allow private individuals to bring actions on behalf of the government alleging that the defendant has defrauded the federal government. If the government intervenes in the action and prevails, the party filing the initial complaint may share in any settlement or judgment. If the government does not intervene in the action, the whistleblower plaintiff may pursue the action independently and may receive a larger share of any settlement or judgment. When a private party brings a qui tam action under the FCA, the defendant generally will not be made aware of the lawsuit until the government commences its own investigation or makes a determination whether it will intervene. Further, every entity that receives at least $5 million annually in Medicaid payments must have written policies for all employees, contractors or agents providing detailed information about false claims, false statements and whistleblower protections under certain federal laws, including the FCA, and similar state laws.

When a defendant is determined by a court of law to be liable under the FCA, the defendant must pay three times the actual damages sustained by the government, plus mandatory civil penalties of between $5,500 and $11,000 for each separate false claim. Settlements entered into prior to litigation usually involve a less severe calculation of damages. There are many potential bases for liability under the FCA. Liability often arises when an entity knowingly submits a false claim for reimbursement to the federal government. The FCA broadly defines the term "knowingly." Although simple negligence will not give rise to liability under the FCA, submitting a claim with reckless disregard to its truth or falsity can constitute "knowingly" submitting a false claim and result in liability. In some cases, whistleblowers, the federal government and courts have taken the position that providers who allegedly have violated other statutes, such as the anti-kickback statute or the Stark Law, have thereby submitted false claims under the FCA. The Reform Legislation clarifies this issue with respect to the anti-kickback statute by providing that submission of a claim for an item or service generated in violation of the anti-kickback statute constitutes a false or fraudulent claim under the FCA. The Fraud Enforcement and Recovery Act of 2009 expanded the scope of the FCA by, among other things, creating liability for knowingly and improperly avoiding repayment of an overpayment received from the government and broadening protections for whistleblowers. Under the Reform Legislation, the FCA is implicated by the knowing failure to report and return an overpayment within 60 days of identifying the overpayment or by the date a corresponding cost report is due, whichever is later. Further, the FCA will cover payments involving federal funds in connection with the new health insurance exchanges to be created pursuant to the Reform Legislation. Even if the FCA is not implicated and a mistake is made in the submission of claims, substantial financial liability can arise with respect to any overpayments. There is a notable gap in the time periods for which overpayments may be recouped by the government but for which corrected claims can be submitted.

A number of states, including states in which we operate, have adopted their own false claims provisions as well as their own whistleblower provisions whereby a private party may file a civil lawsuit in state court. The Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 created an incentive for states to enact false claims laws that are comparable to the FCA. From time to time, companies in the healthcare industry, including ours, may be subject to actions under the FCA or similar state laws.

Corporate Practice of Medicine; Fee-Splitting. Some states have laws that prohibit unlicensed persons or business entities, including corporations, from employing physicians. Some states also have adopted laws that prohibit direct or indirect payments or fee-splitting arrangements between physicians and unlicensed persons or business entities. Possible sanctions for violations of these restrictions include loss of a physician's license, civil and criminal penalties and rescission of business arrangements. These laws vary from state to state, are often vague and have seldom been interpreted by the courts or regulatory agencies. We structure our arrangements with healthcare providers to comply with the relevant state law. However, we cannot be assured that governmental officials responsible for enforcing these laws will not assert that we, or transactions in which we are involved, are in violation of these laws. These laws may also be interpreted by the courts in a manner inconsistent with our interpretations.

Emergency Medical Treatment and Active Labor Act. The Emergency Medical Treatment and Active Labor Act imposes requirements as to the care that must be provided to anyone who comes to facilities providing emergency medical services seeking care before they may be transferred to another facility or otherwise denied care. Sanctions for failing to fulfill these requirements include exclusion from participation in Medicare and Medicaid programs and civil money penalties. In addition, the law creates private civil remedies which enable an individual who suffers personal harm as a direct result of a violation of the law to sue the offending hospital for damages and equitable relief. A medical facility that suffers a financial loss as a direct result of another participating hospital's violation of the law also has a similar right. Although we believe that our practices are in compliance with the law, we can give no assurance that governmental officials responsible for enforcing the law or others will not assert we are in violation of these laws.

Conversion Legislation. Many states, including some where we have hospitals and others where we may in the future acquire hospitals, have adopted legislation regarding the sale or other disposition of hospitals operated by not-for-profit entities. In other states that do not have specific legislation, the attorneys general have demonstrated an interest in these transactions under their general obligations to protect charitable assets from waste. These legislative and administrative efforts primarily focus on the appropriate valuation of the assets divested and the use of the proceeds of the sale by the not-for-profit seller. While these reviews and, in some instances, approval processes can add additional time to the closing of a hospital acquisition, we have not had any significant difficulties or delays in completing the process. There can be no assurance, however, that future actions on the state level will not seriously delay or even prevent our ability to acquire hospitals. If these activities are widespread, they could limit our ability to acquire hospitals.

Certificates of Need. The construction of new facilities, the acquisition of existing facilities and the addition of new services at our facilities may be subject to state laws that require prior approval by state regulatory agencies. These CON laws generally require that a state agency determine the public need and give approval prior to the construction or acquisition of facilities or the addition of new services. As of December 31, 2013, we operated 58 hospitals in 16 states that have adopted CON laws for acute care facilities. If we fail to obtain necessary state approval, we will not be able to expand our facilities, complete acquisitions or add new services in these states. Violation of these state laws may result in the imposition of civil sanctions or the revocation of a hospital's licenses.

HIPAA Administrative Simplification and Privacy and Security Requirements. HIPAA requires the use of uniform electronic data transmission standards for healthcare claims and payment transactions submitted or received electronically. These provisions are intended to encourage electronic commerce in the healthcare industry. The DHHS has established electronic data transmission standards that all healthcare providers must use when submitting or receiving certain healthcare transactions electronically. In addition, HIPAA requires that each provider use a National Provider Identifier. In January 2009, CMS published a final rule making changes to the formats used for certain electronic transactions and requiring the use of updated standard code sets for certain diagnoses and procedures known as ICD-10 code sets. Use of the ICD-10 code sets is mandatory on October 1, 2014, so we are modifying our payment systems and processes to prepare for their implementation. Use of the ICD-10 code sets will require significant changes; however, we believe that the cost of compliance with these regulations has not had and is not expected to have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position or results of operations. The Reform Legislation requires the DHHS to adopt standards for additional electronic transactions and to establish operating rules to promote uniformity in the implementation of each standardized electronic transaction.

As required by HIPAA, the DHHS has issued privacy and security regulations that extensively regulate the use and disclosure of individually identifiable health-related information and require healthcare providers to implement administrative, physical and technical practices to protect the security of individually identifiable health information that is electronically maintained or transmitted. ARRA broadens the scope of the HIPAA privacy and security regulations. In addition, ARRA extends the application of certain provisions of the security and privacy regulations to business associates (entities that handle identifiable health-related information on behalf of covered entities) and subjects business associates to civil and criminal penalties for violation of the regulations. On July 14, 2010, the DHHS issued a proposed rule that would implement these ARRA provisions. If finalized, these changes would likely require amendments to existing agreements with business associates and would subject business associates and their subcontractors to direct liability under the HIPAA privacy and security regulations. We have developed and utilize a HIPAA compliance plan as part of our effort to comply with HIPAA privacy and security requirements. The privacy regulations and security regulations have and will continue to impose significant costs on our facilities in order to comply with these standards.

As required by ARRA, the DHHS published an interim final rule on August 24, 2009, that requires covered entities to report breaches of unsecured protected health information to affected individuals without unreasonable delay, but not to exceed 60 days of discovery of the breach by the covered entity or its agents. Notification must also be made to the DHHS and, in certain situations involving large breaches, to the media. Various state laws and regulations may also require us to notify affected individuals in the event of a data breach involving individually identifiable information.

Violations of the HIPAA privacy and security regulations may result in civil and criminal penalties, and ARRA has strengthened the enforcement provisions of HIPAA, which may result in increased enforcement activity. Under ARRA, the DHHS is required to conduct periodic compliance audits of covered entities and their business associates. ARRA broadens the applicability of the criminal penalty provisions to employees of covered entities and requires the DHHS to impose penalties for violations resulting from willful neglect. ARRA significantly increases the amount of the civil penalties, with penalties of up to $50,000 per violation for a maximum civil penalty of $1,500,000 in a calendar year for violations of the same requirement. Further, ARRA authorizes state attorneys general to bring civil actions seeking either injunction or damages in response to violations of HIPAA privacy and security regulations that threaten the privacy of state residents. Our facilities also are subject to any federal or state privacy-related laws that are more restrictive than the privacy regulations issued under HIPAA. These laws vary and could impose additional penalties.

Payment

Medicare. Under the Medicare program, we are paid for inpatient and outpatient services performed by our hospitals.

Payments for inpatient acute services are generally made pursuant to a prospective payment system, commonly known as "PPS." Under PPS, our hospitals are paid a predetermined amount for each hospital discharge based on the patient's diagnosis. Specifically, each discharge is assigned to a diagnosis-related group, commonly known as a "DRG," based upon the patient's condition and treatment during the relevant inpatient stay. Commencing with the federal fiscal year 2009 (i.e., the federal fiscal year beginning October 1, 2008), each DRG is assigned a payment rate using 100% of the national average cost per case and 100% of the severity adjusted DRG weights. DRG payments are based on national averages and not on charges or costs specific to a hospital. Severity adjusted DRGs more accurately reflect the costs a hospital incurs for caring for a patient and account more fully for the severity of each patient's condition. However, DRG payments are adjusted by a predetermined geographic adjustment factor assigned to the geographic area in which the hospital is located. While a hospital generally does not receive payment in addition to a DRG payment, hospitals may qualify for an "outlier" payment when the relevant patient's treatment costs are extraordinarily high and exceed a specified regulatory threshold.

The DRG payment rates are adjusted by an update factor on October 1 of each year, the beginning of the federal fiscal year. The index used to adjust the DRG payment rates, known as the "market basket index," gives consideration to the inflation experienced by hospitals in purchasing goods and services. DRG payment rates were increased by the full "market basket index," for the federal fiscal years 2014, 2013, 2012 and 2011, by 2.5%, 2.6%, 3.0% and 2.6%, respectively. The DRG payment rates were also reduced by 2.9% for federal fiscal year 2011 for behavioral changes in documentation and coding practices related to the Medicare severity diagnosis-related group, known as "MS-DRG", system. For federal fiscal year 2012, the DRG payment rates were reduced by 1% for the multi-factor productivity adjustment; reduced by 0.1% in accordance with the Reform Legislation; reduced by 2% for documentation and coding; and increased by 1.1% as a result of the decision in Cape Cod Hospital v. Sebelius. For federal fiscal year 2013, the DRG payment rates were increased by 2.9% to restore the one-time recoupment adjustment made to the national standardized amount for federal fiscal year 2012 and reduced by 1.9% for documentation and coding; reduced by 0.7% for the multi-factor productivity adjustment; and reduced by 0.1% in accordance with the Reform Legislation. In addition, for federal fiscal year 2014, the DRG payment rates were reduced by 0.8% for documentation and coding; reduced by 0.5% for the multi-factor productivity adjustment; reduced by 0.3% in accordance with the Reform Legislation; reduced 0.2% for the admissions and medical review criteria; and reduced 0.4% for changes in the DSH payment methodology. The rates are also adjusted for readmissions reduction factors and value-based purchasing factors for federal fiscal year 2014. The Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 imposed a two percentage point reduction to the market basket index beginning October 1, 2007, and each year thereafter, if patient quality data is not submitted. We are complying with this data submission requirement. Future legislation may decrease the rate of increase for DRG payments or even decrease such payment rates, but we are not able to predict the amount of any reduction or the effect that any reduction will have on us.

In addition, hospitals may qualify for Medicare disproportionate share payments when their percentage of low income patients exceeds specified regulatory thresholds. A majority of our hospitals qualify to receive Medicare disproportionate share payments. For the majority of our hospitals that qualify to receive Medicare disproportionate share payments, these payments were increased by the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003, effective April 1, 2004. Effective at the beginning of federal fiscal year 2014, Medicare disproportionate share payments will be reduced by 75% in accordance with the Reform Legislation. The funds from the 75% Medicare disproportionate share reduction are reduced as the U.S. uninsured population declines and are then returned to hospitals depending on the amount of uncompensated care they provide. The funds from the 75% Medicare disproportionate share reduction will continue to be reduced over time as the uninsured population decreases. At this time, we cannot predict an impact for this change. These Medicare disproportionate share and uncompensated care payments as a percentage of operating revenues, net of contractual allowances and discounts (but before the provision for bad debts), were 1.3%, 1.3% and 1.5% for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Hospitals may also qualify for Medicaid disproportionate share payments when they qualify under the state established guidelines. These Medicaid disproportionate share payments as a percentage of operating revenues, net of contractual allowances and discounts (but before the provision for bad debts), were 0.4%, 0.4% and 0.5% for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Beginning August 1, 2000, we began receiving Medicare reimbursement for outpatient services through a PPS. Under the Balanced Budget Refinement Act of 1999, non-urban hospitals with 100 beds or less were held harmless. The Medicare and Medicaid Extenders Act of 2010 extended the hold harmless provision for non-urban hospitals with 100 beds or less, including non-urban sole community hospitals, through December 31, 2011, at 85% of the hold harmless amount. Of our 131 hospitals at December 31, 2011, 45 qualified for this relief. The Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act extended the hold harmless provision for non-urban hospitals with 100 beds or less, including non-urban sole community hospitals, through December 31, 2012, at 85% of the hold harmless amount. Of our 135 hospitals at December 31, 2012, 46 qualified for this relief. The hold harmless provision was not extended for 2013. The outpatient conversion factor was increased 2.35% effective January 1, 2011; however, coupled with adjustments to other variables with outpatient PPS, an approximate 2.1% to 2.5% net increase in outpatient payments occurred. The outpatient conversion factor was increased 3.0 % effective January 1, 2012; however, coupled with adjustments to other variables with outpatient PPS, an approximate 2.1% to 2.5% net increase in outpatient payments occurred. The outpatient conversion factor was increased 2.6% effective January 1, 2013; however, coupled with adjustments to other variables with outpatient PPS, an approximate 1.6% to 2.0% net increase in outpatient payments is expected to occur. The outpatient conversion factor was increased 2.5% effective January 1, 2014; however, coupled with adjustments to other variables with outpatient PPS, an approximate 0.8% to 1.1% net increase in outpatient payments is expected to occur. The Medicare Improvements and Extension Act of the Tax Relief and Health Care Act of 2006 imposed a two percentage point reduction to the market basket index beginning January 1, 2009, and each year thereafter, if patient quality data is not submitted. We are complying with this data submission requirement.

The DHHS established a PPS for home health services (i.e., home care) effective October 1, 2000. The home health agency PPS per episodic payment rate increased 1.1% on January 1, 2011; however, coupled with adjustments to other variables with home health agency PPS, an approximate 4.9% net decrease in home health agency payments occurred. The home health agency PPS per episodic payment rate increased 2.4% on January 1, 2012; however, coupled with adjustments to other variables with home health agency PPS, an approximate 2.31% net decrease in home health agency payments occurred. The home health agency PPS per episodic payment rate increased by 2.3% on January 1, 2013; however, coupled with adjustments to other variables with home health agency PPS, an approximate 0.01% net decrease in home health agency payments is expected to occur. The home health agency PPS per episodic payment rate increased by 2.3% on January 1, 2014; however, coupled with adjustments to other variables with home health agency PPS, an approximate 1.05% net decrease in home health agency payments is expected to occur. The Reform Legislation increases the home health agency PPS per episodic payment rate by 3.0% for home health services provided to patients in rural areas on or after April 1, 2010 through December 31, 2016. The Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 imposed a two percentage point reduction to the market basket index beginning January 1, 2007, and each year thereafter, if patient quality data is not submitted. We are complying with this data submission requirement.

The Medicare reimbursement discussed above was reduced in 2013 due to federal legislation that required across-the-board spending cuts to the federal budget, also known as sequestration. These sequestration cuts included reductions in payments for Medicare and other federally funded healthcare programs, including TRICARE. Such cuts were originally identified to go into effect on January 1, 2013 as part of the Budget Control Act of 2011, which was passed as the result of attempts by the government to reduce the federal budget deficit. The passage of the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012 delayed the effective date of the sequestration until March 1, 2013, with the sequester-related Medicare reimbursement cuts beginning April 1, 2013. The Budget Control Act of 2011 continues the sequester-related Medicare reimbursement cuts through federal fiscal year 2021.

The Pathway for SGR Reform Act of 2013 delayed the effective date of a scheduled payment reduction for physicians and other practitioners who treat Medicare patients from January 1, 2014 to April 1, 2014. Additionally, provisions in the law extended the Medicare Inpatient Low Volume payment and Medicare Dependent Hospital program to qualifying hospitals through March 31, 2014. If additional legislation is not passed to further delay or eliminate the scheduled payment reduction for physicians and other practitioners or extend the Medicare hospital payment programs, we could experience a reduction in future reimbursement.

Medicaid. Most state Medicaid payments are made under a PPS or under programs which negotiate payment levels with individual hospitals. Medicaid is currently funded jointly by state and federal government. The federal government and many states are currently considering significantly reducing Medicaid funding, while at the same time expanding Medicaid benefits. Currently, several states utilize supplemental reimbursement programs for the purpose of providing reimbursement to providers to offset a portion of the cost of providing care to Medicaid and indigent patients. These programs are designed with input from CMS and are funded with a combination of state and federal resources, including, in certain instances, fees or taxes levied on the providers. Similar programs are also being considered by other states. We can provide no assurance that reductions to Medicaid fundings will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated results of operations.

Annual Cost Reports. Hospitals participating in the Medicare and some Medicaid programs, whether paid on a reasonable cost basis or under a PPS, are required to meet specified financial reporting requirements. Federal and, where applicable, state regulations require submission of annual cost reports identifying medical costs and expenses associated with the services provided by each hospital to Medicare beneficiaries and Medicaid recipients.

Annual cost reports required under the Medicare and some Medicaid programs are subject to routine governmental audits. These audits may result in adjustments to the amounts ultimately determined to be due to us under these reimbursement programs. Finalization of these audits often takes several years. Providers can appeal any final determination made in connection with an audit. DRG outlier payments have been and continue to be the subject of CMS audit and adjustment. The DHHS OIG is also actively engaged in audits and investigations into alleged abuses of the DRG outlier payment system.

Commercial Insurance and Managed Care Companies. Our hospitals provide services to individuals covered by private healthcare insurance or by health plans administered by managed care companies. These payors pay our hospitals or in some cases reimburse their policyholders based upon the hospital's established charges and the coverage provided in the insurance policy. They try to limit the costs of hospital services by negotiating discounts, including PPS, which would reduce payments by commercial insurers or health plans to our hospitals. Commercial insurers and Managed Care companies also seek to reduce payments to hospitals by establishing payment rules that in effect recharacterize the services ordered by physicians. For example, some payors vigorously review each patient's length of stay in the hospital and recharacterize as outpatient all in-patient stays of less than a particular duration (e.g. 24 hours). Reductions in payments for services provided by our hospitals to individuals covered by these payors could adversely affect us.

Supply Contracts

In March 2005, we began purchasing items, primarily medical supplies, medical equipment and pharmaceuticals, under an agreement with HealthTrust, a GPO in which we are a noncontrolling partner. As of December 31, 2013, we had a 17.9% ownership interest in HealthTrust. By participating in this organization, we are able to procure items at competitively priced rates for our hospitals. There can be no assurance that our arrangement with HealthTrust will continue to provide the discounts that we have historically received.

Competition

The hospital industry is highly competitive. An important part of our business strategy is to continue to acquire hospitals in non-urban markets and selected urban markets. However, other for-profit hospital companies and not-for-profit hospital systems generally attempt to acquire the same type of hospitals as we do. In addition, some hospitals are sold through an auction process, which may result in higher purchase prices than we believe are reasonable.

In addition to the competition we face for acquisitions, we must also compete with other hospitals and healthcare providers for patients. The competition among hospitals and other healthcare providers for patients has intensified in recent years. Our hospitals are located in non-urban and selected urban service areas. Those hospitals in non-urban service areas face no direct competition because there are no other hospitals in their primary service areas. However, these hospitals do face competition from hospitals outside of their primary service area, including hospitals in urban areas that provide more complex services. Patients in those service areas may travel to these other hospitals for a variety of reasons, including the need for services we do not offer or physician referrals. Patients who are required to seek services from these other hospitals may subsequently shift their preferences to those hospitals for services we do provide. Those hospitals in selected urban service areas may face competition from hospitals that are more established than our hospitals. Certain of these competing facilities offer services, including extensive medical research and medical education programs, which are not offered by our facilities. In addition, in certain markets where we operate, there are large teaching hospitals that provide highly specialized facilities, equipment and services that may not be available at our hospitals.

Some of our hospitals operate in primary service areas where they compete with another hospital. Some of these competing hospitals use equipment and services more specialized than those available at our hospitals and/or are owned by tax-supported governmental agencies or not-for-profit entities supported by endowments and charitable contributions. These hospitals do not pay income or property taxes, and can make capital expenditures without paying sales tax. We also face competition from other specialized care providers, including outpatient surgery, orthopedic, oncology and diagnostic centers.

The number and quality of the physicians on a hospital's staff is an important factor in a hospital's competitive position. Physicians decide whether a patient is admitted to the hospital and the procedures to be performed. Admitting physicians may be on the medical staffs of other hospitals in addition to those of our hospitals. We attempt to attract our physicians' patients to our hospitals by offering quality services and facilities, convenient locations and state-of-the-art equipment.

Compliance Program

We take an operations team approach to compliance and utilize corporate experts for program design efforts and facility leaders for employee-level implementation. We believe compliance is another area that demonstrates our utilization of standardization and centralization techniques and initiatives which yield efficiencies and consistency throughout our facilities. We recognize that our compliance with applicable laws and regulations depends on individual employee actions as well as company operations. Our approach focuses on integrating compliance responsibilities with operational functions. This approach is intended to reinforce our company-wide commitment to operate strictly in accordance with the laws and regulations that govern our business.

Our company-wide compliance program has been in place since 1997. Currently, the program's elements include leadership, management and oversight at the highest levels, a Code of Conduct, risk area specific policies and procedures, employee education and training, an internal system for reporting concerns, auditing and monitoring programs and a means for enforcing the program's policies.

Since its initial adoption, the compliance program continues to be expanded and developed to meet the industry's expectations and our needs. Specific written policies, procedures, training and educational materials and programs, as well as auditing and monitoring activities, have been prepared and implemented to address the functional and operational aspects of our business. Included within these functional areas are materials and activities for business sub-units, including laboratory, radiology, pharmacy, emergency, surgery, observation, home care, skilled nursing and clinics. Specific areas identified through regulatory interpretation and enforcement activities have also been addressed in our program. Claims preparation and submission, including coding, billing and cost reports, comprise the bulk of these areas. Financial arrangements with physicians and other referral sources, including compliance with the federal anti-kickback statute and the Stark Law, emergency department treatment and transfer requirements and other patient disposition issues, are also the focus of policy and training, standardized documentation requirements and review and audit. Another focus of the program is the interpretation and implementation of the HIPAA standards for privacy and security.

We have a Code of Conduct which applies to all directors, officers, employees and consultants, and a confidential disclosure program to enhance the statement of ethical responsibility expected of our employees and business associates who work in the accounting, financial reporting and asset management areas of our Company. Our Code of Conduct is posted on our website at www.chs.net/company-overview/code-of-conduct.

Employees

At December 31, 2013, we employed approximately 69,000 full-time employees and 18,000 part-time employees. We have approximately 8,000 employees who are union members. We currently believe that our labor relations are good.

Professional Liability Claims

As part of our business of owning and operating hospitals, we are subject to legal actions alleging liability on our part. To cover claims arising out of the operations of hospitals, we maintain professional malpractice liability insurance and general liability insurance on a claims made basis in excess of those amounts for which we are self-insured, in amounts we believe to be sufficient for our operations. We also maintain umbrella liability coverage for claims which, due to their nature or amount, are not covered by our other insurance policies. However, our insurance coverage does not cover all claims against us or may not continue to be available at a reasonable cost for us to maintain adequate levels of insurance. For a further discussion of our insurance coverage, see our discussion of professional liability claims in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in Item 7 of this Report.

Environmental Matters

We are subject to various federal, state and local laws and regulations governing the use, discharge and disposal of hazardous materials, including medical waste products. Compliance with these laws and regulations is not expected to have a material adverse effect on us. It is possible, however, that environmental issues may arise in the future which we cannot now predict.

We are insured for damages of personal property or environmental injury arising out of environmental impairment for both above ground and underground storage tank issues under one insurance policy for all of our hospitals. Our policy coverage is $5 million per occurrence with a $50,000 deductible and a $20 million annual aggregate. This policy also provides pollution legal liability coverage.

Item 1A. ***Risk Factors***

The following risk factors could materially and adversely affect our future operating results and could cause actual results to differ materially from those predicted in the forward-looking statements we make about our business.

Our level of indebtedness could adversely affect our ability to raise additional capital to fund our operations, limit our ability to react to changes in the economy or our industry and prevent us from meeting our obligations under the agreements relating to our indebtedness.

We are significantly leveraged. Our wholly-owned subsidiary CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., or CHS, has obtained senior secured financing under a credit facility, or Credit Facility, with a syndicate of financial institutions led by Credit Suisse, as administrative agent and collateral agent. The discussion and the table below describe our level of indebtedness and other information as of December 31, 2013. As of December 31, 2013, a $750 million revolving credit facility was available to us for working capital and general corporate purposes under the Credit Facility, with $19.4 million of the revolving credit facility being set aside for outstanding letters of credit. On November 5, 2010, we entered into an amendment and restatement of our existing Credit Facility, which extended by two and a half years, until January 25, 2017 (subject to customary acceleration events), the maturity date of $1.5 billion of our existing term loans under the Credit Facility. In addition, effective February 2, 2012, we completed an additional amendment and restatement of the Credit Facility, which extended by two and a half years the maturity date of an additional $1.6 billion of our term loans due 2014 under the Credit Facility, until January 25, 2017 (subject to customary acceleration events).

On March 6, 2012, we obtained a new $750 million incremental term loan A facility, or the Incremental Term Loan, with a maturity date of October 25, 2016, subject to the terms and conditions set forth in the Credit Agreement. The proceeds of the Incremental Term Loan were used to prepay the same amount of the existing term loans due July 25, 2017 under the Credit Facility. On August 22, 2012, we entered into a loan modification agreement with respect to the Credit Facility to extend approximately $340 million of the term loans due 2014 to match the maturity date and interest rate margins of the term loans due January 25, 2017. The proceeds from the issuance of the 5 1/8% Senior Secured Notes discussed below were used to prepay $1.6 billion of the term loans due 2014.

On August 12, 2013, CHS entered into Amendment No. 3 to the Credit Facility to provide increased flexibility for CHS to incur debt by amending certain terms of the Credit Facility, including the maximum leverage ratio and secured leverage ratio covenant levels. In addition, the amendment includes pricing protection for certain term loans due January 25, 2017, which specifies an increased margin in certain instances. The amendment also provides for a total leverage-based step-up to the applicable margin of the term loans due January 25, 2017 and the term loans due July 25, 2014. The pricing of the loans under the Credit Facility will otherwise remain unchanged. During the year ended December 31, 2013, we paid down $206.5 million of the term loans due 2014. The remaining balance of the non-extended term loans due 2014 at December 31, 2013 of approximately $59.6 million was paid as part of the financing for the HMA merger.

On November 22, 2011, CHS completed its offering of $1.0 billion aggregate principal amount of 8% Senior Notes due 2019, or the 8% Senior Notes, which were issued in a private placement. The net proceeds from this issuance, together with available cash on hand, were used to finance the purchase of up to $1.0 billion aggregate principal amount of CHS' then outstanding 8 7/8% Senior Notes and related fees and expenses. The 8% Senior Notes are unsecured senior obligations of CHS and are guaranteed on a senior basis by us and by certain of our domestic subsidiaries. On March 21, 2012, CHS completed its offering of $1.0 billion aggregate principal amount of additional 8% Senior Notes. The net proceeds from this issuance, together with available cash on hand, were used to finance the purchase of approximately $850 million aggregate principal amount of the then outstanding 8 7/8% Senior Notes, to pay related fees and expenses and for general corporate purposes. On July 18, 2012, CHS completed its offering of $1.2 billion aggregate principal amount of 7 1/8% Senior Notes due 2020, or the 7 1/8% Senior Notes. A portion of the net proceeds from this issuance were used to purchase approximately $639.7 million principal amount (out of the then approximately $934.3 million total aggregate principal amount outstanding) of 8 7/8% Senior Notes that were validly tendered and not validly withdrawn in the cash tender commenced on July 3, 2012, to pay for consents delivered in connection therewith and to pay related fees and expenses. On August 17, 2012, pursuant to our redemption option, we redeemed the remaining $294.6 million principal outstanding of the 8 7/8% Senior Notes. The 8% Senior Notes and the 7 1/8% Senior Notes are its unsecured senior obligations and are guaranteed on a senior basis by us and by certain of our domestic subsidiaries. On August 17, 2012, CHS completed its offering of $1.6 billion aggregate principal amount of 5 1/8% Senior Secured Notes due 2018, or the 5 1/8% Senior Secured Notes. The net proceeds from this issuance, together with available cash on hand, were used to finance the prepayment of $1.6 billion of the then outstanding term loans due 2014 under the Credit Facility and related fees and expenses.

On March 21, 2012, we entered into an accounts receivable loan agreement, or the Receivables Facility, with a group of lenders and banks with a maximum borrowing capacity of $300 million, as amended on March 7, 2013 to $500 million, and with an expiration date of March 21, 2015. The existing and future patient-related accounts receivable for certain of the Company's hospitals serve as collateral for the outstanding borrowings under the Receivables Facility. The outstanding borrowings at December 31, 2013, pursuant to the Receivables Facility totaled $500.0 million.

With the exception of some small principal payments of our term loans under our Credit Facility, approximately $59.6 million of term loans under our Credit Facility mature in 2014, the remaining $3.352 billion in term loans mature in 2017, our 5 1/8% Senior Secured Notes are due in 2018, our 8% Senior Notes are due in 2019 and our 7 1/8% Senior Notes are due 2020. The remaining $637.5 million in term loans under the incremental term loan A facility mature in 2016 and require quarterly amortization payments of 2.5% per quarter during 2013 and 2014, 3.75% per quarter during 2015 and 15% per quarter during 2016 through the maturity date, in each case, subject to customary adjustments for prepayments, with the balance payable in full on the maturity date.

	December 31, 2013 ($ in millions)
Senior secured credit facility term loans	$ 4,050.1
8% Senior Notes	2,020.3
7 1/8% Senior Notes	1,200.0
5 1/8% Senior Secured Notes	1,600.0
Receivables Facility	500.0
Other	83.0
Total debt	$ 9,453.4
Community Health Systems, Inc. stockholders' equity	$ 3,067.8

As of December 31, 2013, our approximately $2.0 billion notional amount of interest rate swap agreements outstanding represented approximately 44% of our variable rate debt. On a prospective basis, a 1% change in interest rates on the remaining unhedged variable rate debt existing as of December 31, 2013, would result in interest expense fluctuating approximately $25.5 million per year.

The Credit Facility and/or the 8% Senior Notes, the 7 1/8% Senior Notes and the 5 1/8% Senior Secured Notes, or collectively known as the Notes, contain various covenants that limit our ability to take certain actions, including our ability to:

- incur, assume or guarantee additional indebtedness,
- issue redeemable stock and preferred stock,
- repurchase capital stock,
- make restricted payments, including paying dividends and making investments,
- redeem debt that is junior in right of payment to the Notes,
- create liens,
- sell or otherwise dispose of assets, including capital stock of subsidiaries,
- enter into agreements that restrict dividends from subsidiaries,
- merge, consolidate, sell or otherwise dispose of substantial portions of our assets,
- enter into transactions with affiliates and
- guarantee certain obligations.

In addition, our Credit Facility contains restrictive covenants and requires us to maintain specified financial ratios and satisfy other financial condition tests. Our ability to meet these restrictive covenants and financial ratios and tests can be affected by events beyond our control, and we cannot assure you that we will meet those tests.

The counterparty to the interest rate swap agreements exposes us to credit risk in the event of non-performance. However, at December 31, 2013, we do not anticipate non-performance by the counterparty due to the net settlement feature of the agreements and our net liability position with respect to most of our counterparties.

A breach of any of these covenants could result in a default under our Credit Facility and/or the Notes. Upon the occurrence of an event of default under our Credit Facility or the Notes, all amounts outstanding under our Credit Facility and the Notes may become immediately due and payable and all commitments under the Credit Facility to extend further credit may be terminated.

Our leverage could have important consequences for you, including the following:

- it may limit our ability to obtain additional debt or equity financing for working capital, capital expenditures, debt service requirements, acquisitions and general corporate or other purposes,
- a substantial portion of our cash flows from operations will be dedicated to the payment of principal and interest on our indebtedness and will not be available for other purposes, including our operations, capital expenditures and future business opportunities,
- the debt service requirements of our indebtedness could make it more difficult for us to satisfy our financial obligations,
- some of our borrowings, including borrowings under our Credit Facility, are at variable rates of interest, exposing us to the risk of increased interest rates,
- it may limit our ability to adjust to changing market conditions and place us at a competitive disadvantage compared to our competitors that have less debt and
- we may be vulnerable in a downturn in general economic conditions or in our business, or we may be unable to carry out capital spending that is important to our growth.

The ratio of earnings to fixed charges is a measure of our ability to meet our fixed obligations related to our indebtedness. The following table shows the ratio of earnings to fixed charges for the periods indicated:

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges (1)	1.60 x	1.69 x	1.61 x	1.66 x	1.45 x

(1) Fixed charges include interest expensed and capitalized during the year plus an estimate of the interest component of rent expense. There are no shares of preferred stock outstanding. See exhibit 12 filed as part of this Report for the calculation of this ratio.

Despite current indebtedness levels, we may be able to incur substantially more debt. This could further exacerbate the risks described above.

We may be able to incur substantial additional indebtedness in the future. The terms of the indentures governing the Notes do not fully prohibit us from doing so. For example, under the indentures for the 8% Senior Notes, the 7 1/8% Senior Notes and the 5 1/8% Senior Secured Notes, as of December 31, 2013, we may incur up to approximately $4.8 billion pursuant to a credit facility and $500 million for a qualified receivables transaction, less certain amounts repaid with the proceeds of asset dispositions. As of December 31, 2013, our Credit Facility and Receivables Facility provided for commitments of up to approximately $5.3 billion in the aggregate. Additionally, as of December 31, 2013, our Credit Facility also gave us the ability to provide for one or more additional tranches of term loans in the aggregate principal amount of up to $1.0 billion without the consent of the existing lenders if specified criteria are satisfied. If new debt is added to our current debt levels, the related risks that we now face could be further exacerbated.

Failure to achieve expected benefits of the HMA merger and to integrate HMA's operations with ours could adversely affect us and the market price of our common stock.

Although we expect to realize strategic, operational and financial benefits as a result of the HMA merger, we cannot be certain whether, and to what extent, such benefits will be achieved in the future. In particular, the success of the merger will depend on achieving efficiencies and cost savings, and no assurances can be given that we will be able to do so. For example, costs associated with HMA's legal proceedings and other loss contingencies may be greater than expected, and could exceed the amount of any reduction in payment under the CVRs issued in the HMA merger to HMA stockholders. In addition, in order to obtain the benefits of the merger, we must integrate HMA's operations. Such integration may be complex and the failure to do so quickly and effectively may negatively affect earnings.

In addition, the market price of our common stock may decline as a result of the merger if our integration of HMA is unsuccessful, takes longer than expected or fails to achieve financial benefits to the extent anticipated by financial analysts or investors, or the effect of the merger on our financial results is otherwise not consistent with the expectations of financial analysts or investors.

HMA is the subject of legal proceedings that, if resolved unfavorably, could have an adverse effect on us, and HMA may be subject to other loss contingencies, both known and unknown.

HMA is a party to various ongoing government investigations, legal proceedings and other related matters. Those proceedings include, among other things, government investigations. In addition, HMA is and may become subject to other loss contingencies, both known and unknown, which may relate to past, present and future facts, events, circumstances and occurrences. Should an unfavorable outcome occur in some or all of HMA's legal proceedings or other loss contingencies, or if successful claims and other actions are brought against HMA in the future, there could be a material adverse impact on our financial position, results of operations and liquidity following the merger and our ability to achieve expected benefits of the merger.

In particular, government investigations, as well as qui tam lawsuits, may lead to material fines, penalties, damages payments or other sanctions, including exclusion from government healthcare programs. The costs relating to these matters could exceed the amount of any reduction in payment under the CVRs. Settlements of lawsuits involving Medicare and Medicaid issues routinely require both monetary payments and corporate integrity agreements, each of which could have a materially adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and/or cash flows.

We incurred substantial additional indebtedness in connection with the HMA merger.

In connection with the HMA merger, we entered into financing arrangements. We used this financing to pay, in part, the cash portion of the merger consideration and a portion of the fees and expenses related to the merger, which included the repayment of certain outstanding indebtedness of HMA. This additional indebtedness of ours may limit our operating flexibility and may otherwise strain our liquidity and financial condition.

As a result of the HMA merger, our goodwill, indefinite-lived intangible assets, and other intangible assets in our consolidated balance sheet increased. If our goodwill, indefinite-lived intangible assets, or other intangible assets become impaired in the future, we would be required to record a material, non-cash charge to earnings, which would also reduce our stockholders' equity.

Under U.S. GAAP, goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets are reviewed for impairment on an annual basis (or more frequently if events or circumstances indicate that their carrying value may not be recoverable) and other intangible assets if events or circumstances indicate that their carrying value may not be recoverable. If our goodwill, indefinite-lived intangible assets, or other intangible assets are determined to be impaired in the future, we will be required to record a material, non-cash charge to earnings during the period in which the impairment is determined.

We are required to file 2013 audited financial statements for HMA with the SEC.

The audited financial statements of HMA as of and for the year ended December 31, 2013, are required to be filed by us with the SEC within 71 days after the acquisition was required to be reported on a Current Report on Form 8-K. If we are unable to complete and file the audited financial statements within the required timeframe we could be out of compliance with the SEC's timely filer status, potentially limiting our ability to file future registration statements or make public offerings of debt or equity securities.

If competition decreases our ability to acquire additional hospitals on favorable terms, we may be unable to execute our acquisition strategy.

An important part of our business strategy is to acquire two to four hospitals each year. However, not-for-profit hospital systems and other for-profit hospital companies generally attempt to acquire the same type of hospital as we do. Some of these other purchasers have greater financial resources than us. Our principal competitors for acquisitions have included HMA and LifePoint Hospitals, Inc. On some occasions, we also compete with HCA Holdings, Inc., Universal Health Services, Inc., other non-public, for-profit hospitals and local market hospitals. In addition, some hospitals are sold through an auction process, which may result in higher purchase prices than we believe are reasonable. Therefore, we may not be able to acquire additional hospitals on terms favorable to us.

If we fail to improve the operations of acquired hospitals, we may be unable to achieve our growth strategy.

Many of the hospitals we have acquired had, or future acquisitions may have, significantly lower operating margins than we do and/or operating losses prior to the time we acquired or will acquire them. In the past, we have occasionally experienced temporary delays in improving the operating margins or effectively integrating the operations of these acquired hospitals. In the future, if we are unable to improve the operating margins of acquired hospitals, operate them profitably, or effectively integrate their operations, we may be unable to achieve our growth strategy.

If we acquire hospitals with unknown or contingent liabilities, we could become liable for material obligations.

Hospitals that we acquire may have unknown or contingent liabilities, including liabilities for failure to comply with healthcare laws and regulations. Although we generally seek indemnification from prospective sellers covering these matters, we may nevertheless have material liabilities for past activities of acquired hospitals.

State efforts to regulate the construction, acquisition or expansion of hospitals could prevent us from acquiring additional hospitals, renovating our facilities or expanding the breadth of services we offer.

Some states require prior approval for the construction or acquisition of healthcare facilities and for the expansion of healthcare facilities and services. In giving approval, these states consider the need for additional or expanded healthcare facilities or services. In some states in which we operate, we are required to obtain CONs for capital expenditures exceeding a prescribed amount, changes in bed capacity or services and some other matters. Other states may adopt similar legislation. We may not be able to obtain the required CONs or other prior approvals for additional or expanded facilities in the future. In addition, at the time we acquire a hospital, we may agree to replace or expand the facility we are acquiring. If we are not able to obtain required prior approvals, we would not be able to replace or expand the facility and expand the breadth of services we offer. Furthermore, if a CON or other prior approval, upon which we relied to invest in construction of a replacement or expanded facility, were to be revoked or lost through an appeal process, then we may not be able to recover the value of our investment.

State efforts to regulate the sale of hospitals operated by not-for-profit entities could prevent us from acquiring additional hospitals and executing our business strategy.

Many states, including some where we have hospitals and others where we may in the future acquire hospitals, have adopted legislation regarding the sale or other disposition of hospitals operated by not-for-profit entities. In other states that do not have specific legislation, the attorneys general have demonstrated an interest in these transactions under their general obligations to protect the use of charitable assets. These legislative and administrative efforts focus primarily on the appropriate valuation of the assets divested and the use of the proceeds of the sale by the non-profit seller. While these review and, in some instances, approval processes can add additional time to the closing of a hospital acquisition, we have not had any significant difficulties or delays in completing acquisitions. However, future actions on the state level could seriously delay or even prevent our ability to acquire hospitals.

If we are unable to effectively compete for patients, local residents could use other hospitals.

The hospital industry is highly competitive. In addition to the competition we face for acquisitions and physicians, we must also compete with other hospitals and healthcare providers for patients. The competition among hospitals and other healthcare providers for patients has intensified in recent years. The majority of our hospitals are located in non-urban service areas. In nearly 60% of our markets, we are the sole provider of general acute care health services. In most of our other markets, the primary competitor is a not-for-profit hospital. These not-for-profit hospitals generally differ in each jurisdiction. However, our hospitals face competition from hospitals outside of their primary service area, including hospitals in urban areas that provide more complex services. Patients in our primary service areas may travel to these other hospitals for a variety of reasons. These reasons include physician referrals or the need for services we do not offer. Patients who seek services from these other hospitals may subsequently shift their preferences to those hospitals for the services we provide.

Some of our hospitals operate in primary service areas where they compete with one other hospital; 33 of our hospitals competed with more than one other hospital in their respective primary service areas as of December 31, 2013. Some of these competing hospitals use equipment and services more specialized than those available at our hospitals. In addition, some competing hospitals are owned by tax-supported governmental agencies or not-for-profit entities supported by endowments and charitable contributions. These hospitals do not pay income or property taxes, and can make capital expenditures without paying sales tax. We also face competition from other specialized care providers, including outpatient surgery, orthopedic, oncology and diagnostic centers.

We expect that these competitive trends will continue. Our inability to compete effectively with other hospitals and other healthcare providers could cause local residents to use other hospitals.

The failure to obtain our medical supplies at favorable prices could cause our operating results to decline.

We have a participation agreement with HealthTrust, a GPO. This agreement extends to January 2015, with automatic renewal terms of one year, unless either party terminates by giving notice of non-renewal. GPOs attempt to obtain favorable pricing on medical supplies with manufacturers and vendors who sometimes negotiate exclusive supply arrangements in exchange for the discounts they give. To the extent these exclusive supply arrangements are challenged or deemed unenforceable, we could incur higher costs for our medical supplies obtained through HealthTrust. These higher costs could cause our operating results to decline.

There can be no assurance that our arrangement with HealthTrust will provide the discounts we expect to achieve.

If the fair value of our reporting units declines, a material non-cash charge to earnings from impairment of our goodwill could result.

At December 31, 2013, we had approximately $4.4 billion of goodwill recorded on our books. We expect to recover the carrying value of this goodwill through our future cash flows. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate, based on the fair value of our reporting units, whether the carrying value of our goodwill is impaired. If the carrying value of our goodwill is impaired, we may incur a material non-cash charge to earnings.

A significant decline in operating results or other indicators of impairment at one or more of our facilities could result in a material, non-cash charge to earnings to impair the value of long-lived assets.

Our operations are capital intensive and require significant investment in long-lived assets, such as property, equipment and other long-lived intangible assets, including capitalized internal-use software. If one of our facilities experiences declining operating results or is adversely impacted by one or more of these risk factors, we may not be able to recover the carrying value of those assets through our future operating cash flows. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate whether changes in future undiscounted cash flows reflect an impairment in the fair value of our long-lived assets. If the carrying value of those assets is impaired, we may incur a material non-cash charge to earnings.

Risks related to our industry

We are subject to uncertainties regarding healthcare reform.

In recent years, Congress and some state legislatures have introduced an increasing number of proposals to make major changes in the healthcare system, including an increased emphasis on the linkage between quality of care criteria and payment levels such as the submission of patient quality data to the Secretary of Health and Human Services. In addition, CMS conducts ongoing reviews of certain state reimbursement programs.

ARRA was signed into law on February 17, 2009, providing for a temporary increase in the federal matching assistance percentage (FMAP), a temporary increase in federal Medicaid DSH allotments, subsidization of health insurance premiums (COBRA) for up to nine months and grants and loans for infrastructure and incentive payments for providers who adopt and use health information technology. This act also provides penalties by reducing reimbursement from Medicare in the form of reductions to scheduled market basket increases beginning in federal fiscal year 2015 if eligible hospitals and professionals fail to demonstrate meaningful use of electronic health record technology.

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, or PPACA, was signed into law on March 23, 2010. In addition, the Health Care and Education Affordability Reconciliation Act of 2010, or Reconciliation Act, which contains a number of amendments to PPACA, was signed into law on March 30, 2010. These two healthcare acts, referred to collectively as the Reform Legislation, include a mandate that requires substantially all U.S. citizens to maintain medical insurance coverage, which will ultimately increase the number of persons with access to health insurance in the United States. The Reform Legislation, as originally enacted, is expected to expand health insurance coverage through a combination of public program expansion and private sector health insurance reforms. We believe the expansion of private sector and Medicaid coverage will, over time, increase our reimbursement related to providing services to individuals who were previously uninsured, which should reduce our expense from uncollectible accounts receivable. The Reform Legislation also makes a number of other changes to Medicare and Medicaid, such as reductions to the Medicare annual market basket update for federal fiscal years 2010 through 2019, a productivity offset to the Medicare market basket update which began October 1, 2011, and a reduction to the Medicare and Medicaid disproportionate share payments, that could adversely impact the reimbursement received under these programs. The various provisions in the Reform Legislation that directly or indirectly affect reimbursement are scheduled to take effect over a number of years. Over time, we believe the net impact of the overall changes as a result of the Reform Legislation will have a positive effect on our net operating revenues. Other provisions of the Reform Legislation, such as requirements related to employee health insurance coverage, should increase our operating costs.

Starting in 2014, the Reform Legislation may result in an increase in the number of patients using our facilities who have health insurance coverage. The Congressional Budget Office, or CBO, anticipates that, as a result of the Reform Legislation, millions of uninsured Americans across the nation could gain coverage through health insurance exchanges and Medicaid expansion. Based on CBO projections as issued on May 14, 2013, and July 30, 2013, the incremental insurance coverage due to the Reform Legislation could result in 13 million and 25 million formerly uninsured Americans gaining coverage by the end of 2014 and 2016, respectively. The CBO projects, by the end of 2016, a 45% reduction in the number of nonelderly Americans who remain uninsured due to the effects on insurance coverage from the Reform Legislation. The 29 states in which we operate hospitals include nine of the 10 states with the highest percentage of nonelderly uninsured people from among the state's nonelderly population. More broadly, the 29 states in which we operate hospitals include 26 of the 30 states with the highest percentage of nonelderly uninsured people from among the state's nonelderly population.

We have healthcare reform outreach efforts underway in select markets. Such efforts include the expanded use of eligibility screening services, select facility designations as Certified Application Counselor Organizations, and approximately 400 volunteers and staff members trained and designated as Certified Application Counselors, or CACs. These CACs will assist people in understanding and, if appropriate, enrolling in new coverage options, including, but not limited to Qualified Health Plans, or QHPs, on the health insurance exchange or marketplace, Medicaid Expansion, the Children's Health Insurance Program, and the Medicaid program for those eligible but not yet enrolled.

Our hospitals are well positioned to participate in the provider networks of various QHPs offering plan options on the health insurance exchanges. As of December 31, 2013, 134 of 135 of our hospitals participated in a health insurance exchange agreement, 95% of our hospitals possessed two or more contracts, 90% of our hospitals had a contract with the first or second lowest cost bronze plans (QHPs with a 60% actuarial value), and 92% of our hospitals had a contract with the first or second lowest cost silver plans (QHPs with a 70% actuarial value). Most of our exchange reimbursement arrangements reflect a slight discount to that of commercial rates.

Also included in the Reform Legislation are provisions aimed at reducing fraud, waste and abuse in the healthcare industry. These provisions allocate significant additional resources to federal enforcement agencies and expand the use of private contractors to recover potentially inappropriate Medicare and Medicaid payments. The Reform Legislation amends several existing federal laws, including the Medicare Anti-Kickback Statute and the False Claims Act, making it easier for government agencies and private plaintiffs to prevail in lawsuits brought against healthcare providers. These amendments also make it easier for potentially severe fines and penalties to be imposed on healthcare providers accused of violating applicable laws and regulations.

On June 28, 2012, the Supreme Court of the United States largely upheld the constitutionality of the Reform Legislation, though it overturned an aspect of the legislation that would have permitted the Federal government to withhold all Medicaid funding from a state if that state did not expand Medicaid coverage to the extent required by the Reform Legislation. The Supreme Court's ruling instead held that only new incremental funding could be withheld from a state in such a situation. As a result, states will face less severe financial consequences if they refuse to expand Medicaid coverage to individuals with incomes below certain thresholds. Since the Supreme Court's ruling, some states have suggested that, for budgetary and other reasons, they would not expand their Medicaid programs. If states refuse to expand their Medicaid programs, the number of uninsured patients at our hospitals will decline by a smaller margin as compared to our expectations when the Reform Legislation was first adopted. In response to the Supreme Court ruling, the previous estimates of the reduction in uninsured individuals as a result of the Reform Legislation have been revised, with approximately 25 million additional individuals expected to have health insurance coverage by 2016. Of the 29 states in which we operate hospitals, 12 states are expanding their Medicaid programs. At this time, the other 17 states are not expanding Medicaid coverage. Indiana, Pennsylvania and Texas, where we operated a significant number of hospitals as of December 31, 2013, are three of the states that are not expanding Medicaid coverage. After giving effect to the HMA merger, we will also operate a significant number of hospitals in Florida and Tennessee, which also have not expanded Medicaid coverage. In addition, three of the states that are not expanding Medicaid, including Pennsylvania, are evaluating options such as waiver plans to operate an alternative Medicaid expansion plan.

Because of the many variables involved, including clarifications and modifications resulting from the rule-making process, the development of agency guidance and future judicial interpretations, whether and how many states decide to expand or not to expand Medicaid coverage, the number of uninsured who elect to purchase health insurance coverage, budgetary issues at federal and state levels, and the potential for delays in the implementation of some of the provisions of the Reform Legislation, we may not be able to realize the positive impact the Reform Legislation may have on our business, results of operations, cash flow, capital resources and liquidity. Furthermore, we cannot predict whether we will be able to modify certain aspects of our operations to offset any potential adverse consequences from the Reform Legislation.

In a number of markets, we have partnered with local physicians in the ownership of our facilities. Such investments have been permitted under an exception to the physician self-referral law, or Stark Law, that allows physicians to invest in an entire hospital (as opposed to individual hospital departments). The Reform Legislation changes the "whole hospital" exception to the Stark Law. The Reform Legislation permits existing physician investments in a whole hospital to continue under a "grandfather" clause if the arrangement satisfies certain requirements and restrictions, but physicians are now prohibited, from the time the Reform Legislation became effective, from increasing the aggregate percentage of their ownership in the hospital. The Reform Legislation also restricts the ability of existing physician-owned hospitals to expand the capacity of their facilities.

If federal or state healthcare programs or managed care companies reduce the payments we receive as reimbursement for services we provide, our net operating revenues may decline.

In 2013, 34.6% of our operating revenues, net of contractual allowances and discounts (but before the provision for bad debts), came from the Medicare and Medicaid programs. Federal healthcare expenditures continue to increase and state governments continue to face budgetary shortfalls as a result of the current economic downturn and accelerating Medicaid enrollment. As a result, federal and state governments have made, and continue to make, significant changes in the Medicare and Medicaid programs. Some of these changes have decreased, or could decrease, the amount of money we receive for our services relating to these programs.

In addition, insurance and managed care companies and other third parties from whom we receive payment for our services increasingly are attempting to control healthcare costs by requiring that hospitals discount payments for their services in exchange for exclusive or preferred participation in their benefit plans. We believe that this trend may continue and our inability to negotiate increased reimbursement rates or maintain existing rates may reduce the payments we receive for our services.

If we fail to comply with extensive laws and government regulations, including fraud and abuse laws, we could suffer penalties or be required to make significant changes to our operations.

The healthcare industry is required to comply with many laws and regulations at the federal, state and local government levels. These laws and regulations require that hospitals meet various requirements, including those relating to the adequacy of medical care, equipment, personnel, operating policies and procedures, maintenance of adequate records, compliance with building codes, environmental protection and privacy. These laws include, in part, the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 and a section of the Social Security Act, known as the "anti-kickback" statute. If we fail to comply with applicable laws and regulations, including fraud and abuse laws, we could suffer civil or criminal penalties, including the loss of our licenses to operate and our ability to participate in the Medicare, Medicaid and other federal and state healthcare programs.

In addition, there are heightened coordinated civil and criminal enforcement efforts by both federal and state government agencies relating to the healthcare industry, including the hospital segment. Recent enforcement actions have focused on financial arrangements between hospitals and physicians, billing for services without adequately documenting the medical necessity for such services and billing for services outside the coverage guidelines for such services. Specific to our hospitals, we have received inquiries and subpoenas from various governmental agencies regarding these and other matters, and we are also subject to various claims and lawsuits relating to such matters. For a further discussion of these matters, see "Legal Proceedings" in Item 3 of this Report.

In the future, different interpretations or enforcement of these laws and regulations could subject our current practices to allegations of impropriety or illegality or could require us to make changes in our facilities, equipment, personnel, services, capital expenditure programs and operating expenses.

If we become subject to significant legal actions, we could be subject to substantial uninsured liabilities or increased insurance costs.

In recent years, physicians, hospitals and other healthcare providers have become subject to an increasing number of legal actions alleging malpractice, product liability, or related legal theories. Even in states that have imposed caps on damages, litigants are seeking recoveries under new theories of liability that might not be subject to the caps on damages. Many of these actions involve large claims and significant defense costs. To protect us from the cost of these claims, we maintain claims made professional malpractice liability insurance and general liability insurance coverage in excess of those amounts for which we are self-insured. This insurance coverage is in amounts that we believe to be sufficient for our operations. However, our insurance coverage does not cover all claims against us or may not continue to be available at a reasonable cost for us to maintain adequate levels of insurance. As a percentage of net operating revenues, our expense related to malpractice and other professional liability claims, including the cost of excess insurance, decreased by 0.2% in 2013, was relatively unchanged in 2012, and decreased by 0.2% in 2011. If these costs rise rapidly, our profitability could decline. For a further discussion of our insurance coverage, see our discussion of professional liability claims in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in Item 7 of this Report.

If we experience growth in self-pay volume and revenues, our financial condition or results of operations could be adversely affected.

Like others in the hospital industry, we have experienced an increase in our provision for bad debts as a percentage of net operating revenues due to a growth in self-pay volume and revenues. Although we continue to seek ways of improving point of service collection efforts and implementing appropriate payment plans with our patients, if we experience growth in self-pay volume and revenues, our results of operations could be adversely affected. Further, our ability to improve collections for self-pay patients may be limited by statutory, regulatory and investigatory initiatives, including private lawsuits directed at hospital charges and collection practices for uninsured and underinsured patients.

Currently, the global economies, and in particular the United States, are experiencing a period of economic uncertainty and the related financial markets are experiencing a high degree of volatility. This current financial turmoil is adversely affecting the banking system and financial markets and resulting in a tightening in the credit markets, a low level of liquidity in many financial markets and extreme volatility in fixed income, credit, currency and equity markets. This uncertainty poses a risk as it could potentially lead to higher levels of uninsured patients, result in higher levels of patients covered by lower paying government programs and/or result in fiscal uncertainties at both government payors and private insurers.

If there are delays in regulatory updates by governmental entities to federal and state healthcare programs, we may experience increased volatility in our operating results as such delays may result in a timing difference between when such program revenues are earned and when they become known or estimable for purposes of accounting recognition.

We derive a significant amount of our net operating revenues from governmental healthcare programs, primarily from the Medicare and Medicaid programs. The reimbursements due to us from those programs are subject to legislative and regulatory changes that can have a significant impact on our operating results. When delays occur in the passage of regulations or legislation, there is the potential for material increases or decreases in operating revenues to be recognized in periods subsequent to when such related services were performed, resulting in the potential for a material effect on our consolidated financial position and consolidated results of operations.

If our implementation of electronic health record systems is not effective or exceeds our budget and timeline, our consolidated results of operations could be adversely affected.

ARRA created an incentive payment program for eligible hospitals and healthcare professionals to adopt and meaningfully use certified electronic health records, or EHR, technology. The implementation of EHR that meets the meaningful use criteria requires a significant capital investment, and our current plan to implement EHR anticipates maximizing the incentive payment program created by ARRA. If our hospitals and employed professionals are unable to meet the requirements for participation in the incentive payment program, we will not be eligible to receive incentive payments that could offset some of the costs of implementing EHR systems. As additional incentive, beginning in federal fiscal year 2015, if eligible hospitals and professionals fail to demonstrate meaningful use of certified EHR technology, they will be penalized with reduced reimbursement from Medicare in the form of reductions to scheduled market basket increases. If we fail to implement EHR systems effectively and in a timely manner, there could be a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position and consolidated results of operations.

If our development and implementation of information systems to comply with ICD-10 coding is not effective or is not implemented timely, our consolidated results of operations could be adversely affected.

All healthcare providers covered by HIPAA, including our hospitals, are required to transition by October 1, 2014 to the ICD-10 code set used to report medical diagnoses and inpatient procedures. ICD-10 significantly expands the number of and detail in the codes used to bill providers for inpatient services. We are in the process of transitioning all of our hospitals to the ICD-10 coding system, which involves a significant amount of capital investment in technology and coding of our information systems, as well as significant costs for training of hospital staff involved with coding and billing. In addition to these costs for transition to ICD-10, if our hospitals are not able to meet the deadline for transition, or if there is difficulty in transitioning our coding and billing processes to this significantly more detailed code set, we could experience a material adverse effect on our operations and our consolidated results of operations.

This Report includes forward-looking statements which could differ from actual future results.

Some of the matters discussed in this Report include forward-looking statements. Statements that are predictive in nature, that depend upon or refer to future events or conditions or that include words such as "expects," "anticipates," "intends," "plans," "believes," "estimates," "thinks," and similar expressions are forward-looking statements. These statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results and performance to be materially different from any future results or performance expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. These factors include the following:

- general economic and business conditions, both nationally and in the regions in which we operate,
- implementation and effect of adopted and potential federal and state healthcare legislation,
- risks associated with our substantial indebtedness, leverage and debt service obligations,
- demographic changes,
- changes in, or the failure to comply with, governmental regulations,
- potential adverse impact of known and unknown government investigations, audits, and Federal and State False Claims Act litigation and other legal proceedings,
- our ability, where appropriate, to enter into and maintain managed care provider arrangements and the terms of these arrangements,
- changes in, or the failure to comply with, managed care provider contracts, which could result in, among other things, disputes and changes in reimbursements, both prospectively and retroactively,
- changes in inpatient or outpatient Medicare and Medicaid payment levels,
- increases in the amount and risk of collectability of patient accounts receivable,
- increases in wages as a result of inflation or competition for highly technical positions and rising supply costs due to market pressure from pharmaceutical companies and new product releases,

- liabilities and other claims asserted against us, including self-insured malpractice claims,
- competition,
- our ability to attract and retain, at reasonable employment costs, qualified personnel, key management, physicians, nurses and other healthcare workers,
- trends toward treatment of patients in less acute or specialty healthcare settings, including ambulatory surgery centers or specialty hospitals,
- changes in medical or other technology,
- changes in U.S. GAAP,
- the availability and terms of capital to fund additional acquisitions or replacement facilities,
- our ability to successfully make acquisitions or complete divestitures,
- our ability to successfully integrate any acquired hospitals, including those of HMA, or to recognize expected synergies from acquisitions,
- the impact of the acquisition of HMA on third-party relationships,
- our ability to obtain adequate levels of general and professional liability insurance and
- timeliness of reimbursement payments received under government programs.

Although we believe that these statements are based upon reasonable assumptions, we can give no assurance that our goals will be achieved. Given these uncertainties, prospective investors are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are made as of the date of this filing. We assume no obligation to update or revise them or provide reasons why actual results may differ.

Item 1B. ***Unresolved Staff Comments***

None

Item 2. ***Properties***

Corporate Headquarters

We own our corporate headquarters building located in Franklin, Tennessee.

Hospitals

Our hospitals are general care hospitals offering a wide range of inpatient and outpatient medical services. These services generally include general acute care, emergency room, general and specialty surgery, critical care, internal medicine, obstetrics, diagnostic, psychiatric and rehabilitation services. In addition, some of our hospitals provide skilled nursing and home care services based on individual community needs.

For each of our hospitals owned or leased as of December 31, 2013, the following table shows its location, the date of its acquisition or lease inception and the number of licensed beds:

Hospital	City	Licensed Beds(1)	Date of Acquisition/Lease Inception	Ownership Type
Alabama				
LV Stabler Memorial Hospital	Greenville	72	October, 1994	Owned
South Baldwin Regional Medical Center	Foley	112	June, 2000	Leased
Cherokee Medical Center	Centre	60	April, 2006	Owned
Dekalb Regional Medical Center	Fort Payne	134	April, 2006	Owned
Trinity Medical Center	Birmingham	534	July, 2007	Owned
Flowers Hospital	Dothan	235	July, 2007	Owned
Medical Center Enterprise	Enterprise	131	July, 2007	Owned
Gadsden Regional Medical Center	Gadsden	346	July, 2007	Owned
Crestwood Medical Center	Huntsville	150	July, 2007	Owned
Alaska				
Mat-Su Regional Medical Center	Palmer	74	July, 2007	Owned
Arizona				
Payson Regional Medical Center	Payson	44	August, 1997	Leased
Western Arizona Regional Medical Center	Bullhead City	139	July, 2000	Owned
Northwest Medical Center	Tucson	300	July, 2007	Owned
Northwest Medical Center Oro Valley	Oro Valley	144	July, 2007	Owned
Arkansas				
Harris Hospital	Newport	133	October, 1994	Owned
Helena Regional Medical Center	Helena	155	March, 2002	Leased
Forrest City Medical Center	Forrest City	118	March, 2006	Leased
Northwest Health System				
Northwest Medical Center - Bentonville	Bentonville	128	July, 2007	Owned
Northwest Medical Center - Springdale	Springdale	222	July, 2007	Owned
Northwest Medical Center - Willow Creek Women's Hospital	Johnson	64	July, 2007	Owned
Siloam Springs Regional Hospital	Siloam Springs	73	February, 2009	Owned
Medical Center of South Arkansas	El Dorado	166	April, 2009	Leased
California				
Barstow Community Hospital	Barstow	30	January, 1993	Owned
Fallbrook Hospital	Fallbrook	47	November, 1998	Operated (2)
Watsonville Community Hospital	Watsonville	106	September, 1998	Owned
Florida				
Lake Wales Medical Center	Lake Wales	160	December, 2002	Owned
North Okaloosa Medical Center	Crestview	110	March, 1996	Owned

Hospital	City	Licensed Beds(1)	Date of Acquisition/Lease Inception	Ownership Type
Georgia				
Fannin Regional Hospital	Blue Ridge	50	January, 1986	Owned
Trinity Hospital of Augusta	Augusta	231	July, 2007	Leased
Illinois				
Crossroads Community Hospital	Mt. Vernon	57	October, 1994	Owned
Gateway Regional Medical Center	Granite City	367	January, 2002	Owned
Heartland Regional Medical Center	Marion	98	October, 1996	Owned
Red Bud Regional Hospital	Red Bud	31	September, 2001	Owned
Galesburg Cottage Hospital	Galesburg	173	July, 2004	Owned
MetroSouth Medical Center	Blue Island	330	March, 2012	Owned
Vista Medical Center East	Waukegan	228	July, 2006	Owned
Vista Medical Center West (psychiatric and rehabilitation beds)	Waukegan	71	July, 2006	Owned
Union County Hospital	Anna	25	November, 2006	Leased
Indiana				
Porter Hospital	Valparaiso	301	May, 2007	Owned
Lutheran Health Network				
Bluffton Regional Medical Center	Bluffton	79	July, 2007	Owned
Dupont Hospital	Fort Wayne	131	July, 2007	Owned
Lutheran Hospital	Fort Wayne	396	July, 2007	Owned
Lutheran Musculoskeletal Center	Fort Wayne	39	July, 2007	Owned
Lutheran Rehabilitation Hospital (rehabilitation)	Fort Wayne	36	July, 2007	Owned
St. Joseph's Hospital	Fort Wayne	191	July, 2007	Owned
Dukes Memorial Hospital	Peru	25	July, 2007	Owned
Kosciusko Community Hospital	Warsaw	72	July, 2007	Owned
Kentucky				
Parkway Regional Hospital	Fulton	70	May, 1992	Owned
Three Rivers Medical Center	Louisa	90	May, 1993	Owned
Kentucky River Medical Center	Jackson	55	August, 1995	Leased
Louisiana				
Byrd Regional Hospital	Leesville	60	October, 1994	Owned
Northern Louisiana Medical Center	Ruston	159	April, 2007	Owned
Women & Children's Hospital	Lake Charles	88	July, 2007	Owned
Mississippi				
Wesley Medical Center	Hattiesburg	211	July, 2007	Owned
River Region Health System	Vicksburg	341	July, 2007	Owned

Hospital	City	Licensed Beds(1)	Date of Acquisition/Lease Inception	Ownership Type
Missouri				
Moberly Regional Medical Center	Moberly	101	November, 1993	Owned
Northeast Regional Medical Center	Kirksville	115	December, 2000	Leased
Nevada				
Mesa View Regional Hospital	Mesquite	25	July, 2007	Owned
New Jersey				
Memorial Hospital of Salem County	Salem	140	September, 2002	Owned
New Mexico				
Mimbres Memorial Hospital	Deming	25	March, 1996	Owned
Eastern New Mexico Medical Center	Roswell	162	April, 1998	Owned
Alta Vista Regional Hospital	Las Vegas	54	April, 2000	Owned
Carlsbad Medical Center	Carlsbad	115	July, 2007	Owned
Lea Regional Medical Center	Hobbs	202	July, 2007	Owned
Mountain View Regional Medical Center	Las Cruces	168	July, 2007	Owned
North Carolina				
Martin General Hospital	Williamston	49	November, 1998	Leased
Ohio				
Affinity Medical Center	Massillon	156	July, 2007	Owned
Valleycare System of Ohio				
Northside Medical Center	Youngstown	355	October, 2010	Owned
Trumbull Memorial Hospital	Warren	311	October, 2010	Owned
Hillside Rehabilitation Hospital (rehabilitation)	Warren	69	October, 2010	Owned
Oklahoma				
Ponca City Medical Center	Ponca City	140	May, 2006	Owned
Deaconess Hospital	Oklahoma City	291	July, 2007	Owned
Woodward Regional Hospital	Woodward	87	July, 2007	Leased
Oregon				
McKenzie-Willamette Medical Center	Springfield	113	July, 2007	Owned
Pennsylvania				
Commonwealth Health Network				
Berwick Hospital	Berwick	101	March, 1999	Owned
Wilkes-Barre General Hospital	Wilkes-Barre	412	April, 2009	Owned
First Hospital Wyoming Valley (psychiatric)	Wilkes-Barre	155	April, 2009	Owned
Regional Hospital of Scranton	Scranton	230	May, 2011	Owned
Special Care Hospital	Nanticoke	67	May, 2011	Leased
Tyler Memorial Hospital	Tunkhannock	48	May, 2011	Owned
Moses Taylor Hospital	Scranton	217	January, 2012	Owned
Mid-Valley Hospital	Peckville	25	January, 2012	Owned

Hospital	City	Licensed Beds(1)	Date of Acquisition/Lease Inception	Ownership Type
Brandywine Hospital	Coatesville	169	June, 2001	Owned
Chestnut Hill Hospital	Philadelphia	135	February, 2005	Owned
Easton Hospital	Easton	238	October, 2001	Owned
Jennersville Regional Hospital	West Grove	63	Oetober, 2001	Owned
Lock Haven Hospital	Lock Haven	47	August, 2002	Owned
Pottstown Memorial Medical Center	Pottstown	224	July, 2003	Owned
Phoenixville Hospital	Phoenixville	151	August, 2004	Owned
Sunbury Community Hospital	Sunbury	89	October, 2005	Owned
Memorial Hospital	York	100	July, 2012	Owned
South Carolina				
Marlboro Park Hospital	Bennettsville	102	August, 1996	Leased
Chesterfield General Hospital	Cheraw	59	August, 1996	Leased
Springs Memorial Hospital	Lancaster	231	November, 1994	Owned
Mary Black Memorial Hospital	Spartanburg	207	July, 2007	Owned
Carolinas Hospital System — Florence	Florence	420	July, 2007	Owned
Carolinas Hospital System - Marion	Mullins	124	July, 2010	Owned
Tennessee				
Lakeway Regional Hospital	Morristown	135	May, 1993	Owned
Regional Hospital of Jackson	Jackson	152	January, 2003	Owned
Dyersburg Regional Medical Center	Dyersburg	225	January, 2003	Owned
Haywood Park Community Hospital	Brownsville	62	January, 2003	Owned
Henderson County Community Hospital	Lexington	45	January, 2003	Owned
McKenzie Regional Hospital	McKenzie	45	January, 2003	Owned
McNairy Regional Hospital	Selmer	45	January, 2003	Owned
Volunteer Community Hospital	Martin	100	January, 2003	Owned
Heritage Medical Center	Shelbyville	60	July, 2005	Owned
Sky Ridge Medical Center	Cleveland	351	October, 2005	Owned
Gateway Medical Center	Clarksville	270	July, 2007	Owned
Texas				
Big Bend Regional Medical Center	Alpine	25	October, 1999	Owned
Scenic Mountain Medical Center	Big Spring	150	October, 1994	Owned
Hill Regional Hospital	Hillsboro	116	October, 1994	Leased
Lake Granbury Medical Center	Granbury	83	January, 1997	Leased
South Texas Regional Medical Center	Jourdanton	67	November, 2001	Owned
Laredo Medical Center	Laredo	326	October, 2003	Owned
Weatherford Regional Medical Center	Weatherford	99	November, 2006	Leased
Abilene Regional Medical Center	Abilene	231	July, 2007	Owned
Brownwood Regional Medical Center	Brownwood	194	July, 2007	Owned
College Station Medical Center	College Station	167	July, 2007	Owned

Hospital	City	Licensed Beds(1)	Date of Acquisition/Lease Inception	Ownership Type
Navarro Regional Hospital	Corsicana	162	July, 2007	Owned
Longview Regional Medical Center	Longview	250	July, 2007	Owned
Woodland Heights Medical Center	Lufkin	149	July, 2007	Owned
San Angelo Community Medical Center	San Angelo	171	July, 2007	Owned
DeTar Healthcare System	Victoria	304	July, 2007	Owned
Cedar Park Regional Medical Center	Cedar Park	85	December, 2007	Owned
Tomball Regional Hospital	Tomball	358	October, 2011	Owned
Utah				
Mountain West Medical Center	Tooele	44	October, 2000	Owned
Virginia				
Southern Virginia Regional Medical Center	Emporia	80	March, 1999	Owned
Southampton Memorial Hospital	Franklin	105	March, 2000	Owned
Southside Regional Medical Center	Petersburg	300	August, 2003	Owned
Washington				
Rockwood Health System				
Deaconess Hospital	Spokane	388	October, 2008	Owned
Valley Hospital	Spokane Valley	123	October, 2008	Owned
West Virginia				
Plateau Medical Center	Oak Hill	25	July, 2002	Owned
Greenbrier Valley Medical Center	Ronceverte	122	July, 2007	Owned
Bluefield Regional Medical Center	Bluefield	240	October, 2010	Owned
Wyoming				
Evanston Regional Hospital	Evanston	42	November, 1999	Owned
Total Licensed Beds at December 31, 2013		20,180		

(1) Licensed beds are the number of beds for which the appropriate state agency licenses a facility regardless of whether the beds are actually available for patient use.

(2) We operate this hospital under a lease-leaseback and operating agreement. We recognize all operating statistics, revenues and expenses associated with this hospital in our consolidated financial statements.

The real property of substantially all of our wholly-owned hospitals is encumbered by mortgages under the Credit Facility.

The following table lists the hospitals owned by joint venture entities in which we do not have a consolidating ownership interest, along with our percentage ownership interest in the joint venture entity as of December 31, 2013. Information on licensed beds was provided by the majority owner and manager of each joint venture. A subsidiary of HCA Holdings, Inc. is the majority owner of Macon Healthcare LLC, and a subsidiary of UHS is the majority owner of Summerlin Hospital Medical Center LLC and Valley Health System LLC.

Joint Venture	Facility Name	City	State	Licensed Beds
Macon Healthcare LLC	Coliseum Medical Center (38%)	Macon	GA	250
Macon Healthcare LLC	Coliseum Psychiatric Center (38%)	Macon	GA	60
Macon Healthcare LLC	Coliseum Northside Hospital (38%)	Macon	GA	103
Summerlin Hospital Medical Center LLC	Summerlin Hospital Medical Center (26.1%)	Las Vegas	NV	454
Valley Health System LLC	Desert Springs Hospital (27.5%)	Las Vegas	NV	293
Valley Health System LLC	Valley Hospital Medical Center (27.5%)	Las Vegas	NV	320
Valley Health System LLC	Spring Valley Hospital Medical Center (27.5%)	Las Vegas	NV	231
Valley Health System LLC	Centennial Hills Hospital Medical Center (27.5%)	Las Vegas	NV	171

Item 3. ***Legal Proceedings***

From time to time, we receive various inquiries or subpoenas from state regulators, fiscal intermediaries, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services and the Department of Justice regarding various Medicare and Medicaid issues. In addition to the subpoenas discussed below, we are currently responding to subpoenas and administrative demands concerning certain cardiology procedures, medical records and policies at a New Mexico hospital and a civil investigative demand concerning cardiology devices at a Pennsylvania hospital. In addition, we are subject to other claims and lawsuits arising in the ordinary course of our business. We are not aware of any pending or threatened litigation that is not covered by insurance policies or reserved for in our consolidated financial statements or which we believe would have a material adverse impact on us; however, some pending or threatened proceedings against us may involve potentially substantial amounts as well as the possibility of civil, criminal, or administrative fines, penalties, or other sanctions, which could be material. Settlements of suits involving Medicare and Medicaid issues routinely require both monetary payments as well as corporate integrity agreements. Additionally, qui tam or "whistleblower" actions initiated under the civil False Claims Act may be pending but placed under seal by the court to comply with the False Claims Act's requirements for filing such suits. Also, from time to time, we detect issues of non-compliance with Federal healthcare laws pertaining to claims submission and reimbursement practices and/or financial relationships with physicians. We avail ourselves of various mechanisms to address potential overpayments arising out of these issues, including repayment of claims, rebilling of claims, and participation in voluntary disclosure protocols offered by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services and the Office of the Inspector General. Participating in voluntary repayments and voluntary disclosure protocols can have the potential for significant settlement obligations or even enforcement action, however, we are not aware of any such exposures that have not been reserved for in our consolidated financial statements or which we believe would have a material adverse impact on us.

U.S. ex rel. Baker vs. Community Health Systems, Inc. (United States District Court for the District of New Mexico)

Our knowledge of this matter originated in early 2006 with correspondence from the Civil Division of the Department of Justice requesting documents in an investigation it was conducting involving the Company. The inquiry related to the way in which different state Medicaid programs apply to the federal government for matching or supplemental funds that are ultimately used to pay for a small portion of the services provided to Medicaid and indigent patients. These programs are referred to by different names, including "intergovernmental payments," "upper payment limit programs," and "Medicaid disproportionate share hospital payments." For approximately three years, we provided the Department of Justice with requested documents, met with its personnel on numerous occasions and otherwise cooperated in its investigation. During the course of the investigation, the Civil Division notified us that it believed that we and three of our New Mexico hospitals caused the State of New Mexico to submit improper claims for federal funds, in violation of the Federal False Claims Act. This investigation has culminated in the federal government's intervention in the referenced qui tam lawsuit, which alleges that our New Mexico hospitals "caused to be filed" false claims from the period of August 2000 through June 2011. Two of our parent company's subsidiaries are also defendants in this lawsuit. We continue to vigorously defend this action. On December 4 - 5, 2013, the district court judge heard oral arguments on both sides' motions for summary judgment. By telephone conference on December 19, 2013, he advised the parties that, with respect to the core motions for summary judgment, he was denying all parties' motions, concluding that there were issues of fact to be determined at trial. Court ordered mediation has been set for March 12, 2014 and a trial date of October 14, 2014 has been assigned.

Multi-provider National Department of Justice Investigations

Kyphoplasty. Kyphoplasty is a surgical spine procedure that returns a compromised vertebra (either from trauma or osteoporotic disease process) to its previous height, reducing or eliminating severe pain. We were first made aware of this investigation in June 2008, when two of our hospitals received document request letters from the United States Attorney's Office for the Western District of New York. Subsequently, additional hospitals (a total of five) also received requests for documents and/or medical records. The investigation covers the period of January 1, 2002 through June 9, 2008. This investigation is part of a national investigation and is related to a qui tam settlement between the same United States Attorney's office and the manufacturer and distributor of the Kyphon product, which is used in performing the kyphoplasty procedure. We are cooperating with the investigation and we are continuing to evaluate and discuss this matter with the federal government.

Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillators (ICDs). We were first made aware of this investigation in September 2010, when we received a letter from the Civil Division of the United States Department of Justice. The letter advised us that an investigation was being conducted to determine whether certain hospitals have improperly submitted claims for payment for ICDs. The period of time covered by the investigation was 2003 to 2010. We continue to fully cooperate with the government in this investigation and have provided requested records and documents. On August 30, 2012, the Department of Justice issued a document entitled, "Medical Review Guidelines/Resolution Model," which sets out, for the purposes of this investigation, the patient conditions and criteria for the medical necessity of the implantation of ICDs in Medicare beneficiaries and how the Department of Justice will enforce the repayment obligations of hospitals. We are in the process of reviewing our medical records in light of the guidance contained in this document.

Laredo, Texas Department of Justice Investigation

In December 2009, we received a document subpoena from the United States Department of Health and Human Services, Office of the Inspector General, or OIG, requesting documents related to our hospital in Laredo, Texas. The categories of documents requested included case management, resource management, admission criteria, patient medical records, coding, billing, compliance, the Joint Commission accreditation, physician documentation, payments to referral sources, transactions involving physicians, disproportionate share hospital status and audits by the hospital's Quality Improvement organization. In January 2010, we received a "request for information or assistance" from the OIG's Office of Investigation requesting patient medical records from this facility for certain Medicaid patients with extended lengths of stay. We continue to cooperate fully with this investigation.

Department of Justice Investigation of Medicare Short-Stay Admissions from Emergency Departments

In April 2011, we received a document subpoena from the United States Department of Health and Human Services, OIG, in connection with an investigation of possible improper claims submitted to Medicare and Medicaid. The subpoena was directed to all of our hospitals and requested documents concerning emergency department processes and procedures, including our hospitals' use of the Pro-MED Clinical Information System, a third-party software system that assists with the management of patient care and provides operational support and data collection for emergency department management. The subpoena also sought information about our relationships with emergency department physicians, including financial arrangements. This investigation is being led by the Department of Justice. We are continuing to cooperate with the government with the ongoing document production, as well as conducting a joint medical necessity review of a sampling of medical records at a small number of hospitals. In 2013, we met with the government twice to review and discuss the investigation. On July 9, 2013, shortly after a second meeting with the government, we were served with an additional document subpoena, as well as civil investigative demands to interview two of our current executives. In further discussions with the government, these additional requests do not reflect an expansion of the pending investigation. We will continue to cooperate with the government in its investigative efforts.

We are currently in negotiations with the Department of Justice about resolving its claims in connection with the Department's investigation into the Company's short stay hospital admissions for the years 2005-2010, as well as its investigation at our hospital in Laredo, Texas described in the previous item. Based on those negotiations, which are not final, we believe that a reserve of $101.5 million is sufficient to cover the federal government's claims for Medicare, Tricare, and Medicaid admissions, certain claims specifically related to our hospital in Laredo, Texas, and other related legal expenses. This reserve is not meant to include third party legal expense. We are also negotiating a corporate integrity agreement with the Office of the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services.

The following matters, although initiated independently of the Department of Justice's April 2011 subpoena, are factually related in some manner to that subpoena and are grouped here for clarity.

Texas Attorney General Investigation of Emergency Department Procedures and Billing. In November 2010, we were served with substantially identical Civil Investigative Demands (CIDs) from the Office of Attorney General, State of Texas for all 18 of our affiliated Texas hospitals. The subject of the requests concerns emergency department procedures and billing. We have complied with these requests and provided all documentation and reports requested. We continue to cooperate fully with this investigation.

United States ex rel. and Reuille vs. Community Health Systems Professional Services Corporation and Lutheran Musculoskeletal Center, LLC d/b/a Lutheran Hospital (United States District Court for the Northern District of Indiana, Fort Wayne Division). This lawsuit was originally filed under seal in January 2009. The suit is brought under the False Claims Act and alleges that Lutheran Hospital of Indiana billed the Medicare program for (a) false 23 hour observation after outpatient surgeries and procedures, and (b) intentional assignment of inpatient status to one-day stays for cases that do not meet Medicare criteria for inpatient intensity of service or severity of illness. In December 2010, the government filed a notice that it declined to intervene in this suit. On April 22, 2011, a joint motion was filed by the relator and the Department of Justice to extend the period of time for the relator to serve us in the case to allow the government more time to decide if it will intervene in the case. The motion to stay was granted, as have subsequent joint motions, and the stay is currently continued until April 23, 2014. The original motion and subsequent filings gave insight to the fact that there are other qui tam complaints in other jurisdictions and that the government was consolidating its investigations and working cooperatively with other investigative bodies (including the Attorney General of the State of Texas). The government also confirmed that it considers the allegations made in the complaint styled *Tenet Healthcare Corporation vs. Community Health Systems, Inc., et al.* filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division on April 11, 2011 to be related to the government's consolidated investigation. We are cooperating fully with the government in its investigations.

Shelbyville, Tennessee OIG Subpoena. In May 2011, we received a subpoena from the Houston Office of the United States Department of Health and Human Services, OIG, requesting 71 patient medical records from our hospital in Shelbyville, Tennessee. We provided the requested records and have met with the government regarding this matter. We continue to cooperate fully with this investigation.

SEC Subpoena. In May 2011, we received a subpoena from the SEC requesting documents related to or requested in connection with the various inquiries, lawsuits and investigations regarding, generally, emergency room admissions or observation practices at our hospitals. The subpoena also requested documents relied upon by us in responding to the Tenet litigation, as well as other communications about the Tenet litigation. As with all government investigations, we are cooperating fully with the SEC.

Class Action Shareholder Federal Securities Cases. Three purported class action cases have been filed in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee; namely, Norfolk County Retirement System v. Community Health Systems, Inc., et al., filed May 9, 2011; De Zheng v. Community Health Systems, Inc., et al., filed May 12, 2011; and Minneapolis Firefighters Relief Association v. Community Health Systems, Inc., et al., filed June 21, 2011. All three seek class certification on behalf of purchasers of our common stock between July 27, 2006 and April 11, 2011 and allege that misleading statements resulted in artificially inflated prices for our common stock. In December 2011, the cases were consolidated for pretrial purposes and NYC Funds and its counsel were selected as lead plaintiffs/lead plaintiffs' counsel. Our motion to dismiss this case has been fully briefed and is pending before the court. We believe this consolidated matter is without merit and will vigorously defend this case.

Shareholder Derivative Actions. Three purported shareholder derivative actions have also been filed in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee; Plumbers and Pipefitters Local Union No. 630 Pension Annuity Trust Fund v. Wayne T. Smith, et al., filed May 24, 2011; Roofers Local No. 149 Pension Fund v. Wayne T. Smith, et al., filed June 21, 2011; and Lambert Sweat v. Wayne T. Smith, et al., filed October 5, 2011. These three cases allege breach of fiduciary duty arising out of allegedly improper inpatient admission practices, mismanagement, waste and unjust enrichment. These cases have been consolidated into a single, consolidated action. The plaintiffs filed an operative amended derivative complaint in these three consolidated actions on March 15, 2012. Our motion to dismiss was argued on June 13, 2013. On September 27, 2013, the court issued an order granting in part and denying in part our motion to dismiss. On October 14, 2013, we filed for a Motion for Reconsideration of the Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part the Motion to Dismiss, a Motion to Stay Discovery, and an unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to File an Answer. We believe all of the plaintiffs' claims are without merit and will vigorously defend them.

Other Government Investigations

Easton, Pennsylvania – Urologist. On June 13, 2011, our hospital in Easton, Pennsylvania received a document subpoena from the Philadelphia office of the United States Department of Justice. The documents requested included medical records for certain urological procedures performed by a non-employed physician who is no longer on the medical staff and other records concerning the hospital's relationship with the physician. Certain procedures performed by the physician had been previously reviewed and appropriate repayments had been made. We are cooperating fully with the government in this investigation.

Hattiesburg, Mississippi – Allegiance Health Management, Inc. On February 23, 2012, our hospital in Hattiesburg, Mississippi received a document subpoena from the United States Department of Health and Human Services, OIG relating to its relationship with Allegiance Health Management, Inc., or Allegiance, a company that provides intensive outpatient psychiatric, or IOP, services to its patients. The subpoena seeks information concerning the hospital's financial relationship with Allegiance, medical records of patients receiving IOP services, and other documents relating to Allegiance such as agreements, policies and procedures, audits, complaints, budgets, financial analyses and identities of those delivering services. This is our only hospital that received services from this vendor. We are cooperating fully with this investigation.

Qui Tam Cases – Government Declined Intervention

On June 2, 2011, an order was entered unsealing a relator's qui tam complaint in the matter of *U.S. ex rel. Wood M. Deming, MD, individually and on behalf of Regional Cardiology Consultants, PC v. Jackson-Madison County General Hospital, an Affiliate of West Tennessee Healthcare, Regional Hospital of Jackson, a Division of Community Health Systems Professional Services Corporation, James Moss, individually, Timothy Puthoff, individually, Joel Perchik, MD, individually, and Elie H. Korban, MD, individually*. The action is pending in the Western District of Tennessee, Jackson Division. Regional Hospital of Jackson is an affiliated hospital and Mr. Puthoff is a former chief executive officer there. The Order recited that the United States had elected to intervene to a limited degree only concerning the claims against Dr. Korban for false and fraudulent billing for allegedly unnecessary stent procedures and for causing the submission of false claims by the hospitals. On July 28, 2011, we were served by the relator. On June 12, 2013, the government and Dr. Korban filed an advisory that they had reached a "handshake" settlement of all claims pled by the government. On December 17, 2013, the government filed a notice of settlement with Dr. Korban. We believe the claims against our hospital are without merit and we are vigorously defending this case.

On August 8, 2012, an order was entered unsealing a relator's qui tam complaint in the matter of *U.S. and N.M. ex rel. Sally Hansen v. Mimbres Memorial Hospital, et al*. This action is pending in the United States District Court for New Mexico. This case cites alleged quality control failures as violations of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments of 1988 as the basis for a False Claims Act suit. Both the U.S. government and the New Mexico state government declined to intervene in this case. We filed a motion to dismiss and the relator filed an amended complaint. Both the U.S. government and the New Mexico state government have now declined to intervene on this amended complaint. On June 12, 2013, we filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint. The relator also voluntarily dismissed Community Health Systems, Inc., without prejudice. Our motion to dismiss was granted on November 21, 2013 and relator's motion for reconsideration of that decision was denied on January 24, 2014. We believe the claim against our hospital is without merit and we are vigorously defending this case.

On December 20, 2013, we became aware of a case styled U.S. ex rel. Macler v. Pinnacle Partners in Medicine, et. al (including our affiliated facility, Pottstown Memorial Medical Center, Pottstown, Pennsylvania), filed on April 17, 2013 in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. The complaint alleges that certain patients did not receive a post-anesthesia visit as required by the Medicare Conditions of Participation and Pennsylvania law. The Department of Justice has declined to intervene in this case and the case was unsealed on or about December 19, 2013. We previously had not been aware of this case nor had any knowledge of any government investigation of the allegations, but would anticipate that we will vigorously defend it if the case is pursued by the relator.

On February 4, 2014, a redacted case styled (Sealed Party) v. Pottstown Hospital Co., LLC d/b/a Pottstown Memorial Medical Center and Community Health Systems, Inc. was filed in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. The complaint alleges the hospital traded on call agreements for referrals. There is no indication that the Department of Justice has intervened in this matter. This matter was previously reported in prior filings in the Legal Proceedings section as subpoenas to two Pennsylvania hospitals and one of our subsidiaries concerning on call agreements and physician directorships. We anticipate that we will vigorously defend this matter if it is pursued by the government or the relator.

Commercial Litigation and Other Lawsuits

Becker v. Community Health Systems, Inc. d/b/a Community Health Systems Professional Services Corporation d/b/a Community Health Systems d/b/a Community Health Systems PSC, Inc. d/b/a Rockwood Clinic P.S. and Rockwood Clinic, P.S. (Superior Court, Spokane, Washington). This suit was filed on February 29, 2012, by a former chief financial officer at Rockwood Clinic in Spokane, Washington. Becker claims he was wrongfully terminated for allegedly refusing to certify a budget for Rockwood Clinic in 2012. On February 29, 2012, he also filed an administrative complaint with the Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration alleging that he is a whistleblower under Sarbanes-Oxley; a response was filed on May 21, 2012. At a hearing on July 27, 2012, the court dismissed Community Health Systems, Inc. from this case and has subsequently certified the case for an interlocutory appeal of the denial to dismiss his employer and the management company. The appellate court has accepted the interlocutory appeal. We are vigorously defending this action.

Eliel Ntakirutimana, M.D. and Anesthesia Healthcare Partners of Laredo, P.A., Jose Berlioz, M.D. and Jose Berlioz, M.D., P.A. d/b/a Safari Pediatrics v. Laredo Texas Hospital Company, L.P. d/b/a Laredo Medical Center, CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., Webb Hospital Corporation, Community Health Systems Professional Services Corporation, Community Health Systems, Inc., Abraham "Abe" Martinez, Argelia "Argie" Martinez, Michael Portacci, Wayne Smith, Timothy P. Adams, and Timothy Schmidt. On December 28, 2012, two physicians and each of their professional associations, who previously contracted as independent contractors with Laredo Medical Center under contracts that could be terminated without cause upon certain written notice, filed a first amended complaint. The first amended complaint alleged claims for breaches of contracts, unjust enrichment, violation of the Texas Theft Liability Act, negligence, breach of fiduciary duty, knowing participation in breach of fiduciary duty, defamation and business disparagement, R.I.C.O., economic duress/coercion, tortious interference with contracts or prospective business relations, conspiracy, respondent superior, actual and apparent authority, ratification, vice-principal liability, and joint enterprise liability. The first amended complaint, in part, alleges facts concerning payments made by Dr. Eliel Ntakirutimana to former Laredo Medical Center CEO, Abe Martinez, who is also a defendant in the suit. On October 23, 2013, an Order staying the case until further notice was entered.

HMA Consolidated Securities Case and Delaware Chancery Case. Three purported class action cases have been filed in the Circuit Court of Collier County, Florida: namely, Aliaga vs. Health Management Associates, Inc. et al., filed August 1, 2013; Lopriore vs. Health Management Associates, et al., filed August 5, 2013; and Copeland vs. Health Management Associates, et al., filed August 8, 2013. All allege a breach of fiduciary duty by the board members of HMA arising out of the approval of the acquisition of HMA by us. Community Health Systems, Inc. and FWCT-2 Acquisition Corporation are named as aiding and abetting the alleged breaches of fiduciary duty. A fourth case, Smilow vs. Health Management Associates, Inc. et al., filed August 13, 2013 does not name us or FWCT-2 Acquisition Corporation as a defendant. These four actions were consolidated into a single action on December 12, 2013, under the caption In re Health Management Associates, Inc. Securities Litigation. On December 20, 2013, the Court denied the plaintiffs' emergency motion for expedited discovery and proceedings. The Plaintiffs filed a notice of voluntary dismissal on February 7, 2014. An additional case with similar allegations, styled Margolis & Horwitz vs. Health Management Associates, Inc. et al., filed on August 5, 2013 in Delaware Chancery Court was voluntarily dismissed by the plaintiff on November 25, 2013.

Management of Significant Legal Proceedings

In accordance with our governance documents, including our Governance Guidelines and the charter of the Audit and Compliance Committee, our management of significant legal proceedings is overseen by the independent members of the Board of Directors and, in particular, the Audit and Compliance Committee. The Audit and Compliance Committee is charged with oversight of compliance, regulatory and litigation matters, and enterprise risk management. Management has been instructed to refer all significant legal proceedings and allegations of financial statement fraud, error, or misstatement to the Audit and Compliance Committee for its oversight and evaluation. Consistent with New York Stock Exchange and Sarbanes-Oxley independence requirements, the Audit and Compliance Committee is comprised entirely of individuals who are independent of Company management, and all three members of the Audit and Compliance Committee are "audit committee financial experts" as defined in the Exchange Act.

In addition, the Audit and Compliance Committee and the other independent members of the Board of Directors oversee the functions of the voluntary compliance program, including its auditing and monitoring functions and confidential disclosure program. In recent years, the voluntary compliance program has addressed the potential for a variety of billing errors that might be the subject of audits and payment denials by the CMS Recovery Audit Contractors' permanent project, including MS-DRG coding, outpatient hospital and physician coding and billing, and medical necessity for services (including a focus on hospital stays of very short duration). Efforts by management, through the voluntary compliance program, to identify and limit risk from these government audits have included significant policy and guidance revisions, training and education, and auditing.

Since April 2011, our Audit and Compliance Committee and/or Board of Directors has met, on average, monthly to review the status of the lawsuits and investigations relating to allegations of improper billing for inpatient care at our hospitals and to oversee management in connection with our investigation and defense of these matters. At many of those meetings, the independent members of the Board of Directors have met in separate session, first with outside counsel handling the investigations and lawsuits, and then alone, to discuss their duties and oversight of these matters. The independent members of our Board of Directors remain fully engaged in the oversight of these matters.

Certain Legal Proceedings Related to HMA

In connection with the HMA merger, HMA's SEC filings and submissions identified certain legal proceedings that existed at the date of the acquisition. We will be evaluating these and other matters for future disclosure.

Medicare/Medicaid Billing Lawsuits

On January 11, 2010, HMA and one of its subsidiaries were named in a qui tam lawsuit entitled United States of America ex rel. J. Michael Mastej v. Health Management Associates, Inc. et al. in the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Florida, Tampa Division. The plaintiff's complaint alleged that, among other things, the defendants erroneously submitted claims to Medicare and that those claims were falsely certified to be in compliance with Section 1877 of the Social Security Act of 1935 (commonly known as the "Stark law") and the Anti-Kickback Statute. The plaintiff's complaint further alleged that the defendants' conduct violated the False Claims Act. The plaintiff seeks recovery of all Medicare and Medicaid reimbursement that the defendants received as a result of the alleged false certifications and treble damages under the False Claims Act, as well as a civil penalty for each Medicare and Medicaid claim supported by such alleged false certifications. On August 18, 2010, the plaintiff filed a first amended complaint that was similar to the original complaint. On February 23, 2011, the case was transferred to the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Florida, Fort Myers Division. On May 5, 2011, the plaintiff filed a second amended complaint, which was similar to the first amended complaint. On May 17, 2011, the defendants moved to dismiss the second amended complaint for failure to state a claim with the particularity required and failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. On January 26, 2012, the United States gave notice of its decision not to intervene in this lawsuit. On February 16, 2012, the court granted the defendants' motion to dismiss, without prejudice. The court's order permitted the plaintiff to file an amended complaint. On March 8, 2012, the plaintiff filed a third amended complaint, which was similar to the first amended complaint and the second amended complaint. On March 26, 2012, the defendants moved to dismiss the third amended complaint on the same bases set forth in earlier motions to dismiss. On March 19, 2013, the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Florida, Tampa Division, dismissed the third amended complaint with prejudice. On March 28, 2013, the United States of America filed a motion to clarify that the dismissal with prejudice did not relate to the United States. On April 4, 2013, the defendants filed an opposition to the United States' motion for clarification. The Government's motion remains pending at this time. On April 16, 2013, the plaintiff filed a motion for relief from judgment and for leave to amend the complaint, and a proposed fourth amended complaint. On April 18, 2013, the plaintiff filed a notice of appeal. On May 2, 2013, the defendants filed an opposition to the plaintiff's motion for relief from judgment and for leave to amend the complaint for the fourth time. On July 10, 2013, the court denied plaintiff's motion for relief from judgment and for leave to amend the complaint for the fourth time. The case is now on appeal to the Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals. On August 26, 2013, plaintiff submitted his initial brief and, on October 15, 2013, defendants filed their answer brief. HMA intends to vigorously defend HMA and its subsidiary against the allegations in this matter.

On July 31, 2013, HMA received a courtesy copy of a qui tam lawsuit captioned United States ex rel. Williams v. Health Management Associates, Inc. HMA has not yet been formally served with that complaint, which is pending in the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Georgia. The federal government has declined to intervene in that case, but the State of Georgia has decided to intervene. The complaint alleges that the hospital defendants engaged in a kickback scheme with Clínica de la Mama, a prenatal clinic, whereby Clínica de la Mama would provide translation and eligibility services in exchange for the referral of Medicaid patients to the defendant hospitals. The State alleges that these referrals violated the Georgia False Medical Claims Act, the Georgia Medical Assistance Act, and various state laws. HMA denies the allegations in the complaint and HMA intends to vigorously defend HMA against those allegations.

The United States Department of Health and Human Services, OIG and the DOJ, including the Civil Division and U.S. Attorney's Offices in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, the Middle District of Florida, the Eastern District of Oklahoma, the Middle District of Tennessee, the Western District of North Carolina, the District of South Carolina and the Middle District of Georgia, are currently investigating HMA and certain of its subsidiaries. HMA believes that such investigations relate to the Anti-Kickback Statute, the Stark law and the False Claims Act and are focused on: (i) physician referrals, including financial arrangements with HMA's whole-hospital physician joint ventures; (ii) the medical necessity of emergency room tests and patient admissions, including whether the Pro-Med software that HMA used led to any medically unnecessary tests or admissions; and (iii) the medical necessity of certain surgical procedures. HMA further believes that the investigations may have originated as a result of qui tam lawsuits filed on behalf of the United States. In connection with the investigations, United States Department of Health and Human Services, OIG has requested certain records through subpoenas, which apply system-wide, that were served on HMA on May 16, 2011 and July 21, 2011. On June 10, June 26, and July 11, 2013, HMA received additional subpoenas, which supplement the July 21, 2011 subpoena, from the United States Department of Health and Human Services, OIG regional office in Atlanta, Georgia. On June 12, 2013, HMA received an additional subpoena, which supplements the May 16, 2011 subpoena, from the United States Department of Health and Human Services, OIG regional office in Miami Lakes, Florida. Additionally, Government Representatives have interviewed certain of HMA's current and former employees. HMA is conducting internal investigations and has met with Government Representatives on numerous occasions to respond to their inquiries. HMA intends to cooperate with the Government Representatives during their investigations. At this time, HMA is unable to determine the potential impact, if any, that will result from the final resolution of these investigations.

Beginning during the week of December 16, 2013, the U.S. District Courts for the Middle District of Georgia, the Northern District of Illinois, the Western District of North Carolina, the District of South Carolina, the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, the Middle District of Florida and the Southern District of Florida unsealed dockets in eleven civil actions, publicly revealing eleven qui tam lawsuits filed by private individuals against HMA. The United States has elected to exercise its right to intervene in all or part and litigate all or a portion of eight of these eleven actions and declined to intervene in three. With respect to the eight actions that the United States has agreed to intervene in, at this time, the complaints in the newly unsealed actions in the Western District of North Carolina, the District of South Carolina, the Middle District of Florida, Northern District of Illinois, the Middle District of Georgia, the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and the Southern District of Florida allege that certain HMA hospitals, among other things, inappropriately admitted patients and then submitted reimbursement claims for treating those individuals to federal healthcare programs, in violation of the False Claims Act. Additionally, the complaints in the newly unsealed actions in the Middle District of Georgia, the Western District of North Carolina, the District of South Carolina, the Northern District of Illinois, and the Eastern District of Pennsylvania allege that the same actions violated various state laws which prohibit false claims. Furthermore, the complaints in the newly unsealed actions in the Middle and Southern Districts of Florida, the Western District of North Carolina, the District of South Carolina, and the Eastern District of Pennsylvania also allege, among other things, that certain HMA hospitals had inappropriate financial relationships with physicians which violated the Stark law, the Anti- Kickback Statute, and the False Claims Act. In the complaint in the newly unsealed action in the District of South Carolina the government has also intervened as to Gary Newsome, the former Chief Executive Officer of HMA. In the complaint filed by relator David Napoliello, M.D. in the Middle District of Florida, the government has not sought to intervene but has sought to consolidate the case with all of the others in which it has intervened. HMA intends to defend itself against the allegations in these matters, but will also be cooperating with the government in the ongoing investigations of these matters.

Several HMA hospitals received letters during 2009 requesting information in connection with a DOJ investigation relating to kyphoplasty procedures. Kyphoplasty is a minimally invasive spinal procedure used to treat vertebral compression fractures. The DOJ is currently investigating hospitals and hospital operators in multiple states to determine whether certain Medicare claims for kyphoplasty were incorrect when billed as an inpatient service rather than as an outpatient service. HMA believes that the DOJ's investigation originated with a False Claims Act lawsuit against Kyphon, Inc., the company that developed the kyphoplasty procedure. The requested information has been provided to the DOJ and HMA is cooperating with the investigation. To date, the DOJ has not asserted any monetary or other claims against the HMA hospitals in this matter. Based on the aggregate billings for inpatient kyphoplasty procedures during the period under review that were performed at the HMA hospitals subject to the DOJ's inquiry, HMA does not believe that the final outcome of this matter will be material.

During September 2010, HMA received a letter from the DOJ indicating that an investigation was being conducted to determine whether certain HMA hospitals improperly submitted claims for the implantation of ICDs. The DOJ's investigation covers the period commencing with Medicare's expansion of coverage for ICDs in 2003 to the present. The letter from the DOJ further indicates that the claims submitted by HMA's hospitals for ICDs and related services need to be reviewed to determine if Medicare coverage and payment was appropriate. During 2010, the DOJ sent similar letters and other requests to a large number of unrelated hospitals and hospital operators across the country as part of a nation-wide review of ICD billing under the Medicare program. HMA is cooperating with the DOJ in its ongoing investigation, which could potentially give rise to claims against HMA and/or certain of its subsidiary hospitals under the False Claims Act or other statutes, regulations or laws. Additionally, HMA is conducting an internal review of hospital medical records related to ICDs that are the subject of the DOJ investigation. To date, the DOJ has not asserted any monetary or other claims against HMA or its hospitals in this matter and, at this time, HMA is unable to determine the potential impact, if any, that will result from the final resolution of the investigation.

On February 22, 2012 and February 24, 2012, HHS-OIG served subpoenas on certain HMA hospitals relating to those hospitals' relationships with Allegiance Health Management, Inc. ("Allegiance"). Allegiance, which is unrelated to HMA, is a post acute health care management company that provides intensive outpatient psychiatric ("IOP") services to patients. The HMA hospitals that were served subpoenas were: (i) Central Mississippi Medical Center in Jackson, Mississippi; (ii) Crossgates River Oaks Hospital in Brandon, Mississippi; (iii) Davis Regional Medical Center in Statesville, North Carolina; (iv) Lake Norman Regional Medical Center in Mooresville, North Carolina; (v) the Medical Center of Southeastern Oklahoma in Durant, Oklahoma; and (vi) Natchez Community Hospital in Natchez, Mississippi. Each of those hospitals has or had a contract with Allegiance. Among other things, the subpoenas seek: (i) documents related to the hospitals' financial relationships with Allegiance; (ii) documents related to patients who received IOP services from Allegiance at the HMA hospitals, including their patient medical records; (iii) documents relating to complaints or concerns regarding Allegiance's IOP services at the HMA hospitals; (iv) documents relating to employees, physicians and therapists who were involved with the IOP services provided by Allegiance at the HMA hospitals; and (v) other documents related to Allegiance, including leases, contracts, policies and procedures, training documents, budgets and financial analyses. The period of time covered by the subpoenas is January 1, 2008 through the date of subpoena compliance. HMA believes that HHS-OIG has served similar subpoenas on other non-HMA hospitals that had contracts with Allegiance. HMA intends to cooperate with the investigations. At this time, HMA is unable to determine the potential impact that will result from the final resolution of these investigations.

Securities and Exchange Commission Investigation

On April 25, 2013, HMA received a subpoena from the SEC, issued pursuant to an investigation, requesting documents related to accounts receivable, billing write-downs, contractual adjustments, reserves for doubtful accounts, and accounts receivable aging, and revenue from Medicare, Medicaid and from privately insured or uninsured patients. On June 5, 2013, HMA received a supplemental subpoena from the SEC which requests additional financial reports. This investigation is ongoing and HMA is unable to determine the potential course or impact, if any, of this investigation.

In addition to the abovementioned subpoenas and investigations, certain of HMA's hospitals have received other requests for information from state and federal agencies. HMA is cooperating with all of the ongoing investigations by collecting and producing the requested materials. Because a large portion of HMA's government investigations are in their early stages, HMA is unable to evaluate the outcome of such matters or determine the potential impact, if any, that could result from their final resolution.

Class Action and Derivative Action Lawsuits

On April 30, 2012, two class action lawsuits that were brought against HMA and certain of its then executive officers, one of whom was at that time also a director, were consolidated in the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Florida under the caption In Re: Health Management Associates, Inc., et al. and three pension fund plaintiffs were appointed as lead plaintiffs. On July 30, 2012, the lead plaintiffs filed an amended consolidated complaint purportedly on behalf of stockholders who purchased HMA's common stock during the period from July 27, 2009, through January 9, 2012. The amended consolidated complaint (i) alleges that HMA made false and misleading statements in certain public disclosures regarding its business and financial results and (ii) asserts claims for violations of Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Among other things, the plaintiffs claim that HMA inflated its earnings by engaging in fraudulent Medicare billing practices that entailed admitting patients to observation status when they should not have been admitted at all and to inpatient status when they should have been admitted to observation status. The plaintiffs seek unspecified monetary damages. On October 22, 2012, the defendants moved to dismiss the plaintiffs' amended consolidated complaint for failure to state a claim or plead facts required by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act. The plaintiffs filed an unopposed stipulation and proposed order to suspend briefing on the defendants' motion to dismiss because they intended to seek leave of court to file a proposed second amended consolidated complaint. On December 15, 2012, the court entered an order approving the stipulation and providing a schedule for briefing with respect to the proposed amended pleadings. On February 25, 2013, the plaintiffs filed a second amended consolidated complaint, which asserts substantially the same claims as the amended consolidated complaint. As of August 15, 2013, the defendants' motion to dismiss the second amended complaint for failure to state a claim and plead facts required by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act was fully briefed and awaiting the Court's decision. HMA intends to vigorously defend against the allegations in this lawsuit. HMA is unable to predict the outcome or determine the potential impact, if any, that could result from its final resolution.

On January 22, 2013, a putative shareholder derivative action entitled The City of Haverhill Retirement System v. Dauten, et al. was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Florida, Tampa Division, purportedly on behalf of HMA against its then directors. HMA was also named as a nominal defendant. The complaint alleges that, among other things, the defendants breached their fiduciary duties to HMA and its stockholders by supposedly causing HMA to undertake a scheme to defraud Medicare by improperly admitting certain emergency room patients as "inpatients" in violation of the False Claims Act and then issuing false and misleading public statements about HMA's financial outlook and compliance with laws and regulations. The complaint also alleges that the defendants breached their fiduciary duties by exposing HMA to potentially significant civil and criminal penalties as a result of the aforementioned investigations by United States Department of Health and Human Services, OIG and the DOJ as well as the stockholder class action and other ongoing litigation. The complaint seeks monetary damages from the defendants, other than HMA. On February 8, 2013, the case was transferred to the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Florida, Fort Myers Division. On April 10, 2013 the plaintiff filed an amended complaint which asserts the same claims as its prior complaint, but also names two of the Company's then executives as defendants. On May 15, 2013, the defendants moved to dismiss the amended complaint for threshold lack of derivative standing, for failure to make a demand on the Board, and for failure to state a claim. On June 26, 2013, the plaintiff opposed the defendants' motion to dismiss, and on August 16, 2013, the plaintiff moved to amend its complaint to add class action claims related to the proposed merger with the Company. On October 31, 2013, the Court entered an order dismissing the plaintiff's amended complaint as a "shotgun pleading" and granting the plaintiff leave to file a second amended complaint that cured the pleading deficiencies of its previous complaint and that asserted claims related to the proposed merger. The plaintiff filed its second amended complaint on November 14, 2013, which asserts the same claims as the prior complaint, but adds purported class action claims related to the proposed merger, and names as additional defendants HMA's current directors. On December 16, 2013, the defendants moved to dismiss the second amended complaint. On December 20, 2013, the plaintiff moved for expedited discovery and for oral argument to be scheduled for an anticipated motion for a preliminary injunction prior to the closing of the proposed merger. The defendants filed a brief in opposition to this motion on December 24, 2013. On December 26, 2013, the magistrate judge entered an order denying the plaintiff's request for expedited discovery and granting leave for the plaintiff to file a separate motion for a preliminary injunction hearing. On February 12, 2014, plaintiffs' counsel agreed to voluntarily dismiss this action.

On July 23, 2013, an action entitled Town of Davie Police Officers' Pension Plan v. Dauten, et al. was filed in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware. This action purportedly was brought as a class action on behalf of all of HMA's stockholders, as well as derivatively on behalf of HMA against HMA's then directors and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, Wells Fargo Securities, LLC ("Wells Fargo"), and Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. ("Deutsche Bank"). The complaint alleges, among other things, that those directors breached their fiduciary duties (i) by approving a credit agreement in 2011 that contains a change of control covenant which the plaintiff contends will coerce shareholders into supporting the re-election of HMA's incumbent board of directors and (ii) by not approving Glenview Nominees for election to HMA's Board of Directors for purposes of seeking a waiver of the change of control covenant. The complaint further alleges that the Wells Fargo and Deutsche Bank defendants aided and abetted such breaches. The complaint seeks declaratory and injunctive relief, including (i) a declaration that those directors breached their fiduciary duties by entering into the credit agreement and (ii) an order permanently enjoining the board of directors from invoking or enforcing the change of control covenant in the credit agreement. The plaintiff also seeks unspecified damages from those directors and an award of attorneys' fees and costs. On September 20, 2013, the defendants moved to dismiss this action for lack of subject matter jurisdiction, as well as the plaintiff's failure to make a demand on the Board of Directors and failure to state a claim. On January 24, 2014, the plaintiff moved to dismiss the action as moot and requested an award of attorneys' fees and costs. HMA intends to defend this action.

Wrongful Termination Lawsuit

On or about October 19, 2011, a wrongful termination action was commenced against HMA by Paul Meyer, HMA's former Director of Compliance. That litigation, entitled Meyer v. Health Management Associates, Inc., was commenced in the Circuit Court of the Seventeenth Judicial Circuit in and for Broward County, Florida. The plaintiff seeks unspecified compensatory and punitive damages. Mr. Meyer was terminated after insubordinately refusing to cooperate with HMA's efforts to comply with its obligations under a government subpoena by refusing to return documents belonging to HMA that were in his possession. Moreover, Mr. Meyer's failure to cooperate with us in response to a subpoena was contrary to both the intent and purpose of HMA's compliance department and HMA's company-wide compliance program. HMA has filed a counterclaim against Mr. Meyer for breach of contract, conversion and breach of duty of loyalty. The trial in this matter is scheduled to take place during the third quarter of 2014. HMA intends to vigorously defend against the wrongful termination allegations made by Mr. Meyer and HMA does not believe that the final outcome of this matter will be material.

Other. HMA is also a party to various other legal actions arising out of the normal course of its business. Due to the inherent uncertainties of litigation and dispute resolution, HMA is unable to estimate the ultimate losses, if any, relating to each of its outstanding legal actions and other loss contingencies.

Item 4. *Mine Safety Disclosures*

Not applicable.

PART II

Item 5. *Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities*

We completed an initial public offering of our common stock on June 14, 2000. Our common stock began trading on June 9, 2000 and is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol CYH. At February 18, 2014, there were approximately 73 record holders of our common stock. The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low sale prices per share of our common stock as reported by the New York Stock Exchange.

	High	Low
Year Ended December 31, 2012		
First Quarter	$ 25.74	$ 16.37
Second Quarter	28.79	20.71
Third Quarter	29.59	22.51
Fourth Quarter	32.70	26.33
Year Ended December 31, 2013		
First Quarter	$ 48.01	$ 30.85
Second Quarter	51.29	40.53
Third Quarter	49.87	37.80
Fourth Quarter	46.15	36.52

Stock Performance Graph

The following graph sets forth the cumulative return of our common stock during the five year period ended December 31, 2013, as compared to the cumulative return of the Standard & Poor's 500 Stock Index (S&P 500) and the cumulative return of the Dow Jones Healthcare Index. The graph assumes an initial investment of $100 in our common stock and in each of the foregoing indices and the reinvestment of dividends where applicable.

COMPARISON OF 5 YEAR CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN*
Among Community Health Systems, Inc., the S&P 500 Index, and
the Dow Jones US Health Care Index



	12/31/2008	12/31/2009	12/31/2010	12/31/2011	12/31/2012	12/31/2013
Community Health Systems, Inc.	$100.00	$244.17	$256.31	$119.68	$212.62	$271.62
Dow Jones Health Care Index	$100.00	$121.71	$127.21	$142.15	$169.53	$240.69
S&P 500	$100.00	$126.46	$145.51	$148.59	$172.37	$228.19

Historically, we have not paid any cash dividends. In December 2012, we declared and paid a special dividend of $0.25 per share to holders of our common stock at the close of business as of December 17, 2012, which totaled approximately $23.0 million. We did not pay a cash dividend in 2013 and do not anticipate the payment of any other cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Our Credit Facility limits our ability to pay dividends and/or repurchase stock to an amount not to exceed $150 million in the aggregate plus the aggregate amount of proceeds from the exercise of stock options. The indentures governing our 8% Senior Notes due 2019, our 7 1/8% Senior Notes due 2020 and our 5 1/8% Senior Secured Notes due 2018 also limit our ability to pay dividends and/or repurchase stock. As of December 31, 2013, under the most restrictive test under these agreements, we have approximately $261.9 million available with which to pay permitted dividends and/or repurchase shares of stock or our Notes.

On December 14, 2011, we adopted a new open market repurchase program for up to 4,000,000 shares of our common stock, not to exceed $100 million in repurchases. The new repurchase program will conclude at the earliest of three years, when the maximum number of shares has been repurchased, or when the maximum dollar amount has been expended. During the year ended December 31, 2013, we repurchased and retired 706,023 shares at a weighted-average price of $38.39 per share, which is the cumulative number of shares that have been repurchased and retired under this program. No shares were repurchased under this program during the year ended December 31, 2012.

Item 6. *Selected Financial Data*

The following table summarizes specified selected financial data and should be read in conjunction with our related Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. The amounts shown below have been adjusted for discontinued operations.

Community Health Systems, Inc.
Five Year Summary of Selected Financial Data

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
	(in thousands, except share and per share data)				
Consolidated Statement of Income Data					
Net operating revenues	$ 12,997,693	$ 13,028,985	$ 11,906,212	$ 11,092,422	$ 10,333,501
Income from operations	899,763	1,210,124	1,134,485	1,121,044	1,064,831
Income from continuing operations	217,268	346,269	335,894	355,213	305,811
Net income	217,268	345,803	277,623	348,441	306,377
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	76,065	80,163	75,675	68,458	63,227
Net income attributable to Community Health Systems, Inc. stockholders	141,203	265,640	201,948	279,983	243,150
Basic earnings per share attributable to Community Health Systems, Inc. common stockholders (1):					
Continuing operations	$ 1.52	$ 2.98	$ 2.89	$ 3.13	$ 2.68
Discontinued operations	-	(0.01)	(0.65)	(0.07)	-
Net income	$ 1.52	$ 2.98	$ 2.24	$ 3.05	$ 2.68
Diluted earnings per share attributable to Community Health Systems, Inc. common stockholders (1):					
Continuing operations	$ 1.51	$ 2.96	$ 2.87	$ 3.08	$ 2.65
Discontinued operations	-	0.01	(0.64)	(0.07)	-
Net income	$ 1.51	$ 2.96	$ 2.23	$ 3.01	$ 2.66
Weighted-average number of shares outstanding:					
Basic	92,633,332	89,242,949	89,966,933	91,718,791	90,614,886
Diluted (2)	93,815,013	89,806,937	90,666,348	92,946,048	91,517,274
Consolidated Balance Sheet Data					
Cash and cash equivalents	$ 373,403	$ 387,813	$ 129,865	$ 299,169	$ 344,541
Total assets	17,117,295	16,606,335	15,208,840	14,698,123	14,021,472
Long-term obligations	11,169,932	11,298,928	10,437,513	10,418,234	10,179,402
Redeemable noncontrolling interests in equity of consolidated subsidiaries	358,410	367,666	395,743	387,472	368,857
Community Health Systems, Inc. stockholders' equity	3,067,827	2,731,207	2,397,096	2,189,464	1,950,635
Noncontrolling interests in equity of consolidated subsidiaries	63,643	65,314	67,349	60,913	64,782

(1) Total per share amounts may not add due to rounding.
(2) See Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Item 7. *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*

You should read this discussion together with our Consolidated Financial Statements and the accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements and "Selected Financial Data" included elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

Executive Overview

We are one of the largest publicly-traded operators of hospitals in the United States in terms of number of facilities and net operating revenues. We provide healthcare services through the hospitals that we own and operate in non-urban and selected urban markets throughout the United States. We generate revenues by providing a broad range of general and specialized hospital healthcare services and other outpatient services to patients in the communities in which we are located. As of December 31, 2013, we owned or leased 135 hospitals comprised of 131 general acute care hospitals and four stand-alone rehabilitation or psychiatric hospitals. In addition to our hospitals and related businesses, we own and operate home care agencies, located primarily in markets where we also operate a hospital. Also, through our wholly-owned subsidiary, Quorum Health Resources, LLC, or QHR, we provide management and consulting services to non-affiliated general acute care hospitals located throughout the United States. For the hospitals and home care agencies that we own and operate, we are paid for our services by governmental agencies, private insurers and directly by the patients we serve. For our management and consulting services, we are paid by the non-affiliated hospitals utilizing our services.

In March 2013, we announced a new strategic alliance with Cleveland Clinic, one of the nation's leading academic medical centers with a reputation for innovative approaches to patient care and cost reduction. We believe this alliance will enable us to find new and collaborative ways to enhance quality, reduce costs and create greater value for the services provided to our patients. Key components of this alliance include the implementation of Cleveland Clinic quality programs in select markets in which we operate as well as the potential for future joint ventures, clinical research and shared innovations.

As previously announced, on July 29, 2013, we, one of our wholly-owned subsidiaries, and Health Management Associates, Inc., or HMA, entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (which was subsequently amended on September 24, 2013), or the Merger Agreement, pursuant to which we agreed to acquire all the outstanding shares of common stock of HMA, or HMA Common Stock, for approximately $7.6 billion, including the assumption of approximately $3.7 billion of indebtedness, consisting of a combination of cash and Parent Company common stock, with each share of HMA Common Stock issued and outstanding immediately prior to the effective time of the HMA merger becoming converted into the right to receive $10.50 in cash, 0.06942 of a share of Parent Company common stock, and one contingent value right, or CVR, which would entitle the holder of each CVR to receive a cash payment of up to $1.00 per CVR, following and conditioned upon the final resolution of certain legal matters involving HMA, subject to downward adjustments relating to the amount of certain losses arising out of or relating to such legal matters. The HMA merger was completed on January 27, 2014. During the year ended December 31, 2013, we recognized approximately $14.1 million of expenses related to the HMA merger.

Our net operating revenues for the year ended December 31, 2013 decreased slightly to approximately $12.998 billion, as compared to approximately $13.029 billion for the year ended December 31, 2012. Income from continuing operations, before noncontrolling interests, for the year ended December 31, 2013 decreased 37.3% over the year ended December 31, 2012 to $217.3 million compared to $346.3 million. Included in income from continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2013, is a $63.0 million after-tax charge for the government settlement and related costs that is further discussed in the Legal Proceedings section in Part I Item 3 of this Form 10-K, an $11.5 million after-tax impairment charge for long-lived assets, an $8.3 million after-tax charge for HMA acquisition-related expenses and $0.8 million after-tax loss from early extinguishment of debt. Included in income from continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2012, is a $47.9 million after-tax benefit from the resolution of an industry-wide governmental settlement and a payment update related to prior periods, a $20.2 million after-tax charge for certain legal and regulatory matters, a $71.8 million after-tax loss from early extinguishment of debt and a $6.2 million after-tax impairment charge for long-lived assets. Total inpatient admissions for the year ended December 31, 2013 decreased 6.7%, compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, and adjusted admissions for the year ended December 31, 2013 decreased 4.0%, compared to the year ended December 31, 2012. On a same-store basis, admissions for the year ended December 31, 2013 decreased 7.2% and adjusted admissions decreased 4.6%, compared with the year ended December 31, 2012.

Self-pay revenues represented approximately 13.7% of our net operating revenues, net of contractual allowances and discounts (but before provision for bad debts), in 2013 compared to 13.0% in 2012. The amount of foregone revenue related to providing charity care services as a percentage of net operating revenues was approximately 5.4% and 5.3% in 2013 and 2012, respectively. Direct and indirect costs incurred by us in providing charity care services were approximately 0.9% and 1.0% of net operating revenues in 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, or PPACA, was signed into law on March 23, 2010. In addition, the Health Care and Education Affordability Reconciliation Act of 2010, or Reconciliation Act, which contains a number of amendments to PPACA, was signed into law on March 30, 2010. These two healthcare acts, referred to collectively as the Reform Legislation, include a mandate that requires substantially all U.S. citizens to maintain medical insurance coverage, which will ultimately increase the number of persons with access to health insurance in the United States. The Reform Legislation, as originally enacted, is expected to expand health insurance coverage through a combination of public program expansion and private sector health insurance reforms. We believe the expansion of private sector and Medicaid coverage will, over time, increase our reimbursement related to providing services to individuals who were previously uninsured, which should reduce our expense from uncollectible accounts receivable. The Reform Legislation also makes a number of other changes to Medicare and Medicaid, such as reductions to the Medicare annual market basket update for federal fiscal years 2010 through 2019, a productivity offset to the Medicare market basket update which began October 1, 2011, and a reduction to the Medicare and Medicaid disproportionate share payments, that could adversely impact the reimbursement received under these programs. The various provisions in the Reform Legislation that directly or indirectly affect reimbursement are scheduled to take effect over a number of years. Over time, we believe the net impact of the overall changes as a result of the Reform Legislation will have a positive effect on our net operating revenues. Other provisions of the Reform Legislation, such as requirements related to employee health insurance coverage, should increase our operating costs.

Starting in 2014, the Reform Legislation may result in an increase in the number of patients using our facilities who have health insurance coverage. The Congressional Budget Office, or CBO, anticipates that, as a result of the Reform Legislation, millions of uninsured Americans across the nation could gain coverage through health insurance exchanges and Medicaid expansion. Based on CBO projections as issued on May 14, 2013, and July 30, 2013, the incremental insurance coverage due to the Reform Legislation could result in 13 million and 25 million formerly uninsured Americans gaining coverage by the end of 2014 and 2016, respectively. The CBO projects, by the end of 2016, a 45% reduction in the number of nonelderly Americans who remain uninsured due to the effects on insurance coverage from the Reform Legislation. The 29 states in which we operate hospitals include nine of the 10 states with the highest percentage of nonelderly uninsured people from among the state's nonelderly population. More broadly, the 29 states in which we operate hospitals include 26 of the 30 states with the highest percentage of nonelderly uninsured people from among the state's nonelderly population.

We have healthcare reform outreach efforts underway in select markets. Such efforts include the expanded use of eligibility screening services, select facility designations as Certified Application Counselor Organizations, and approximately 400 volunteers and staff members trained and designated as Certified Application Counselors, or CACs. These CACs will assist people in understanding and, if appropriate, enrolling in new coverage options, including, but not limited to Qualified Health Plans, or QHPs, on the health insurance exchange or marketplace, Medicaid Expansion, the Children's Health Insurance Program, and the Medicaid program for those eligible but not yet enrolled.

Our hospitals are well positioned to participate in the provider networks of various QHPs offering plan options on the health insurance exchanges. As of December 31, 2013, 134 of 135 of our hospitals participated in a health insurance exchange agreement, 95% of our hospitals possessed two or more contracts, 90% of our hospitals had a contract with the first or second lowest cost bronze plans (QHPs with a 60% actuarial value), and 92% of our hospitals had a contract with the first or second lowest cost silver plans (QHPs with a 70% actuarial value). Most of our exchange reimbursement arrangements reflect a slight discount to that of commercial rates.

Also included in the Reform Legislation are provisions aimed at reducing fraud, waste and abuse in the healthcare industry. These provisions allocate significant additional resources to federal enforcement agencies and expand the use of private contractors to recover potentially inappropriate Medicare and Medicaid payments. The Reform Legislation amends several existing federal laws, including the Medicare Anti-Kickback Statute and the False Claims Act, making it easier for government agencies and private plaintiffs to prevail in lawsuits brought against healthcare providers. These amendments also make it easier for potentially severe fines and penalties to be imposed on healthcare providers accused of violating applicable laws and regulations.

On June 28, 2012, the Supreme Court of the United States largely upheld the constitutionality of the Reform Legislation, though it overturned an aspect of the legislation that would have permitted the Federal government to withhold all Medicaid funding from a state if that state did not expand Medicaid coverage to the extent required by the Reform Legislation. The Supreme Court's ruling instead held that only new incremental funding could be withheld from a state in such a situation. As a result, states will face less severe financial consequences if they refuse to expand Medicaid coverage to individuals with incomes below certain thresholds. Since the Supreme Court's ruling, some states have suggested that, for budgetary and other reasons, they would not expand their Medicaid programs. If states refuse to expand their Medicaid programs, the number of uninsured patients at our hospitals will decline by a smaller margin as compared to our expectations when the Reform Legislation was first adopted. In response to the Supreme Court ruling, the previous estimates of the reduction in uninsured individuals as a result of the Reform Legislation have been revised, with approximately 25 million additional individuals expected to have health insurance coverage by 2016. Of the 29 states in which we operate hospitals, 12 states are expanding their Medicaid programs. At this time, the other 17 states are not expanding Medicaid coverage. Indiana, Pennsylvania and Texas, where we operated a significant number of hospitals as of December 31, 2013, are three of the states that are not expanding Medicaid coverage. After giving effect to the HMA merger, we will also operate a significant number of hospitals in Florida and Tennessee, which also have not expanded Medicaid coverage. In addition, three of the states that are not expanding Medicaid, including Pennsylvania, are evaluating options such as waiver plans to operate an alternative Medicaid expansion plan.

Because of the many variables involved, including clarifications and modifications resulting from the rule-making process, the development of agency guidance and future judicial interpretations, whether and how many states decide to expand or not to expand Medicaid coverage, the number of uninsured who elect to purchase health insurance coverage, budgetary issues at federal and state levels, and the potential for delays in the implementation of some of the provisions of the Reform Legislation, we may not be able to realize the positive impact the Reform Legislation may have on our business, results of operations, cash flow, capital resources and liquidity. Furthermore, we cannot predict whether we will be able to modify certain aspects of our operations to offset any potential adverse consequences from the Reform Legislation.

In a number of markets, we have partnered with local physicians in the ownership of our facilities. Such investments have been permitted under an exception to the physician self-referral law, or Stark Law, that allows physicians to invest in an entire hospital (as opposed to individual hospital departments). The Reform Legislation changes the "whole hospital" exception to the Stark Law. The Reform Legislation permits existing physician investments in a whole hospital to continue under a "grandfather" clause if the arrangement satisfies certain requirements and restrictions, but physicians are now prohibited, from the time the Reform Legislation became effective, from increasing the aggregate percentage of their ownership in the hospital. The Reform Legislation also restricts the ability of existing physician-owned hospitals to expand the capacity of their facilities.

In addition to the Reform Legislation, the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 included provisions for implementing health information technology under the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act, or HITECH. These provisions were designed to increase the use of electronic health records, or EHR, technology and establish the requirements for a Medicare and Medicaid incentive payments program beginning in 2011 for eligible hospitals and providers that adopt and meaningfully use certified EHR technology. These incentive payments are intended to offset a portion of the costs incurred to implement and qualify as a meaningful user of EHR. Rules adopted in July 2010 by the Department of Health and Human Services established an initial set of standards and certification criteria. Our hospital facilities have been implementing EHR technology on a facility-by-facility basis since 2011. We anticipate recognizing incentive reimbursement related to the Medicare or Medicaid incentives as we are able to implement the certified EHR technology, meet the defined "meaningful use criteria," and information from completed cost report periods is available from which to calculate the incentive reimbursement. The timing of recognizing incentive reimbursement will not correlate with the timing of recognizing operating expenses and incurring capital costs in connection with the implementation of EHR technology which may result in material period-to-period changes in our future results of operations. Hospitals that do not qualify as a meaningful user of EHR technology by 2015 are subject to a reduced market basket update to the inpatient prospective payment system standardized amount in 2015 and each subsequent fiscal year. Although we believe that our hospital facilities will be in compliance with the EHR standards by 2015, there can be no assurance that all of our facilities will be in compliance and therefore not subject to the penalty provisions of HITECH. We recognized approximately $165.9 million, $126.7 million and $63.4 million during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, of incentive reimbursement for HITECH incentive reimbursements from Medicare and Medicaid related to certain of our hospitals and for certain of our employed physicians, which are presented as a reduction of operating expenses.

As a result of our current levels of cash, available borrowing capacity, long-term outlook on our debt repayments, the refinancing of our term loans and our continued projection of our ability to generate cash flows, we do not anticipate a significant impact on our ability to invest the necessary capital in our business over the next twelve months and into the foreseeable future. We believe there continues to be ample opportunity for growth in substantially all of our markets by decreasing the need for patients to travel outside their communities for healthcare services. Furthermore, we continue to benefit from synergies from our acquisitions and will continue to strive to improve operating efficiencies and procedures in order to improve our profitability at all of our hospitals.

Acquisitions and Divestitures

During 2013, we paid approximately $39.7 million and assumed $4.6 million of noncontrolling interests in acquiring the operating assets and related businesses of certain physician practices, home care agencies, clinics and other ancillary businesses that operate within the communities served by our hospitals. In connection with these acquisitions, we allocated approximately $8.9 million of the consideration paid to property and equipment, assumed $0.3 million of negative net working capital, and the remainder, approximately $36.2 million consisting of intangible assets that do not qualify for separate recognition, was allocated to goodwill. These acquisition transactions were accounted for as purchase business combinations.

Sources of Revenue

The following table presents the approximate percentages of operating revenues, net of contractual allowances and discounts (but before provision for bad debts), by payor source for the periods indicated. The data for the periods presented are not strictly comparable due to the effect that hospital acquisitions have had on these statistics.

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Medicare	24.9 %	26.0 % (1)	26.8 %
Medicaid	9.7	9.8	9.7
Managed Care and other third-party payors	51.7	51.2	51.5
Self-pay	13.7	13.0	12.0
Total	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %

(1) Excludes the $84.3 million reimbursement settlement and payment update as discussed below.

As shown above, we receive a substantial portion of our revenues from the Medicare and Medicaid programs. Included in Managed Care and other third-party payors is operating revenues from insurance companies with which we have insurance provider contracts, Medicare managed care, insurance companies for which we do not have insurance provider contracts, workers' compensation carriers and non-patient service revenue, such as rental income and cafeteria sales. In the future, we generally expect revenues received from the Medicare and Medicaid programs to increase due to the general aging of the population. In addition, the Reform Legislation, currently in effect, should increase the number of insured patients, which, in turn, should reduce revenues from self-pay patients and reduce our provision for bad debts. The Reform Legislation, however, imposes significant reductions in amounts the government pays Medicare managed care plans. The trend toward increased enrollment in Medicare managed care may adversely affect our operating revenue growth. Other provisions in the Reform Legislation impose minimum medical-loss ratios and require insurers to meet specific benefit requirements. Furthermore, in the normal course of business, managed care programs, insurance companies and employers actively negotiate the amounts paid to hospitals. There can be no assurance that we will retain our existing reimbursement arrangements or that these third-party payors will not attempt to further reduce the rates they pay for our services.

Net operating revenues include amounts estimated by management to be reimbursable by Medicare and Medicaid under prospective payment systems and provisions of cost-based reimbursement and other payment methods. In addition, we are reimbursed by non-governmental payors using a variety of payment methodologies. Amounts we receive for treatment of patients covered by these programs are generally less than the standard billing rates. We account for the differences between the estimated program reimbursement rates and the standard billing rates as contractual allowance adjustments, which we deduct from gross revenues to arrive at net operating revenues. Final settlements under some of these programs are subject to adjustment based on administrative review and audit by third parties. We account for adjustments to previous program reimbursement estimates as contractual allowance adjustments and report them in the periods that such adjustments become known. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we recognized a net after-tax benefit of $46.0 million from the resolution of an industry-wide governmental settlement and a payment update related to prior periods. Other than these items, contractual allowance adjustments related to final settlements and previous program reimbursement estimates impacted net operating revenues and net income by an insignificant amount in each of the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

The payment rates under the Medicare program for hospital inpatient and outpatient acute care services are based on a prospective payment system, depending upon the diagnosis of a patient's condition. These rates are indexed for inflation annually, although increases have historically been less than actual inflation. On August 19, 2013, CMS issued the final rule to adjust this index by 2.5% for hospital inpatient acute care services that are reimbursed under the prospective payment system. The final rule also made other payment adjustments that, coupled with the 0.5% multifactor productivity reduction and a 0.3% reduction to hospital inpatient rates implemented pursuant to the Reform Legislation, yielded an estimated net 0.5% increase in reimbursement for hospital inpatient acute care services beginning October 1, 2013. Reductions in the rate of increase or overall reductions in Medicare reimbursement may cause a decline in the growth of our net operating revenues.

Currently, several states utilize supplemental reimbursement programs for the purpose of providing reimbursement to providers to offset a portion of the cost of providing care to Medicaid and indigent patients. These programs are designed with input from Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, or CMS, and are funded with a combination of state and federal resources, including, in certain instances, fees or taxes levied on the providers. Similar programs are also being considered by other states. After these supplemental programs are signed into law, we recognize revenue and related expenses in the period in which amounts are estimable and collection is reasonably assured. Reimbursement under these programs is reflected in net operating revenues and included as Medicaid revenue in the table above, and fees, taxes or other program related costs are reflected in other operating expenses.

In addition, specified managed care programs, insurance companies and employers are actively negotiating the amounts paid to hospitals. The trend toward increased enrollment in managed care may adversely affect our net operating revenue growth.

Results of Operations

Our hospitals offer a variety of services involving a broad range of inpatient and outpatient medical and surgical services. These include general acute care, emergency room, general and specialty surgery, critical care, internal medicine, obstetrics, diagnostic services, psychiatric and rehabilitation services. The strongest demand for hospital services generally occurs during January through April and the weakest demand for these services occurs during the summer months. Accordingly, eliminating the effect of new acquisitions, our net operating revenues and earnings are historically highest during the first quarter and lowest during the third quarter.

The following tables summarize, for the periods indicated, selected operating data.

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
	(Expressed as a percentage of net operating revenues)		
Consolidated:			
Net operating revenues	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %
Operating expenses (a)	(87.0)	(85.1)	(85.0)
Depreciation and amortization	(6.0)	(5.6)	(5.5)
Income from operations	7.0	9.3	9.5
Interest expense, net	(4.7)	(4.7)	(5.4)
Loss from early extinguishment of debt	-	(0.9)	(0.5)
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	0.3	0.3	0.4
Impairment of long-lived assets	(0.2)	(0.1)	-
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	2.4	3.9	4.0
Provision for income taxes	(0.7)	(1.2)	(1.2)
Income from continuing operations	1.7	2.7	2.8
Loss from discontinued operations, net of taxes	-	-	(0.5)
Net income	1.7	2.7	2.3
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(0.6)	(0.7)	(0.6)
Net income attributable to Community Health Systems, Inc. stockholders	1.1 %	2.0 %	1.7 %

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Percentage (decrease) increase from same period prior year:		
Net operating revenues	(0.2)%	9.4%
Admissions	(6.7)	4.0
Adjusted admissions (b)	(4.0)	6.6
Average length of stay	-	-
Net income attributable to Community Health Systems, Inc. (c)	(46.8)	31.5
Same store percentage (decrease) increase from same period prior year (d)		
Net operating revenues	(0.2)%	4.6%
Admissions	(7.2)	(0.9)
Adjusted admissions (b)	(4.6)	1.5

(a) Operating expenses include salaries and benefits, supplies, other operating expenses, government settlement and related costs, electronic health records incentive reimbursement and rent.

(b) Adjusted admissions is a general measure of combined inpatient and outpatient volume. We computed adjusted admissions by multiplying admissions by gross patient revenues and then dividing that number by gross inpatient revenues.

(c) Includes loss from discontinued operations.

(d) Includes acquired hospitals to the extent we operated them in both years.

Year Ended December 31, 2013 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2012

Net operating revenues decreased slightly by 0.2% to approximately $12.998 billion in 2013, from approximately $13.029 billion in 2012. Included in 2012 net operating revenues on a non-same store basis is approximately $105.3 million of net operating revenues from an industry-wide settlement with the United States Department of Health and Human Services and CMS, based on a claim that acute-care hospitals in the U.S. were underpaid from the Medicare inpatient prospective payment system in federal fiscal years 1999 through 2011. The underpayments resulted from calculations related to the rural floor budget neutrality adjustments implemented in connection with the Balanced Budget Act of 1997. Also included in 2012 net operating revenues is an unfavorable adjustment of approximately $21.0 million, related to the revised Supplemental Security Income ratios issued for federal fiscal years 2006 through 2009 utilized for calculating Medicare Disproportionate Share Hospital reimbursements. Excluding the $84.3 million net effect of these two items on 2012, net operating revenues for the year ended December 31, 2013 increased $53.0 million. Of this increase in net operating revenues, $74.4 million was contributed by hospitals acquired in 2012, offset by a decrease of $21.4 million in net operating revenues from hospitals owned throughout both periods. On a same-store basis, net operating revenues decreased 0.2%. The decrease in net operating revenues from the hospitals owned throughout both periods is primarily due to physician office system conversions that negatively affected productivity in some physician practices and an unfavorable rate adjustment in Indiana's state supplemental Medicaid program.

On a consolidated basis, inpatient admissions decreased by 6.7% and adjusted admissions decreased by 4.0% during the year ended December 31, 2013. On a same-store basis, inpatient admissions decreased by 7.2% and adjusted admissions decreased by 4.6% during the year ended December 31, 2013. This decrease in same-store inpatient admissions was significantly impacted by seasonality factors, including the loss of one day in 2013 as compared to 2012, which was a leap year, as well as additional holidays that fell on weekdays during the first quarter in 2013. The decrease was also reflective of lower admissions from women's services including obstetrics and gynecology, fewer flu and respiratory-related admissions, lower admissions from primarily low intensity cardiology services, lower admissions due to weather and service closures in a few of our hospitals, lower readmissions and reductions due to the continued impact of involuntary turnover of employed physicians occurring at the end of 2012 and continuing through the six months ended June 30, 2013.

Operating expenses, excluding depreciation and amortization, as a percentage of net operating revenues, increased from 85.1% in 2012 to 87.0% in 2013. Salaries and benefits, as a percentage of net operating revenues, increased from 46.9% in 2012 to 47.8% in 2013. This increase in salaries and benefits, as a percentage of net operating revenues, was primarily due to volume decline in net operating revenues, increase in health insurance benefit costs, and annual pay rate increases taking effect during the year ended December 31, 2013. Supplies, as a percentage of net operating revenues, increased from 15.1% in 2012 to 15.3% in 2013. This increase in supplies is due primarily to higher implant costs from an increase in hip and knee surgeries. Other operating expenses, as a percentage of net operating revenues, increased from 22.0% in 2012 to 22.2% in 2013. This increase is due primarily to higher payments for state supplemental Medicaid programs and higher acquisition-related costs, partially offset by a decrease in professional liability expense, as a percentage of net revenues, due to a decline in claim payments and expenses as well as declines in the volume of higher risk procedures. Government settlement and related costs, as a percentage of net revenues, was 0.8% for the year ended December 31, 2013. Rent, as a percentage of net operating revenues, increased from 2.1% in 2012 to 2.2% in 2013.

Electronic health records incentive reimbursements represent those incentives under the HITECH Act for which the recognition criterion has been met. We have recognized approximately $165.9 million and $126.7 million of incentive reimbursements, or 1.3% and 1.0% of net operating revenues, for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. We received cash payments of $203.1 million and $141.0 million for these incentives during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, $90.2 million and $33.3 million was recorded as deferred revenue as all criteria for gain recognition had not been met. Operating expenses incurred related to the installation and adoption of electronic health records, including depreciation and amortization, totaled approximately 0.8% of net operating revenues, of which depreciation and amortization represented 0.5% of net operating revenues for the year ended December 31, 2013. Operating expenses incurred related to the installation and adoption of electronic health records, including depreciation and amortization, totaled approximately 0.6% of net operating revenues, of which depreciation and amortization represented 0.3% of net operating revenues for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Depreciation and amortization, as a percentage of net operating revenues, increased from 5.6% in 2012 to 6.0% in 2013. This increase was due primarily to depreciation and amortization expense related to electronic health records software and hardware and three replacement hospitals opened in 2012.

Interest expense, net, decreased by $7.8 million from $622.9 million in 2012, to $615.1 million in 2013. A decrease in interest rates during 2013, compared to 2012, resulted in a decrease in interest expense of $26.9 million and a decrease in interest expense of $1.7 million due to one additional day of interest expense in the prior year period since 2012 was a leap year. These decreases were partially offset by both an increase in interest expense of $7.4 million due to an increase in our average outstanding debt during 2013, compared to 2012, and an increase in interest expense of $13.4 million as a result of less interest being capitalized during 2013, as compared to 2012, because the prior year period had more major construction projects.

The loss from early extinguishment of debt of $1.3 million was recognized during the year ended December 31, 2013 after the repayment of $206.5 million of the term loans due 2014. The loss from early extinguishment of debt of $115.5 million was recognized during the year ended December 31, 2012 after the purchase and redemption of the 8 7/8% Senior Notes due 2015 and the repayment of existing term loans and revolving credit facility under the Credit Facility as further discussed in Liquidity and Capital Resources.

Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates, as a percentage of net operating revenues, remained consistent at 0.3% for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

An impairment of $20.1 million was recorded during the year ended December 31, 2013 on certain long-lived assets at five of our smaller hospitals primarily due to experiencing a sustained increase in uncompensated care and reduction in volume during the year resulting in a decline in projections of future cash flows and estimated fair values. An impairment of $10.0 million was recorded during the year ended December 31, 2012 on certain long-lived assets at three of our small hospitals.

The net results of the above mentioned changes resulted in income from continuing operations before income taxes decreasing $197.9 million from $503.8 million in 2012 to $305.9 million in 2013.

Provision for income taxes from continuing operations decreased from $157.5 million in 2012 to $88.6 million in 2013 due to the decrease in income from continuing operations before income taxes. Our effective tax rates were 29.0% and 31.3% for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The decrease in our effective tax rate is primarily related to a disproportionate decrease in income from continuing operations before income taxes for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, when compared to the decrease in net income attributable to noncontrolling interests for those same periods, which is not tax-affected in our consolidated financial statements.

Each of income from continuing operations and net income, as a percentage of net operating revenues, decreased from 2.7% in 2012 to 1.7% in 2013.

Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests, as a percentage of net operating revenues, decreased from 0.7% in 2012 to 0.6% in 2013. The decrease in net income attributable to noncontrolling interests, as a percentage of net operating revenues, is primarily due to lower income from operations from our less than wholly-owned subsidiaries and also the reduction in hospital syndications as a result of the redemption of all of the physician ownership interests at several hospitals during 2012.

Net income attributable to Community Health Systems, Inc. was $141.2 million in 2013 compared to $265.6 million in 2012, a decrease of 46.8%. The decrease in net income attributable to Community Health Systems, Inc. is primarily due to an increase in operating expenses as a percentage of net operating revenues, including the government settlement and related costs and the impairment on certain long-lived assets, which were impacted by lower volumes during the year ended December 31, 2013 as discussed above.

Year Ended December 31, 2012 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2011

Net operating revenues increased by 9.4% to approximately $13.0 billion in 2012, from approximately $11.9 billion in 2011. Growth from hospitals owned throughout both periods contributed $545.5 million of that increase and $493.0 million was contributed by hospitals acquired in 2012 and 2011. On a same-store basis, net operating revenues increased 4.6%. The increased net operating revenues contributed by hospitals that we owned throughout both periods were primarily attributable to general rate and reimbursement increases including revenues from states with provider assessment programs. Included in net operating revenues on a non-same store basis is approximately $105.3 million of net operating revenues from an industry-wide settlement with the United States Department of Health and Human Services and CMS, based on a claim that acute-care hospitals in the U.S. were underpaid from the Medicare inpatient prospective payment system in federal fiscal years 1999 through 2011. The underpayments resulted from calculations related to the rural floor budget neutrality adjustments implemented in connection with the Balanced Budget Act of 1997. Also included is an unfavorable adjustment of approximately $21.0 million, related to the revised Supplemental Security Income ratios issued for federal fiscal years 2006 through 2009 utilized for calculating Medicare Disproportionate Share Hospital reimbursements.

On a consolidated basis, inpatient admissions increased by 4.0% and adjusted admissions increased by 6.6%. On a same-store basis, inpatient admissions decreased by 0.9% and adjusted admissions increased by 1.5% during the year ended December 31, 2012. This decrease in same-store inpatient admissions was due primarily to a decrease in admissions from women's services including obstetrics and gynecology, fewer flu and respiratory-related admissions and reductions due to competition in a few of our hospitals during the year ended December 31, 2012, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. The reductions in surgical inpatient admissions were offset with a corresponding increase in outpatient surgical visits.

Operating expenses, excluding depreciation and amortization, as a percentage of net operating revenues, increased from 85.0% in 2011 to 85.1% in 2012. Salaries and benefits, as a percentage of net operating revenues, remained consistent at 46.9% for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011. Supplies, as a percentage of net operating revenues, decreased from 15.4% in 2011 to 15.1% in 2012. This decrease is due primarily to lower drug, implant and food costs. Other operating expenses, as a percentage of net operating revenues, increased from 21.1% in 2011 to 22.0% in 2012. This increase is due primarily to an increase in costs associated with provider taxes from states with provider assessment programs. Rent, as a percentage of net operating revenues, remained consistent at 2.1% for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Electronic health records incentive reimbursements represent those incentives under the HITECH Act for which the recognition criterion has been met. We have recognized approximately $126.7 million and $63.4 million of incentive reimbursements, or 1.0% and 0.5% of net operating revenues, for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. We received cash payments of $141.0 million and $37.4 million for these incentives during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, $33.3 million and $8.5 million was recorded as deferred revenue as all criteria for gain recognition had not been met. Operating expenses incurred related to the installation and adoption of electronic health records, including depreciation and amortization, totaled approximately 0.6% of net operating revenues, of which depreciation and amortization represented 0.3% of net operating revenues for the year ended December 31, 2012. Operating expenses incurred related to the installation and adoption of electronic health records, including depreciation and amortization, totaled approximately 0.2% of net operating revenues, of which depreciation and amortization represented less than 0.1% of net operating revenues for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Depreciation and amortization, as a percentage of net operating revenues, increased from 5.5% in 2011 to 5.6% in 2012.

Interest expense, net, decreased by $21.5 million from $644.4 million in 2011, to $622.9 million in 2012. A decrease in interest rates during 2012, compared to 2011, resulted in a decrease in interest expense of $59.4 million. Additionally, interest expense decreased by $2.9 million as a result of more interest being capitalized during 2012, as compared to 2011, as the current year period had more major construction projects. These decreases were partially offset by both an increase in interest expense of $39.0 million due to an increase in our average outstanding debt during 2012, compared to 2011, and an increase in interest expense of $1.8 million due to one additional day of interest expense since 2012 was a leap year.

The loss from early extinguishment of debt of $115.5 million was recognized after the purchase and redemption of the 8 7/8% Senior Notes due 2015 and the repayment of existing term loans and revolving credit facility under the Credit Facility as further discussed in Liquidity and Capital Resources.

Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates, as a percentage of net operating revenues, decreased from 0.4% in 2011 to 0.3% in 2012.

An impairment of $10.0 million was recorded on certain long-lived assets at three of our small hospitals. No impairment charge was recorded for 2011.

The net results of the above mentioned changes resulted in income from continuing operations before income taxes increasing $30.3 million from $473.5 million in 2011 to $503.8 million for 2012.

Provision for income taxes from continuing operations increased from $137.7 million in 2011 to $157.5 million in 2012 due to the increase in income from continuing operations before income taxes. Our effective tax rates were 31.3% and 29.1% for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The increase in our effective tax rate is primarily related to a release of uncertain tax positions in 2011 and a decrease in federal tax credits in 2012.

Income from continuing operations, as a percentage of net operating revenues, decreased from 2.8% in 2011 to 2.7% in 2012.

Net income, as a percentage of net operating revenues, increased from 2.3% in 2011 to 2.7% in 2012. The increase is primarily due to the increase in net operating revenues, income from electronic health records incentive reimbursement and a decrease in interest expense, offset by the loss from early extinguishment of debt as discussed above.

Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests, as a percentage of net operating revenues, increased from 0.6% in 2011 to 0.7% in 2012.

Net income attributable to Community Health Systems, Inc. was $265.6 million in 2012 compared to $201.9 million in 2011, an increase of 31.5%. The increase in net income attributable to Community Health Systems, Inc. is primarily due to the increase in net operating revenues, income from electronic health records incentive reimbursement and a decrease in interest expense, offset by the loss from early extinguishment of debt as discussed above.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

2013 Compared to 2012

Net cash provided by operating activities decreased $191.4 million, from approximately $1.280 billion for the year ended December 31, 2012 to approximately $1.089 billion for the year ended December 31, 2013. The decrease in cash provided by operating activities is due primarily to the $96.8 million of cash received, net of legal fees paid, related to the industry-wide settlement included in net income for the year ended December 31, 2012, as well as a net decrease in cash flows from accounts payable, accrued liabilities and income taxes, primarily as a result of the timing of payments of accounts payable and payroll-related accrued liabilities, which decreased cash flows from operating activities by $173.8 million, a decrease in cash generated from the growth in accounts receivable of $81.3 million and a decrease from the effect of the non-cash loss from early extinguishment of debt of $114.2 million. These decreases in cash flows were offset by an increase in cash flows from supplies, prepaid expenses and other current assets of $91.3 million, an increase in depreciation and amortization expense of $57.1 million, an increase from the effect of the non-cash expense for the reserve recorded for the government settlement and related costs of $101.5 million, an increase from the effect of the non-cash impairment of long-lived assets of $10.1 million, an increase in cash flow from the change in other assets and liabilities of $8.1 million and an increase in all other non-cash expenses of $38.3 million. Included in net cash provided by operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2013 is $203.1 million of cash received for HITECH incentive reimbursements, compared to $141.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2012.

The cash used in investing activities decreased $391.9 million, from approximately $1.4 billion for the year ended December 31, 2012 to approximately $991.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The decrease in cash used in investing activities was due to a decrease in cash paid for acquisitions of facilities and other related equipment of $278.6 million, since there were no hospital acquisitions in the current period compared to four hospitals and one large multi-specialty clinic acquired in 2012, a decrease in the cash used for the purchase of property and equipment of $154.8 million and an increase in the proceeds from sale of property and equipment of $0.5 million. These decreases in cash outflows were partially offset by an increase in cash used for other investments of $42.0 million. Included in cash outflows for other investments for the year ended December 31, 2013 is approximately $168.7 million of capital expenditures related to the purchase and implementation of certified EHR technology, including implementation of Cerner software at ten hospital locations. The remaining cash outflows for other investments of $171.2 million consists primarily of purchases and development of other internal-use software, payments made under non-employee physician recruiting agreements, contributions to equity investees and purchases of available-for-sale securities. We anticipate being able to fund future routine capital expenditures with cash flows generated from operations.

Our net cash used in financing activities was $111.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to net cash provided by financing activities of $361.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The change in cash used in financing activities, in comparison to the prior year, is primarily due to a decrease in our long-term borrowings totaling $6.6 billion, but was mostly offset by a reduction in the repayments of our long-term debt of $5.9 billion and deferred financing costs of $128.0 million. Additionally, the special dividend given to stockholders in 2012 of $22.5 million, an increase in the repurchase of our common stock of $27.1 million, an increase in proceeds from the exercise of stock options of $89.8 million and a reduction in the redemption of noncontrolling investments in joint ventures of $35.0 million increased cash used in financing activities. The net decrease in all other financing activities was $10.3 million.

Historically, we have not paid any cash dividends. In December 2012, we declared and paid a special dividend of $0.25 per share to holders of our common stock at the close of business on December 17, 2012, which totaled approximately $23.0 million. We did not pay a cash dividend in 2013 and do not anticipate the payment of any other cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Our Credit Facility limits our ability to pay dividends and/or repurchase stock to an amount not to exceed $150 million in the aggregate plus the aggregate amount of proceeds from the exercise of stock options. The indentures governing our 8% Senior Notes due 2019, our 7 1/8% Senior Notes due 2020 and our 5 1/8% Senior Secured Notes due 2018 also limit our ability to pay dividends and/or repurchase stock. As of December 31, 2013, under the most restrictive test under these agreements, we have approximately $261.9 million available with which to pay permitted dividends and/or repurchase shares of stock or our Notes.

The table below sets forth additional detail about our upcoming cash obligations and a further discussion of our existing Credit Facility is set out under the section "Capital Resources" in Item 7 of this Report. We do not anticipate the need to use funds currently available under our Credit Facility for purposes of funding our operations, although these funds could be used for the purpose of making further acquisitions or for restructuring our existing debt. Furthermore, we anticipate we will remain in compliance with our debt covenants through the next 12 months and beyond into the foreseeable future.

As described in Notes 6, 9 and 16 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, at December 31, 2013, we had certain cash obligations, which are due as follows (in thousands):

	Total	2014	2015 - 2017	2018 - 2019	2020 and thereafter
Long-term debt	$ 4,086,985	$ 161,464	$ 3,921,714	$ 1,909	$ 1,898
8% Senior Notes	2,000,000	-	-	2,000,000	-
7 1/8% Senior Notes	1,200,000	-	-	-	1,200,000
5 1/8% Senior Secured Notes	1,600,000	-	-	1,600,000	-
Receivables Facility	500,000	-	500,000	-	-
Total long-term debt (1)	9,386,985	161,464	4,421,714	3,601,909	1,201,898
Interest on Credit Facility, Notes and Receivables Facility (2)	2,342,207	477,928	1,268,425	542,417	53,437
Capital lease obligations, including interest	80,922	9,289	19,151	11,086	41,396
Operating leases	780,542	192,481	370,493	107,992	109,576
Replacement facilities and other capital commitments (3)	451,843	220,018	229,004	2,821	-
Open purchase orders (4)	425,798	425,798	-	-	-
Liability for uncertain tax positions, including interest and penalties	924	-	201	-	723
Total	$ 13,469,221	$ 1,486,978	$ 6,308,988	$ 4,266,225	$ 1,407,030

(1) The amounts included for total long-term debt in this table are as of December 31, 2013. Subsequent to that date, on January 27, 2014, CHS entered into a third amendment and restatement of its existing credit agreement as part of the financing of the HMA merger. Also in connection with financing the HMA merger, CHS issued (i) $1.0 billion aggregate principal amount of Senior Secured Notes due 2021, and (ii) $3.0 billion aggregate principal amount of Secured Notes due 2022. As a result, the total maturities and cash obligations for our long-term debt as adjusted for these financing activities will be, as follows: $122.2 million due in 2014, $2.671 billion due in 2015-2017, $4.294 billion due in 2018-2019, and $9.527 billion due in the years thereafter.

Such changes to the amounts and maturities of our long-term debt will be reflected in future filings. See further discussion in Liquidity and Capital Resources.

(2) Estimate of interest payments assumes the interest rates at December 31, 2013 remain constant during the period presented for the Credit Facility and the Receivables Facility, which are variable rate debt. The interest rate used to calculate interest payments for the Credit Facility was the London Interbank Offered Rate, or LIBOR, as of December 31, 2013 plus the applicable spread. The 8% Senior Notes are fixed at an interest rate of 8% per annum. The 7 1/8% Senior Notes are fixed at an interest rate of 7.125% per annum. The 5 1/8% Senior Secured Notes are fixed at an interest rate of 5.125% per annum.

(3) Pursuant to hospital purchase agreements in effect as of December 31, 2013, we have commitments to build one replacement facility and the following capital commitments. As part of an acquisition in 2012, we agreed to build a replacement hospital in York, Pennsylvania, by July 2017. Construction costs, including equipment costs, for this replacement facility is currently estimated to be approximately $100.0 million, of which approximately $0.7 million has been incurred to date. In addition, in October 2008, after the purchase of the noncontrolling owner's interest in our Birmingham, Alabama facility, we initiated the purchase of a site, which includes a partially constructed hospital structure, for a potential replacement to our existing Birmingham facility. In September 2010, we received approval of our request for a certificate of need from the Alabama Certificate of Need Review Board. This CON was challenged in the Alabama state circuit and appellate courts, but the CON was upheld by the Supreme Court of Alabama in May 2013, eliminating any further appeals. Our estimated construction costs for the Birmingham replacement facility, including the acquisition of the site and equipment costs, are approximately $280.0 million, of which approximately $64.2 million has been incurred to date. In addition, under other purchase agreements, we have committed to spend approximately $393.5 million for costs such as capital improvements, equipment, selected leases and physician recruiting. These commitments are required to be fulfilled generally over a five to seven year period after acquisition. Through December 31, 2013, we have incurred approximately $256.8 million related to these commitments.

(4) Open purchase orders represent our commitment for items ordered but not yet received.

At December 31, 2013, we had issued letters of credit primarily in support of potential insurance related claims and specified outstanding bonds of approximately $19.4 million.

Our debt as a percentage of total capitalization decreased from 77% at December 31, 2012 to 75% at December 31, 2013.

2012 Compared to 2011

Net cash provided by operating activities increased $18.2 million, from approximately $1.262 billion for the year ended December 31, 2011 to approximately $1.280 billion for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase in cash provided by operating activities is due primarily to an increase in net income of $68.2 million, an increase in depreciation and amortization expense of $67.9 million, loss from early extinguishment of debt of $49.4 million, impairment of long-lived assets of $10.0 million, an increase in all other non-cash expenses of $1.5 million, and an increase in cash flow from the change in other assets and liabilities of $45.2 million. In addition, an increase in cash flows from accounts payable, accrued liabilities and income taxes, primarily as a result of the timing of payments, increased cash flows from operating activities by $0.2 million. These increases in cash flows were offset by a decrease in cash flows from supplies, prepaid expenses and other current assets of $56.9 million, a decrease in deferred taxes of $53.6 million, a decrease due to the non-recurring impairment of hospitals sold in 2011 of $47.9 million and decreases in cash generated from accounts receivable of $65.8 million, primarily from growth in accounts receivable at hospitals acquired in 2012 due to delays in billing and collection arising from system conversions. Included in net cash provided by operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2012 is $141.0 million of cash received for HITECH incentive reimbursements, compared to $37.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2011.

The cash used in investing activities increased $187.4 million, from approximately $1.2 billion for the year ended December 31, 2011 to approximately $1.4 billion for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase in cash used in investing activities was due to a decrease in the amount of the proceeds from the sale of property and equipment of $5.3 million and the decrease in proceeds from the sale of three hospitals in 2011 of $173.4 million. There were no hospital divestitures in 2012. Additionally, the increase in cash used in investing activities was due to an increase in cash used for other investments of $109.6 million. Included in cash outflows for other investments for the year ended December 31, 2012 is approximately $127.0 million of capital expenditures related to the purchase and implementation of certified EHR technology. The remaining cash outflows for other investments consists primarily of purchases and development of other internal-use software and payments made under non-employee physician recruiting agreements of $148.5 million and an increase in available-for-sale securities of $22.5 million. These increases in cash outflows were partially offset by a decrease in cash paid for acquisitions of facilities and other related equipment of $93.0 million and a decrease in the cash used for the purchase of property and equipment of $7.9 million. We anticipate being able to fund future routine capital expenditures with cash flows generated from operations.

Our net cash provided by financing activities was $361.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to net cash used in financing activities $235.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase in cash provided by financing activities, in comparison to the prior year, is primarily due to an increase in borrowings under our Credit Facility, proceeds from the Receivables Facility and the issuance of our 8% Senior Notes, our 7 1/8% Senior Notes and our 5 1/8% Senior Secured Notes totaling $6.6 billion, but was mostly offset by an increase in the repayments of our long-term debt of $5.9 billion. Additionally, a reduction in the repurchase of our common stock of $85.8 million increased cash provided by financing activities. These increases were also partially offset by an increase in deferred financing costs of $121.9 million associated with the amendments of our Credit Facility and the issuance of our 8% Senior Notes, our 7 1/8% Senior Notes and our 5 1/8% Senior Secured Notes, the special dividend to stockholders of $22.5 million and an increase in the redemption of noncontrolling investments in joint ventures of $31.3 million. The net decrease in all other financing activities was $8.2 million.

Capital Expenditures

Cash expenditures for purchases of facilities were $43.7 million in 2013, $322.3 million in 2012 and $415.4 million in 2011. Our expenditures in 2013 were for the purchase of surgery centers, physician practices and other ancillary services. Our expenditures in 2012 included $238.8 million for the purchase of three hospitals in Pennsylvania and one hospital in Illinois, $91.5 million for surgery centers and other physician practices, including a large physician practice in Texas, partially offset by $8.0 million of cash received for the settlement of working capital items from a prior divestiture and return of a deposit made at acquisition related to building a replacement hospital. Our expenditures in 2011 included $357.3 million for the purchase of four hospitals, $56.7 million for the purchase of clinics, surgery centers and physician practices and $1.4 million for the settlement of acquired working capital.

Excluding the cost to construct replacement hospitals, our cash expenditures for routine capital for 2013 totaled $551.7 million compared to $672.7 million in 2012, and $611.7 million in 2011. These capital expenditures related primarily to the purchase of additional equipment, minor renovations and information systems infrastructure. Costs to construct replacement hospitals totaled $62.3 million in 2013, $96.1 million in 2012 and $165.0 million in 2011. The costs to construct replacement hospitals for the year ended December 31, 2013 represent both planning and construction costs for two replacement hospitals discussed below. The costs to construct replacement hospitals for the year ended December 31, 2012 represent construction and equipment costs primarily for three replacement hospitals opened in 2012 located in Barstow, California; Valparaiso, Indiana; and Siloam Springs, Arkansas. The costs to construct replacement hospitals for the year ended December 31, 2011 represent both planning and construction costs for four replacement hospitals.

Pursuant to hospital purchase agreements in effect as of December 31, 2013, we agreed to build a replacement hospital in York, Pennsylvania, by July 2017, as part of an acquisition in 2012. Construction costs, including equipment costs, for the York replacement facility is currently estimated to be approximately $100.0 million, of which $0.7 million has been incurred to date. In addition, in October 2008, after the purchase of the noncontrolling owner's interest in our Birmingham, Alabama facility, we initiated the purchase of a site, which includes a partially constructed hospital structure, for a potential replacement to our existing Birmingham facility. In September 2010, we received approval of our request for a certificate of need, or CON, from the Alabama Certificate of Need Review Board. This CON was challenged in the Alabama state circuit and appellate courts, but the CON was upheld by the Supreme Court of Alabama in May 2013, eliminating any further appeals. Our estimated construction costs, including the acquisition of the site and equipment costs, are approximately $280.0 million for the Birmingham replacement facility, of which $64.2 million has been incurred to date. We expect total capital expenditures of approximately $975 million to $1.150 billion in 2014 (which includes amounts that are required to be expended pursuant to the terms of hospital purchase agreements), including approximately $845 million to $980 million for renovation and equipment cost and approximately $130 million to $170 million for construction and equipment cost of the replacement hospitals.

Capital Resources

Net working capital was approximately $1.290 billion at December 31, 2013, compared to $1.276 billion at December 31, 2012, an increase of $14.6 million. Contributing to the increase in net working capital were increases in patient accounts receivable of approximately $285.9 million, supplies of approximately $8.8 million, prepaid income taxes of approximately $57.2 million, prepaid expenses of approximately $1.9 million and other current assets of approximately $5.2 million and decreases in employee compensation liabilities of approximately $14.7 million. These increases in working capital were partially offset by increases in current maturities of long-term debt of approximately $77.0 million, accounts payable of approximately $132.7 million, deferred tax liabilities of $3.2 million, other current liabilities of approximately $114.9 million, accrued interest of approximately $1.2 million and decreases in cash of approximately $14.4 million and deferred tax assets of approximately $15.7 million.

We obtained senior secured financing under the Credit Facility with a syndicate of financial institutions led by Credit Suisse, as administrative agent and collateral agent. A $750 million revolving credit facility was available to us for working capital and general corporate purposes under the Credit Facility. The revolving credit facility also includes a subfacility for letters of credit and a swingline subfacility. The Credit Facility requires quarterly amortization payments of each term loan facility equal to 0.25% of the outstanding amount of the term loans. On November 5, 2010, we entered into an amendment and restatement of the Credit Facility. The amendment extended by two and a half years, until January 25, 2017, the maturity date of $1.5 billion of our existing term loans under the Credit Facility and increased the pricing on these term loans to LIBOR plus 350 basis points. The amendment also increased our ability to issue additional indebtedness under the uncommitted incremental facility to $1.0 billion from $600 million, permitted us to issue term loan A loans under the incremental facility and provided up to $2.0 billion of borrowing capacity from receivable transactions, an increase of $0.5 billion, of which approximately $1.7 billion would be required to be used for repayment of our existing term loans. On February 2, 2012, we completed a second amendment and restatement of the Credit Facility to extend an additional $1.6 billion of our term loans due 2014 under the Credit Facility to match the maturity date and interest rate margins of the term loans due January 25, 2017. On August 3, 2012, we entered into Amendment No. 1 to the Credit Facility to provide increased flexibility for refinancing and repayment of the term loans due 2014 and amend certain other terms. On August 22, 2012, we entered into a loan modification agreement with respect to the Credit Facility to extend approximately $340 million of the term loans due 2014 to match the maturity date and interest rate margins of the term loans due January 25, 2017. On November 27, 2012, we entered into Amendment No. 2 to the Credit Facility to provide increased flexibility for us to make investments and restricted payments, incur debt related to acquisitions, amend certain other terms of the Credit Facility, including the maximum leverage ratio and interest coverage ratio financial coverage levels, and add a one year 1% prepayment premium payable in connection with a repricing of the term loans due in 2017.

On August 12, 2013, CHS entered into Amendment No. 3 to the Credit Facility to provide increased flexibility for CHS to incur debt by amending certain terms of the Credit Facility, including the maximum leverage ratio and secured leverage ratio covenant levels. In addition, the amendment includes pricing protection for certain term loans due January 25, 2017, which specifies an increased margin in certain instances. The amendment also provides for a total leverage-based step-up to the applicable margin of the term loans due January 25, 2017 and the term loans due July 25, 2014. The pricing of the loans under the Credit Facility will otherwise remain unchanged. During the year ended December 31, 2013, we paid down $206.5 million of the term loans due 2014. The remaining balance of the non-extended term loans due 2014 at December 31, 2013 of approximately $59.6 million was paid as part of the financing for the HMA merger.

Effective March 6, 2012, we obtained a new $750 million senior secured revolving credit facility, or the Replacement Revolver Facility, and a new $750 million incremental term loan A facility, or the Incremental Term Loan, subject to the terms and conditions set forth in the Credit Facility. The Replacement Revolver Facility replaced in full the existing revolving credit facility under the Credit Facility. The net proceeds of the Incremental Term Loan were used to repay the same amount of the existing term loans under the Credit Facility. Both the Replacement Revolver Facility and the Incremental Term Loan have a maturity date of October 25, 2016, subject to customary acceleration events and to earlier maturity if the repayment, extension or refinancing with longer maturity debt of substantially all of the then outstanding term loans maturing July 25, 2014 and the now fully redeemed 8 7/8% Senior Notes does not occur by April 25, 2014. The pricing on each of the Replacement Revolver Facility and the Incremental Term Loan is initially LIBOR plus a margin of 250 basis points, subject to adjustment based on our leverage ratio. The Incremental Term Loan amortizes at 5% in year one, 10% in years two and three, 15% in year four and 60% in year five.

The term loan facility must be prepaid in an amount equal to (1) 100% of the net cash proceeds of certain asset sales and dispositions by us and our subsidiaries, subject to certain exceptions and reinvestment rights, (2) 100% of the net cash proceeds of issuances of certain debt obligations or receivables-based financing by us and our subsidiaries, subject to certain exceptions, and (3) 50%, subject to reduction to a lower percentage based on our leverage ratio (as defined in the Credit Facility generally as the ratio of total debt on the date of determination to our EBITDA, as defined, for the four quarters most recently ended prior to such date), of excess cash flow (as defined) for any year, commencing in 2008, subject to certain exceptions. Voluntary prepayments and commitment reductions are permitted in whole or in part, without any premium or penalty, subject to minimum prepayment or reduction requirements.

The obligor under the Credit Facility is CHS. All of our obligations under the Credit Facility are unconditionally guaranteed by Community Health Systems, Inc. and certain of its existing and subsequently acquired or organized domestic subsidiaries. All obligations under the Credit Facility and the related guarantees are secured by a perfected first priority lien or security interest in substantially all of the assets of Community Health Systems, Inc., CHS and each subsidiary guarantor, including equity interests held by us or any subsidiary guarantor, but excluding, among others, the equity interests of non-significant subsidiaries, syndication subsidiaries, securitization subsidiaries and joint venture subsidiaries.

The loans under the Credit Facility bear interest on the outstanding unpaid principal amount at a rate equal to an applicable percentage plus, at our option, either (a) an Alternate Base Rate (as defined) determined by reference to the greater of (1) the Prime Rate (as defined) announced by Credit Suisse or (2) the Federal Funds Effective Rate (as defined) plus 0.5% or (3) the adjusted LIBOR rate on such day for a three-month interest period commencing on the second business day after such day plus 1%, or (b) a reserve adjusted LIBOR for dollars (Eurodollar rate) (as defined). The applicable percentage for Alternate Base Rate loans is 1.25% for term loans due 2014 and 2.50% for term loans due 2017. The applicable percentage for Eurodollar rate loans is 2.25% for term loans due 2014 and 3.50% for term loans due 2017. The applicable percentage for revolving loans and the Incremental Term Loan is 1.50% for Alternate Base Rate loans and 2.50% for Eurodollar loans. The applicable percentage for the loans under the Credit Facility is subject to adjustment based on our leverage ratio. Loans under the swingline subfacility bear interest at the rate applicable to Alternate Base Rate loans under the Credit Facility.

We have agreed to pay letter of credit fees equal to the applicable percentage then in effect with respect to Eurodollar rate loans under the revolving credit facility times the maximum aggregate amount available to be drawn under all letters of credit outstanding under the subfacility for letters of credit. The issuer of any letter of credit issued under the subfacility for letters of credit will also receive a customary fronting fee and other customary processing charges. We are obligated to pay commitment fees of 0.50% per annum (subject to reduction based upon our leverage ratio), on the unused portion of the revolving credit facility. For purposes of this calculation, swingline loans are not treated as usage of the revolving credit facility.

The Credit Facility contains customary representations and warranties, subject to limitations and exceptions, and customary covenants restricting our and our subsidiaries' ability, subject to certain exception, to, among other things, (1) declare dividends, make distributions or redeem or repurchase capital stock, (2) prepay, redeem or repurchase other debt, (3) incur liens or grant negative pledges, (4) make loans and investments and enter into acquisitions and joint ventures, (5) incur additional indebtedness or provide certain guarantees, (6) make capital expenditures, (7) engage in mergers, acquisitions and asset sales, (8) conduct transactions with affiliates, (9) alter the nature of our businesses, (10) grant certain guarantees with respect to physician practices, (11) engage in sale and leaseback transactions or (12) change our fiscal year. We and our subsidiaries are also required to comply with specified financial covenants (consisting of a leverage ratio and an interest coverage ratio) and various affirmative covenants.

Events of default under the Credit Facility include, but are not limited to, (1) our failure to pay principal, interest, fees or other amounts under the credit agreement when due (taking into account any applicable grace period), (2) any representation or warranty proving to have been materially incorrect when made, (3) covenant defaults subject, with respect to certain covenants, to a grace period, (4) bankruptcy events, (5) a cross default to certain other debt, (6) certain undischarged judgments (not paid within an applicable grace period), (7) a change of control, (8) certain ERISA-related defaults and (9) the invalidity or impairment of specified security interests, guarantees or subordination provisions in favor of the administrative agent or lenders under the Credit Facility.

As of December 31, 2013, the availability for additional borrowings under our Credit Facility was $750 million pursuant to the Replacement Revolver Facility, of which $19.4 million was set aside for outstanding letters of credit. We believe that these funds, along with internally generated cash and continued access to the bank credit and capital markets, will be sufficient to finance future acquisitions, capital expenditures and working capital requirements through the next 12 months and into the foreseeable future.

On March 21, 2012, CHS completed the secondary offering of $1.0 billion aggregate principal amount of 8% Senior Notes, which were issued in a private placement (at a premium of 102.5%). The net proceeds from this issuance were used to finance the purchase of approximately $850 million aggregate principal amount of CHS' then outstanding 8 7/8% Senior Notes, to pay related fees and expenses and for general corporate purposes. On March 21, 2012, CHS completed the cash tender offer for $850 million of the then $1.8 billion aggregate outstanding principal amount of 8 7/8% Senior Notes.

On July 18, 2012, CHS completed an underwritten public offering under our automatic shelf registration filed with the SEC of $1.2 billion aggregate principal amount of 7 1/8% Senior Notes due 2020. The net proceeds of the offering were used to finance the purchase or redemption of the then outstanding $934.3 million principal amount plus accrued interest of the 8 7/8% Senior Notes, to pay for consents delivered in connection therewith, to pay related fees and expenses, and for general corporate purposes.

On August 17, 2012, CHS completed an underwritten public offering under our automatic shelf registration filed with the SEC of $1.6 billion aggregate principal amount of 5 1/8% Senior Secured Notes due 2018. The 5 1/8% Senior Secured Notes are secured by a first-priority lien subject to a shared lien of equal priority with certain other obligations, including obligations under the Credit Facility, and subject to prior ranking liens permitted by the indenture governing the 5 1/8% Senior Secured Notes on substantially the same assets, subject to certain exceptions, that secure CHS' obligations under the Credit Facility. The net proceeds of the offering, together with available cash on hand, were used to finance the prepayment of $1.6 billion of the outstanding term loans due 2014 under the Credit Facility and related fees and expenses.

On March 21, 2012, through certain of its subsidiaries, CHS entered into an accounts receivable loan agreement, or the Receivables Facility, with a group of lenders and banks, Credit Agricolé Corporate and Investment Bank, as a managing agent and as the administrative agent, and The Bank of Nova Scotia, as a managing agent. On March 7, 2013, CHS and certain of its subsidiaries amended the Receivables Facility to add an additional managing agent, The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd., to increase the size of the facility from $300 million to $500 million and to extend the scheduled termination date. Additional subsidiaries also agreed to participate in the Receivables Facility as of that date. The existing and future non-self pay patient-related accounts receivable, or the Receivables, for certain hospitals of CHS and its subsidiaries serve as collateral for the outstanding borrowings under the Receivables Facility. The interest rate on the borrowings is based on the commercial paper rate plus an applicable interest rate spread. Unless earlier terminated or subsequently extended pursuant to its terms, the Receivables Facility will expire on March 21, 2015, subject to customary termination events that could cause an early termination date. CHS maintains effective control over the Receivables because, pursuant to the terms of the Receivables Facility, the Receivables are sold from certain of CHS' subsidiaries to CHS, and CHS then sells or contributes the Receivables to a special-purpose entity that is wholly-owned by CHS. The wholly-owned special-purpose entity in turn grants security interests in the Receivables in exchange for borrowings obtained from the group of third-party lenders and banks of up to $500 million outstanding from time to time based on the availability of eligible Receivables and other customary factors. The group of third-party lenders and banks do not have recourse to CHS or its subsidiaries beyond the assets of the wholly-owned special-purpose entity that collateralizes the loan. The Receivables and other assets of the wholly-owned special-purpose entity will be available first and foremost to satisfy the claims of the creditors of such entity. The outstanding borrowings pursuant to the Receivables Facility at December 31, 2013 totaled $500 million and are classified as long-term debt on the consolidated balance sheet. At December 31, 2013, the carrying amount of Receivables included in the Receivables Facility totaled approximately $1.0 billion and is included in patient accounts receivable on the consolidated balance sheet.

As of December 31, 2013, we are currently a party to the following interest rate swap agreements to limit the effect of changes in interest rates on approximately 44% of our variable rate debt. On each of these swaps, we receive a variable rate of interest based on the three-month LIBOR, in exchange for the payment by us of a fixed rate of interest. We currently pay, on a quarterly basis, a margin above LIBOR of 225 basis points for revolving credit and term loans due 2014, 250 basis points for the Replacement Revolver Facility and the Incremental Term Loan and 350 basis points for term loans due 2017 under the Credit Facility.

Swap #	Notional Amount (in thousands)	Fixed Interest Rate	Termination Date	Fair Value (in thousands)
1	$ 100,000	5.231 %	July 25, 2014	$ 2,818
2	100,000	5.231 %	July 25, 2014	2,818
3	200,000	5.160 %	July 25, 2014	5,556
4	75,000	5.041 %	July 25, 2014	2,033
5	125,000	5.022 %	July 25, 2014	3,374
6	100,000	2.621 %	July 25, 2014	1,336
7	100,000	3.110 %	July 25, 2014	1,613
8	100,000	3.258 %	July 25, 2014	1,697
9	200,000	2.693 %	October 26, 2014	3,977
10	300,000	3.447 %	August 8, 2016	21,597
11	200,000	3.429 %	August 19, 2016	14,403
12	100,000	3.401 %	August 19, 2016	7,130
13	200,000	3.500 %	August 30, 2016	14,884
14	100,000	3.005 %	November 30, 2016	6,376
15	200,000	2.055 %	July 25, 2019	(954)(1)
16	200,000	2.059 %	July 25, 2019	(895)(2)

(1) This interest rate swap becomes effective July 25, 2014.
(2) This interest rate swap becomes effective July 25, 2014.

The Credit Facility and/or the Notes contain various covenants that limit our ability to take certain actions including; among other things, our ability to:

- incur, assume or guarantee additional indebtedness;
- issue redeemable stock and preferred stock;
- repurchase capital stock;
- make restricted payments, including paying dividends and making investments;
- redeem debt that is junior in right of payment to the Notes;
- create liens without securing the Notes;
- sell or otherwise dispose of assets, including capital stock of subsidiaries;

- enter into agreements that restrict dividends from subsidiaries;
- merge, consolidate, sell or otherwise dispose of substantial portions of our assets;
- enter into transactions with affiliates and
- guarantee certain obligations.

In addition, our Credit Facility contains restrictive covenants and requires us to maintain specified financial ratios and satisfy other financial condition tests. Our ability to meet these restricted covenants and financial ratios and tests can be affected by events beyond our control, and we cannot assure you that we will meet those tests. A breach of any of these covenants could result in a default under our Credit Facility and/or the Notes. Upon the occurrence of an event of default under our Credit Facility or the Notes, all amounts outstanding under our Credit Facility and the Notes may become immediately due and payable and all commitments under the Credit Facility to extend further credit may be terminated.

We believe that internally generated cash flows, availability for additional borrowings under our Credit Facility of $750 million (consisting of a $750 million revolving credit facility, of which $19.4 million is set aside for outstanding letters of credit) and our ability to amend the Credit Facility to provide for one or more tranches of term loans in an aggregate principal amount of $1.0 billion, and our continued access to the bank credit and capital markets will be sufficient to finance acquisitions, capital expenditures and working capital requirements through the next 12 months, other than the HMA merger in January 2014, for which we obtained commitments for separate financing, as further discussed below. We believe these same sources of cash, borrowings under our Credit Facility as well as access to bank credit and capital markets will be available to us beyond the next 12 months and into the foreseeable future.

On May 24, 2012, we filed a universal automatic shelf registration statement on Form S-3ASR, as amended on June 7, 2012, that will permit us, from time to time, in one or more public offerings, to offer debt securities, common stock, preferred stock, warrants, depositary shares, or any combination of such securities. The shelf registration statement will also permit our subsidiary, CHS, to offer debt securities that would be guaranteed by us, from time to time in one or more public offerings. The terms of any such future offerings would be established at the time of the offering.

As previously disclosed, we completed the HMA merger pursuant to the Merger Agreement on January 27, 2014. In conjunction with the HMA merger, we also entered into the following financing activities to provide financing for the merger.

The Amended and Restated Credit Agreement

On January 27, 2014, CHS entered into a third amendment and restatement, or the Amendment, of its existing credit agreement, dated as of July 25, 2007, as amended and restated as of November 5, 2010 and as of February 2, 2012, among the Parent Company, CHS, the lenders party thereto and Credit Suisse AG, as administrative agent and collateral agent.

The Amendment provides for (i) the replacement of the revolving credit facility with a new $1.0 billion revolving facility maturing 2019, or the Revolving Facility, (ii) the addition of a new $1.0 billion Term A facility due 2019, or the Term A Facility, (iii) a Term D facility in an aggregate principal amount equal to $4.602 billion due 2021 (which includes certain term loans due 2017 that were converted into such Term D facility (collectively, the Term D Facility)), (iv) the conversion of certain term loans due 2017 into Term E Loans and the borrowing of new Term E Loans due 2017 in an aggregate principal amount of $1.677 billion (collectively, the Term E Facility and, together with the Revolving Facility, the Term D Facility and the Term A Facility, the Credit Facilities) and (v) the addition of flexibility commensurate with our post-acquisition structure. In addition to funding a portion of the consideration in connection with the HMA merger, some of the proceeds of the Term A Facility and Term D Facility will be used to refinance the outstanding $637.5 million existing Term A facility due 2016 and the $59.6 million of term loans due 2014, respectively.

Loans in respect of the Credit Facilities may be borrowed in LIBOR and Base Rate. Loans in respect of the Revolving Facility and the Term A Facility will accrue interest at a rate per annum initially equal to LIBOR plus 2.75%, in the case of LIBOR borrowings, and Base Rate plus 1.75%, in the case of Base Rate borrowings. In addition, the margin in respect of the Revolving Facility and the Term A Facility will be subject to step-downs determined by reference to a leverage based pricing grid. Loans in respect of the Term D Facility and the Term E Facility will accrue interest at a rate per annum equal to LIBOR plus 3.25%, in the case of LIBOR borrowings, and Base Rate plus 2.25%, in the case of Base Rate Borrowings. The Term D Facility will be subject to a 1.00% LIBOR floor.

The Notes Indentures

In connection with the consummation of the HMA merger, CHS issued: (i) $1.0 billion aggregate principal amount of 5.125% Senior Secured Notes due 2021, or the Secured Notes, pursuant to an indenture, as supplemented, dated as of January 27, 2014, collectively, the Secured Indenture, by and among CHS, the Parent Company, the other guarantors from time to time party thereto, Regions Bank, as trustee, and Credit Suisse AG, as collateral agent, or the Collateral Agent and (ii) $3.0 billion aggregate principal amount of 6.875% Senior Notes due 2022, or the Unsecured Notes, and, together with the Secured Notes, the Notes, pursuant to an indenture, as supplemented, dated as of January 27, 2014, collectively, the Unsecured Indenture, by and among CHS, the Parent Company, the other guarantors from time to time party thereto, and Regions Bank, as trustee, or the Unsecured Indenture.

The Secured Notes are senior secured obligations of CHS and are guaranteed on a senior secured basis by us, CHS and certain of CHS's subsidiaries. The Secured Notes mature on August 1, 2021, and bear interest at a rate of 5.125% per annum, payable semi-annually in arrears in cash on February 1 and August 1 of each year, beginning on August 1, 2014. CHS is entitled to redeem some or all of the Secured Notes at any time on or after February 1, 2017 at the redemption prices set forth in the Secured Indenture, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any. In addition, prior to February 1, 2017, CHS may redeem some or all of the Secured Notes at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, plus a "make whole" premium, as set forth in the Secured Indenture. CHS is entitled to redeem up to 40% of the aggregate principal amount of the Secured Notes until February 1, 2017 with the net proceeds from certain equity offerings at the redemption price set forth in the Secured Indenture. The Secured Indenture also contains covenants that, among other things, subject to various qualifications and exceptions, limit the ability of CHS and certain of CHS's subsidiaries to: incur or guarantee additional indebtedness; pay dividends or make other restricted payments; make certain investments; create or incur certain liens; sell assets and subsidiary stock; transfer all or substantially all of their assets or enter into merger or consolidation transactions; and enter into transactions with affiliates.

The Unsecured Notes are senior unsecured obligations of CHS and are guaranteed on a senior basis by the Parent Company, CHS and certain of CHS's subsidiaries. The Unsecured Notes mature on February 1, 2022, and bear interest at a rate of 6.875% per annum, payable semi-annually in arrears in cash on February 1 and August 1 of each year, beginning on August 1, 2014. CHS is entitled to redeem some or all of the Unsecured Notes at any time on or after February 1, 2018 at the redemption prices set forth in the Unsecured Indenture, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any. In addition, prior to February 1, 2018, CHS may redeem some or all of the Unsecured Notes at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, plus a "make whole" premium, as set forth in the Unsecured Indenture. CHS is entitled to redeem up to 40% of the aggregate principal amount of the Unsecured Notes until February 1, 2017 with the net proceeds from certain equity offerings at the redemption price set forth in the Unsecured Indenture. The Unsecured Indenture also contains covenants that, among other things, subject to various qualifications and exceptions, limit the ability of CHS, and certain of its subsidiaries to: incur or guarantee additional indebtedness; pay dividends or make other restricted payments; make certain investments; create or incur certain liens; sell assets and subsidiary stock; transfer all or substantially all of their assets or enter into merger or consolidation transactions; and enter into transactions with affiliates.

Off-balance Sheet Arrangements

Our consolidated operating results for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, included $156.7 million and $217.3 million, respectively, of net operating revenues and $0.2 million and $22.6 million, respectively, of income from continuing operations before income taxes, generated from four hospitals in 2013 and five hospitals in 2012 operated by us under operating lease arrangements. In accordance with U.S. GAAP, the respective assets and the future lease obligations under these arrangements are not recorded on our consolidated balance sheet. Lease costs under these arrangements are included in rent expense and totaled approximately $11.0 million and $11.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The current terms of these operating leases expire between May 2015 and June 2022, not including lease extension options. If we allow these leases to expire, we would no longer generate revenues nor incur expenses from these hospitals. The operating lease at our Barstow, California location terminated on November 30, 2012 in conjunction with the opening of the replacement facility that we constructed, which was a requirement of the operating lease agreement. The 11 months of operating results for the Barstow location for the year ended December 31, 2012 are included in the above amounts.

In the past, we have utilized operating leases as a financing tool for obtaining the operations of specified hospitals without acquiring, through ownership, the related assets of the hospital and without a significant outlay of cash at the front end of the lease. We utilize the same operating strategies to improve operations at those hospitals held under operating leases as we do at those hospitals that we own. We have not entered into any operating leases for hospital operations since December 2000.

As described more fully in Note 16 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, at December 31, 2013, we have certain cash obligations for replacement facilities and other construction commitments of $451.8 million and open purchase orders for $425.8 million.

Noncontrolling Interests

We have sold noncontrolling interests in certain of our subsidiaries or acquired subsidiaries with existing noncontrolling interest ownership positions. As of December 31, 2013, we have hospitals in 21 of the markets we serve, with noncontrolling physician ownership interests ranging from less than 1% to 40%, including one hospital that also had a non-profit entity as a partner. In addition, we have three other hospitals with noncontrolling interests owned by non-profit entities. During the three months ended March 31, 2012, one of our subsidiaries purchased the outstanding partnership interests not already owned by us that were held by physician investors in the limited partnership that owns and operates Longview Regional Medical Center in Longview, Texas. The purchase price for these partnership interests was $28.8 million. After acquiring these partnership interests, one or more of our subsidiaries collectively own 100% of the outstanding equity of the limited partnership that owns and operates this hospital. Redeemable noncontrolling interests in equity of consolidated subsidiaries was $358.4 million and $367.7 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and noncontrolling interests in equity of consolidated subsidiaries was $63.6 million and $65.3 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and the amount of net income attributable to noncontrolling interests was $76.1 million, $80.2 million and $75.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. As a result of the change in the Stark Law "whole hospital" exception included in the Reform Legislation, we are not permitted to introduce physician ownership at any of our wholly-owned facilities or increase the aggregate percentage of physician ownership in any of our existing joint ventures.

Reimbursement, Legislative and Regulatory Changes

The Reform Legislation was enacted in the context of other ongoing legislative and regulatory efforts, which would reduce or otherwise adversely affect the payments we receive from Medicare and Medicaid. Within the statutory framework of the Medicare and Medicaid programs, including programs currently unaffected by the Reform Legislation, there are substantial areas subject to administrative rulings, interpretations and discretion which may further affect payments made under those programs, and the federal and state governments might, in the future, reduce the funds available under those programs or require more stringent utilization and quality reviews of hospital facilities. Additionally, there may be a continued rise in managed care programs and additional restructuring of the financing and delivery of healthcare in the United States. These events could cause our future financial results to decline. We cannot estimate the impact of Medicare and Medicaid reimbursement changes that have been enacted or are under consideration. We cannot predict whether additional reimbursement reductions will be made or whether any such changes would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial conditions, results of operations, cash flow, capital resources and liquidity.

Inflation

The healthcare industry is labor intensive. Wages and other expenses increase during periods of inflation and when labor shortages occur in the marketplace. In addition, our suppliers pass along rising costs to us in the form of higher prices. We have implemented cost control measures, including our case and resource management program, to curb increases in operating costs and expenses. We have generally offset increases in operating costs by increasing reimbursement for services, expanding services and reducing costs in other areas. However, we cannot predict our ability to cover or offset future cost increases, particularly any increases in our cost of providing health insurance benefits to our employees as a result of the Reform Legislation.

Critical Accounting Policies

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of our consolidated financial statements. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Critical accounting policies are defined as those that are reflective of significant judgments and uncertainties, and potentially result in materially different results under different assumptions and conditions. We believe that our critical accounting policies are limited to those described below. For a detailed discussion on the application of these and other accounting policies, see Note 1 in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8 of this Report.

Third-party Reimbursement

Net operating revenues include amounts estimated by management to be reimbursable by Medicare and Medicaid under prospective payment systems and provisions of cost-reimbursement and other payment methods. In addition, we are reimbursed by non-governmental payors using a variety of payment methodologies. Amounts we receive for treatment of patients covered by these programs are generally less than the standard billing rates. Contractual allowances are automatically calculated and recorded through our internally developed "automated contractual allowance system." Within the automated system, actual Medicare DRG data and payors' historical paid claims data are utilized to calculate the contractual allowances. This data is automatically updated on a monthly basis. All hospital contractual allowance calculations are subjected to monthly review by management to ensure reasonableness and accuracy. We account for the differences between the estimated program reimbursement rates and the standard billing rates as contractual allowance adjustments, which we deduct from gross revenues to arrive at operating revenues (net of contractual allowances and discounts). The process of estimating contractual allowances requires us to estimate the amount expected to be received based on payor contract provisions. The key assumption in this process is the estimated contractual reimbursement percentage, which is based on payor classification and historical paid claims data. Due to the complexities involved in these estimates, actual payments we receive could be different from the amounts we estimate and record. If the actual contractual reimbursement percentage under government programs and managed care contracts differed by 1% at December 31, 2013 from our estimated reimbursement percentage, net income for the year ended December 31, 2013 would have changed by approximately $41.1 million, and net accounts receivable at December 31, 2013 would have changed by $68.3 million. Final settlements under some of these programs are subject to adjustment based on administrative review and audit by third parties. We account for adjustments to previous program reimbursement estimates as contractual allowance adjustments and report them in the periods that such adjustments become known. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we recognized a net after-tax benefit of $46.0 million from the resolution of an industry-wide governmental settlement and a payment update related to prior periods. Other than these items, contractual allowance adjustments related to final settlements and previous program reimbursement estimates impacted net operating revenues and net income by an insignificant amount in each of the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Substantially all of our accounts receivable are related to providing healthcare services to our hospitals' patients. Collection of these accounts receivable is our primary source of cash and is critical to our operating performance. Our primary collection risks relate to uninsured patients and outstanding patient balances for which the primary insurance payor has paid some but not all of the outstanding balance, with the remaining outstanding balance (generally deductibles and co-payments) owed by the patient. At the point of service, for patients required to make a co-payment, we generally collect less than 15% of the related revenue. For all procedures scheduled in advance, our policy is to verify insurance coverage prior to the date of the procedure. Insurance coverage is not verified in advance of procedures for walk-in and emergency room patients.

We estimate the allowance for doubtful accounts by reserving a percentage of all self-pay accounts receivable without regard to aging category, based on collection history, adjusted for expected recoveries and, if present, anticipated changes in trends. For all other non-self-pay payor categories, we reserve 100% of all accounts aging over 365 days from the date of discharge. The percentage used to reserve for all self-pay accounts is based on our collection history. We believe that we collect substantially all of our third-party insured receivables, which include receivables from governmental agencies.

Collections are impacted by the economic ability of patients to pay and the effectiveness of our collection efforts. Significant changes in payor mix, business office operations, economic conditions or trends in federal and state governmental healthcare coverage could affect our collection of accounts receivable. The process of estimating the allowance for doubtful accounts requires us to estimate the collectability of self-pay accounts receivable, which is primarily based on our collection history, adjusted for expected recoveries and, if available, anticipated changes in collection trends. Significant change in payor mix, business office operations, economic conditions, trends in federal and state governmental healthcare coverage or other third-party payors could affect our estimates of accounts receivable collectability. If the actual collection percentage differed by 1% at December 31, 2013 from our estimated collection percentage as a result of a change in expected recoveries, net income for the year ended December 31, 2013 would have changed by $27.0 million, and net accounts receivable at December 31, 2013 would have changed by $44.9 million. We also continually review our overall reserve adequacy by monitoring historical cash collections as a percentage of trailing net revenue less provision for bad debts, as well as by analyzing current period net revenue and admissions by payor classification, aged accounts receivable by payor, days revenue outstanding and the impact of recent acquisitions and dispositions.

Our policy is to write-off gross accounts receivable if the balance is under $10.00 or when such amounts are placed with outside collection agencies. We believe this policy accurately reflects our ongoing collection efforts and is consistent with industry practices. We had approximately $3.0 billion and $2.4 billion at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, being pursued by various outside collection agencies. We expect to collect less than 3%, net of estimated collection fees, of the amounts being pursued by outside collection agencies. As these amounts have been written-off, they are not included in our gross accounts receivable or our allowance for doubtful accounts. Collections on amounts previously written-off are recognized as a reduction to bad debt expense when received. However, we take into consideration estimated collections of these future amounts written-off in evaluating the reasonableness of our allowance for doubtful accounts.

All of the following information is derived from our hospitals, excluding clinics, unless otherwise noted.

Patient accounts receivable from our hospitals represent approximately 95% of our total consolidated accounts receivable.

Days revenue outstanding was 67 days at December 31, 2013 and 58 days at December 31, 2012. Our target range for days revenue outstanding is from 53 to 63 days. Approximately three days of the increase is due to growth in state Medicaid supplemental programs during the year ended December 31, 2013. Another two days of the increase is from growth in unbilled accounts receivable, primarily at certain of our hospitals with slower billing and collections due to system conversions as part of compliance with EHR certification.

Total gross accounts receivable (prior to allowance for contractual adjustments and doubtful accounts) was approximately $10.9 billion as of December 31, 2013 and approximately $9.6 billion as of December 31, 2012.

The approximate percentage of total gross accounts receivable (prior to allowances for contractual adjustments and doubtful accounts) summarized by payor is as follows:

	December 31,	
	2013	2012
Insured receivables	59.8 %	61.5 %
Self-pay receivables	40.2	38.5
Total	100.0 %	100.0 %

For the hospital segment, the combined total of the allowance for doubtful accounts for self-pay accounts receivable and related allowances for other self-pay discounts and contractuals, as a percentage of gross self-pay receivables, was approximately 84% at both December 31, 2013 and 2012. If the receivables that have been written-off, but where collections are still being pursued by outside collection agencies, were included in both the allowances and gross self-pay receivables specified above, the percentage of combined allowances to total self-pay receivables would have been approximately 90% at both December 31, 2013 and 2012.

Goodwill and Other Intangibles

Goodwill represents the excess of the fair value of the consideration conveyed in the acquisition over the fair value of net assets acquired. Goodwill is evaluated for impairment at the same time every year and when an event occurs or circumstances change that, more likely than not, reduce the fair value of the reporting unit below its carrying value. There is a two-step method for determining goodwill impairment. Step one is to compare the fair value of the reporting unit with the unit's carrying amount, including goodwill. If this test indicates the fair value is less than the carrying value, then step two is required to compare the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill with the carrying value of the reporting unit's goodwill. We performed our last annual goodwill evaluation during the fourth quarter of 2013. No impairment was indicated by this evaluation. The next annual goodwill evaluation will be performed during the fourth quarter of 2014.

Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets

Whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying values of certain long-lived assets may be impaired, we project the undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by these assets. If the projections indicate that the reported amounts are not expected to be recovered, such amounts are reduced to their estimated fair value based on a quoted market price, if available, or an estimate based on valuation techniques available in the circumstances.

Professional Liability Claims

As part of our business of owning and operating hospitals, we are subject to legal actions alleging liability on our part. We accrue for losses resulting from such liability claims, as well as loss adjustment expenses that are out-of-pocket and directly related to such liability claims. These direct out-of-pocket expenses include fees of outside counsel and experts. We do not accrue for costs that are part of our corporate overhead, such as the costs of our in-house legal and risk management departments. The losses resulting from professional liability claims primarily consist of estimates for known claims, as well as estimates for incurred but not reported claims. The estimates are based on specific claim facts, our historical claim reporting and payment patterns, the nature and level of our hospital operations, and actuarially determined projections. The actuarially determined projections are based on our actual claim data, including historic reporting and payment patterns which have been gathered over approximately a 20-year period. As discussed below, since we purchase excess insurance on a claims-made basis that transfers risk to third-party insurers, the liability we accrue does include an amount for the losses covered by our excess insurance. We also record a receivable for the expected reimbursement of losses covered by our excess insurance. Since we believe that the amount and timing of our future claims payments are reliably determinable, we discount the amount we accrue for losses resulting from professional liability claims using the risk-free interest rate corresponding to the timing of our expected payments.

The net present value of the projected payments was discounted using a weighted-average risk-free rate of 1.6%, 1.2% and 1.2% in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. This liability is adjusted for new claims information in the period such information becomes known to us. Professional malpractice expense includes the losses resulting from professional liability claims and loss adjustment expense, as well as paid excess insurance premiums, and is presented within other operating expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of income.

Our processes for obtaining and analyzing claims and incident data are standardized across all of our hospitals and have been consistent for many years. We monitor the outcomes of the medical care services that we provide and for each reported claim, we obtain various information concerning the facts and circumstances related to that claim. In addition, we routinely monitor current key statistics and volume indicators in our assessment of utilizing historical trends. The average lag period between claim occurrence and payment of a final settlement is between four and five years, although the facts and circumstances of individual claims could result in the timing of such payments being different from this average. Since claims are paid promptly after settlement with the claimant is reached, settled claims represent less than 1.0% of the total liability at the end of any period.

For purposes of estimating our individual claim accruals, we utilize specific claim information, including the nature of the claim, the expected claim amount, the year in which the claim occurred and the laws of the jurisdiction in which the claim occurred. Once the case accruals for known claims are determined, information is stratified by loss layers and retentions, accident years, reported years, geography, and claims relating to the acquired Triad Hospitals, Inc., or Triad, hospitals versus claims relating to our other hospitals. Several actuarial methods are used against this data to produce estimates of ultimate paid losses and reserves for incurred but not reported claims. Each of these methods uses our company-specific historical claims data and other information. This company-specific data includes information regarding our business, including historical paid losses and loss adjustment expenses, historical and current case loss reserves, actual and projected hospital statistical data, a variety of hospital census information, employed physician information, professional liability retentions for each policy year, geographic information and other data.

Based on these analyses, we determine our estimate of the professional liability claims. The determination of management's estimate, including the preparation of the reserve analysis that supports such estimate, involves subjective judgment of management. Changes in reserving data or the trends and factors that influence reserving data may signal fundamental shifts in our future claim development patterns or may simply reflect single-period anomalies. Even if a change reflects a fundamental shift, the full extent of the change may not become evident until years later. Moreover, since our methods and models use different types of data and we select our liability from the results of all of these methods, we typically cannot quantify the precise impact of such factors on our estimates of the liability. Due to our standardized and consistent processes for handling claims and the long history and depth of our company-specific data, our methodologies have produced reliably determinable estimates of ultimate paid losses.

The following table presents the amounts of our accrual for professional liability claims and approximate amounts of our activity for each of the respective years (excludes premiums for excess insurance coverage) (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Accrual for professional liability claims, beginning of year	$ 621,737	$ 567,785	$ 489,207
Liability for insured claims (1)	(4,880)	23,695	42,171
Expense (income) related to:			
Current accident year	134,427	143,110	145,396
Prior accident years	(25,602)	(28,652)	(30,698)
(Income) expense from discounting	(15,219)	461	(2,393)
Total incurred loss and loss expense (2)	93,606	114,919	112,305
Paid claims and expenses related to:			
Current accident year	(317)	(447)	(468)
Prior accident years	(66,264)	(84,215)	(75,430)
Total paid claims and expenses	(66,581)	(84,662)	(75,898)
Accrual for professional liability claims, end of year	$ 643,882	$ 621,737	$ 567,785

(1) The liability for insured claims is recorded on the consolidated balance sheet with a corresponding insurance recovery receivable.

(2) Total expense, including premiums for insured coverage, was $134.0 million in 2013, $155.0 million in 2012 and $150.2 million in 2011.

The impact of risk management patient safety quality programs and initiatives implemented at our hospitals, as well as decreasing obstetric admissions, surgeries, admissions and a slightly lower same-store acuity case mix, resulted in the current accident year expense decreasing, as a percentage of net operating revenues, for each year presented. Income/expense related to prior accident years reflects changes in estimates resulting from the filing of claims for prior year incidents, claim settlements, updates from litigation and our ongoing investigation of open claims. Expense/income from discounting reflects the changes in the weighted-average risk-free interest rate used and timing of estimated payments for discounting in each year.

We are primarily self-insured for these claims; however, we obtain excess insurance that transfers the risk of loss to a third-party insurer for claims in excess of our self-insured retentions. Our excess insurance is underwritten on a claims-made basis. For claims reported prior to June 1, 2002, substantially all of our professional and general liability risks were subject to a $0.5 million per occurrence self-insured retention and for claims reported from June 1, 2002 through June 1, 2003, these self-insured retentions were $2.0 million per occurrence. Substantially all claims reported after June 1, 2003 and before June 1, 2005 are self-insured up to $4 million per claim. Substantially all claims reported on or after June 1, 2005 are self-insured up to $5 million per claim. Management, on occasion, has selectively increased the insured risk at certain hospitals based upon insurance pricing and other factors and may continue that practice in the future. Excess insurance for all hospitals has been purchased through commercial insurance companies and generally covers us for liabilities in excess of the self-insured retentions. The excess coverage consists of multiple layers of insurance, the sum of which totals up to $95 million per occurrence and in the aggregate for claims reported on or after June 1, 2003, up to $145 million per occurrence and in the aggregate for claims incurred and reported after January 1, 2008 and up to $195 million per occurrence and in the aggregate for claims reported after June 1, 2010. For certain policy years, if the first aggregate layer of excess coverage becomes fully utilized, then the self-insured retention could increase to $10 million per claim for any subsequent claims in that policy year until our total aggregate coverage is met.

Effective January 1, 2008, the former Triad hospitals are insured on a claims-made basis as described above and through commercial insurance companies as described above for substantially all claims occurring on or after January 1, 2002 and reported on or after January 1, 2008. Substantially all losses for the former Triad hospitals in periods prior to May 1, 1999 were insured through a wholly-owned insurance subsidiary of HCA Holdings, Inc., or HCA, Triad's owner prior to that time, and excess loss policies maintained by HCA. HCA has agreed to indemnify the former Triad hospitals in respect of claims covered by such insurance policies arising prior to May 1, 1999. From May 1, 1999 through December 31, 2006, the former Triad hospitals obtained insurance coverage on a claims incurred basis from HCA's wholly-owned insurance subsidiary with excess coverage obtained from other carriers that is subject to certain deductibles. Effective for claims incurred after December 31, 2006, Triad began insuring its claims from $1 million to $5 million through its wholly-owned captive insurance company, replacing the coverage provided by HCA. Substantially all claims occurring during 2007 were self-insured up to $10 million per claim.

Income Taxes

We must make estimates in recording provision for income taxes, including determination of deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities and any valuation allowances that might be required against the deferred tax assets. We believe that future income will enable us to realize certain deferred tax assets, subject to the valuation allowance we have established.

The total amount of unrecognized benefit that would impact the effective tax rate, if recognized, was approximately $0.7 million as of December 31, 2013. A total of approximately $0.4 million of interest and penalties is included in the amount of liability for uncertain tax positions at December 31, 2013. It is our policy to recognize interest and penalties related to unrecognized benefits in our consolidated statements of income as income tax expense. During the year ended December 31, 2013, we decreased liabilities for uncertain tax positions by $0.2 million.

It is possible the amount of unrecognized tax benefit could change in the next twelve months as a result of a lapse of the statute of limitations and settlements with taxing authorities; however, we do not anticipate the change will have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations or consolidated financial position.

We, or one or more of our subsidiaries, file income tax returns in the United States federal jurisdiction and various state jurisdictions. We have extended the federal statute of limitations through December 31, 2014 for Triad for the tax periods ended December 31, 1999, December 31, 2000, April 30, 2001, June 30, 2001, December 31, 2001, December 31, 2002, December 31, 2003, December 31, 2004, December 31, 2005, December 31, 2006 and July 25, 2007. With few exceptions, we are no longer subject to state income tax examinations for years prior to 2010. Our federal income tax returns for the 2009 and 2010 tax years are currently under examination by the Internal Revenue Service, or IRS. We believe the results of these examinations will not be material to our consolidated results of operations or consolidated financial position. During the year ended December 31, 2013, the IRS concluded its examination of the federal tax return of Community Health Systems, Inc. for the tax periods ended December 31, 2007 and 2008. The results of these examinations did not have a material effect on our consolidated results of operations or consolidated financial position. We have extended the federal statute of limitations through December 31, 2014 for Community Health Systems, Inc. for the tax periods ended December 31, 2007 and 2008, and through July 18, 2014 for the tax period ended December 31, 2009.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Accounting Standards Update, or ASU, 2013-02, which requires additional disclosures on the effect of significant reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income. The ASU requires a company that reports other comprehensive income to present (either on the face of the statement where net income is presented or in the notes) the effects on the line items of net income of significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income. For other amounts that are not required to be reclassified in their entirety to net income in the same reporting period, an entity is required to cross-reference to other required disclosures that provide additional details about those amounts. This ASU is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2012, and was adopted by us on January 1, 2013. As it only requires additional disclosure, the adoption of this ASU had no impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Item 7A. *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk*

We are exposed to interest rate changes, primarily as a result of our Credit Facility which bears interest based on floating rates. In order to manage the volatility relating to the market risk, we entered into interest rate swap agreements described under the heading "Liquidity and Capital Resources" in Item 2. We utilize risk management procedures and controls in executing derivative financial instrument transactions. We do not execute transactions or hold derivative financial instruments for trading purposes. Derivative financial instruments related to interest rate sensitivity of debt obligations are used with the goal of mitigating a portion of the exposure when it is cost effective to do so. As interest rate swap agreements expire throughout the year, we will become more subject to variable interest rates during 2014.

A 1% change in interest rates on variable rate debt in excess of that amount covered by interest rate swaps would have resulted in interest expense fluctuating approximately $20.0 million in 2013, $18.3 million in 2012 and $7.2 million in 2011.

Item 8. ***Financial Statements and Supplementary Data***

Index to Financial Statements

	Page
Community Health Systems, Inc. Consolidated Financial Statements:	
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	78
Consolidated Statements of Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011	79
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011	80
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012	81
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011	82
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011	83
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	84

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Community Health Systems, Inc.
Franklin, Tennessee

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Community Health Systems, Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Community Health Systems, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework (1992)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 26, 2014 expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Nashville, Tennessee
February 26, 2014

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
	(In thousands, except share and per share data)		
Operating revenues (net of contractual allowances and discounts)	$ 15,078,074	$ 14,988,179	$ 13,626,168
Provision for bad debts	2,080,381	1,959,194	1,719,956
Net operating revenues	12,997,693	13,028,985	11,906,212
Operating costs and expenses:			
Salaries and benefits	6,217,747	6,103,931	5,577,925
Supplies	1,994,116	1,973,491	1,834,106
Other operating expenses	2,880,357	2,869,786	2,515,638
Government settlement and related costs	101,500	-	-
Electronic health records incentive reimbursement	(165,877)	(126,734)	(63,397)
Rent	287,412	272,829	254,781
Depreciation and amortization	782,675	725,558	652,674
Total operating costs and expenses	12,097,930	11,818,861	10,771,727
Income from operations	899,763	1,210,124	1,134,485
Interest expense, net of interest income of $2,977, $3,031 and $4,650 in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively	615,147	622,933	644,410
Loss from early extinguishment of debt	1,295	115,453	66,019
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	(42,641)	(42,033)	(49,491)
Impairment of long-lived assets	20,100	10,000	-
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	305,862	503,771	473,547
Provision for income taxes	88,594	157,502	137,653
Income from continuing operations	217,268	346,269	335,894
Discontinued operations, net of taxes:			
Loss from operations of entities sold	-	(466)	(7,769)
Impairment of hospitals sold	-	-	(47,930)
Loss on sale, net	-	-	(2,572)
Loss from discontinued operations, net of taxes	-	(466)	(58,271)
Net income	217,268	345,803	277,623
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	76,065	80,163	75,675
Net income attributable to Community Health Systems, Inc. stockholders	$ 141,203	$ 265,640	$ 201,948
Basic earnings (loss) per share attributable to Community Health Systems, Inc. common stockholders(1):			
Continuing operations	$ 1.52	$ 2.98	$ 2.89
Discontinued operations	-	(0.01)	(0.65)
Net income	$ 1.52	$ 2.98	$ 2.24
Diluted earnings (loss) per share attributable to Community Health Systems, Inc. common stockholders(1):			
Continuing operations	$ 1.51	$ 2.96	$ 2.87
Discontinued operations	-	(0.01)	(0.64)
Net income	$ 1.51	$ 2.96	$ 2.23
Weighted-average number of shares outstanding:			
Basic	92,633,332	89,242,949	89,966,933
Diluted	93,815,013	89,806,937	90,666,348

(1) Total per share amounts may not add due to rounding.

See notes to the consolidated financial statements.

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
	(In thousands)		
Net income	$ 217,268	$ 345,803	$ 277,623
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of income taxes:			
Net change in fair value of interest rate swaps, net of tax of $33,875, $26,219 and $31,154 for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively	60,304	46,409	55,145
Net change in fair value of available-for-sale securities, net of tax	2,181	3,012	(960)
Amortization and recognition of unrecognized pension cost components, net of tax (benefit) of $9,140, $(3,310) and $(4,754) for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively	15,320	(10,252)	(7,737)
Other comprehensive income	77,805	39,169	46,448
Comprehensive income	295,073	384,972	324,071
Less: Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	76,065	80,163	75,675
Comprehensive income attributable to Community Health Systems, Inc. stockholders	$ 219,008	$ 304,809	$ 248,396

See notes to the consolidated financial statements.

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
	(In thousands, except share data)	
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	$ 373,403	$ 387,813
Patient accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of $2,448,432 and $2,201,875 at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively	2,353,308	2,067,379
Supplies	377,005	368,172
Prepaid income taxes	107,077	49,888
Deferred income taxes	101,372	117,045
Prepaid expenses and taxes	128,476	126,561
Other current assets	307,322	302,284
Total current assets	3,747,963	3,419,142
Property and equipment:		
Land and improvements	628,539	614,964
Buildings and improvements	6,302,739	6,086,169
Equipment and fixtures	3,675,472	3,444,275
Property and equipment, gross	10,606,750	10,145,408
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(3,492,287)	(2,993,535)
Property and equipment, net	7,114,463	7,151,873
Goodwill	4,444,135	4,408,138
Other assets, net of accumulated amortization of $535,142 and $394,827 at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively	1,810,734	1,627,182
Total assets	$ 17,117,295	$ 16,606,335
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Current maturities of long-term debt	$ 166,902	$ 89,911
Accounts payable	958,593	825,914
Deferred income taxes	3,183	-
Accrued liabilities:		
Employee compensation	698,987	713,685
Interest	111,891	110,702
Other	517,927	403,008
Total current liabilities	2,457,483	2,143,220
Long-term debt	9,286,495	9,451,394
Deferred income taxes	906,101	808,489
Other long-term liabilities	977,336	1,039,045
Total liabilities	13,627,415	13,442,148
Redeemable noncontrolling interests in equity of consolidated subsidiaries	358,410	367,666
Commitments and contingencies (Note 16)		
EQUITY		
Community Health Systems, Inc. stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, $.01 par value per share, 100,000,000 shares authorized; none issued	-	-
Common stock, $.01 par value per share, 300,000,000 shares authorized; 95,987,032 shares issued and 95,011,483 shares outstanding at December 31, 2013, and 92,925,715 shares issued and 91,950,166 shares outstanding at December 31, 2012	960	929
Additional paid-in capital	1,255,855	1,138,274
Treasury stock, at cost, 975,549 shares at December 31, 2013 and 2012	(6,678)	(6,678)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(67,505)	(145,310)
Retained earnings	1,885,195	1,743,992
Total Community Health Systems, Inc. stockholders' equity	3,067,827	2,731,207
Noncontrolling interests in equity of consolidated subsidiaries	63,643	65,314
Total equity	3,131,470	2,796,521
Total liabilities and equity	$ 17,117,295	$ 16,606,335

See notes to the consolidated financial statements.

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

		Community Health Systems, Inc. Stockholders							
	Redeemable Noncontrolling Interests	Common Stock Shares	Common Stock Amount	Additional Paid-in Capital	Treasury Stock Shares	Treasury Stock Amount	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Retained Earnings	Noncontrolling Interests	Total Stockholders' Equity
					(In thousands, except share data)					
Balance, December 31, 2010	$ 387,472	93,644,862	$ 936	$ 1,126,751	(975,549)	$ (6,678)	$ (230,927)	$ 1,299,382	$ 60,913	$ 2,250,377
Comprehensive income (loss)	54,251	-	-	-	-	-	46,448	201,948	21,424	269,820
Distributions to noncontrolling interests, net of contributions	(39,816)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(15,049)	(15,049)
Purchase of subsidiary shares from noncontrolling interests	(7,426)	-	-	(4,556)	-	-	-	-	(1,040)	(5,596)
Other reclassifications of noncontrolling interests	(2,099)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,101	1,101
Adjustment to redemption value of redeemable noncontrolling interests	3,361	-	-	(3,361)	-	-	-	-	-	(3,361)
Issuance of common stock in connection with the exercise of stock options	-	623,341	6	18,910	-	-	-	-	-	18,916
Cancellation of restricted stock for tax withholdings on vested shares	-	(346,419)	(3)	(13,311)	-	-	-	-	-	(13,314)
Repurchases of common stock	-	(3,469,099)	(35)	(85,790)	-	-	-	-	-	(85,825)
Excess tax benefit from exercise of stock options	-	-	-	4,823	-	-	-	-	-	4,823
Stock-based compensation	-	1,094,394	11	42,542	-	-	-	-	-	42,553
Balance, December 31, 2011	395,743	91,547,079	915	1,086,008	(975,549)	(6,678)	(184,479)	1,501,330	67,349	2,464,445
Comprehensive income	56,235	-	-	-	-	-	39,169	265,640	23,928	328,737
Distributions to noncontrolling interests, net of contributions	(43,613)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(24,196)	(24,196)
Purchase of subsidiary shares from noncontrolling interests	(21,607)	-	-	(21,537)	-	-	-	-	(1,143)	(22,680)
Other reclassifications of noncontrolling interests	718	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(624)	(624)
Adjustment to redemption value of redeemable noncontrolling interests	(19,810)	-	-	19,810	-	-	-	-	-	19,810
Issuance of common stock in connection with the exercise of stock options	-	1,054,075	11	20,858	-	-	-	-	-	20,869
Cancellation of restricted stock for tax withholdings on vested shares	-	(371,946)	(4)	(9,314)	-	-	-	-	-	(9,318)
Net distribution to shareholders	-	-	-	443	-	-	-	(22,978)	-	(22,535)
Excess tax benefit from exercise of stock options	-	-	-	1,110	-	-	-	-	-	1,110
Stock-based compensation	-	696,507	7	40,896	-	-	-	-	-	40,903
Balance, December 31, 2012	367,666	92,925,715	929	1,138,274	(975,549)	(6,678)	(145,310)	1,743,992	65,314	2,796,521
Comprehensive income	50,624	-	-	-	-	-	77,805	141,203	25,441	244,449
Distributions to noncontrolling interests, net of contributions	(48,518)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(26,776)	(26,776)
Purchase of subsidiary shares from noncontrolling interests	(5,891)	-	-	(768)	-	-	-	-	(2,645)	(3,413)
Other reclassifications of noncontrolling interests	2,290	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(2,290)	(2,290)
Noncontrolling interests in acquired entity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,599	4,599
Adjustment to redemption value of redeemable noncontrolling interests	(7,761)	-	-	7,761	-	-	-	-	-	7,761
Repurchases of common stock	-	(706,023)	(7)	(27,133)	-	-	-	-	-	(27,140)
Issuance of common stock in connection with the exercise of stock options	-	3,301,543	33	110,641	-	-	-	-	-	110,674
Cancellation of restricted stock for tax withholdings on vested shares	-	(357,360)	(3)	(14,896)	-	-	-	-	-	(14,899)
Excess tax benefit from exercise of stock options	-	-	-	3,573	-	-	-	-	-	3,573
Stock-based compensation	-	823,157	8	38,403	-	-	-	-	-	38,411
Balance, December 31, 2013	$ 358,410	95,987,032	$ 960	$ 1,255,855	(975,549)	$ (6,678)	$ (67,505)	$ 1,885,195	$ 63,643	$ 3,131,470

See notes to the consolidated financial statements.

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
	(In thousands)		
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income	$ 217,268	$ 345,803	$ 277,623
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	782,675	725,558	657,665
Deferred income taxes	69,284	53,407	107,032
Government settlement and related costs	101,500	-	-
Stock-based compensation expense	38,403	40,896	42,542
Loss on sale, net	-	-	2,572
Impairment of hospitals sold	-	-	47,930
Impairment of long-lived assets	20,100	10,000	-
Loss from early extinguishment of debt	1,295	115,453	66,019
Excess tax benefit relating to stock-based compensation	(6,715)	(3,973)	(5,290)
Other non-cash expenses, net	60,839	33,251	28,716
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions and divestitures:			
Patient accounts receivable	(285,437)	(204,151)	(138,332)
Supplies, prepaid expenses and other current assets	(8,453)	(99,799)	(42,858)
Accounts payable, accrued liabilities and income taxes	72,474	246,301	246,110
Other	25,486	17,374	(27,821)
Net cash provided by operating activities	1,088,719	1,280,120	1,261,908
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Acquisitions of facilities and other related equipment	(43,743)	(322,315)	(415,360)
Purchases of property and equipment	(613,992)	(768,790)	(776,713)
Proceeds from disposition of hospitals and other ancillary operations	-	-	173,387
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	6,409	5,897	11,160
Increase in other investments	(339,942)	(297,994)	(188,249)
Net cash used in investing activities	(991,268)	(1,383,202)	(1,195,775)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	110,660	20,858	18,910
Repurchase of restricted stock shares for payroll tax withholding requirements	(14,896)	(9,314)	(13,311)
Payment of special dividend to stockholders	-	(22,535)	-
Stock buy-back	(27,133)	-	(85,790)
Deferred financing costs	(13,199)	(141,219)	(19,352)
Excess tax benefit relating to stock-based compensation	6,715	3,973	5,290
Proceeds from noncontrolling investors in joint ventures	289	535	1,229
Redemption of noncontrolling investments in joint ventures	(9,304)	(44,287)	(13,022)
Distributions to noncontrolling investors in joint ventures	(75,583)	(68,344)	(56,094)
Borrowings under credit agreements	1,194,575	3,975,866	578,236
Issuance of long-term debt	-	3,825,000	1,000,000
Proceeds from receivables facility	338,000	350,000	-
Repayments of long-term indebtedness	(1,621,985)	(7,529,503)	(1,651,533)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(111,861)	361,030	(235,437)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(14,410)	257,948	(169,304)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	387,813	129,865	299,169
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	$ 373,403	$ 387,813	$ 129,865
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:			
Interest payments	$ 582,828	$ 594,292	$ 680,704
Income tax paid, net of refunds received	$ 72,794	$ 55,551	$ 26,463

See notes to the consolidated financial statements.

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Business. Community Health Systems, Inc. is a holding company and operates no business in its own name. On a consolidated basis, Community Health Systems, Inc. and its subsidiaries (collectively the "Company") own, lease and operate acute care hospitals in non-urban and selected urban markets. As of December 31, 2013, the Company owned or leased 135 hospitals, including four stand-alone rehabilitation or psychiatric hospitals, licensed for 20,180 beds in 29 states. Throughout these notes to the consolidated financial statements, Community Health Systems, Inc. (the "Parent") and its consolidated subsidiaries are referred to on a collective basis as the "Company." This drafting style is not meant to indicate that the publicly-traded Parent or any subsidiary of the Parent owns or operates any asset, business, or property. The hospitals, operations and businesses described in this filing are owned and operated, and management services provided, by distinct and indirect subsidiaries of Community Health Systems, Inc.

As of December 31, 2013, Texas, Pennsylvania and Indiana represent the only areas of geographic concentration. Operating revenues, net of contractual allowances and discounts (but before the provision for bad debts), generated by the Company's hospitals in Texas, as a percentage of consolidated operating revenues, were 14.8% in 2013, 14.4% in 2012 and 13.1% in 2011. Operating revenues, net of contractual allowances and discounts (but before the provision for bad debts), generated by the Company's hospitals in Pennsylvania, as a percentage of consolidated operating revenues, were 13.0% in 2013, 12.6% in 2012 and 11.5% in 2011. Operating revenues, net of contractual allowances and discounts (but before the provision for bad debts), generated by the Company's hospitals in Indiana, as a percentage of consolidated operating revenues, were 10.5% in 2013, 10.5% in 2012 and 10.3% in 2011.

Use of Estimates. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP") requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Principles of Consolidation. The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Parent, its subsidiaries, all of which are controlled by the Parent through majority voting control, and variable interest entities for which the Company is the primary beneficiary. All significant intercompany accounts, profits and transactions have been eliminated. Noncontrolling interests in less-than-wholly-owned consolidated subsidiaries of the Parent are presented as a component of total equity to distinguish between the interests of the Parent and the interests of the noncontrolling owners. Revenues, expenses and income from continuing operations from these subsidiaries are included in the consolidated amounts as presented on the consolidated statements of income, along with a net income measure that separately presents the amounts attributable to the controlling interests and the amounts attributable to the noncontrolling interests for each of the periods presented. Noncontrolling interests that are redeemable or may become redeemable at a fixed or determinable price at the option of the holder or upon the occurrence of an event outside of the control of the Company are presented in mezzanine equity on the consolidated balance sheets.

Cost of Revenue. Substantially all of the Company's operating expenses are "cost of revenue" items. Operating costs that could be classified as general and administrative by the Company would include the Company's corporate office costs at its Franklin, Tennessee office, which were $180.8 million, $214.8 million and $183.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Included in these amounts is stock-based compensation of $38.4 million, $40.9 million and $42.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Cash Equivalents. The Company considers highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Supplies. Supplies, principally medical supplies, are stated at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out basis) or market.

Marketable Securities. The Company's marketable securities are classified as trading or available-for-sale. Available-for-sale securities are carried at fair value as determined by quoted market prices, with unrealized gains and losses reported as a separate component of stockholders' equity. Trading securities are reported at fair value with unrealized gains and losses included in earnings. Interest and dividends on securities classified as available-for-sale or trading are included in net operating revenues and were not material in all periods presented. Other comprehensive income (loss) included an unrealized gain of $2.2 million, an unrealized gain of $3.0 million and an unrealized loss of $1.0 million during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, related to these available-for-sale securities.

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Property and Equipment. Property and equipment are recorded at cost. Depreciation is recognized using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the land and improvements (2 to 15 years; weighted-average useful life is 14 years), buildings and improvements (5 to 50 years; weighted-average useful life is 24 years) and equipment and fixtures (4 to 18 years; weighted-average useful life is 8 years). Costs capitalized as construction in progress were $231.8 million and $173.4 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Expenditures for renovations and other significant improvements are capitalized; however, maintenance and repairs which do not improve or extend the useful lives of the respective assets are charged to operations as incurred. Interest capitalized related to construction in progress was $10.5 million, $23.9 million and $21.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Purchases of property and equipment and internal-use software accrued in accounts payable and not yet paid were $141.6 million and $50.2 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The Company also leases certain facilities and equipment under capital leases (see Note 9). Such assets are amortized on a straight-line basis over the lesser of the term of the lease or the remaining useful lives of the applicable assets.

Goodwill. Goodwill represents the excess of the fair value of the consideration conveyed in the acquisition over the fair value of net assets acquired. Goodwill arising from business combinations is not amortized. Goodwill is required to be evaluated for impairment at the same time every year and when an event occurs or circumstances change such that it is reasonably possible that an impairment may exist. The Company performs its annual testing of impairment for goodwill in the fourth quarter of each year.

Other Assets. Other assets consist of costs associated with the issuance of debt, which are included in interest expense over the life of the related debt using the effective interest method; the insurance recovery receivable from excess insurance carriers related to the Company's self-insured malpractice general liability and workers' compensation insurance liability; and costs to recruit physicians to the Company's markets, which are deferred and expensed over the term of the respective physician recruitment contract, generally three years, and included in amortization expense. Other assets also include capitalized internal-use software costs, which are expensed over the expected useful life, which is generally three years for routine software and eight to ten years for major software projects, and included in amortization expense.

Third-Party Reimbursement. Net patient service revenue is reported at the estimated net realizable amount from patients, third-party payors and others for services rendered. Operating revenues include amounts estimated by management to be reimbursable by Medicare and Medicaid under prospective payment systems, provisions of cost-reimbursement and other payment methods. Approximately 34.6%, 36.1% and 36.5% of operating revenues, net of contractual allowances and discounts (but before the provision for bad debts), for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, are related to services rendered to patients covered by the Medicare and Medicaid programs. Revenues from Medicare outlier payments are included in the amounts received from Medicare and were approximately 0.46%, 0.45% and 0.42% of operating revenues, net of contractual allowances and discounts (but before the provision for bad debts), for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. In addition, the Company is reimbursed by non-governmental payors using a variety of payment methodologies. Amounts received by the Company for treatment of patients covered by such programs are generally less than the standard billing rates. The differences between the estimated program reimbursement rates and the standard billing rates are accounted for as contractual adjustments, which are deducted from gross revenues to arrive at operating revenues (net of contractual allowances and discounts). These net operating revenues are an estimate of the net realizable amount due from these payors. The process of estimating contractual allowances requires the Company to estimate the amount expected to be received based on payor contract provisions. The key assumption in this process is the estimated contractual reimbursement percentage, which is based on payor classification and historical paid claims data. Due to the complexities involved in these estimates, actual payments the Company receives could be different from the amounts it estimates and records. Final settlements under some of these programs are subject to adjustment based on administrative review and audit by third parties. Adjustments to previous program reimbursement estimates are accounted for as contractual allowance adjustments and reported in the periods that such adjustments become known.

Included in net operating revenues for the year ended December 31, 2012 is approximately $105.3 million of net operating revenues from an industry-wide settlement with the United States Department of Health and Human Services and Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, based on a claim that acute-care hospitals in the U.S. were underpaid from the Medicare inpatient prospective payment system in federal fiscal years 1999 through 2011. The underpayments resulted from calculations related to the rural floor budget neutrality adjustments implemented in connection with the Balanced Budget Act of 1997. During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company received approximately $104.0 million of cash from this settlement. Also included in net operating revenues for the year ended December 31, 2012 is an unfavorable adjustment of approximately $21.0 million related to the revised Supplemental Security Income ratios issued for federal fiscal years 2006 through 2009 utilized for calculating Medicare Disproportionate Share Hospital reimbursements. Other than these items, contractual allowance adjustments related to final settlements and previous program reimbursement estimates impacted net operating revenues and net income by an insignificant amount in each of the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Amounts due to third-party payors were $60.5 million and $80.5 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and are included in accrued liabilities-other in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Amounts due from third-party payors were $118.0 million and $119.2 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and are included in other current assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Substantially all Medicare and Medicaid cost reports are final settled through 2008.

Net Operating Revenues. Net operating revenues are recorded net of provisions for contractual allowance of approximately $53.4 billion, $49.3 billion and $42.4 billion in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Net operating revenues are recognized when services are provided and are reported at the estimated net realizable amount from patients, third-party payors and others for services rendered. Also included in the provision for contractual allowance shown above is the value of administrative and other discounts provided to self-pay patients eliminated from net operating revenues which was $1.4 billion, $1.2 billion and $852.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

In the ordinary course of business, the Company renders services to patients who are financially unable to pay for hospital care. The Company's policy is to not pursue collections for such amounts, therefore, the related charges for those patients who are financially unable to pay and that otherwise do not qualify for reimbursement from a governmental program are not reported in net operating revenues or in the provision for bad debts, and are thus classified as charity care. The Company determines amounts that qualify for charity care primarily based on the patient's household income relative to the federal poverty level guidelines, as established by the federal government.

Included in the provision for contractual allowance shown above is $703.3 million, $692.4 million and $651.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, representing the value (at the Company's standard charges) of these charity care services that are excluded from net operating revenues.

The estimated cost incurred by the Company to provide these charity care services to patients who are unable to pay was approximately $120.8 million, $125.4 million and $125.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The estimated cost of these charity care services was determined using a ratio of cost to gross charges and applying that ratio to the gross charges associated with providing care to charity patients for the period.

Currently, several states utilize supplemental reimbursement programs for the purpose of providing reimbursement to providers to offset a portion of the cost of providing care to Medicaid patients. These programs are designed with input from Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services and are funded with a combination of state and federal resources, including, in certain instances, fees or taxes levied on the providers. Similar programs are also being considered by other states. After these supplemental programs are signed into law, the Company recognizes revenue and related expenses in the period in which amounts are estimable and collection is reasonably assured. Reimbursement under these programs is reflected in net operating revenues and fees, taxes or other program-related costs are reflected in other operating expenses.

Operating revenues, net of contractual allowances and discounts (but before the provision for bad debts), recognized during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, were as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Medicare	$ 3,750,696	$ 3,955,235	$ 3,654,247
Medicaid	1,468,717	1,455,650	1,318,756
Managed Care and other third-party payors	7,797,495	7,629,416	7,014,519
Self-pay	2,061,166	1,947,878	1,638,646
Total	$ 15,078,074	$ 14,988,179	$ 13,626,168

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts. Accounts receivable are reduced by an allowance for amounts that could become uncollectible in the future. Substantially all of the Company's receivables are related to providing healthcare services to its hospitals' patients.

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

The Company estimates the allowance for doubtful accounts by reserving a percentage of all self-pay accounts receivable without regard to aging category, based on collection history, adjusted for expected recoveries and, if present, anticipated changes in trends. For all other non-self-pay payor categories, the Company reserves 100% of all accounts aging over 365 days from the date of discharge. The percentage used to reserve for all self-pay accounts is based on the Company's collection history. The Company collects substantially all of its third-party insured receivables, which include receivables from governmental agencies.

Collections are impacted by the economic ability of patients to pay and the effectiveness of the Company's collection efforts. Significant changes in payor mix, business office operations, economic conditions or trends in federal and state governmental healthcare coverage could affect the Company's collection of accounts receivable and the estimates of the collectability of future accounts receivable. The process of estimating the allowance for doubtful accounts requires the Company to estimate the collectability of self-pay accounts receivable, which is primarily based on its collection history, adjusted for expected recoveries and, if present, anticipated changes in collection trends. The Company also continually reviews its overall reserve adequacy by monitoring historical cash collections as a percentage of trailing net revenue less provision for bad debts, as well as by analyzing current period net revenue and admissions by payor classification, aged accounts receivable by payor, days revenue outstanding, and the impact of recent acquisitions and dispositions.

Electronic Health Records Incentive Reimbursement. The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 included provisions for implementing health information technology under the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act ("HITECH"). These provisions were designed to increase the use of electronic health records ("EHR") technology and establish the requirements for a Medicare and Medicaid incentive payments program beginning in 2011 for eligible hospitals and providers that adopt and meaningfully use certified EHR technology. The Company utilizes a gain contingency model to recognize EHR incentive payments. Recognition occurs when our eligible hospitals adopt or demonstrate meaningful use of certified EHR technology for the applicable payment period and have available the Medicare cost report information for the relevant full cost report year used to determine the final incentive payment.

Medicaid EHR incentive payments are calculated based on prior period Medicare cost report information available at the time when eligible hospitals adopt, implement or demonstrate meaningful use of certified EHR technology. Since the information for the relevant full Medicare cost report year is available, the incentive income from resolving the gain contingency is recognized when eligible hospitals adopt, implement or demonstrate meaningful use of certified EHR technology.

Medicare EHR incentive payments are calculated based on the Medicare cost report information for the full cost report year that began during the federal fiscal year in which meaningful use is demonstrated. Since the necessary information is only available at the end of the relevant full Medicare cost report year, the incentive income from resolving the gain contingency is recognized when eligible hospitals demonstrate meaningful use of certified EHR technology and the information for the applicable full Medicare cost report year to determine the final incentive payment is available.

In some instances, the Company may receive estimated Medicare EHR incentive payments prior to when the Medicare cost report information used to determine the final incentive payment is available. In these instances, recognition of the gain for EHR incentive payments is deferred until all recognition criteria described above are met.

Eligibility for annual Medicare incentive payments is dependent on providers demonstrating meaningful use of EHR technology. Initial Medicaid incentive payments were available to providers that adopt, implement or upgrade certified EHR technology; however, providers must demonstrate meaningful use of such technology in subsequent years to qualify for additional incentive payments. Medicaid EHR incentive payments are fully funded by the federal government and administered by the states; however, the states are not required to offer EHR incentive payments to providers.

The Company recognized approximately $165.9 million, $126.7 million and $63.4 million during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, of incentive reimbursement for HITECH incentives from Medicare and Medicaid related to certain of the Company's hospitals and for certain of the Company's employed physicians that have demonstrated meaningful use of certified EHR technology or have completed attestations to their adoption or implementation of certified EHR technology. These incentive reimbursements are presented as a reduction of operating costs and expenses on the consolidated statements of income. The Company received cash related to the incentive reimbursement for HITECH incentives of approximately $203.1 million, $141.0 million and $37.4 million during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, $90.2 million and $33.3 million, respectively, were recorded as deferred revenue as all criteria for gain recognition had not been met.

Physician Income Guarantees. The Company enters into physician recruiting agreements under which it supplements physician income to a minimum amount over a period of time, typically one year, while the physicians establish themselves in the community. As part of the agreements, the physicians are committed to practice in the community for a period of time, typically three years, which extends beyond their income guarantee period. The Company records an asset and liability for the estimated fair value of minimum revenue guarantees on new agreements. Adjustments to the ultimate value of the guarantee paid to physicians are recognized in the period that the change in estimate is identified. The Company amortizes an asset over the life of the agreement. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the unamortized portion of these physician income guarantees was $33.0 million and $30.1 million, respectively.

Concentrations of Credit Risk. The Company grants unsecured credit to its patients, most of whom reside in the service area of the Company's facilities and are insured under third-party payor agreements. Because of the economic diversity of the Company's facilities and non-governmental third-party payors, Medicare represents the only significant concentration of credit risk from payors. Accounts receivable, net of contractual allowances, from Medicare were $359.6 million and $315.5 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, representing 7.5% and 7.4% of consolidated net accounts receivable, before allowance for doubtful accounts, as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Professional Liability Claims. The Company accrues for estimated losses resulting from professional liability. The accrual, which includes an estimate for incurred but not reported claims, is based on historical loss patterns and actuarially-determined projections and is discounted to its net present value. To the extent that subsequent claims information varies from management's estimates, the liability is adjusted when such information becomes available.

Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets . Whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying values of certain long-lived assets may be impaired, the Company projects the undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by these assets. If the projections indicate that the reported amounts are not expected to be recovered, such amounts are reduced to their estimated fair value based on a quoted market price, if available, or an estimate based on valuation techniques available in the circumstances.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company recorded a pretax impairment charge of $20.1 million to reduce the carrying value of certain long-lived assets at five of its smaller hospitals to their estimated fair value. During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company recorded a pretax impairment charge of $10.0 million to reduce the carrying value of certain long-lived assets at three of its smaller hospitals to their estimated fair value. The impairments for 2013 and 2012 were identified because of declining operating results and projections of future cash flows at these hospitals caused by competitive and operational challenges specific to the markets in which these hospitals operate. There were no impairments of long-lived assets in 2011.

Income Taxes. The Company accounts for income taxes under the asset and liability method, in which deferred income tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the tax consequences of "temporary differences" by applying enacted statutory tax rates applicable to future years to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and the tax bases of existing assets and liabilities. The effect on deferred taxes of a change in tax rates is recognized in the consolidated statement of income during the period in which the tax rate change becomes law.

Comprehensive Income (Loss). Comprehensive income (loss) is the change in equity of a business enterprise during a period from transactions and other events and circumstances from non-owner sources.

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Change in Fair Value of Interest Rate Swaps	Change in Fair Value of Available for Sale Securities	Change in Unrecognized Pension Cost Components	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)
Balance as of December 31, 2011	$ (162,791)	$ 1,576	$ (23,264)	$ (184,479)
2012 activity, net of tax	46,409	3,012	(10,252)	39,169
Balance as of December 31, 2012	(116,382)	4,588	(33,516)	(145,310)
2013 activity, net of tax	60,304	2,181	15,320	77,805
Balance as of December 31, 2013	$ (56,078)	$ 6,769	$ (18,196)	$ (67,505)

Segment Reporting. A public company is required to report annual and interim financial and descriptive information about its reportable operating segments. Operating segments, as defined, are components of an enterprise about which separate financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. Aggregation of similar operating segments into a single reportable operating segment is permitted if the businesses have similar economic characteristics and meet the criteria established by U.S. GAAP.

The Company operates in two distinct operating segments, represented by the hospital operations (which includes the Company's acute care hospitals and related healthcare entities that provide inpatient and outpatient healthcare services) and the home care agencies operations (which provide in-home outpatient care). U.S. GAAP requires (1) that financial information be disclosed for operating segments that meet a 10% quantitative threshold of the consolidated totals of net revenue, profit or loss, or total assets; and (2) that the individual reportable segments disclosed contribute at least 75% of total consolidated net revenue. Based on these measures, only the hospital operations segment meets the criteria as a separate reportable segment. Financial information for the home care agencies segment does not meet the quantitative thresholds and is therefore combined with corporate into the all other reportable segment.

Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. The Company records derivative instruments on the consolidated balance sheet as either an asset or liability measured at its fair value. Changes in a derivative's fair value are recorded each period in earnings or other comprehensive income ("OCI"), depending on whether the derivative is designated and is effective as a hedged transaction, and on the type of hedge transaction. Changes in the fair value of derivative instruments recorded to OCI are reclassified to earnings in the period affected by the underlying hedged item. Any portion of the fair value of a derivative instrument determined to be ineffective under the standard is recognized in current earnings.

The Company has entered into several interest rate swap agreements. See Note 7 for further discussion about the swap transactions.

New Accounting Pronouncements. In February 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2013-02, which requires additional disclosures on the effect of significant reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income. The ASU requires a company that reports other comprehensive income to present (either on the face of the statement where net income is presented or in the notes) the effects on the line items of net income of significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income. For other amounts that are not required to be reclassified in their entirety to net income in the same reporting period, an entity is required to cross-reference to other required disclosures that provide additional details about those amounts. This ASU is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2012, and was adopted by the Company on January 1, 2013. As it only requires additional disclosure, the adoption of this ASU had no impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

2. ACCOUNTING FOR STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Stock-based compensation awards have been granted under the Community Health Systems, Inc. Amended and Restated 2000 Stock Option and Award Plan, amended and restated as of March 20, 2013 (the "2000 Plan"), and the Community Health Systems, Inc. 2009 Stock Option and Award Plan, amended and restated as of March 20, 2013 (the "2009 Plan").

The 2000 Plan allowed for the grant of incentive stock options intended to qualify under Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code (the "IRC"), as well as stock options which do not so qualify, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance-based shares or units and other share awards. Prior to being amended in 2009, the 2000 Plan also allowed for the grant of phantom stock. Persons eligible to receive grants under the 2000 Plan include the Company's directors, officers, employees and consultants. All options granted under the 2000 Plan have been "nonqualified" stock options for tax purposes. Generally, vesting of these granted options occurs in one-third increments on each of the first three anniversaries of the award date. Options granted prior to 2005 have a 10-year contractual term, options granted in 2005 through 2007 have an eight-year contractual term and options granted in 2008 through 2011 have a 10-year contractual term. The Company has not granted stock option awards under the 2000 Plan since 2011. Since the Company's stockholders approved the March 20, 2013 amendment and restatement of the 2009 Plan, no further grants will be awarded under the 2000 Plan.

The 2009 Plan provides for the grant of incentive stock options intended to qualify under Section 422 of the IRC and for the grant of stock options which do not so qualify, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance-based shares or units and other share awards. Persons eligible to receive grants under the 2009 Plan include the Company's directors, officers, employees and consultants. To date, all options granted under the 2009 Plan have been "nonqualified" stock options for tax purposes. Generally, vesting of these granted options occurs in one-third increments on each of the first three anniversaries of the award date. Options granted in 2011 or later have a 10-year contractual term. As of December 31, 2013, 4,160,962 shares of unissued common stock were reserved for future grants under the 2009 Plan.

The exercise price of all options granted is equal to the fair value of the Company's common stock on the option grant date.

The following table reflects the impact of total compensation expense related to stock-based equity plans on the reported operating results for the respective periods (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Effect on income from continuing operations before income taxes	$ (38,403)	$ (40,896)	$ (42,542)
Effect on net income	$ (24,040)	$ (25,683)	$ (27,014)

At December 31, 2013, $30.5 million of unrecognized stock-based compensation expense was expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 22 months. Of that amount, $1.7 million related to outstanding unvested stock options was expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 9 months and $28.8 million related to outstanding unvested restricted stock and restricted stock units was expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 23 months. There were no modifications to awards during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

The fair value of stock options granted during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 was estimated using the Black Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Expected volatility	N/A	57.8 %	33.8 %
Expected dividends	N/A	-	-
Expected term	N/A	4.1 years	4 years
Risk-free interest rate	N/A	0.66 %	1.63 %

In determining the expected term, the Company examined concentrations of option holdings and historical patterns of option exercises and forfeitures, as well as forward-looking factors, in an effort to determine if there were any discernible employee populations. From this analysis, the Company identified two primary employee populations, one consisting of certain senior executives and the other one consisting of substantially all other recipients.

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

The expected volatility rate was estimated based on historical volatility. In determining expected volatility, the Company also reviewed the market-based implied volatility of actively traded options of its common stock and determined that historical volatility utilized to estimate the expected volatility rate did not differ significantly from the implied volatility.

The expected term computation is based on historical exercise and cancellation patterns and forward-looking factors, where present, for each population identified. The risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of the grant. The pre-vesting forfeiture rate is based on historical rates and forward-looking factors for each population identified. The Company adjusts the estimated forfeiture rate to its actual experience.

Options outstanding and exercisable under the 2000 Plan and the 2009 Plan as of December 31, 2013, and changes during each of the years in the three-year period prior to December 31, 2013, were as follows (in thousands, except share and per share data):

	Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value as of December 31, 2013
Outstanding at December 31, 2010	7,834,332	$ 32.08		
Granted	1,505,000	35.87		
Exercised	(623,341)	30.34		
Forfeited and cancelled	(326,849)	33.69		
Outstanding at December 31, 2011	8,389,142	32.83		
Granted	253,500	21.16		
Exercised	(1,050,772)	19.85		
Forfeited and cancelled	(487,757)	34.12		
Outstanding at December 31, 2012	7,104,113	34.25		
Granted	-	-		
Exercised	(3,299,859)	33.53		
Forfeited and cancelled	(66,709)	34.01		
Outstanding at December 31, 2013	3,737,545	$ 34.88	4.1 years	$ 17,806
Exercisable at December 31, 2013	3,203,520	$ 35.49	3.5 years	$ 13,515

The weighted-average grant date fair value of stock options granted during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, was $9.20 and $10.07, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value (the number of in-the-money stock options multiplied by the difference between the Company's closing stock price on the last trading day of the reporting period ($39.27) and the exercise price of the respective stock options) in the table above represents the amount that would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options on December 31, 2013. This amount changes based on the market value of the Company's common stock. The aggregate intrinsic value of options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 was $31.0 million, $9.4 million and $6.1 million, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value of options vested and expected to vest approximates that of the outstanding options.

The Company has also awarded restricted stock under the 2000 Plan and the 2009 Plan to its directors and employees of certain subsidiaries. The restrictions on these shares generally lapse in one-third increments on each of the first three anniversaries of the award date. Certain of the restricted stock awards granted to the Company's senior executives contain a performance objective that must be met in addition to any vesting requirements. If the performance objective is not attained, the awards will be forfeited in their entirety. Once the performance objective has been attained, restrictions will lapse in one-third increments on each of the first three anniversaries of the award date. Notwithstanding the above-mentioned performance objectives and vesting requirements, the restrictions will lapse earlier in the event of death, disability or termination of employment by the Company for any reason other than for cause of the holder of the restricted stock, or change in control of the Company. Restricted stock awards subject to performance standards are not considered outstanding for purposes of determining earnings per share until the performance objectives have been satisfied.

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Restricted stock outstanding under the 2000 Plan and the 2009 Plan as of December 31, 2013, and changes during each of the years in the three-year period prior to December 31, 2013, were as follows:

	Shares	Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value
Unvested at December 31, 2010	2,125,291	$ 27.92
Granted	1,109,949	37.57
Vested	(1,009,959)	27.40
Forfeited	(17,669)	35.68
Unvested at December 31, 2011	2,207,612	32.95
Granted	680,500	21.20
Vested	(1,118,213)	29.67
Forfeited	(25,335)	30.94
Unvested at December 31, 2012	1,744,564	30.50
Granted	836,088	41.55
Vested	(945,894)	32.22
Forfeited	(27,269)	37.09
Unvested at December 31, 2013	1,607,489	35.13

Restricted stock units ("RSUs") have been granted to the Company's outside directors under the 2000 Plan and the 2009 Plan. On February 23, 2011, each of the Company's outside directors received a grant under the 2009 Plan of 3,688 RSUs. On February 16, 2012, each of the Company's outside directors received a grant under the 2009 Plan of 6,645 RSUs. On February 27, 2013, each of the Company's outside directors received a grant under the 2009 Plan of 3,596 RSUs. Vesting of these shares of RSUs occurs in one-third increments on each of the first three anniversaries of the award date.

RSUs outstanding under the 2000 Plan and the 2009 Plan as of December 31, 2013, and changes during each of the years in the three-year period prior to December 31, 2013, were as follows:

	Shares	Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value
Unvested at December 31, 2010	53,388	$ 26.11
Granted	22,128	37.96
Vested	(22,560)	24.68
Forfeited	-	-
Unvested at December 31, 2011	52,956	31.67
Granted	39,870	21.07
Vested	(29,940)	27.95
Forfeited	-	-
Unvested at December 31, 2012	62,886	26.72
Granted	21,576	41.71
Vested	(28,926)	29.04
Forfeited	-	-
Unvested at December 31, 2013	55,536	31.33

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Under the Directors' Fees Deferral Plan, the Company's outside directors may elect to receive share equivalent units in lieu of cash for their directors' fees. These share equivalent units are held in the plan until the director electing to receive the share equivalent units retires or otherwise terminates his/her directorship with the Company. Share equivalent units are converted to shares of common stock of the Company at the time of distribution based on the closing market price of the Company's common stock on that date. The following table represents the amount of directors' fees which were deferred during each of the respective periods, and the number of share equivalent units into which such directors' fees would have converted had each of the directors who had deferred such fees retired or terminated his/her directorship with the Company as of the end of the respective periods (in thousands, except share equivalent units):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Directors' fees earned and deferred into plan	$ 130	$ 110	$ 220
Share equivalent units	2,990	4,056	9,974

At December 31, 2013, a total of 31,059 share equivalent units were deferred in the plan with an aggregate fair value of $1.2 million, based on the closing market price of the Company's common stock at December 31, 2013 of $39.27.

3. ACQUISITIONS AND DIVESTITURES

Acquisitions

The Company accounts for all transactions that represent business combinations using the acquisition method of accounting, where the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed and any noncontrolling interest in the acquired entity are recognized and measured at their fair values on the date the Company obtains control in the acquiree. Such fair values that are not finalized for reporting periods following the acquisition date are estimated and recorded as provisional amounts. Adjustments to these provisional amounts during the measurement period (defined as the date through which all information required to identify and measure the consideration transferred, the assets acquired, the liabilities assumed and any noncontrolling interests has been obtained, limited to one year from the acquisition date) are recorded as of the date of acquisition. Any material impact to comparative information for periods after acquisition, but before the period in which adjustments are identified, is reflected in those prior periods as if the adjustments were considered as of the acquisition date. Goodwill is determined as the excess of the fair value of the consideration conveyed in the acquisition over the fair value of the net assets acquired.

Effective July 1, 2012, one or more subsidiaries of the Company completed the acquisition of Memorial Health Systems in York, Pennsylvania. This healthcare system includes Memorial Hospital (100 licensed beds), the Surgical Center of York, and other outpatient and ancillary services. As part of this purchase agreement, the Company has agreed to spend at least $75.0 million to build a replacement hospital within five years of the closing date. The total cash consideration paid for fixed assets and working capital was approximately $45.0 million and $2.6 million, respectively, with additional consideration of $12.5 million assumed in liabilities, for a total consideration of $60.1 million. Based upon the Company's final purchase price allocation relating to this acquisition as of December 31, 2013, approximately $10.9 million of goodwill has been recorded.

Effective March 5, 2012, one or more subsidiaries of the Company completed a merger with Diagnostic Clinic of Longview, P.A., which is a multi-specialty clinic serving residents of Longview, Texas and surrounding East Texas communities. This merger was accounted for as a purchase business combination. The total cash consideration paid for the business, including net working capital, was approximately $52.3 million, with additional consideration of $6.9 million assumed in liabilities, for a total consideration of $59.2 million. Based upon the Company's final purchase price allocation relating to this acquisition, approximately $41.8 million of goodwill has been recorded.

Effective March 1, 2012, one or more subsidiaries of the Company completed the acquisition of MetroSouth Medical Center (330 licensed beds) located in Blue Island, Illinois. The total cash consideration paid for fixed assets was approximately $39.3 million with additional consideration of $5.8 million assumed in liabilities as well as a credit applied at closing of $0.9 million for negative acquired working capital, for a total consideration of $44.2 million. Based upon the Company's final purchase price allocation relating to this acquisition as of December 31, 2013, no goodwill has been recorded.

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Effective January 1, 2012, one or more subsidiaries of the Company completed the acquisition of Moses Taylor Healthcare System based in Scranton, Pennsylvania, which is a healthcare system comprised of two acute care hospitals and other healthcare providers. This healthcare system includes Moses Taylor Hospital (217 licensed beds) located in Scranton, Pennsylvania, and Mid-Valley Hospital (25 licensed beds) located in Peckville, Pennsylvania. The total cash consideration paid for fixed assets and working capital was approximately $151.1 million and $13.1 million, respectively, with additional consideration of $9.4 million assumed in liabilities, for a total consideration of $173.6 million. Based upon the Company's final purchase price allocation relating to this acquisition, approximately $54.6 million of goodwill has been recorded.

Effective October 1, 2011, one or more subsidiaries of the Company completed the acquisition of Tomball Regional Hospital (358 licensed beds) located in Tomball, Texas. The total cash consideration paid for fixed assets and working capital was approximately $192.0 million and $17.5 million, respectively, with additional consideration of $15.9 million assumed in liabilities, for a total consideration of $225.4 million. Based upon the Company's final purchase price allocation relating to this acquisition, as of December 31, 2013, approximately $32.4 million of goodwill has been recorded.

Effective May 1, 2011, one or more subsidiaries of the Company completed the acquisition of Mercy Health Partners based in Scranton, Pennsylvania, which is a healthcare system comprised of two acute care hospitals, a long-term acute care facility and other healthcare providers. This healthcare system includes Regional Hospital of Scranton (198 licensed beds) located in Scranton, Pennsylvania, and Tyler Memorial Hospital (48 licensed beds) located in Tunkhannock, Pennsylvania. This healthcare system also includes a long-term acute care facility, Special Care Hospital (67 licensed beds) located in Nanticoke, Pennsylvania, as well as several outpatient clinics and other ancillary facilities. The total cash consideration paid for fixed assets was approximately $150.8 million, with additional consideration of $12.3 million assumed in liabilities as well as a credit applied at closing of $2.1 million for negative acquired working capital, for a total consideration of $161.0 million. Based upon the Company's final purchase price allocation relating to this acquisition, as of December 31, 2013, approximately $43.1 million of goodwill has been recorded.

Approximately $20.6 million, $9.9 million and $16.0 million of acquisition costs related to prospective and closed acquisitions were expensed during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and are included in other operating expenses on the consolidated statements of income. For the year ended December 31, 2013, these acquisition costs included $14.1 million of expenses related to the acquisition of Health Management Associates, Inc. ("HMA").

The table below summarizes the allocations of the purchase price (including assumed liabilities) for the above hospital acquisition transactions in 2012 (in thousands) and reflects the fact that there were no hospital acquisitions in 2013:

	2013	2012
Current assets	N/A	$ 46,207
Property and equipment	N/A	178,836
Goodwill	N/A	106,269
Intangible assets	N/A	2,522
Other long-term assets	N/A	490
Liabilities	N/A	34,463

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

The operating results of the foregoing transactions have been included in the accompanying consolidated statements of income from their respective dates of acquisition, including net operating revenues of $337.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 from hospital acquisitions that closed during 2012. The following pro forma combined summary of operations of the Company gives effect to using historical information of the operations of the hospital acquisitions in 2012 discussed above as if the transactions had occurred as of January 1, 2012 (in thousands, except per share data):

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
		(Unaudited)
Pro forma net operating revenues	$ 12,997,693	$ 13,120,413
Pro forma net income	217,268	258,019
Pro forma net income per share:		
Basic	$ 1.52	$ 2.89
Diluted	$ 1.51	$ 2.87

There were no hospital acquisitions in 2013, so the pro forma summarized operating results for the year ended December 31, 2013 equal the operating results as reported. Pro forma adjustments to net income include adjustments to depreciation and amortization expense, net of the related tax effect, based on the estimated fair value assigned to the long-lived assets acquired, and to interest expense, net of the related tax effect, assuming the increase in long-term debt used to fund the acquisitions had occurred as of January 1, 2012. These pro forma results are not necessarily indicative of the actual results of operations.

Additionally, during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Company paid approximately $39.7 million, $41.5 million and $57.9 million, respectively, to acquire the operating assets and related businesses of certain physician practices, clinics and other ancillary businesses that operate within the communities served by its hospitals. In connection with these acquisitions, during 2013, the Company assumed approximately $4.6 million of noncontrolling interests and allocated approximately $8.9 million of the consideration paid to property and equipment, approximately $0.3 million to net working capital and the remainder, approximately $36.2 million consisting of intangible assets that do not qualify for separate recognition, to goodwill. During 2012, the Company assumed approximately $2.0 million in net working capital liabilities and allocated approximately $10.2 million of the consideration paid to property and equipment and the remainder, approximately $33.3 million consisting of intangible assets that do not qualify for separate recognition, to goodwill. During 2011, the Company allocated approximately $13.1 million of the consideration paid to property and equipment, $2.9 million to net working capital, $1.6 million to other intangible assets and the remainder, approximately $40.3 million consisting of intangible assets that do not qualify for separate recognition, to goodwill. These acquisition transactions during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 were accounted for as purchase business combinations.

Discontinued Operations

Effective February 1, 2011, the Company sold Willamette Community Medical Group, which is a physician clinic operating as Oregon Medical Group, located in Springfield, Oregon, to Oregon Healthcare Resources, LLC, for $14.6 million in cash; this business had a carrying amount of net assets, including an allocation of reporting unit goodwill, of $19.7 million.

Effective September 1, 2011, the Company sold SouthCrest Hospital, located in Tulsa, Oklahoma, Claremore Regional Hospital, located in Claremore, Oklahoma, and other related healthcare assets affiliated with those hospitals to Hillcrest Healthcare System, part of Ardent Health Services, for approximately $154.2 million in cash. The carrying amount of the net assets sold in this transaction, including an allocation of reporting unit goodwill, was approximately $193.0 million.

Effective October 22, 2011, the Company sold Cleveland Regional Medical Center, located in Cleveland, Texas, and other related healthcare assets affiliated with the hospital to New Directions Health Systems, LLC for approximately $0.9 million in cash. The carrying amount of the net assets sold in this transaction, including an allocation of reporting unit goodwill, was approximately $14.2 million.

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

The Company has classified the results of operations for Oregon Medical Group, SouthCrest Hospital, Claremore Regional Hospital and Cleveland Regional Hospital as discontinued operations in the accompanying consolidated statements of income for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011. As of December 31, 2013, no hospitals are held for sale.

Net operating revenues and loss from discontinued operations for the respective periods are as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Net operating revenues	$ -	$ -	$ 144,546
Loss from operations of entities sold before income taxes	-	(729)	(12,390)
Impairment of hospitals sold	-	-	(51,695)
Loss on sale, net	-	-	(4,301)
Loss from discontinued operations, before taxes	-	(729)	(68,386)
Income tax benefit	-	(263)	(10,115)
Loss from discontinued operations, net of taxes	$ -	$ (466)	$ (58,271)

Interest expense was allocated to discontinued operations based on sale proceeds available for debt repayment.

4. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill are as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Balance, beginning of year	$ 4,408,138	$ 4,264,845
Goodwill acquired as part of acquisitions during current year	36,245	141,277
Consideration and purchase price allocation adjustments for prior year's acquisitions and other adjustments	(248)	2,016
Balance, end of year	$ 4,444,135	$ 4,408,138

Goodwill is allocated to each identified reporting unit, which is defined as an operating segment or one level below the operating segment (referred to as a component of the entity). Management has determined that the Company's operating segments and hospital management services operations meet the criteria to be classified as reporting units. At December 31, 2013, the hospital operations reporting unit, the home care agency operations reporting unit, and the hospital management services reporting unit had approximately $4.4 billion, $43.6 million and $33.3 million, respectively, of goodwill. At December 31, 2012, the hospital operations reporting unit, the home care agency operations reporting unit, and the hospital management services reporting unit had approximately $4.3 billion, $40.5 million and $33.3 million, respectively, of goodwill.

Goodwill is evaluated for impairment at the same time every year and when an event occurs or circumstances change that, more likely than not, reduce the fair value of the reporting unit below its carrying value. There is a two-step method for determining goodwill impairment. Step one is to compare the fair value of the reporting unit with the unit's carrying amount, including goodwill. If this test indicates the fair value is less than the carrying value, then step two is required to compare the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill with the carrying value of the reporting unit's goodwill. The Company performed its last annual goodwill evaluation during the fourth quarter of 2013. No impairment was indicated by this evaluation. The next annual goodwill evaluation will be performed during the fourth quarter of 2014.

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

The Company estimates the fair value of the related reporting units using both a discounted cash flow model as well as an EBITDA multiple model. The cash flow forecasts are adjusted by an appropriate discount rate based on the Company's estimate of a market participant's weighted-average cost of capital. These models are both based on the Company's best estimate of future revenues and operating costs and are reconciled to the Company's consolidated market capitalization, with consideration of the amount a potential acquirer would be required to pay, in the form of a control premium, in order to gain sufficient ownership to set policies, direct operations and control management decisions.

Approximately $1.2 million of intangible assets other than goodwill were acquired during the year ended December 31, 2013. The gross carrying amount of the Company's other intangible assets subject to amortization was $50.9 million at December 31, 2013 and $61.9 million at December 31, 2012, and the net carrying amount was $20.5 million at December 31, 2013 and $26.3 million at December 31, 2012. The carrying amount of the Company's other intangible assets not subject to amortization was $49.6 million and $48.1 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Other intangible assets are included in other assets, net on the Company's consolidated balance sheets. Substantially all of the Company's intangible assets are contract-based intangible assets related to operating licenses, management contracts, or non-compete agreements entered into in connection with prior acquisitions.

The weighted-average amortization period for the intangible assets subject to amortization is approximately eight years. There are no expected residual values related to these intangible assets. Amortization expense on these intangible assets was $5.6 million, $7.5 million and $8.1 million during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively. Amortization expense on intangible assets is estimated to be $3.8 million in 2014, $3.3 million in 2015, $2.5 million in 2016, $2.2 million in 2017, $2.0 million in 2018 and $6.7 million thereafter.

The gross carrying amount of capitalized software for internal use was approximately $987.5 million and $654.4 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and the net carrying amount considering accumulated amortization was approximately $559.5 million and $354.4 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The estimated amortization period for capitalized internal-use software is generally three years, except for capitalized costs related to significant system conversions, which is generally eight to ten years. There is no expected residual value for capitalized internal-use software. At December 31, 2013, there was approximately $141.8 million of capitalized costs for internal-use software that is currently in the development stage and will begin amortization once the software project is complete and ready for its intended use. Amortization expense on capitalized internal-use software was $140.6 million, $100.7 million and $70.5 million during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Amortization expense on capitalized internal-use software is estimated to be $143.5 million in 2014, $122.0 million in 2015, $94.2 million in 2016, $44.9 million in 2017, $38.1 million in 2018 and $116.8 million thereafter.

5. INCOME TAXES

The provision for income taxes for income from continuing operations consists of the following (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Current:			
Federal	$ 14,674	$ 94,080	$ 23,020
State	4,636	10,015	7,601
	19,310	104,095	30,621
Deferred:			
Federal	58,331	56,487	105,771
State	10,953	(3,080)	1,261
	69,284	53,407	107,032
Total provision for income taxes for income from continuing operations	$88,594	$157,502	$137,653

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

The following table reconciles the differences between the statutory federal income tax rate and the effective tax rate (dollars in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,					
	2013		2012		2011	
	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%
Provision for income taxes at statutory federal rate	$ 107,052	35.0 %	$ 176,320	35.0 %	$ 165,741	35.0 %
State income taxes, net of federal income tax benefit	9,560	3.1	12,293	2.4	8,212	1.7
Release of unrecognized tax benefit	-	-	-	-	(6,509)	(1.3)
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(26,623)	(8.7)	(28,057)	(5.6)	(26,486)	(5.6)
Change in valuation allowance	-	-	(1,233)	(0.2)	-	-
Federal and state tax credits	(3,972)	(1.3)	(2,185)	(0.4)	(3,788)	(0.8)
Other	2,577	0.9	364	0.1	483	0.1
Provision for income taxes and effective tax rate for income from continuing operations	$ 88,594	29.0 %	$ 157,502	31.3 %	$ 137,653	29.1 %

Deferred income taxes are based on the estimated future tax effects of differences between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities under the provisions of the enacted tax laws. Deferred income taxes as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 consist of (in thousands):

	December 31,			
	2013		2012	
	Assets	Liabilities	Assets	Liabilities
Net operating loss and credit carryforwards	$ 186,519	$ -	$ 170,521	$ -
Property and equipment	-	820,035	-	762,387
Self-insurance liabilities	125,367	-	124,842	-
Intangibles	-	244,019	-	222,392
Investments in unconsolidated affiliates	-	60,257	-	64,170
Other liabilities	-	23,767	-	22,468
Long-term debt and interest	-	21,256	-	28,920
Accounts receivable	-	86,044	-	38,503
Accrued expenses	53,011	-	55,203	-
Other comprehensive income	47,265	-	102,242	-
Stock-based compensation	22,813	-	31,504	-
Deferred compensation	73,042	-	58,509	-
Other	110,813	-	65,887	-
	618,830	1,255,378	608,708	1,138,840
Valuation allowance	(171,364)	-	(161,312)	-
Total deferred income taxes	$ 447,466	$ 1,255,378	$ 447,396	$ 1,138,840

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

The Company believes that the net deferred tax assets will ultimately be realized, except as noted below. Its conclusion is based on its estimate of future taxable income and the expected timing of temporary difference reversals. The Company has state net operating loss carry forwards of approximately $5.5 billion, which expire from 2014 to 2033. The Company also has unrecognized deferred tax assets primarily related to interest expense that are included in other comprehensive income. If recognized, additional state net operating losses will be created which the Company does not expect to be able to utilize prior to the expiration of the carryforward period. A valuation allowance of approximately $9.0 million has been recognized for those items. With respect to the deferred tax liability pertaining to intangibles, as included above, goodwill purchased in connection with certain of the Company's business acquisitions is amortizable for income tax reporting purposes. However, for financial reporting purposes, there is no corresponding amortization allowed with respect to such purchased goodwill.

The valuation allowance increased by $10.1 million during the year ended December 31, 2013 and increased by $11.1 million during the year ended December 31, 2012. In addition to amounts previously discussed, the change in valuation allowance relates to a redetermination of the amount of, and realizability of, net operating losses and credits in certain income tax jurisdictions.

The total amount of unrecognized benefit that would affect the effective tax rate, if recognized, was approximately $0.7 million as of December 31, 2013. A total of approximately $0.4 million of interest and penalties is included in the amount of the liability for uncertain tax positions at December 31, 2013. It is the Company's policy to recognize interest and penalties related to unrecognized benefits in its consolidated statements of income as income tax expense. During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company decreased liabilities for uncertain tax positions by $0.2 million. It is the Company's policy to recognize interest and penalties related to unrecognized benefits in its consolidated statements of income as income tax expense.

It is possible the amount of unrecognized tax benefit could change in the next twelve months as a result of a lapse of the statute of limitations and settlements with taxing authorities; however, the Company does not anticipate the change will have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

The following is a tabular reconciliation of the total amount of unrecognized tax benefit for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Unrecognized tax benefit, beginning of year	$ 682	$ 629	$ 7,458
Gross increases — tax positions in prior period	195	1,515	349
Reductions — tax positions in prior period	-	-	(3,469)
Lapse of statute of limitations	-	-	(3,575)
Settlements	(402)	(1,462)	(134)
Unrecognized tax benefit, end of year	$ 475	$ 682	$ 629

The Company, or one of its subsidiaries, files income tax returns in the United States federal jurisdiction and various state jurisdictions. The Company has extended the federal statute of limitations through December 31, 2014 for Triad Hospitals, Inc. ("Triad") for the tax periods ended December 31, 1999, December 31, 2000, April 30, 2001, June 30, 2001, December 31, 2001, December 31, 2002, December 31, 2003, December 31, 2004, December 31, 2005, December 31, 2006 and July 25, 2007. With few exceptions, the Company is no longer subject to state income tax examinations for years prior to 2010. The Company's federal income tax returns for the 2009 and 2010 tax years are currently under examination by the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS"). The Company believes the results of these examinations will not be material to its consolidated results of operations or consolidated financial position. During the year ended December 31, 2013, the IRS concluded its examination of the federal tax return of Community Health Systems, Inc. for the tax periods ended December 31, 2007 and 2008. The results of these examinations did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated results of operations or consolidated financial position. The Company has extended the federal statute of limitations through December 31, 2014 for Community Health Systems, Inc. for the tax periods ended December 31, 2007 and 2008, and through July 18, 2014 for the tax period ended December 31, 2009.

Cash paid for income taxes, net of refunds received, resulted in net cash paid of $72.8 million, $55.6 million and $26.5 million during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

6. LONG-TERM DEBT

Long-term debt consists of the following (in thousands):

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Credit Facility:		
Term loan A	$ 637,500	$ 712,500
Term loan B	3,412,584	3,619,062
Revolving credit loans	-	-
8% Senior Notes due 2019	2,020,346	2,022,829
7 1/8% Senior Notes due 2020	1,200,000	1,200,000
5 1/8% Senior Secured Notes due 2018	1,600,000	1,600,000
Receivables Facility	500,000	300,000
Capital lease obligations	46,066	47,951
Other	36,901	38,963
Total debt	9,453,397	9,541,305
Less current maturities	(166,902)	(89,911)
Total long-term debt	$ 9,286,495	$ 9,451,394

Credit Facility

The Company's wholly-owned subsidiary CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc. ("CHS") has obtained senior secured financing under a credit facility (the "Credit Facility") with a syndicate of financial institutions led by Credit Suisse, as administrative agent and collateral agent. The Credit Facility includes a $750 million revolving credit facility for working capital and general corporate purposes. The revolving credit facility also includes a subfacility for letters of credit and a swingline subfacility. The Credit Facility requires quarterly amortization payments of each term loan B facility equal to 0.25% of the outstanding amount of such term loans. On November 5, 2010, CHS entered into an amendment and restatement of the Credit Facility. The amendment extended by two and a half years, until January 25, 2017, the maturity date of $1.5 billion of the existing term loans under the Credit Facility and increased the pricing on these term loans to LIBOR plus 350 basis points. The amendment also increased CHS' ability to issue additional indebtedness under the uncommitted incremental facility to $1.0 billion from $600 million, permitted CHS to issue term loan A loans under the incremental facility, and provided up to $2.0 billion of borrowing capacity from receivable transactions, an increase of $0.5 billion, of which approximately $1.7 billion would be required to be used for repayment of existing term loans. On February 2, 2012, CHS completed a second amendment and restatement of the Credit Facility to extend an additional $1.6 billion of the term loans due 2014 under the Credit Facility to match the maturity date and interest rate margins of the term loans due January 25, 2017.

On August 3, 2012, CHS entered into Amendment No. 1 to the Credit Facility to provide increased flexibility for refinancing and repayment of the term loans due 2014 and amend certain other terms. On August 17, 2012, the Company made a prepayment of $1.6 billion on the term loans due July 25, 2014, utilizing the proceeds from the issuance of $1.6 billion of 5 1/8% Senior Secured Notes due 2018. On August 22, 2012, CHS entered into a loan modification agreement with respect to the Credit Facility to extend approximately $340 million of the term loans due 2014 to match the maturity date and interest rate margins of the term loans due January 25, 2017.

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

On November 27, 2012, CHS entered into Amendment No. 2 to the Credit Facility to provide increased flexibility for the Company to make investments and restricted payments, incur debt related to acquisitions, amend certain other terms of the Credit Facility, including the maximum leverage ratio and interest coverage ratio financial coverage levels, and add a one year 1% prepayment premium payable in connection with a repricing of the term loans due in 2017. During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company paid down $206.5 million of the term loans due 2014. The remaining balance of the non-extended term loans due 2014 at December 31, 2013 of approximately $59.6 million was paid as part of the financing for the HMA merger on January 27, 2014.

On August 12, 2013, CHS entered into Amendment No. 3 to the Credit Facility to provide increased flexibility for CHS to incur debt by amending certain terms of the Credit Facility, including the maximum leverage ratio and secured leverage ratio covenant levels. In addition, the amendment includes pricing protection for certain term loans due January 25, 2017, which specifies an increased margin in certain instances. The amendment also provides for a total leverage-based step-up to the applicable margin of the term loans due January 25, 2017 and the term loans due July 25, 2014. The pricing of the loans under the Credit Facility will otherwise remain unchanged.

Effective March 6, 2012, the Company obtained a new $750 million senior secured revolving credit facility (the "Replacement Revolver Facility") and a new $750 million incremental term loan A facility (the "Incremental Term Loan") subject to the terms and conditions set forth in the Credit Facility. The Replacement Revolver Facility replaced in full the existing revolving credit facility under the Credit Facility. The net proceeds of the Incremental Term Loan were used to repay the same amount of the existing term loans under the Credit Facility. Both the Replacement Revolver Facility and the Incremental Term Loan have a maturity date of October 25, 2016, subject to customary acceleration events and to earlier maturity if the repayment, extension or refinancing with longer maturity debt of substantially all of the Company's then outstanding term loans maturing July 25, 2014 and the now fully redeemed 8 7/8% Senior Notes does not occur by April 25, 2014. The pricing on each of the Replacement Revolver Facility and the Incremental Term Loan is initially LIBOR plus a margin of 250 basis points, subject to adjustment based on the Company's leverage ratio. The Incremental Term Loan amortizes at 5% in year one, 10% in years two and three, 15% in year four and 60% in year five.

The term loan facility must be prepaid in an amount equal to (1) 100% of the net cash proceeds of certain asset sales and dispositions by the Company and its subsidiaries, subject to certain exceptions and reinvestment rights, (2) 100% of the net cash proceeds of issuances of certain debt obligations or receivables-based financing by the Company and its subsidiaries, subject to certain exceptions, and (3) 50%, subject to reduction to a lower percentage based on the Company's leverage ratio (as defined in the Credit Facility generally as the ratio of total debt on the date of determination to the Company's EBITDA, as defined, for the four quarters most recently ended prior to such date), of excess cash flow (as defined) for any year, commencing in 2008, subject to certain exceptions. Voluntary prepayments and commitment reductions are permitted in whole or in part, without any premium or penalty, subject to minimum prepayment or reduction requirements.

The obligor under the Credit Facility is CHS. All of the obligations under the Credit Facility are unconditionally guaranteed by the Company and certain of its existing and subsequently acquired or organized domestic subsidiaries. All obligations under the Credit Facility and the related guarantees are secured by a perfected first priority lien or security interest in substantially all of the assets of the Company, CHS and each subsidiary guarantor, including equity interests held by the Company, CHS or any subsidiary guarantor, but excluding, among others, the equity interests of non-significant subsidiaries, syndication subsidiaries, securitization subsidiaries and joint venture subsidiaries.

The loans under the Credit Facility bear interest on the outstanding unpaid principal amount at a rate equal to an applicable percentage plus, at CHS' option, either (a) an Alternate Base Rate (as defined) determined by reference to the greater of (1) the Prime Rate (as defined) announced by Credit Suisse or (2) the Federal Funds Effective Rate (as defined) plus 0.50% or (3) the adjusted London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") on such day for a three-month interest period commencing on the second business day after such day plus 1%, or (b) a reserve adjusted LIBOR for dollars (Eurodollar rate) (as defined). The applicable percentage for Alternate Base Rate loans is 1.25% for term loans due 2014 and is 2.50% for term loans due 2017. The applicable percentage for Eurodollar rate loans is 2.25% for term loans due 2014 and 3.50% for term loans due 2017. The applicable percentage for revolving loans and the Incremental Term Loan is 1.50% for Alternate Base Rate loans and 2.50% for Eurodollar loans. The applicable percentage for the loans under the Credit Facility is subject to adjustment based on the Company's leverage ratio. Loans under the swingline subfacility bear interest at the rate applicable to Alternate Base Rate loans under the Credit Facility.

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

CHS has agreed to pay letter of credit fees equal to the applicable percentage then in effect with respect to Eurodollar rate loans under the revolving credit facility times the maximum aggregate amount available to be drawn under all letters of credit outstanding under the subfacility for letters of credit. The issuer of any letter of credit issued under the subfacility for letters of credit will also receive a customary fronting fee and other customary processing charges. CHS is obligated to pay commitment fees of 0.50% per annum (subject to reduction based upon the Company's leverage ratio) on the unused portion of the revolving credit facility. For purposes of this calculation, swingline loans are not treated as usage of the revolving credit facility.

The Credit Facility contains customary representations and warranties, subject to limitations and exceptions, and customary covenants restricting the Company's and its subsidiaries' ability, subject to certain exceptions, to, among other things (1) declare dividends, make distributions or redeem or repurchase capital stock, (2) prepay, redeem or repurchase other debt, (3) incur liens or grant negative pledges, (4) make loans and investments and enter into acquisitions and joint ventures, (5) incur additional indebtedness or provide certain guarantees, (6) make capital expenditures, (7) engage in mergers, acquisitions and asset sales, (8) conduct transactions with affiliates, (9) alter the nature of the Company's businesses, (10) grant certain guarantees with respect to physician practices, (11) engage in sale and leaseback transactions or (12) change the Company's fiscal year. The Company is also required to comply with specified financial covenants (consisting of a leverage ratio and an interest coverage ratio) and various affirmative covenants.

Events of default under the Credit Facility include, but are not limited to, (1) CHS' failure to pay principal, interest, fees or other amounts under the credit agreement when due (taking into account any applicable grace period), (2) any representation or warranty proving to have been materially incorrect when made, (3) covenant defaults subject, with respect to certain covenants, to a grace period, (4) bankruptcy events, (5) a cross default to certain other debt, (6) certain undischarged judgments (not paid within an applicable grace period), (7) a change of control, (8) certain ERISA-related defaults and (9) the invalidity or impairment of specified security interests, guarantees or subordination provisions in favor of the administrative agent or lenders under the Credit Facility.

As of December 31, 2013, the availability for additional borrowings under the Credit Facility was approximately $750.0 million pursuant to the Replacement Revolver Facility, of which $19.4 million was set aside for outstanding letters of credit. CHS has the ability to amend the Credit Facility to provide for one or more tranches of term loans in an aggregate principal amount of $1.0 billion, which CHS has not yet accessed. As of December 31, 2013, the weighted-average interest rate under the Credit Facility, excluding swaps, was 3.9%.

As of December 31, 2013, the term loans are scheduled to be paid with principal payments for future years as follows (in thousands):

Year	Amount
2014	$ 152,050
2015	147,336
2016	484,836
2017	3,265,862
2018	-
Thereafter	-
Total	$ 4,050,084

See Note 17 for a description and revised maturities of the term loans under the amended and restated Credit Facility in conjunction with the HMA merger.

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company had letters of credit issued, primarily in support of potential insurance-related claims and certain bonds, of approximately $19.4 million and $37.8 million, respectively.

8 7/8% Senior Notes due 2015

On July 25, 2007, CHS completed its offering of approximately $3.0 billion aggregate principal amount of 8 7/8% Senior Notes due 2015 (the "8 7/8% Senior Notes"), which were issued in a private placement. The 8 7/8% Senior Notes were to mature on July 15, 2015. The 8 7/8% Senior Notes bore interest at the rate of 8.875% per annum, payable semiannually in arrears on January 15 and July 15, commencing January 15, 2008. Interest on the 8 7/8% Senior Notes accrued from the date of original issuance. Interest was calculated on the basis of a 360-day year comprised of twelve 30-day months.

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Pursuant to a registration rights agreement entered into at the time of the issuance of the 8 7/8% Senior Notes, as a result of an exchange offer made by CHS, substantially all of the 8 7/8% Senior Notes issued in July 2007 were exchanged in November 2007 for new notes (the "8 7/8% Exchange Notes") having terms substantially identical in all material respects to the 8 7/8% Senior Notes (except that the 8 7/8% Exchange Notes were issued under a registration statement pursuant to the 1933 Act). References to the 8 7/8% Senior Notes shall also be deemed to include the 8 7/8% Exchange Notes unless the context provides otherwise.

On March 21, 2012, CHS completed the cash tender offer for $850 million of the then $1.8 billion aggregate outstanding principal amount of the 8 7/8% Senior Notes.

On July 18, 2012, CHS completed the cash tender offer for $639.7 million of the then $934.3 million aggregate outstanding principal amount of the 8 7/8% Senior Notes. On August 17, 2012, pursuant to its redemption option, CHS redeemed the remaining $294.6 million outstanding principal of the 8 7/8% Senior Notes.

8% Senior Notes due 2019

On November 22, 2011, CHS completed its offering of $1.0 billion aggregate principal amount of 8% Senior Notes due 2019 (the "8% Senior Notes"), which were issued in a private placement. The net proceeds from this issuance, together with available cash on hand, were used to finance the purchase of up to $1.0 billion aggregate principal amount of CHS' then outstanding 8 7/8% Senior Notes and related fees and expenses. On March 21, 2012, CHS completed the secondary offering of $1.0 billion aggregate principal amount of 8% Senior Notes, which were issued in a private placement (at a premium of 102.5%). The net proceeds from this issuance were used to finance the purchase of approximately $850 million aggregate principal amount of CHS' then outstanding 8 7/8% Senior Notes, to pay related fees and expenses and for general corporate purposes. The 8% Senior Notes bear interest at 8% per annum, payable semiannually in arrears on May 15 and November 15, commencing May 15, 2012. Interest on the 8% Senior Notes accrues from the date of original issuance. Interest is calculated on the basis of a 360-day year comprised of twelve 30-day months.

Except as set forth below, CHS is not entitled to redeem the 8% Senior Notes prior to November 15, 2015.

Prior to November 15, 2014, CHS is entitled, at its option, to redeem a portion of the 8% Senior Notes (not to exceed 35% of the outstanding principal amount) at a redemption price equal to 108% of the principal amount of the notes redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest, with the proceeds from certain public equity offerings. Prior to November 15, 2015, CHS may redeem some or all of the 8% Senior Notes at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, plus a "make-whole" premium, as described in the 8% Senior Notes indenture. On and after November 15, 2015, CHS is entitled, at its option, to redeem all or a portion of the 8% Senior Notes upon not less than 30 nor more than 60 days' notice, at the following redemption prices (expressed as a percentage of principal amount on the redemption date), plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the redemption date (subject to the right of holders of record on the relevant record date to receive interest due on the relevant interest payment date), if redeemed during the periods set forth below:

Period	Redemption Price
November 15, 2015 to November 14, 2016	104.000 %
November 15, 2016 to November 14, 2017	102.000 %
November 15, 2017 to November 15, 2019	100.000 %

Pursuant to a registration rights agreement entered into at the time of the issuance of the 8% Senior Notes, as a result of an exchange offer made by CHS, substantially all of the 8% Senior Notes issued in November 2011 and March 2012 were exchanged in May 2012 for new notes (the "8% Exchange Notes") having terms substantially identical in all material respects to the 8% Senior Notes (except that the 8% Exchange Notes were issued under a registration statement pursuant to the 1933 Act). References to the 8% Senior Notes shall also be deemed to include the 8% Exchange Notes unless the context provides otherwise.

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

7 1/8% Senior Notes due 2020

On July 18, 2012, CHS completed an underwritten public offering under its automatic shelf registration filed with the SEC of $1.2 billion aggregate principal amount of 7 1/8% Senior Notes due 2020 (the "7 1/8% Senior Notes"). The net proceeds from this issuance were used to finance the purchase or redemption of $934.3 million aggregate principal amount plus accrued interest of CHS' outstanding 8 7/8% Senior Notes, to pay for consents delivered in connection therewith, to pay related fees and expenses, and for general corporate purposes. The 7 1/8% Senior Notes bear interest at 7.125% per annum, payable semiannually in arrears on July 15 and January 15, commencing January 15, 2013. Interest on the 7 1/8% Senior Notes accrues from the date of original issuance. Interest is calculated on the basis of a 360-day year comprised of twelve 30-day months.

Except as set forth below, CHS is not entitled to redeem the 7 1/8% Senior Notes prior to July 15, 2016.

Prior to July 15, 2015, CHS is entitled, at its option, to redeem a portion of the 7 1/8% Senior Notes (not to exceed 35% of the outstanding principal amount) at a redemption price equal to 107.125% of the principal amount of the notes redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest, with the proceeds from certain public equity offerings. Prior to July 15, 2016, CHS may redeem some or all of the 7 1/8% Senior Notes at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, plus a "make-whole" premium, as described in the 7 1/8% Senior Notes indenture. On and after July 15, 2016, CHS is entitled, at its option, to redeem all or a portion of the 7 1/8% Senior Notes upon not less than 30 nor more than 60 days' notice, at the following redemption prices (expressed as a percentage of principal amount on the redemption date), plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the redemption date (subject to the right of holders of record on the relevant record date to receive interest due on the relevant interest payment date), if redeemed during the periods set forth below:

Period	Redemption Price
July 15, 2016 to July 14, 2017	103.563 %
July 15, 2017 to July 14, 2018	101.781 %
July 15, 2018 to July 15, 2020	100.000 %

5 1/8% Senior Secured Notes due 2018

On August 17, 2012, CHS completed an underwritten public offering under its automatic shelf registration filed with the SEC of $1.6 billion aggregate principal amount of 5 1/8% Senior Secured Notes due 2018 (the "5 1/8% Senior Secured Notes"). The net proceeds from this issuance, together with available cash on hand, were used to finance the prepayment of $1.6 billion of the outstanding term loans due 2014 under the Credit Facility and related fees and expenses. The 5 1/8% Senior Secured Notes bear interest at 5.125% per annum, payable semiannually in arrears on August 15 and February 15, commencing February 15, 2013. Interest on the 5 1/8% Senior Secured Notes accrues from the date of original issuance. Interest is calculated on the basis of a 360-day year comprised of twelve 30-day months. The 5 1/8% Senior Secured Notes are secured by a first-priority lien subject to a shared lien of equal priority with certain other obligations, including obligations under the Credit Facility, and subject to prior ranking liens permitted by the indenture governing the 5 1/8% Senior Secured Notes on substantially the same assets, subject to certain exceptions, that secure CHS' obligations under the Credit Facility.

Except as set forth below, CHS is not entitled to redeem the 5 1/8% Senior Secured Notes prior to August 15, 2015.

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Prior to August 15, 2015, CHS is entitled, at its option, to redeem a portion of the 5 1/8% Senior Secured Notes (not to exceed 35% of the outstanding principal amount) at a redemption price equal to 105.125% of the principal amount of the notes redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest, with the proceeds from certain public equity offerings. Prior to August 15, 2015, CHS may redeem some or all of the 5 1/8% Senior Secured Notes at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, plus a "make-whole" premium, as described in the 5 1/8% Senior Secured Notes indenture. On and after August 15, 2015, CHS is entitled, at its option, to redeem all or a portion of the 5 1/8% Senior Secured Notes upon not less than 30 nor more than 60 days' notice, at the following redemption prices (expressed as a percentage of principal amount on the redemption date), plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the redemption date (subject to the right of holders of record on the relevant record date to receive interest due on the relevant interest payment date), if redeemed during the periods set forth below:

Period	Redemption Price
August 15, 2015 to August 14, 2016	102.563 %
August 15, 2016 to August 14, 2017	101.281 %
August 15, 2017 to August 15, 2018	100.000 %

Receivables Facility

On March 21, 2012, CHS and certain of its subsidiaries entered into an accounts receivable loan agreement (the "Receivables Facility") with a group of lenders and banks, Credit Agricolé Corporate and Investment Bank, as a managing agent and as the administrative agent, and The Bank of Nova Scotia, as a managing agent. On March 7, 2013, CHS and certain of its subsidiaries amended the Receivables Facility to add an additional managing agent, The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd., to increase the size of the facility from $300 million to $500 million and to extend the scheduled termination date. Additional subsidiaries of the Company also agreed to participate in the Receivables Facility as of that date. The existing and future non-self pay patient-related accounts receivable (the "Receivables") for certain of the Company's hospitals serves as collateral for the outstanding borrowings under the Receivables Facility. The interest rate on the borrowings is based on the commercial paper rate plus an applicable interest rate spread. Unless earlier terminated or subsequently extended pursuant to its terms, the Receivables Facility will expire on March 21, 2015, subject to customary termination events that could cause an early termination date. The Company maintains effective control over the Receivables because, pursuant to the terms of the Receivables Facility, the Receivables are sold from certain of the Company's subsidiaries to CHS, which then sells or contributes the Receivables to a special-purpose entity that is wholly-owned by CHS. The wholly-owned special-purpose entity in turn grants security interests in the Receivables in exchange for borrowings obtained from the group of third-party lenders and banks of up to $500 million outstanding from time to time based on the availability of eligible Receivables and other customary factors. The group of third-party lenders and banks do not have recourse to the Company or its subsidiaries beyond the assets of the wholly-owned special-purpose entity that collateralizes the loan. The Receivables and other assets of the wholly-owned special-purpose entity will be available first and foremost to satisfy the claims of the creditors of such entity. The outstanding borrowings pursuant to the Receivables Facility at December 31, 2013 totaled $500.0 million and are classified as long-term debt on the consolidated balance sheet. At December 31, 2013, the carrying amount of Receivables included in the Receivables Facility totaled approximately $1.0 billion and is included in patient accounts receivable on the consolidated balance sheet.

Loss from Early Extinguishment of Debt

The financing transactions discussed above resulted in a loss from early extinguishment of debt of $1.3 million, $115.5 million and $66.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and an after-tax loss of $0.8 million, $71.8 million and $42.0 million for years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Other Debt

As of December 31, 2013, other debt consisted primarily of the mortgage obligation on the Company's corporate headquarters and other obligations maturing in various installments through 2020.

To limit the effect of changes in interest rates on a portion of the Company's long-term borrowings, the Company is a party to 14 separate interest swap agreements in effect at December 31, 2013, with an aggregate notional amount of $2.0 billion, and two forward-starting swap agreements with an aggregate notional amount of $400 million. On each of these swaps, the Company receives a variable rate of interest based on the three-month LIBOR in exchange for the payment of a fixed rate of interest. The Company currently pays, on a quarterly basis, a margin above LIBOR of 225 basis points for the outstanding balance of term loans due in 2014, 250 basis points for the Replacement Revolver Facility and the Incremental Term Loan and 350 basis points for term loans due in 2017 under the Credit Facility. See Note 7 for additional information regarding these swaps.

As of December 31, 2013, the scheduled maturities of long-term debt outstanding, including capital lease obligations for each of the next five years and thereafter are as follows (in thousands):

Year	Amount
2014	$ 166,902
2015	654,874
2016	488,902
2017	3,287,695
2018	1,603,565
Thereafter	3,231,113
Total maturities	9,433,051
Plus unamortized note premium	20,346
Total long-term debt	$ 9,453,397

The Company paid interest of $582.8 million, $594.3 million and $680.7 million on borrowings during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

7. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The fair value of financial instruments has been estimated by the Company using available market information as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and valuation methodologies considered appropriate. The estimates presented are not necessarily indicative of amounts the Company could realize in a current market exchange (in thousands):

	December 31,			
	2013		2012	
	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value
Assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	$ 373,403	$ 373,403	$ 387,813	$ 387,813
Available-for-sale securities	64,869	64,869	56,376	56,376
Trading securities	37,999	37,999	34,696	34,696
Liabilities:				
Credit Facility	4,050,084	4,084,983	4,331,562	4,357,910
8% Senior Notes	2,020,346	2,172,440	2,022,829	2,185,220
7 1/8% Senior Notes	1,200,000	1,245,720	1,200,000	1,285,848
5 1/8% Senior Secured Notes	1,600,000	1,662,160	1,600,000	1,674,480
Receivables Facility and other debt	536,901	536,901	338,963	338,963

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

The estimated fair value is determined using the methodologies discussed below in accordance with accounting standards related to the determination of fair value based on the U.S. GAAP fair value hierarchy as discussed in Note 8. The estimated fair value for financial instruments with a fair value that does not equal its carrying value is considered a Level 1 valuation. The Company utilizes the market approach and obtains indicative pricing from the administrative agent to the Credit Facility to determine fair values, which are validated through publicly available subscription services such as Bloomberg where relevant.

Cash and cash equivalents. The carrying amount approximates fair value due to the short-term maturity of these instruments (less than three months).

Available-for-sale securities. Estimated fair value is based on closing price as quoted in public markets.

Trading securities. Estimated fair value is based on closing price as quoted in public markets.

Credit Facility. Estimated fair value is based on information from the Company's bankers regarding relevant pricing for trading activity among the Company's lending institutions.

8% Senior Notes. Estimated fair value is based on the average bid and ask price as quoted by the bank who served as underwriters in the sale of these notes.

7 1/8% Senior Notes. Estimated fair value is based on the average bid and ask price as quoted by the bank who served as underwriters in the sale of these notes.

5 1/8% Senior Secured Notes. Estimated fair value is based on the average bid and ask price as quoted by the bank who served as underwriters in the sale of these notes.

Receivables Facility and other debt. The carrying amount of the Receivables Facility and all other debt approximates fair value due to the nature of these obligations.

Interest rate swaps. The fair value of interest rate swap agreements is the amount at which they could be settled, based on estimates calculated by the Company using a discounted cash flow analysis based on observable market inputs and validated by comparison to estimates obtained from the counterparty. The Company incorporates credit valuation adjustments ("CVAs") to appropriately reflect both its own nonperformance or credit risk and the respective counterparty's nonperformance or credit risk in the fair value measurements. In adjusting the fair value of its interest rate swap agreements for the effect of nonperformance or credit risk, the Company has considered the impact of any netting features included in the agreements.

The Company assesses the effectiveness of its hedge instruments on a quarterly basis. For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company completed an assessment of the cash flow hedge instruments and determined the hedges to be highly effective. The Company has also determined that the ineffective portion of the hedges do not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, operations or cash flows. The counterparties to the interest rate swap agreements expose the Company to credit risk in the event of nonperformance. However, at December 31, 2013, since the majority of the swap agreements entered into by the Company were in a net liability position so that the Company would be required to make the net settlement payments to the counterparties; the Company does not anticipate nonperformance by those counterparties. The Company does not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for trading purposes.

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Interest rate swaps consisted of the following at December 31, 2013:

Swap #	Notional Amount (in thousands)	Fixed Interest Rate	Termination Date	Fair Value (in thousands)
1	$100,000	5.231%	July 25, 2014	$2,818
2	100,000	5.231%	July 25, 2014	2,818
3	200,000	5.160%	July 25, 2014	5,556
4	75,000	5.041%	July 25, 2014	2,033
5	125,000	5.022%	July 25, 2014	3,374
6	100,000	2.621%	July 25, 2014	1,336
7	100,000	3.110%	July 25, 2014	1,613
8	100,000	3.258%	July 25, 2014	1,697
9	200,000	2.693%	October 26, 2014	3,977
10	300,000	3.447%	August 8, 2016	21,597
11	200,000	3.429%	August 19, 2016	14,403
12	100,000	3.401%	August 19, 2016	7,130
13	200,000	3.500%	August 30, 2016	14,884
14	100,000	3.005%	November 30, 2016	6,376
15	200,000	2.055%	July 25, 2019	(954)(1)
16	200,000	2.059%	July 25, 2019	(895)(2)

(1) This interest rate swap becomes effective July 25, 2014.
(2) This interest rate swap becomes effective July 25, 2014.

The Company is exposed to certain risks relating to its ongoing business operations. The risk managed by using derivative instruments is interest rate risk. Interest rate swaps are entered into to manage interest rate fluctuation risk associated with the term loans in the Credit Facility. Companies are required to recognize all derivative instruments as either assets or liabilities at fair value in the consolidated statement of financial position. The Company designates its interest rate swaps as cash flow hedges. For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative is reported as a component of OCI and reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transactions affect earnings. Gains and losses on the derivative representing either hedge ineffectiveness or hedge components excluded from the assessment of effectiveness are recognized in current earnings.

Assuming no change in December 31, 2013 interest rates, approximately $57.1 million of interest expense resulting from the spread between the fixed and floating rates defined in each interest rate swap agreement will be recognized during the next 12 months. If interest rate swaps do not remain highly effective as a cash flow hedge, the derivatives' gains or losses resulting from the change in fair value reported through OCI will be reclassified into earnings.

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

The following tabular disclosure provides the amount of pre-tax loss recognized as a component of OCI during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands):

Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships	Amount of Pre-Tax Loss Recognized in OCI (Effective Portion) Year Ended December 31, 2013	2012
Interest rate swaps	$ (5,970)	$ (69,020)

The following tabular disclosure provides the location of the effective portion of the pre-tax loss reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss ("AOCL") into interest expense on the consolidated statements of income during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands):

Location of Loss Reclassified from AOCL into Income (Effective Portion)	Amount of Pre-Tax Loss Reclassified from AOCL into Income (Effective Portion) Year Ended December 31, 2013	2012
Interest expense, net	$ 99,808	$ 141,648

The fair values of derivative instruments in the consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 were as follows (in thousands):

	Asset Derivatives				Liability Derivatives			
	December 31, 2013		December 31, 2012		December 31, 2013		December 31, 2012	
	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments	Other assets, net	$ -	Other assets, net	$ -	Other long-term liabilities	$ 87,763	Other long-term liabilities	$ 181,600

8. FAIR VALUE

Fair Value Hierarchy

Fair value is a market-based measurement, not an entity-specific measurement. Therefore, a fair value measurement should be determined based on the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. As a basis for considering market participant assumptions in fair value measurements, the Company utilizes the U.S. GAAP fair value hierarchy that distinguishes between market participant assumptions based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity (observable inputs that are classified within Levels 1 and 2 of the hierarchy) and the reporting entity's own assumption about market participant assumptions (unobservable inputs classified within Level 3 of the hierarchy).

The inputs used to measure fair value are classified into the following fair value hierarchy:

Level 1: Quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2: Observable market-based inputs or unobservable inputs that are corroborated by market data.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. Level 3 includes values determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques reflecting the Company's own assumptions.

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

In instances where the determination of the fair value hierarchy measurement is based on inputs from different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the entire fair value measurement falls is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment of factors specific to the asset or liability.

The following table sets forth, by level within the fair value hierarchy, the financial assets and liabilities recorded at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands):

	December 31, 2013	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Available-for-sale securities	$ 64,869	$ 64,869	$ -	$ -
Trading securities	37,999	37,999	-	-
Total assets	$ 102,868	$ 102,868	$ -	$ -
Fair value of interest rate swap agreements	$ 87,763	$ -	$ 87,763	$ -
Total liabilities	$ 87,763	$ -	$ 87,763	$ -

	December 31, 2012	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Available-for-sale securities	$ 56,376	$ 56,376	$ -	$ -
Trading securities	34,696	34,696	-	-
Total assets	$ 91,072	$ 91,072	$ -	$ -
Fair value of interest rate swap agreements	$ 181,600	$ -	$ 181,600	$ -
Total liabilities	$ 181,600	$ -	$ 181,600	$ -

Available-for-sale securities and trading securities classified as Level 1 are measured using quoted market prices.

The valuation of the Company's interest rate swap agreements is determined using market valuation techniques, including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each agreement. This analysis reflects the contractual terms of the agreement, including the period to maturity, and uses observable market-based inputs, including forward interest rate curves. The fair value of interest rate swap agreements are determined by netting the discounted future fixed cash payments and the discounted expected variable cash receipts. The variable cash receipts are based on the expectation of future interest rates based on observable market forward interest rate curves and the notional amount being hedged.

The Company incorporates CVAs to appropriately reflect both its own nonperformance or credit risk and the respective counterparty's nonperformance or credit risk in the fair value measurements. In adjusting the fair value of its interest rate swap agreements for the effect of nonperformance or credit risk, the Company has considered the impact of any netting features included in the agreements. The CVA on the Company's interest rate swap agreements at December 31, 2013 resulted in a decrease in the fair value of the related liability of $0.9 million and an after-tax adjustment of $0.6 million to OCI. The CVA on the Company's interest rate swap agreements at December 31, 2012 resulted in a decrease in the fair value of the related liability of $3.6 million and an after-tax adjustment of $2.3 million to OCI.

The majority of the inputs used to value its interest rate swap agreements, including the forward interest rate curves and market perceptions of the Company's credit risk used in the CVAs, are observable inputs available to a market participant. As a result, the Company has determined that the interest rate swap valuations are classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

9. LEASES

The Company leases hospitals, medical office buildings, and certain equipment under capital and operating lease agreements. During 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Company entered into capital lease obligations of $4.3 million, $5.0 million and $3.0 million, respectively. All lease agreements generally require the Company to pay maintenance, repairs, property taxes and insurance costs.

Commitments relating to noncancellable operating and capital leases for each of the next five years and thereafter are as follows (in thousands):

Year Ended December 31,	Operating (1)	Capital
2014	$ 192,481	$ 9,289
2015	160,638	7,428
2016	120,138	6,060
2017	89,717	5,663
2018	62,321	5,533
Thereafter	155,247	46,949
Total minimum future payments	$ 780,542	80,922
Less: Imputed interest		(34,856)
Total capital lease obligations		46,066
Less: Current portion		(5,439)
Long-term capital lease obligations		$ 40,627

(1) Minimum lease payments have not been reduced by minimum sublease rentals due in the future of $16.8 million.

Assets capitalized under capital leases as reflected in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets were $27.9 million of land and improvements, $200.1 million of buildings and improvements and $64.5 million of equipment and fixtures as of December 31, 2013 and $27.9 million of land and improvements, $200.1 million of buildings and improvements and $65.1 million of equipment and fixtures as of December 31, 2012. The accumulated depreciation related to assets under capital leases was $147.3 million and $129.1 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Depreciation of assets under capital leases is included in depreciation and amortization expense and amortization of debt discounts on capital lease obligations is included in interest expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of income.

10. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

The Company maintains various benefit plans, including defined contribution plans, defined benefit plans and deferred compensation plans, for which the Company's subsidiary, CHS, is the plan sponsor. The CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc. Retirement Savings Plan is a defined contribution plan which covers the majority of the employees of the Company. Employees of certain subsidiaries whose employment is covered by collective bargaining agreements are eligible to participate in one of several other defined contribution plans including the CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc. Standard 401(k) Plan, which was established effective October 1, 2010 for the benefit of employees at the three hospitals acquired in Youngstown, Ohio and Warren, Ohio and their beneficiaries. This plan is structured such that employees of other subsidiaries may become eligible to participate as new entities are acquired by the Company or upon changes to collective bargaining agreements covering participants in the other defined contribution plans. Total expense to the Company under the 401(k) plans was $101.5 million, $108.5 million and $101.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The Company maintains unfunded deferred compensation plans that allow participants to defer receipt of a portion of their compensation. The liability for the deferred compensation plans was $111.6 million and $87.3 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and is included in other long-term liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets. The Company had assets of $109.1 million and $87.1 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, in a non-qualified plan trust generally designated to pay benefits of the deferred compensation plans, consisting of trading securities of $38.0 million and $34.7 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and company-owned life insurance contracts of $71.1 million and $52.4 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

The Company provides an unfunded Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan ("SERP") for certain members of its executive management. The Company uses a December 31 measurement date for the benefit obligations and a January 1 measurement date for its net periodic costs for the SERP. Variances from actuarially assumed rates will result in increases or decreases in benefit obligations and net periodic cost in future periods. Benefits expense under the SERP was $14.2 million, $12.9 million and $11.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The accrued benefit liability for the SERP totaled $105.3 million at December 31, 2013 and $104.8 million at December 31, 2012, and is included in other long-term liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets. The weighted-average assumptions used in determining net periodic cost for the year ended December 31, 2013 was a discount rate of 3.0% and annual salary increase of 4.0%. The estimated future benefit payments reflecting future service as of December 31, 2013 are $1.5 million for 2014, $16.0 million for 2015, $43.9 million for 2016, $17.8 million for 2017, $7.2 million for 2018, and $21.2 million for the five years thereafter. The Company had available-for-sale securities in a rabbi trust generally designated to pay benefits of the SERP in the amounts of $64.9 million and $56.4 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. These amounts are included in other assets, net on the consolidated balance sheets.

The Company maintains the CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc. Retirement Income Plan ("Pension Plan"), which is a defined benefit, non-contributory pension plan that covers certain employees at three of its hospitals. The Pension Plan provides benefits to covered individuals satisfying certain age and service requirements. Employer contributions to the Pension Plan are in accordance with the minimum funding requirements of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended. The Company expects to make no contribution to the Pension Plan in 2014. The Company uses a December 31 measurement date for the benefit obligations and a January 1 measurement date for its net periodic costs for the Pension Plan. Variances from actuarially assumed rates will result in increases or decreases in benefit obligations, net periodic cost and funding requirements in future periods. Benefits expense under the Pension Plan was $0.3 million, $0.3 million and $0.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The accrued benefit liability for the Pension Plan totaled $6.6 million at December 31, 2013 and $16.8 million at December 31, 2012, and is included in other long-term liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets. The weighted-average assumptions used for determining the net periodic cost for the year ended December 31, 2013 was a discount rate of 3.9%, an annual salary increase of 5.0% and the expected long-term rate of return on assets of 8.0%.

11. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Authorized capital shares of the Company include 400,000,000 shares of capital stock consisting of 300,000,000 shares of common stock and 100,000,000 shares of preferred stock. Each of the aforementioned classes of capital stock has a par value of $0.01 per share. Shares of preferred stock, none of which were outstanding as of December 31, 2013, may be issued in one or more series having such rights, preferences and other provisions as determined by the Board of Directors without approval by the holders of common stock.

On December 14, 2011, the Company adopted an open market repurchase program for up to 4,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock, not to exceed $100 million in repurchases. The repurchase program will conclude at the earliest of three years from the commencement date, when the maximum number of shares has been repurchased, or when the maximum dollar amount of repurchases has been expended. During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company repurchased and retired 706,023 shares at a weighted-average price of $38.39 per share, which is the cumulative number of shares repurchased and retired under this program. No shares were repurchased under this program during the year ended December 31, 2012.

Historically, the Company has not paid any cash dividends. In December 2012, the Company declared and paid a special dividend of $0.25 per share to holders of its common stock at the close of business as of December 17, 2012, which totaled approximately $23.0 million. The Company did not pay a cash dividend in 2013 and does not anticipate the payment of any other cash dividends in the foreseeable future. The Company's Credit Facility limits the Company's ability to pay dividends and/or repurchase stock to an amount not to exceed $150 million in the aggregate plus the aggregate amount of proceeds from the exercise of stock options. The indentures governing the 8% Senior Notes due 2019 and the 7 1/8% Senior Notes due 2020 (collectively, the "Senior Notes") and the 5 1/8% Senior Secured Notes due 2018 also limit the Company's ability to pay dividends and/or repurchase stock. As of December 31, 2013, under the most restrictive test under these agreements, the Company has approximately $261.9 million remaining available with which to pay permitted dividends and/or repurchase shares of stock or its Senior Notes.

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

The following schedule discloses the effects of changes in the Company's ownership interest in its less-than-wholly-owned subsidiaries on Community Health Systems, Inc. stockholders' equity (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Net income attributable to Community Health Systems, Inc. stockholders	$ 141,203	$ 265,640	$ 201,948
Transfers to the noncontrolling interests:			
Net decrease in Community Health Systems, Inc. paid-in capital for purchase of subsidiary partnership interests	(768)	(21,537)	(4,556)
Net transfers to the noncontrolling interests	(768)	(21,537)	(4,556)
Change to Community Health Systems, Inc. stockholders' equity from net income attributable to Community Health Systems, Inc. stockholders and transfers to noncontrolling interests	$ 140,435	$ 244,103	$ 197,392

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

12. EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table sets forth the components of the numerator and denominator for the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share for income from continuing operations, discontinued operations and net income attributable to Community Health Systems, Inc. common stockholders (in thousands, except share data):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Numerator:			
Income from continuing operations, net of taxes	$ 217,268	$ 346,269	$ 335,894
Less: Income from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests, net of taxes	76,065	80,163	75,675
Income from continuing operations attributable to Community Health Systems, Inc. common stockholders — basic and diluted	$ 141,203	$ 266,106	$ 260,219
Loss from discontinued operations, net of taxes	$ -	$ (466)	$ (58,271)
Less: Loss from discontinued operations attributable to noncontrolling interests, net of taxes	-	-	-
Loss from discontinued operations attributable to Community Health Systems, Inc. common stockholders — basic and diluted	$ -	$ (466)	$ (58,271)
Denominator:			
Weighted-average number of shares outstanding — basic	92,633,332	89,242,949	89,966,933
Effect of dilutive securities:			
Restricted stock awards	448,567	335,664	327,652
Employee stock options	714,560	212,227	361,554
Other equity-based awards	18,554	16,097	10,209
Weighted-average number of shares outstanding — diluted	93,815,013	89,806,937	90,666,348

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Dilutive securities outstanding not included in the computation of earnings per share because their effect is antidilutive:			
Employee stock options and restricted stock awards	-	7,071,896	6,432,281

13. EQUITY INVESTMENTS

As of December 31, 2013, the Company owned equity interests of 27.5% in four hospitals in Las Vegas, Nevada, and 26.1% in one hospital in Las Vegas, Nevada, in which Universal Health Systems, Inc. owns the majority interest, and an equity interest of 38.0% in three hospitals in Macon, Georgia, in which HCA Holdings, Inc. ("HCA") owns the majority interest.

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Summarized combined financial information for these unconsolidated entities in which the Company owns an equity interest is as follows (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2013	2012
Current assets	$ 235,679	$ 240,086
Noncurrent assets	790,297	847,484
Total assets	$ 1,025,976	$ 1,087,570
Current liabilities	$ 99,330	$ 89,933
Noncurrent liabilities	1,616	1,941
Members' equity	924,909	995,569
Noncontrolling interest	121	127
Total liabilities and equity	$ 1,025,976	$ 1,087,570

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Revenues	$ 1,246,183	$ 1,236,915	$ 1,230,146
Operating costs and expenses	1,116,745	1,079,055	1,068,212
Income from continuing operations before taxes	129,576	157,762	162,124

The summarized financial information was derived from the unaudited financial information provided to the Company by those unconsolidated entities.

The Company's investment in all of its unconsolidated affiliates was $421.7 million and $432.1 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and is included in other assets, net in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Included in the Company's results of operations is the Company's equity in pre-tax earnings from all of its investments in unconsolidated affiliates, which was $42.6 million, $42.0 million and $49.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

14. SEGMENT INFORMATION

Prior to the quarter ended March 31, 2013, the Company operated in three distinct operating segments, represented by hospital operations (which includes its general acute care hospitals and related healthcare entities that provide inpatient and outpatient healthcare services), home care agency operations (which provide in-home outpatient care), and hospital management services (which provides executive management and consulting services to non-affiliated acute care hospitals). During the quarter ended March 31, 2013, the chief operating decision maker stopped receiving discrete financial information for the hospital management services, so it no longer meets the criteria as a separate operating segment. The Company operates in two operating segments, hospital operations and home care agency operations. Financial information for hospital management services is now presented as a component of the hospital operations segment. The financial information from prior years has been revised to reflect the change in the composition of the Company's operating segments. Consistent with 2012, the Company presents two reportable segments, as noted below.

Only the hospital operations segment meets the criteria as a separate reportable segment. The financial information for the home care agency segment does not meet the quantitative thresholds for a separate identifiable reportable segment and is combined into the corporate and all other reportable segment.

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

The distribution between reportable segments of the Company's net operating revenues, income from continuing operations before income taxes, expenditures for segment assets and total assets is summarized in the following tables (in thousands):

	December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Net operating revenues:			
Hospital operations	$ 12,815,899	$ 12,861,216	$ 11,746,817
Corporate and all other	181,794	167,769	159,395
Total	$ 12,997,693	$ 13,028,985	$ 11,906,212
Income from continuing operations before income taxes:			
Hospital operations	$ 535,048	$ 863,887	$ 731,948
Corporate and all other	(229,186)	(360,116)	(258,401)
Total	$ 305,862	$ 503,771	$ 473,547
Expenditures for segment assets:			
Hospital operations	$ 582,910	$ 730,445	$ 738,420
Corporate and all other	31,082	38,345	38,293
Total	$ 613,992	$ 768,790	$ 776,713

	December 31,	
	2013	2012
Total assets:		
Hospital operations	$ 15,594,720	$ 15,216,827
Corporate and all other	1,522,575	1,389,508
Total	$ 17,117,295	$ 16,606,335

15. OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

The following tables present information about items reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) by component for the year ended December 31, 2013 (in thousands, net of tax):

	Change in Fair Value of Interest Rate Swaps	Change in Fair Value of Available for Sale Securities	Change in Unrecognized Pension Cost Components	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)
Balance as of December 31, 2012	$ (116,382)	$ 4,588	$ (33,516)	$ (145,310)
Other comprehensive (loss) income before reclassifications	(3,837)	2,181	12,479	10,823
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	64,141	-	2,841	66,982
Net current-period other comprehensive income	60,304	2,181	15,320	77,805
Balance as of December 31, 2013	$ (56,078)	$ 6,769	$ (18,196)	$ (67,505)

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

The following table presents a subtotal for each significant reclassification to net income out of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and the line item affected in the accompanying consolidated statement of income during the year ended December 31, 2013 (in thousands):

Details about accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) components	Amount reclassified from AOCL Year Ended December 31, 2013	Affected line item in the statement where net income is presented
Gains and losses on cash flow hedges		
Interest rate swaps	$ (99,808)	Interest expense, net
	35,667	Tax benefit
	$ (64,141)	Net of tax
Amortization of defined benefit pension items		
Prior service costs	$ (1,143)	Salaries and benefits
Actuarial losses	(3,382)	Salaries and benefits
	(4,525)	Total before tax
	1,684	Tax benefit
	$ (2,841)	Net of tax

16. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Construction and Other Capital Commitments. Pursuant to a hospital purchase agreement in effect as of December 31, 2013, the Company has agreed to build a replacement facility in York, Pennsylvania. The estimated construction cost, including equipment costs, is approximately $100.0 million. This project is required to be completed in 2017 and $0.7 million has been expended through December 31, 2013 related to this replacement hospital. In October 2008, after the purchase of the noncontrolling owner's interest in the Company's Birmingham, Alabama facility, the Company initiated the purchase of a site, which includes a partially constructed hospital structure, for a potential replacement for the existing Birmingham facility. In September 2010, the Company received approval of its request for a certificate of need ("CON") from the Alabama Certificate of Need Review Board. This CON was challenged in the Alabama state circuit and appellate courts, but the CON was upheld by the Supreme Court of Alabama in May 2013, eliminating any further appeals. The Company's estimated construction costs, including the acquisition of the site and equipment costs, are approximately $280.0 million for the Birmingham replacement facility. Of this amount, approximately $64.2 million has been expended through December 31, 2013. In addition, under other purchase agreements outstanding at December 31, 2013, the Company has committed to spend approximately $393.5 million for costs such as capital improvements, equipment, selected leases and physician recruiting. These commitments are required to be fulfilled generally over a five to seven year period after acquisition. Through December 31, 2013, the Company has spent approximately $256.8 million related to these commitments.

Physician Recruiting Commitments. As part of its physician recruitment strategy, the Company provides income guarantee agreements to certain physicians who agree to relocate to its communities and commit to remain in practice there. Under such agreements, the Company is required to make payments to the physicians in excess of the amounts they earned in their practice up to the amount of the income guarantee. These income guarantee periods are typically for 12 months. Such payments are recoverable by the Company from physicians who do not fulfill their commitment period, which is typically three years, to the respective community. At December 31, 2013, the maximum potential amount of future payments under these guarantees in excess of the liability recorded is $20.9 million.

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Professional Liability Claims. As part of the Company's business of owning and operating hospitals, it is subject to legal actions alleging liability on its part. The Company accrues for losses resulting from such liability claims, as well as loss adjustment expenses that are out-of-pocket and directly related to such liability claims. These direct out-of-pocket expenses include fees of outside counsel and experts. The Company does not accrue for costs that are part of corporate overhead, such as the costs of in-house legal and risk management departments. The losses resulting from professional liability claims primarily consist of estimates for known claims, as well as estimates for incurred but not reported claims. The estimates are based on specific claim facts, historical claim reporting and payment patterns, the nature and level of hospital operations and actuarially determined projections. The actuarially determined projections are based on the Company's actual claim data, including historic reporting and payment patterns which have been gathered over an approximate 20-year period. As discussed below, since the Company purchases excess insurance on a claims-made basis that transfers risk to third-party insurers, the liability it accrues does include an amount for the losses covered by its excess insurance. The Company also records a receivable for the expected reimbursement of losses covered by excess insurance. Since the Company believes that the amount and timing of its future claims payments are reliably determinable, it discounts the amount accrued for losses resulting from professional liability claims using the risk-free interest rate corresponding to the timing of expected payments.

The net present value of the projected payments was discounted using a weighted-average risk-free rate of 1.6%, 1.2% and 1.2% in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. This liability is adjusted for new claims information in the period such information becomes known. The Company's estimated liability for professional and general liability claims was $643.9 million and $621.7 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The estimated undiscounted claims liability was $686.9 million and $649.4 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The current portion of the liability for professional and general liability claims was $104.4 million and $106.9 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and is included in other accrued liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Professional malpractice expense includes the losses resulting from professional liability claims and loss adjustment expense, as well as paid excess insurance premiums, and is presented within other operating expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of income.

The Company's processes for obtaining and analyzing claims and incident data are standardized across all of its hospitals and have been consistent for many years. The Company monitors the outcomes of the medical care services that it provides and for each reported claim, the Company obtains various information concerning the facts and circumstances related to that claim. In addition, the Company routinely monitors current key statistics and volume indicators in its assessment of utilizing historical trends. The average lag period between claim occurrence and payment of a final settlement is between four and five years, although the facts and circumstances of individual claims could result in the timing of such payments being different from this average. Since claims are paid promptly after settlement with the claimant is reached, settled claims represent less than 1.0% of the total liability at the end of any period.

For purposes of estimating its individual claim accruals, the Company utilizes specific claim information, including the nature of the claim, the expected claim amount, the year in which the claim occurred and the laws of the jurisdiction in which the claim occurred. Once the case accruals for known claims are determined, information is stratified by loss layers and retentions, accident years, reported years, geography and claims relating to the acquired Triad hospitals versus claims relating to the Company's other hospitals. Several actuarial methods are used against this data to produce estimates of ultimate paid losses and reserves for incurred but not reported claims. Each of these methods uses company-specific historical claims data and other information. This company-specific data includes information regarding the Company's business, including historical paid losses and loss adjustment expenses, historical and current case loss reserves, actual and projected hospital statistical data, a variety of hospital census information, employed physician information, professional liability retentions for each policy year, geographic information and other data.

Based on these analyses the Company determines its estimate of the professional liability claims. The determination of management's estimate, including the preparation of the reserve analysis that supports such estimate, involves subjective judgment of the management. Changes in reserving data or the trends and factors that influence reserving data may signal fundamental shifts in the Company's future claim development patterns or may simply reflect single-period anomalies. Even if a change reflects a fundamental shift, the full extent of the change may not become evident until years later. Moreover, since the Company's methods and models use different types of data and the Company selects its liability from the results of all of these methods, it typically cannot quantify the precise impact of such factors on its estimates of the liability. Due to the Company's standardized and consistent processes for handling claims and the long history and depth of company-specific data, the Company's methodologies have produced reliably determinable estimates of ultimate paid losses.

The Company is primarily self-insured for these claims; however, the Company obtains excess insurance that transfers the risk of loss to a third-party insurer for claims in excess of self-insured retentions. The Company's excess insurance is underwritten on a claims-made basis. For claims reported prior to June 1, 2002, substantially all of the Company's professional and general liability risks were subject to a $0.5 million per occurrence self-insured retention and for claims reported from June 1, 2002 through June 1, 2003, these self-insured retentions were $2.0 million per occurrence. Substantially all claims reported after June 1, 2003 and before June 1, 2005 are self-insured up to $4.0 million per claim. Substantially all claims reported on or after June 1, 2005 are self-insured up to $5.0 million per claim. Management on occasion has selectively increased the insured risk at certain hospitals based upon insurance pricing and other factors and may continue that practice in the future. Excess insurance for all hospitals has been purchased through commercial insurance companies and generally covers the Company for liabilities in excess of the self-insured retentions. The excess coverage consists of multiple layers of insurance, the sum of which totals up to $95.0 million per occurrence and in the aggregate for claims reported on or after June 1, 2003, up to $145.0 million per occurrence and in the aggregate for claims reported on or after June 1, 2008 and up to $195.0 million per occurrence and in the aggregate for claims incurred and reported after January 1, 2010. For certain policy years, if the first aggregate layer of excess coverage becomes fully utilized, then the Company's self-insured retention could increase to $10 million per claim for any subsequent claims in that policy year until the Company's total aggregate coverage is met.

Effective January 1, 2008, the former Triad hospitals are insured on a claims-made basis as described above and through commercial insurance companies as described above for substantially all claims occurring on or after January 1, 2002 and reported on or after January 1, 2008. Substantially all losses for the former Triad hospitals in periods prior to May 1999 were insured through a wholly-owned insurance subsidiary of HCA, Triad's owner prior to that time, and excess loss policies maintained by HCA. HCA has agreed to indemnify the former Triad hospitals in respect of claims covered by such insurance policies arising prior to May 1999. After May 1999 through December 31, 2006, the former Triad hospitals obtained insurance coverage on a claims incurred basis from HCA's wholly-owned insurance subsidiary, with excess coverage obtained from other carriers that is subject to certain deductibles. Effective for claims incurred after December 31, 2006, Triad began insuring its claims from $1 million to $5 million through its wholly-owned captive insurance company, replacing the coverage provided by HCA. Substantially all claims occurring during 2007 were self-insured up to $10 million per claim.

Legal Matters. The Company is a party to various legal proceedings incidental to its business. In the opinion of management, any ultimate liability with respect to these actions will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, cash flows or results of operations. With respect to all litigation matters, the Company considers the likelihood of a negative outcome. If the Company determines the likelihood of a negative outcome is probable and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated, the Company records an estimated loss for the expected outcome of the litigation and discloses that fact together with the amount accrued, if it was estimable. If the likelihood of a negative outcome is reasonably possible and the Company is able to determine an estimate of the possible loss or a range of loss, the Company discloses that fact together with the estimate of the possible loss or range of loss. However, it is difficult to predict the outcome or estimate a possible loss or range of loss in some instances because litigation is subject to significant uncertainties.

Probable Contingencies

Department of Justice Investigation of Medicare Short-Stay Admissions from Emergency Departments

In April 2011, the Company received a document subpoena from the United States Department of Health and Human Services ("OIG") in connection with an investigation of possible improper claims submitted to Medicare and Medicaid. The subpoena was directed to all of the Company's hospitals and requested documents concerning emergency department processes and procedures, including the hospitals' use of the Pro-MED Clinical Information System, a third-party software system that assists with the management of patient care and provides operational support and data collection for emergency department management. The subpoena also sought information about the Company's relationships with emergency department physicians, including financial arrangements. This investigation is being led by the Department of Justice. The Company is continuing to cooperate with the government with the ongoing document production, as well as conducting a joint medical necessity review of a sampling of medical records at a small number of hospitals. In 2013, the Company has met with the government twice to review and discuss the investigation. On July 9, 2013, shortly after a second meeting with the government, the Company was served with an additional document subpoena, as well as civil investigative demands to interview two of the Company's current executives. In further discussions with the government, these additional requests do not reflect an expansion of the pending investigation. The Company will continue to cooperate with the government in their investigative efforts.

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

We are currently in negotiations with the Department of Justice about resolving its claims in connection with its investigation into the Company's short stay hospital admissions for the years 2005-2010, as well as their investigation at our hospital in Laredo, Texas. Based on those negotiations, which are not final, we believe that a reserve of $101.5 million is sufficient to cover the federal government's claims for Medicare, Tricare, and Medicaid admissions (including the claims described in the Legal Proceedings section in Part I Item 3 of this Form 10-K related to United States ex rel. and Reuille vs. Community Health Systems Professional Services Corporation and Lutheran Musculoskeletal Center, LLC d/b/a Lutheran Hospital (United States District Court for the Northern District of Indiana, Fort Wayne Division) and the May 2011 subpoena identified as "Shelbyville, Tennessee OIG Subpoena"), certain claims specifically related to our hospital in Laredo, Texas, and on other related legal expenses. This reserve is not meant to include third party legal expenses. The Company is also negotiating a corporate integrity agreement with the Office of the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services.

There are a number of legal matters for which, based on information currently available, the Company believes that a negative outcome is known or is probable. In the aggregate, including the matter above, an estimate of these losses has been accrued in the amount of $118.7 million and $22.6 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and is included in other accrued liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Due to the uncertainties and difficulty in predicting the ultimate resolution of these contingencies, the actual amount could differ from the estimated amount; however, the Company does not believe a change in estimate for any of these matters would be material.

The table below presents a reconciliation of the beginning and ending liability balances in connection with probable contingencies recorded during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2013	2012
Balance, beginning of year	$ 22,612	$ 10,562
Government settlement and related costs	101,500	-
Other legal settlements	4,654	27,538
Cash payments	(10,049)	(15,488)
Balance, end of year	$ 118,717	$ 22,612

Other costs incurred related to probable contingencies, including attorneys' fees, totaled $8.5 million and $12.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and are included in other operating expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of income.

Reasonably Possible Contingencies

For the legal matter below, the Company believes that a negative outcome is reasonably possible, but the Company is unable to determine an estimate of the possible loss or a range of loss.

U.S. ex rel. Baker vs. Community Health Systems, Inc. (United States District Court for the District of New Mexico)

The Company's knowledge of this matter originated in early 2006 with correspondence from the Civil Division of the Department of Justice requesting documents in an investigation it was conducting involving the Company. The inquiry related to the way in which different state Medicaid programs apply to the federal government for matching or supplemental funds that are ultimately used to pay for a small portion of the services provided to Medicaid and indigent patients. These programs are referred to by different names, including "intergovernmental payments," "upper payment limit programs," and "Medicaid disproportionate share hospital payments." For approximately three years, the Company provided the Department of Justice with requested documents, met with its personnel on numerous occasions and otherwise cooperated in its investigation. During the course of the investigation, the Civil Division notified the Company that it believed that the Company and three of its New Mexico hospitals caused the State of New Mexico to submit improper claims for federal funds, in violation of the Federal False Claims Act. This investigation has culminated in the federal government's intervention in the referenced qui tam lawsuit, which alleges that the Company's New Mexico hospitals "caused to be filed" false claims from the period of August 2000 through June 2011. Two of the parent company's subsidiaries are also defendants in this lawsuit. The Company continues to vigorously defend this action. On December 4-5, 2013, the district court judge heard oral arguments on both sides' motions for summary judgment. By telephone conference on December 19, 2013, he advised the parties that, with respect to the core motions for summary judgment, he was denying all parties' motions, concluding that there were issues of fact to be determined at trial. Court ordered mediation has been set for March 12, 2014 and a trial date of October 14, 2014 has been assigned.

Matters for which an Outcome Cannot be Assessed

For all of the legal matters below, the Company cannot at this time assess what the outcome may be and is further unable to determine any estimate of loss or range of loss. Because the investigations are at a preliminary stage, there are not sufficient facts available to make these assessments.

Multi-provider National Department of Justice Investigations

Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillators ("ICDs"). The Company was first made aware of this investigation in September 2010, when the Company received a letter from the Civil Division of the United States Department of Justice. The letter advised the Company that an investigation was being conducted to determine whether certain hospitals have improperly submitted claims for payment for ICDs. The period of time covered by the investigation was 2003 to 2010. The Company continues to fully cooperate with the government in this investigation and has provided requested records and documents. On August 30, 2012, the Department of Justice issued a document entitled, "Medical Review Guidelines/Resolution Model," which sets out, for the purposes of this investigation, the patient conditions and criteria for the medical necessity of the implantation of ICDs in Medicare beneficiaries and how the Department of Justice will enforce the repayment obligations of hospitals. The Company is in the process of reviewing its medical records in light of the guidance contained in this document.

Class Action Shareholder Federal Securities Cases. Three purported class action cases have been filed in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee; namely, Norfolk County Retirement System v. Community Health Systems, Inc., et al., filed May 9, 2011; De Zheng v. Community Health Systems, Inc., et al., filed May 12, 2011; and Minneapolis Firefighters Relief Association v. Community Health Systems, Inc., et al., filed June 21, 2011. All three seek class certification on behalf of purchasers of the Company's common stock between July 27, 2006 and April 11, 2011 and allege that misleading statements resulted in artificially inflated prices for the Company's common stock. In December 2011, the cases were consolidated for pretrial purposes and NYC Funds and its counsel were selected as lead plaintiffs/lead plaintiffs' counsel. The Company's motion to dismiss this case has been fully briefed and is pending before the court. The Company believes this consolidated matter is without merit and will vigorously defend this case.

Shareholder Derivative Actions. Three purported shareholder derivative actions have also been filed in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee; Plumbers and Pipefitters Local Union No. 630 Pension Annuity Trust Fund v. Wayne T. Smith, et al., filed May 24, 2011; Roofers Local No. 149 Pension Fund v. Wayne T. Smith, et al., filed June 21, 2011; and Lambert Sweat v. Wayne T. Smith, et al., filed October 5, 2011. These three cases allege breach of fiduciary duty arising out of allegedly improper inpatient admission practices, mismanagement, waste and unjust enrichment. These cases have been consolidated into a single, consolidated action. The plaintiffs filed an operative amended derivative complaint in these three consolidated actions on March 15, 2012. The Company's motion to dismiss was argued on June 13, 2013. On September 27, 2013, the court issued an order granting in part and denying in part the Company's motion to dismiss. On October 14, 2013, the Company filed for a Motion for Reconsideration of the Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part the Motion to Dismiss, a Motion to Stay Discovery, and an unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to File an Answer. The Company believes all of the plaintiffs' claims are without merit and will vigorously defend them.

17. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The Company evaluated all material events occurring subsequent to the balance sheet date for events requiring disclosure or recognition in the consolidated financial statements.

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

On January 27, 2014, the Company completed the acquisition of HMA. Pursuant to the merger agreement governing the transaction, the Company acquired all the outstanding shares of HMA's common stock for approximately $7.6 billion, including the assumption of approximately $3.7 billion of existing indebtedness, for consideration for each share of HMA's common stock consisting of $10.50 in cash, 0.06942 of a share of the Company's common stock, and one contingent value right ("CVR"). The CVR entitles the holder to receive a cash payment of up to $1.00 per CVR (subject to downward adjustment), subject to the final resolution of certain legal matters pertaining to HMA, as defined in the CVR agreement.

In connection with the HMA merger, the Company and CHS entered into a third amendment and restatement of the Credit Facility, providing for additional financing and recapitalization of certain of the Company's term loans, including (i) the replacement of the revolving credit facility with a new $1.0 billion revolving facility maturing 2019 (the "Revolving Facility"), (ii) the addition of a new $1.0 billion Term A facility due 2019 (the "Term A Facility"), (iii) a Term D facility in an aggregate principal amount equal to approximately $4.602 billion due 2021 (which includes certain term loans due 2017 that were converted into such Term D facility (collectively, the "Term D Facility")), (iv) the conversion of certain term loans due 2017 into Term E Loans and the borrowing of new Term E Loans due 2017 in an aggregate principal amount of approximately $1.677 billion (collectively, the "Term E Facility" and, together with the Revolving Facility, the Term D Facility and the Term A Facility, the "Credit Facilities") and (v) the addition of flexibility commensurate with the Company's post-acquisition structure. In addition to funding a portion of the consideration in connection with the HMA merger, some of the proceeds of the Term A Facility and Term D Facility were used to refinance the outstanding $637.5 million existing Term A facility due 2016 and the $59.6 million of term loans due 2014, respectively.

Adjusted for the effect of this amendment and restatement of the Company's Credit Facility, the term loans are scheduled to be paid with principal payments for future years as follows $112.8 million due in 2014, $162.8 million due in 2015, $162.8 million due in 2016, $1.822 billion due in 2017, $496.0 million due in 2018 and $4.521 billion due thereafter.

In connection with the financing activities of the HMA merger, the Company and CHS, through one of its wholly-owned subsidiaries, also issued: (i) $1.0 billion aggregate principal amount of 5.125% Senior Secured Notes due 2021 (the "Secured Notes") and (ii) $3.0 billion aggregate principal amount of 6.875% Senior Notes due 2022 (the "Unsecured Notes" and, together with the Secured Notes, the "Notes"). The Secured Notes are senior secured obligations of CHS and are guaranteed on a senior secured basis by the Company and by CHS and certain of CHS's subsidiaries. The Secured Notes mature on August 1, 2021, and bear interest at a rate of 5.125% per annum. The Unsecured Notes are senior unsecured obligations of CHS and are guaranteed on a senior basis by the Company and certain of CHS's subsidiaries. The Unsecured Notes mature on February 1, 2022, and bear interest at a rate of 6.875% per annum.

The initial accounting for the acquisition of HMA is currently incomplete. The Company is in the process of obtaining initial information relative to the fair values of assets acquired, liabilities assumed and any noncontrolling interests in the transaction. The valuation of the acquired assets and assumed liabilities will include, but not be limited to, fixed assets, Medicare licenses, certificates of need, other potential intangible assets and contingencies. The valuations will consist of physical inspections and appraisal reports, discounted cash flow analyses, or other appropriate valuation techniques to determine the fair value of the assets acquired or liabilities assumed.

On February 5, 2014, the Company announced that one or more subsidiaries of the Company have executed a definitive agreement to acquire substantially all of the assets of Sharon Regional Health System in Sharon, Pennsylvania for approximately $70 million, plus net working capital. Sharon Regional Health System includes a 251-bed acute care hospital and other outpatient and ancillary services.

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

18. QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)

	Quarter				
	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	Total
	(in thousands, except share and per share data)				
Year ended December 31, 2013:					
Net operating revenues	$ 3,311,750	$ 3,236,391	$ 3,218,231	$ 3,231,321	$ 12,997,693
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	144,026	64,570	25,040	72,226	305,862
Income from continuing operations	96,323	47,085	21,598	52,262	217,268
Loss from discontinued operations	-	-	-	-	-
Net income attributable to Community Health Systems, Inc.	$ 79,174	$ 29,753	$ 4,095	$ 28,181	$ 141,203
Basic earnings (loss) per share attributable to Community Health Systems, Inc. common stockholders:					
Continuing operations	$ 0.87	$ 0.32	$ 0.04	$ 0.30	$ 1.52
Discontinued operations	-	-	-	-	-
Net income	$ 0.87	$ 0.32	$ 0.04	$ 0.30	$ 1.52
Diluted earnings (loss) per share attributable to Community Health Systems, Inc. common stockholders:					
Continuing operations	$ 0.86	$ 0.32	$ 0.04	$ 0.30	$ 1.51
Discontinued operations	-	-	-	-	-
Net income	$ 0.86	$ 0.32	$ 0.04	$ 0.30	$ 1.51
Weighted-average number of shares outstanding:					
Basic	91,002,615	92,866,370	93,259,027	93,372,398	92,633,332
Diluted	91,998,993	94,109,368	94,483,596	94,703,458	93,815,013
Year ended December 31, 2012:					
Net operating revenues	$ 3,297,035	$ 3,242,974	$ 3,212,030	$ 3,276,946	$ 13,028,985
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	145,537	151,686	84,458	122,090	503,771
Income from continuing operations	99,718	102,167	58,758	85,626	346,269
Loss from discontinued operations	(466)	-	-	-	(466)
Net income attributable to Community Health Systems, Inc.	$ 75,474	$ 83,359	$ 44,233	$ 62,574	$ 265,640
Basic earnings (loss) per share attributable to Community Health Systems, Inc. common stockholders(1):					
Continuing operations	$ 0.86	$ 0.94	$ 0.50	$ 0.70	$ 2.98
Discontinued operations	(0.01)	-	-	-	(0.01)
Net income	$ 0.85	$ 0.94	$ 0.50	$ 0.70	$ 2.98
Diluted earnings (loss) per share attributable to Community Health Systems, Inc. common stockholders(1):					
Continuing operations	$ 0.85	$ 0.93	$ 0.49	$ 0.69	$ 2.96
Discontinued operations	(0.01)	-	-	-	(0.01)
Net income	$ 0.85	$ 0.93	$ 0.49	$ 0.69	$ 2.96
Weighted-average number of shares outstanding:					
Basic	88,674,779	89,147,472	89,259,950	89,882,380	89,242,949
Diluted	88,852,704	89,530,639	90,009,113	90,828,119	89,806,937

(1) Total per share amounts may not add due to rounding.

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

19. SUPPLEMENTAL CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The Senior Notes, which are senior unsecured obligations of CHS, and the 5 1/8% Senior Secured Notes are guaranteed on a senior basis by the Company and by certain of its existing and subsequently acquired or organized 100% owned domestic subsidiaries. The Senior Notes and the 5 1/8% Senior Secured Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed on a joint and several basis, with exceptions considered customary for such guarantees, limited to the release of the guarantee when a subsidiary guarantor's capital stock is sold, or a sale of all of the subsidiary guarantor's assets used in operations. The following condensed consolidating financial statements present Community Health Systems, Inc. (as parent guarantor), CHS (as the issuer), the subsidiary guarantors, the subsidiary non-guarantors and eliminations. These condensed consolidating financial statements have been prepared and presented in accordance with SEC Regulation S-X Rule 3-10 "Financial Statements of Guarantors and Issuers of Guaranteed Securities Registered or Being Registered."

The accounting policies used in the preparation of this financial information are consistent with those elsewhere in the consolidated financial statements of the Company, except as noted below:

- Intercompany receivables and payables are presented gross in the supplemental condensed consolidating balance sheets.
- Cash flows from intercompany transactions are presented in cash flows from financing activities, as changes in intercompany balances with affiliates, net.
- Income tax expense is allocated from the parent guarantor to the income producing operations (other guarantors and non-guarantors) and the issuer through stockholders' equity. As this approach represents an allocation, the income tax expense allocation is considered non-cash for statement of cash flow purposes.
- Interest expense, net has been presented to reflect net interest expense and interest income from outstanding long-term debt and intercompany balances.

The Company's intercompany activity consists primarily of daily cash transfers for purposes of cash management, the allocation of certain expenses and expenditures paid for by the parent on behalf of its subsidiaries, and the push down of investment in its subsidiaries. This activity also includes the intercompany transactions between consolidated entities as part of the Receivables Facility that is further discussed in Note 6. The Company's subsidiaries generally do not purchase services from one another; thus, the intercompany transactions do not represent revenue generating transactions. All intercompany transactions eliminate in consolidation.

From time to time, the Company sells and/or repurchases noncontrolling interests in consolidated subsidiaries, which may change subsidiaries between guarantors and non-guarantors. Amounts for prior periods are revised to reflect the status of guarantors or non-guarantors as of December 31, 2013.

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Income
Year Ended December 31, 2013

	Parent Guarantor	Issuer	Other Guarantors	Non-Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
			(In thousands)			
Operating revenues (net of contractual allowances and discounts)	$ -	$ (14,923)	$ 9,639,380	$ 5,453,617	$ -	$ 15,078,074
Provision for bad debts	-	-	1,425,853	654,528	-	2,080,381
Net operating revenues	-	(14,923)	8,213,527	4,799,089	-	12,997,693
Operating costs and expenses:						
Salaries and benefits	-	-	3,661,669	2,556,078	-	6,217,747
Supplies	-	-	1,299,607	694,509	-	1,994,116
Other operating expenses	-	240	1,869,417	1,010,700	-	2,880,357
Government settlement and related costs	-	-	101,500	-	-	101,500
Electronic health records incentive reimbursement	-	-	(107,345)	(58,532)	-	(165,877)
Rent	-	-	161,647	125,765	-	287,412
Depreciation and amortization	-	-	529,532	253,143	-	782,675
Total operating costs and expenses	-	240	7,516,027	4,581,663	-	12,097,930
Income from operations	-	(15,163)	697,500	217,426	-	899,763
Interest expense, net	-	(4,749)	556,691	63,205	-	615,147
Loss from early extinguishment of debt	-	1,295	-	-	-	1,295
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	(141,203)	(138,906)	(85,859)	-	323,327	(42,641)
Impairment of long-lived assets	-	-	20,100	-	-	20,100
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	141,203	127,197	206,568	154,221	(323,327)	305,862
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	-	(14,006)	74,437	28,163	-	88,594
Income from continuing operations	141,203	141,203	132,131	126,058	(323,327)	217,268
Discontinued operations, net of taxes:						
Loss from operations of entities sold	-	-	-	-	-	-
Impairment of hospitals sold	-	-	-	-	-	-
Loss on sale, net	-	-	-	-	-	-
Loss from discontinued operations, net of taxes	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net income	141,203	141,203	132,131	126,058	(323,327)	217,268
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	-	-	-	76,065	-	76,065
Net income attributable to Community Health Systems, Inc. stockholders	$ 141,203	$ 141,203	$ 132,131	$ 49,993	$ (323,327)	$ 141,203

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Income
Year Ended December 31, 2012

	Parent Guarantor	Issuer	Other Guarantors	Non-Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
			(In thousands)			
Operating revenues (net of contractual allowances and discounts)	$ -	$ (9,653)	$ 9,579,838	$ 5,417,994	$ -	$ 14,988,179
Provision for bad debts	-	-	1,323,508	635,686	-	1,959,194
Net operating revenues	-	(9,653)	8,256,330	4,782,308	-	13,028,985
Operating costs and expenses:						
Salaries and benefits	-	-	3,623,082	2,480,849	-	6,103,931
Supplies	-	-	1,297,714	675,777	-	1,973,491
Other operating expenses	-	603	1,873,782	995,401	-	2,869,786
Government settlement and related costs	-	-	-	-	-	-
Electronic health records incentive reimbursement	-	-	(79,936)	(46,798)	-	(126,734)
Rent	-	-	152,205	120,624	-	272,829
Depreciation and amortization	-	-	480,912	244,646	-	725,558
Total operating costs and expenses	-	603	7,347,759	4,470,499	-	11,818,861
Income from operations	-	(10,256)	908,571	311,809	-	1,210,124
Interest expense, net	-	58,726	505,270	58,937	-	622,933
Loss from early extinguishment of debt	-	115,453	-	-	-	115,453
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	(265,640)	(348,878)	(150,606)	-	723,091	(42,033)
Impairment of long-lived assets	-	-	10,000	-	-	10,000
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	265,640	164,443	543,907	252,872	(723,091)	503,771
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	-	(101,197)	196,351	62,348	-	157,502
Income from continuing operations	265,640	265,640	347,556	190,524	(723,091)	346,269
Discontinued operations, net of taxes:						
Loss from operations of entities sold	-	-	-	(466)	-	(466)
Impairment of hospitals sold	-	-	-	-	-	-
Loss on sale, net	-	-	-	-	-	-
Loss from discontinued operations, net of taxes	-	-	-	(466)	-	(466)
Net income	265,640	265,640	347,556	190,058	(723,091)	345,803
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	-	-	-	80,163	-	80,163
Net income attributable to Community Health Systems, Inc. stockholders	$ 265,640	$ 265,640	$ 347,556	$ 109,895	$ (723,091)	$ 265,640

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Income
Year Ended December 31, 2011

	Parent Guarantor	Issuer	Other Guarantors	Non - Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
			(In thousands)			
Operating revenues (net of contractual allowances and discounts)	$ -	$ -	$ 8,625,818	$ 5,000,350	$ -	$ 13,626,168
Provision for bad debts	-	-	1,137,662	582,294	-	1,719,956
Net operating revenues	-	-	7,488,156	4,418,056	-	11,906,212
Operating costs and expenses:						
Salaries and benefits	-	-	3,284,123	2,293,802	-	5,577,925
Supplies	-	-	1,183,817	650,289	-	1,834,106
Other operating expenses	-	-	1,634,806	880,832	-	2,515,638
Government settlement and related costs	-	-	-	-	-	-
Electronic health records incentive reimbursement	-	-	(43,959)	(19,438)	-	(63,397)
Rent	-	-	138,229	116,552	-	254,781
Depreciation and amortization	-	-	420,824	231,850	-	652,674
Total operating costs and expenses	-	-	6,617,840	4,153,887	-	10,771,727
Income from operations	-	-	870,316	264,169	-	1,134,485
Interest expense, net	-	87,095	495,888	61,427	-	644,410
Loss from early extinguishment of debt	-	66,019	-	-	-	66,019
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	(201,948)	(287,903)	(65,846)	-	506,206	(49,491)
Impairment of long-lived assets	-	-	-	-	-	-
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	201,948	134,789	440,274	202,742	(506,206)	473,547
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	-	(67,159)	158,939	45,873	-	137,653
Income from continuing operations	201,948	201,948	281,335	156,869	(506,206)	335,894
Discontinued operations, net of taxes:						
Loss from operations of entities sold	-	-	-	(7,769)	-	(7,769)
Impairment of hospitals sold	-	-	-	(47,930)	-	(47,930)
Loss on sale, net	-	-	-	(2,572)	-	(2,572)
Loss from discontinued operations, net of taxes	-	-	-	(58,271)	-	(58,271)
Net income	201,948	201,948	281,335	98,598	(506,206)	277,623
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	-	-	-	75,675	-	75,675
Net income attributable to Community Health Systems, Inc. stockholders	$ 201,948	$ 201,948	$ 281,335	$ 22,923	$ (506,206)	$ 201,948

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Comprehensive Income
Year Ended December 31, 2013

	Parent Guarantor	Issuer	Other Guarantors	Non-Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
			(In thousands)			
Net income	$ 141,203	$ 141,203	$ 132,131	$ 126,058	$ (323,327)	$ 217,268
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of income taxes:						
Net change in fair value of interest rate swaps, net of tax	60,304	60,304	-	-	(60,304)	60,304
Net change in fair value of available-for-sale securities, net of tax	2,181	2,181	2,181	-	(4,362)	2,181
Amortization and recognition of unrecognized pension cost components, net of tax	15,320	15,320	15,320	-	(30,640)	15,320
Other comprehensive income (loss)	77,805	77,805	17,501	-	(95,306)	77,805
Comprehensive income	219,008	219,008	149,632	126,058	(418,633)	295,073
Less: Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	-	-	-	76,065	-	76,065
Comprehensive income attributable to Community Health Systems, Inc. stockholders	$ 219,008	$ 219,008	$ 149,632	$ 49,993	$ (418,633)	$ 219,008

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Comprehensive Income
Year Ended December 31, 2012

	Parent Guarantor	Issuer	Other Guarantors	Non-Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
			(In thousands)			
Net income	$ 265,640	$ 265,640	$ 347,556	$ 190,058	$ (723,091)	$ 345,803
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of income taxes:						
Net change in fair value of interest rate swaps, net of tax	46,409	46,409	-	-	(46,409)	46,409
Net change in fair value of available-for-sale securities, net of tax	3,012	3,012	3,012	-	(6,024)	3,012
Amortization and recognition of unrecognized pension cost components, net of tax	(10,252)	(10,252)	(10,252)	-	20,504	(10,252)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	39,169	39,169	(7,240)	-	(31,929)	39,169
Comprehensive income	304,809	304,809	340,316	190,058	(755,020)	384,972
Less: Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	-	-	-	80,163	-	80,163
Comprehensive income attributable to Community Health Systems, Inc. stockholders	$ 304,809	$ 304,809	$ 340,316	$ 109,895	$ (755,020)	$ 304,809

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Comprehensive Income
Year Ended December 31, 2011

	Parent Guarantor	Issuer	Other Guarantors	Non-Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
			(In thousands)			
Net income	$ 201,948	$ 201,948	$ 281,335	$ 98,598	$ (506,206)	$ 277,623
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of income taxes:						
Net change in fair value of interest rate swaps, net of tax	55,145	55,145	-	-	(55,145)	55,145
Net change in fair value of available-for-sale securities, net of tax	(960)	(960)	(960)	-	1,920	(960)
Amortization and recognition of unrecognized pension cost components, net of tax	(7,737)	(7,737)	(7,737)	-	15,474	(7,737)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	46,448	46,448	(8,697)	-	(37,751)	46,448
Comprehensive income	248,396	248,396	272,638	98,598	(543,957)	324,071
Less: Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	-	-	-	75,675	-	75,675
Comprehensive income attributable to Community Health Systems, Inc. stockholders	$ 248,396	$ 248,396	$ 272,638	$ 22,923	$(543,957)	$ 248,396

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet
December 31, 2013

	Parent Guarantor	Issuer	Other Guarantors	Non-Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
			(In thousands)			
ASSETS						
Current assets:						
Cash and cash equivalents	$ -	$ -	$ 238,336	$ 135,067	$ -	$ 373,403
Patient accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts	-	-	885,091	1,468,217	-	2,353,308
Supplies	-	-	260,432	116,573	-	377,005
Prepaid income taxes	107,077	-	-	-	-	107,077
Deferred income taxes	101,372	-	-	-	-	101,372
Prepaid expenses and taxes	-	112	98,854	29,510	-	128,476
Other current assets	-	-	239,462	67,860	-	307,322
Total current assets	208,449	112	1,722,175	1,817,227	-	3,747,963
Intercompany receivable	579,793	9,540,603	4,529,246	3,811,213	(18,460,855)	-
Property and equipment, net	-	-	4,711,947	2,402,516	-	7,114,463
Goodwill	-	-	2,549,724	1,894,411	-	4,444,135
Other assets, net	-	144,148	1,381,113	816,846	(531,373)	1,810,734
Net investment in subsidiaries	3,193,971	9,335,210	4,029,631	-	(16,558,812)	-
Total assets	$ 3,982,213	$ 19,020,073	$ 18,923,836	$ 10,742,213	$ (35,551,040)	$ 17,117,295
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY						
Current liabilities:						
Current maturities of long-term debt	$ -	$ 152,050	$ 12,467	$ 2,385	$ -	$ 166,902
Accounts payable	-	19	740,628	217,946	-	958,593
Deferred income taxes	3,183	-	-	-	-	3,183
Accrued interest	-	111,330	124	437	-	111,891
Accrued liabilities	4,178	-	864,261	348,475	-	1,216,914
Total current liabilities	7,361	263,399	1,617,480	569,243	-	2,457,483
Long-term debt	-	8,718,379	50,862	517,254	-	9,286,495
Intercompany payable	-	6,225,192	13,059,088	8,264,666	(27,548,946)	-
Deferred income taxes	906,101	-	-	-	-	906,101
Other long-term liabilities	924	619,135	671,193	217,457	(531,373)	977,336
Total liabilities	914,386	15,826,105	15,398,623	9,568,620	(28,080,319)	13,627,415
Redeemable noncontrolling interests in equity of consolidated subsidiaries	-	-	-	358,410	-	358,410
Equity:						
Community Health Systems, Inc. stockholders' equity:						
Preferred stock	-	-	-	-	-	-
Common stock	960	-	1	2	(3)	960
Additional paid-in capital	1,255,855	1,175,265	1,274,420	594,989	(3,044,674)	1,255,855
Treasury stock, at cost	(6,678)	-	-	-	-	(6,678)
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	(67,505)	(67,505)	(11,425)	-	78,930	(67,505)
Retained earnings	1,885,195	2,086,208	2,262,217	156,549	(4,504,974)	1,885,195
Total Community Health Systems, Inc. stockholders' equity	3,067,827	3,193,968	3,525,213	751,540	(7,470,721)	3,067,827
Noncontrolling interests in equity of consolidated subsidiaries	-	-	-	63,643	-	63,643
Total equity	3,067,827	3,193,968	3,525,213	815,183	(7,470,721)	3,131,470
Total liabilities and equity	$ 3,982,213	$ 19,020,073	$ 18,923,836	$ 10,742,213	$ (35,551,040)	$ 17,117,295

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet
December 31, 2012

	Parent Guarantor	Issuer	Other Guarantors	Non-Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
			(In thousands)			
ASSETS						
Current assets:						
Cash and cash equivalents	$ -	$ -	$ 271,559	$ 116,254	$ -	$ 387,813
Patient accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts	-	-	676,649	1,390,730	-	2,067,379
Supplies	-	-	254,853	113,319	-	368,172
Prepaid income taxes	49,888	-	-	-	-	49,888
Deferred income taxes	117,045	-	-	-	-	117,045
Prepaid expenses and taxes	-	115	86,628	39,818	-	126,561
Other current assets	-	-	222,424	79,860	-	302,284
Total current assets	166,933	115	1,512,113	1,739,981	-	3,419,142
Intercompany receivable	406,534	9,837,904	3,723,120	3,262,823	(17,230,381)	-
Property and equipment, net	-	-	4,660,557	2,491,316	-	7,151,873
Goodwill	-	-	2,544,195	1,863,943	-	4,408,138
Other assets, net	-	165,236	1,273,347	816,373	(627,774)	1,627,182
Net investment in subsidiaries	2,974,965	8,686,242	3,427,182	-	(15,088,389)	-
Total assets	$ 3,548,432	$ 18,689,497	$ 17,140,514	$ 10,174,436	$ (32,946,544)	$ 16,606,335
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY						
Current liabilities:						
Current maturities of long-term debt	$ -	$ 75,679	$ 11,1034	$ 3,129	$ -	$ 89,911
Accounts payable	-	74	583,865	241,975	-	825,914
Accrued interest	-	110,091	295	316	-	110,702
Accrued liabilities	7,580	-	748,010	361,103	-	1,116,693
Total current liabilities	7,580	185,844	1,343,273	606,523	-	2,143,220
Long-term debt	-	9,079,392	53,201	318,801	-	9,451,394
Intercompany payable	-	5,639,928	11,693,119	7,822,313	(25,155,360)	-
Deferred income taxes	808,489	-	-	-	-	808,489
Other long-term liabilities	1,156	809,372	675,341	180,950	(627,774)	1,039,045
Total liabilities	817,225	15,714,536	13,764,934	8,928,587	(25,783,134)	13,442,148
Redeemable noncontrolling interests in equity of consolidated subsidiaries	-	-	-	367,666	-	367,666
Equity:						
Community Health Systems, Inc. stockholders' equity:						
Preferred stock	-	-	-	-	-	-
Common stock	929	-	1	2	(3)	929
Additional paid-in capital	1,138,274	1,176,342	1,283,499	690,929	(3,150,770)	1,138,274
Treasury stock, at cost	(6,678)	-	-	-	-	(6,678)
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	(145,310)	(145,310)	(28,927)	-	174,237	(145,310)
Retained earnings	1,743,992	1,943,9294	2,121,007	121,938	(4,186,874)	1,743,992
Total Community Health Systems, Inc. stockholders' equity	2,731,207	2,974,9614	3,375,580	812,869	(7,163,410)	2,731,207
Noncontrolling interests in equity of consolidated subsidiaries	-	-	-	65,314	-	65,314
Total equity	2,731,207	2,974,9614	3,375,580	878,183	(7,163,410)	2,796,521
Total liabilities and equity	$ 3,548,432	$ 18,689,497	$ 17,140,514	$ 10,174,436	$ (32,946,544)	$ 16,606,335

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows
Year Ended December 31, 2013

	Parent Guarantor	Issuer	Other Guarantors	Non-Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
			(In thousands)			
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	$ (78,754)	$ 21,281	$ 871,489	$ 274,703	$ -	$ 1,088,719
Cash flows from investing activities:						
Acquisitions of facilities and other related equipment	-	-	(11,894)	(31,849)	-	(43,743)
Purchases of property and equipment	-	-	(491,863)	(122,129)	-	(613,992)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	-	-	3,540	2,869	-	6,409
Increase in other investments	-	-	(275,149)	(64,793)	-	(339,942)
Net cash used in investing activities	-	-	(775,366)	(215,902)	-	(991,268)
Cash flows from financing activities:						
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	110,660	-	-	-	-	110,660
Repurchase of restricted stock shares for payroll tax withholding requirements	(14,896)	-	-	-	-	(14,896)
Stock buy-back	(27,133)	-	-	-	-	(27,133)
Deferred financing costs	-	(13,199)	-	-	-	(13,199)
Excess tax benefit relating to stock-based compensation	6,715	-	-	-	-	6,715
Payment of special dividend to stockholders	-	-	-	-	-	-
Proceeds from noncontrolling investors in joint ventures	-	-	-	289	-	289
Redemption of noncontrolling investments in joint ventures	-	-	-	(9,304)	-	(9,304)
Distributions to noncontrolling investors in joint ventures	-	-	-	(75,583)	-	(75,583)
Changes in intercompany balances with affiliates, net	3,408	274,075	(124,384)	(153,099)	-	-
Borrowings under credit agreements	-	1,170,000	23,710	865	-	1,194,575
Issuance of long-term debt	-	-	-	-	-	-
Proceeds from receivables facility	-	-	-	338,000	-	338,000
Repayments of long-term indebtedness	-	(1,452,157)	(28,672)	(141,156)	-	(1,621,985)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	78,754	(21,281)	(129,346)	(39,988)	-	(111,861)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	-	-	(33,223)	18,813	-	(14,410)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	-	-	271,559	116,254	-	387,813
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	$ -	$ -	$ 238,336	$ 135,067	$ -	$ 373,403

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows
Year Ended December 31, 2012

	Parent Guarantor	Issuer	Other Guarantors	Non - Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
			(In thousands)			
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	$ (55,122)	$ (71,683)	$ 1,156,708	$ 250,217	$ -	$ 1,280,120
Cash flows from investing activities:						
Acquisitions of facilities and other related equipment	-	-	(309,731)	(12,584)	-	(322,315)
Purchases of property and equipment	-	-	(540,816)	(227,974)	-	(768,790)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	-	-	2,756	3,141	-	5,897
Increase in other investments	-	10,000	(231,326)	(76,668)	-	(297,994)
Net cash used in investing activities	-	10,000	(1,079,117)	(314,085)	-	(1,383,202)
Cash flows from financing activities:						
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	20,858	-	-	-	-	20,858
Repurchase of restricted stock shares for payroll tax withholding requirements	(9,314)	-	-	-	-	(9,314)
Stock buy-back	-	-	-	-	-	-
Deferred financing costs	-	(141,219)	-	-	-	(141,219)
Excess tax benefit relating to stock-based compensation	3,973	-	-	-	-	3,973
Payment of special dividend to stockholders	(22,535)	-	-	-	-	(22,535)
Proceeds from noncontrolling investors in joint ventures	-	-	-	535	-	535
Redemption of noncontrolling investments in joint ventures	-	-	-	(44,287)	-	(44,287)
Distributions to noncontrolling investors in joint ventures	-	-	-	(68,344)	-	(68,344)
Changes in intercompany balances with affiliates, net	62,140	(124,560)	189,076	(126,656)	-	-
Borrowings under credit agreements	-	3,955,000	20,866	-	-	3,975,866
Issuance of long-term debt	-	3,825,000	-	-	-	3,825,000
Proceeds from receivables facility	-	-	-	350,000	-	350,000
Repayments of long-term indebtedness	-	(7,452,538)	(24,894)	(52,071)	-	(7,529,503)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	55,122	61,683	185,048	59,177	-	361,030
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	-	-	262,639	(4,691)	-	257,948
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	-	-	8,920	120,945	-	129,865
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	$ -	$ -	$ 271,559	$ 116,254	$ -	$ 387,813

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows
Year Ended December 31, 2011

	Parent Guarantor	Issuer	Other Guarantors	Non-Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
			(In thousands)			
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	$ (41,780)	$ (111,001)	$ 918,947	$ 495,742	$ -	$ 1,261,908
Cash flows from investing activities:						
Acquisitions of facilities and other related equipment	-	-	(370,243)	(45,117)	-	(415,360)
Purchases of property and equipment	-	-	(440,754)	(335,959)	-	(776,713)
Proceeds from disposition of hospitals and other ancillary operations	-	-	-	173,387	-	173,387
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	-	-	2,283	8,877	-	11,160
Increase in other investments	-	(10,000)	(129,852)	(48,397)	-	(188,249)
Net cash used in investing activities	-	(10,000)	(938,566)	(247,209)	-	(1,195,775)
Cash flows from financing activities:						
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	18,910	-	-	-	-	18,910
Repurchase of restricted stock shares for payroll tax withholding requirements	(13,311)	-	-	-	-	(13,311)
Stock buy-back	(85,790)	-	-	-	-	(85,790)
Deferred financing costs	-	(19,352)	-	-	-	(19,352)
Excess tax benefit relating to stock-based compensation	5,290	-	-	-	-	5,290
Payment of special dividend to stockholders	-	-	-	-	-	-
Proceeds from noncontrolling investors in joint ventures	-	-	-	1,229	-	1,229
Redemption of noncontrolling investments in joint ventures	-	-	-	(13,022)	-	(13,022)
Distributions to noncontrolling investors in joint ventures	-	-	-	(56,094)	-	(56,094)
Changes in intercompany balances with affiliates, net	116,681	209,056	(175,332)	(150,405)	-	-
Borrowings under credit agreements	-	560,000	18,236	2,145	(2,145)	578,236
Issuance of long-term debt	-	1,000,000	-	-	-	1,000,000
Proceeds from receivables facility	-	-	-	-	-	-
Repayments of long-term indebtedness	-	(1,628,703)	(23,200)	(1,775)	2,145	(1,651,533)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	41,780	121,001	(180,296)	(217,922)	-	(235,437)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	-	-	(199,915)	30,611	-	(169,304)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	-	-	208,835	90,334	-	299,169
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	$ -	$ -	$ 8,920	$ 120,945	$ -	$ 129,865

Item 9. ***Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure***

None

Item 9A. ***Controls and Procedures***

Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, with the participation of other members of management, have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a- 15(e) and 15d-15(e)) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on such evaluations, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of such date, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective (at the reasonable assurance level) to ensure that the information required to be included in this report has been recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms and to ensure that the information required to be included in this report was accumulated and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

There have been no changes in internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal controls over financial reporting.

Management's report on internal control over financial reporting is included herein at page 136.

The attestation report from Deloitte & Touche LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, on our internal control over financial reporting is included herein at page 137.

Item 9B. ***Other Information***

None.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

We are responsible for the preparation and integrity of the consolidated financial statements appearing in our Annual Report. The consolidated financial statements were prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and include amounts based on management's estimates and judgments. All other financial information in this report has been presented on a basis consistent with the information included in the consolidated financial statements.

We are also responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal controls over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended). We maintain a system of internal controls that is designed to provide reasonable assurance as to the fair and reliable preparation and presentation of the consolidated financial statements, as well as to safeguard assets from unauthorized use or disposition.

Our control environment is the foundation for our system of internal control over financial reporting and is embodied in our Code of Conduct. It sets the tone of our organization and includes factors such as integrity and ethical values. Our internal control over financial reporting is supported by formal policies and procedures which are reviewed, modified and improved as changes occur in business conditions and operations.

The Audit and Compliance Committee of the Board of Directors, which is composed solely of outside directors, meets periodically with members of management, the internal auditors and the independent registered public accounting firm to review and discuss internal control over financial reporting and accounting and financial reporting matters. The independent registered public accounting firm and internal auditors report to the Audit and Compliance Committee and accordingly have full and free access to the Audit and Compliance Committee at any time.

We conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework (1992)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. This evaluation included review of the documentation of controls, evaluation of the design effectiveness of controls, testing of the operating effectiveness of controls and a conclusion on this evaluation. We have concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2013, based on these criteria.

Deloitte & Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, has issued an attestation report on our internal control over financial reporting, which is included herein.

We do not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures or our internal controls will prevent all errors and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact there are resource constraints and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Community Health Systems, Inc.
Franklin, Tennessee

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Community Health Systems, Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework (1992)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying *Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting*. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework (1992)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2013 of the Company and our report dated February 26, 2014 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Nashville, Tennessee
February 26, 2014

PART III

Item 10. ***Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance***

The committee report of the Audit and Compliance Committee of the Board of Directors is presented below. The other information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the Company's definitive proxy statement to be filed under Regulation 14A in connection with the Annual Meeting of the Stockholders of the Company scheduled to be held on May 20, 2014, under "General Information," "Members of the Board of Directors," "Information About our Executive Officers," "Section 16(A) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance," and "Compensation Committee Report."

AUDIT AND COMPLIANCE COMMITTEE REPORT

The Audit and Compliance Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company is composed of three directors, each of whom is "independent" as defined by the listing standards of the NYSE and Section 10A-3 of the Exchange Act. All of our Audit and Compliance Committee members meet the Securities and Exchange Commission definition of "audit committee financial expert." The Audit and Compliance Committee operates under a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors, which is posted on our corporate website (www.chs.net) and which is reviewed by the Committee annually, in conjunction with the Committee's annual self-evaluation. The Company's management is responsible for its internal controls and the financial reporting process. Our independent registered public accounting firm, Deloitte & Touche LLP, is responsible for performing an independent audit of our consolidated financial statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) and to issue its reports thereon. The Audit and Compliance Committee is responsible for, among other things, monitoring and overseeing these processes, and recommending to the Board of Directors: (i) that the audited consolidated financial statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K; and (ii) the selection of the independent registered public accounting firm to audit the consolidated financial statements of the Company.

In keeping with that responsibility, the Audit and Compliance Committee has reviewed and discussed the Company's audited consolidated financial statements with management and with the independent registered public accounting firm, reviewed internal controls and accounting procedures and provided oversight review of the Company's corporate compliance program. In addition, the Audit and Compliance Committee has discussed with the Company's independent registered public accounting firm the matters required to be discussed by the Statement on Auditing Standards No. 114, "The Auditors Communication with Those Charged with Governance."

The Audit and Compliance Committee discussed with the Company's internal auditors and independent registered public accounting firm the overall scope and plans for their respective audits. The Audit and Compliance Committee met with the internal auditors and the independent registered public accounting firm with and without management present to discuss the results of their examinations, their evaluations of the Company's internal controls and the overall quality of the Company's financial reporting.

The Audit and Compliance Committee has received the written disclosures and the letter from the independent registered public accounting firm required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent accountant's communications with the audit committee concerning independence. The Audit and Compliance Committee has discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm its independence and also has reviewed the amount of fees paid to the independent registered accounting firm for audit and non-audit services.

Based on the Audit and Compliance Committee's discussions with management and the independent registered public accounting firm and the Audit and Compliance Committee's review of the representations of management and the materials it received from the independent registered public accounting firm as described above, the Audit and Compliance Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited consolidated financial statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 for filing with the SEC.

This report is respectfully submitted by the Audit and Compliance Committee of the Board of Directors.

THE AUDIT AND COMPLIANCE COMMITTEE

John A. Clerico, Chair
James S. Ely III
John A. Fry

Item 11. ***Executive Compensation***

The information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the Company's definitive proxy statement to be filed under Regulation 14A in connection with the Annual Meeting of the Stockholders of the Company scheduled to be held on May 20, 2014 under "Executive Compensation."

Item 12. ***Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters***

The information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the Company's definitive proxy statement to be filed under Regulation 14A in connection with the Annual Meeting of the Stockholders of the Company scheduled to be held on May 20, 2014 under "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management."

Item 13. ***Certain Relationships and Related Transactions***

The information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the Company's definitive proxy statement to be filed under Regulation 14A in connection with the Annual Meeting of the Stockholders of the Company scheduled to be held on May 20, 2014 under "Relationships and Certain Transactions Between the Company and Its Officers, Directors and 5% Beneficial Owners and Their Family Members."

Item 14. ***Principal Accountant Fees and Services***

The information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the Company's definitive proxy statement to be filed under Regulation 14A in connection with the Annual Meeting of the Stockholders of the Company scheduled to be held on May 20, 2014 under "Ratification of the Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm."

PART IV

Item 15. ***Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules***

Item 15(a) 1. *Financial Statements*

Reference is made to the index of financial statements and supplementary data under Item 8 in Part II.

Item 15(a) 2. *Financial Statement Schedules*

The following financial statement schedule is filed as part of this Report at page 151 hereof:

Schedule II — *Valuation and Qualifying Accounts*

All other schedules are omitted since the required information is not present or is not present in amounts sufficient to require submission of the schedule, or because the information required is included in the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto.

Item 15(a)(3):

The following exhibits are either filed with this Report or incorporated herein by reference.

No.	Description
2.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of July 29, 2013, by and among Health Management Associates, Inc., Community Health Systems, Inc. and FWCT-2 Acquisition Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 30, 2013 (No. 001-15925))
2.2	Amendment and Consent to Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of September 24, 2013, by and among Health Management Associates, Inc., Community Health Systems, Inc. and FWCT-2 Acquisition Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed September 25, 2013 (No. 001-15925))
3.1	Form of Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Community Health Systems, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Amendment No. 4 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Registration Statement on Form S-1/A filed June 8, 2000 (No. 333-31790))
3.2	Certificate of Amendment to the Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Community Health Systems, Inc., dated May 18, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 20, 2010 (No. 001-15925))
3.3	Amended and Restated By-Laws of Community Health Systems, Inc. (as of February 27, 2008) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(ii).1 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 29, 2008 (No. 001-15925))
4.1	Form of Common Stock Certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Amendment No. 2 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Registration Statement on Form S-1/A filed May 2, 2000 (No. 333-31790))
4.2	Senior Notes Indenture relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 8.000% Senior Notes due 2019, dated as of November 22, 2011, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the Guarantors party thereto and Regions Bank, as successor trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 filed February 23, 2012 (No. 001-15925))
4.3	Form of 8.000% Senior Note due 2019 (included in Exhibit 4.2)
4.4	Registration Rights Agreement relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 8.000% Senior Notes due 2019, dated as of November 22, 2011, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the Guarantors party thereto and the Initial Purchasers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.8 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 filed February 23, 2012 (No. 001-15925))
4.5	First Supplemental Indenture relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 8.000% Senior Notes due 2019, dated as of January 31, 2012, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the guarantors party thereto and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.35 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Registration Statement on Form S-4/A filed April 2, 2012 (No. 333-180265))
4.6	Second Supplemental Indenture relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 8.000% Senior Notes due 2019, dated as of March 31, 2012, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the guarantors party thereto and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.36 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Registration Statement on Form S-4/A filed April 2, 2012 (No. 333-180265))

4.7		Third Supplemental Indenture relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 8.000% Senior Notes due 2019, dated as of May 15, 2012, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the guarantors party thereto and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 18, 2012 (No. 001-15925))
4.8		Fourth Supplemental Indenture relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 8.000% Senior Notes due 2019, dated as of September 30, 2012, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the guarantors party thereto and Regions Bank, as successor trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 filed November 1, 2012 (No. 001-15925))
4.9		Fifth Supplemental Indenture relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 8.000% Senior Notes due 2019, dated as of March 31, 2013, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the guarantors party thereto and Regions Bank, as successor trustee thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 filed April 30, 2013 (No. 001-15925))
4.10		Release of Certain Guarantor relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 8.000% Senior Notes due 2019, dated as of March 31, 2013, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the guarantors party thereto and Regions Bank (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 filed April 30, 2013 (No. 001-15925))
4.11		Sixth Supplemental Indenture relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 8.000% Senior Notes due 2019, dated as of September 30, 2013, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the guarantors party thereto and Regions Bank, as successor trustee thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 filed October 31, 2013 (No. 001-15925))
4.12	*	Seventh Supplemental Indenture relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 8.000% Senior Notes due 2019, dated as of February 12, 2014, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the guarantors party thereto and Regions Bank, as successor Trustee
4.13		Senior Notes Indenture relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 7.125% Senior Notes due 2020, dated as of July 18, 2012, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the Guarantors party thereto and Regions Bank, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 18, 2012 (No. 001-15925))
4.14		Form of 7.125% Senior Note due 2020 (included in Exhibit 4.13)
4.15		First Supplemental Indenture relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 7.125% Senior Notes due 2020, dated as of September 30, 2012, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the guarantors party thereto and Regions Bank, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 filed November 1, 2012 (No. 001-15925))
4.16		Second Supplemental Indenture relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 7.125% Senior Notes due 2020, dated as of March 31, 2013, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the guarantors party thereto and Regions Bank, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 filed April 30, 2013 (No. 001-15925))
4.17		Release of Certain Guarantor relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 7.125% Senior Notes due 2020, dated as of March 31, 2013, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the guarantors party thereto and Regions Bank, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 filed April 30, 2013 (No. 001-15925))

4.18		Third Supplemental Indenture relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 7.125% Senior Notes due 2020, dated as of September 30, 2013, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the guarantors party thereto and Regions Bank, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 filed October 31, 2013 (No. 001-15925))
4.19	*	Fourth Supplemental Indenture relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 7.125% Senior Notes due 2020, dated as of February 12, 2014, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the guarantors party thereto and Regions Bank, as Trustee
4.20		Senior Secured Notes Indenture relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 5.125% Senior Notes due 2018, dated as of August 17, 2012, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the Guarantors party thereto, Regions Bank, as Trustee and Credit Suisse AG, as collateral agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed August 20, 2012 (No. 001-15925))
4.21		Form of 5.125% Senior Secured Note due 2018 (included in Exhibit 4.20)
4.22		First Supplemental Indenture relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 5.125% Senior Secured Notes due 2018, dated as of September 30, 2012, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the guarantors party thereto and Regions Bank, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.7 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 filed November 1, 2012 (No. 001-15925))
4.23		Second Supplemental Indenture relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 5.125% Senior Secured Notes due 2018, dated as of March 31, 2013, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the guarantors party thereto and Regions Bank, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 filed April 30, 2013 (No. 001-15925))
4.24		Release of Certain Guarantor relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 5.125% Senior Secured Notes due 2018, dated as of March 31, 2013, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the guarantors party thereto and Regions Bank, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 filed April 30, 2013 (No. 001-15925))
4.25		Third Supplemental Indenture relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 5.125% Senior Secured Notes due 2018, dated as of September 30, 2013, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the guarantors party thereto and Regions Bank, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 filed October 31, 2013 (No. 001-15925))
4.26	*	Fourth Supplemental Indenture relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 5.125% Senior Secured Notes due 2018, dated as of February 12, 2014, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the guarantors party thereto, Regions Bank, as Trustee and Credit Suisse AG, as collateral agent
4.27		Amendment No. 1 and Reaffirmation Agreement, dated as of August 17, 2012, relating to the Amended and Restated Guarantee and Collateral Agreement, dated as of July 25, 2007, as amended and restated as of November 5, 2010, among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., Community Health Systems, Inc., the guarantors party thereto, and Credit Suisse AG, as collateral trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 filed November 1, 2012 (No. 001-15925))

10.40	†	Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement for Community Health Systems, Inc. 2009 Stock Option and Award Plan, as amended and restated on March 20, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 filed July 31, 2013 (No. 001-15925))
10.41	†	Form of Performance Based Restricted Stock Award Agreement (Most Highly Compensated Executive Officers) for Community Health Systems, Inc. 2009 Stock Option and Award Plan, as amended and restated on March 20, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 filed July 30, 2013 (No. 001-15925))
10.42	†	Form of Director Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement for Community Health Systems, Inc. 2009 Stock Option and Award Plan, as amended and restated on March 20, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 filed July 31, 2013 (No. 001-15925))
10.43	†	Form of Amended and Restated Change in Control Severance Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 filed February 27, 2009 (No. 001-15925))
10.44		Participation Agreement entered into as of January 1, 2005, by and between Community Health Systems Professional Services Corporation and HealthTrust Purchasing Group, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 7, 2005 (No. 001-15925))
12	*	Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges
21	*	List of Subsidiaries
23.1	*	Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP
31.1	*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	*	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	*	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101.INS		XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH		XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
101.CAL		XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
101.DEF		XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase
101.LAB		XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
101.PRE		XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

* Filed herewith.

† Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC.

By: /s/ Wayne T. Smith
Wayne T. Smith
Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

Date: February 26, 2014

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Name	Title	Date
/s/ WAYNE T. SMITH Wayne T. Smith	Chief Executive Officer and Director (principal executive officer)	2/26/2014
/s/ W. LARRY CASH W. Larry Cash	President of Financial Services, Chief Financial Officer and Director (principal financial officer)	2/26/2014
/s/ KEVIN J. HAMMONS Kevin J. Hammons	Senior Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer (principal accounting officer)	2/26/2014
/s/ JOHN A. CLERICO John A. Clerico	Director	2/26/2014
/s/ JAMES S. ELY III James S. Ely III	Director	2/26/2014
/s/ JOHN A. FRY John A. Fry	Director	2/26/2014
/s/ WILLIAM NORRIS JENNINGS, M.D. William Norris Jennings, M.D.	Director	2/26/2014
/s/ JULIA B. NORTH Julia B. North	Director	2/26/2014
/s/ H. MITCHELL WATSON, JR. H. Mitchell Watson, Jr.	Director	2/26/2014

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Community Health Systems, Inc.
Franklin, Tennessee

We have audited the consolidated financial statements of Community Health Systems, Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013, and the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, and have issued our reports thereon dated February 26, 2014; such reports are included elsewhere in this Form 10-K. Our audits also included the consolidated financial statement schedule of the Company listed in Item 15. This consolidated financial statement schedule is the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion based on our audits. In our opinion, such consolidated financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Nashville, Tennessee
February 26, 2014

Community Health Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Schedule II — Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

Description	Balance at Beginning of Year	Acquisitions and Dispositions	Charged to Costs and Expenses	Write-offs	Balance at End of Year
			(In thousands)		
Year ended December 31, 2013 allowance for doubtful accounts	$ 2,201,875	$ -	$ 2,080,381	$ (1,833,824)	$ 2,448,432
Year ended December 31, 2012 allowance for doubtful accounts	$ 1,891,334	$ -	$ 1,959,194	$ (1,648,653)	$ 2,201,875
Year ended December 31, 2011 allowance for doubtful accounts	$ 1,639,198	$ (28,954)	$ 1,766,201	$ (1,485,111)	$ 1,891,334

Exhibit Index

No.	Description
2.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of July 29, 2013, by and among Health Management Associates, Inc., Community Health Systems, Inc. and FWCT-2 Acquisition Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 30, 2013 (No. 001-15925))
2.2	Amendment and Consent to Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of September 24, 2013, by and among Health Management Associates, Inc., Community Health Systems, Inc. and FWCT-2 Acquisition Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed September 25, 2013 (No. 001-15925))
3.1	Form of Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Community Health Systems, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Amendment No. 4 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Registration Statement on Form S-1/A filed June 8, 2000 (No. 333-31790))
3.2	Certificate of Amendment to the Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Community Health Systems, Inc., dated May 18, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 20, 2010 (No. 001-15925))
3.3	Amended and Restated By-Laws of Community Health Systems, Inc. (as of February 27, 2008) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(ii).1 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 29, 2008 (No. 001-15925))
4.1	Form of Common Stock Certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Amendment No. 2 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Registration Statement on Form S-1/A filed May 2, 2000 (No. 333-31790))
4.2	Senior Notes Indenture relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 8.000% Senior Notes due 2019, dated as of November 22, 2011, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the Guarantors party thereto and Regions Bank, as successor trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 filed February 23, 2012 (No. 001-15925))
4.3	Form of 8.000% Senior Note due 2019 (included in Exhibit 4.2)
4.4	Registration Rights Agreement relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 8.000% Senior Notes due 2019, dated as of November 22, 2011, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the Guarantors party thereto and the Initial Purchasers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.8 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 filed February 23, 2012 (No. 001-15925))
4.5	First Supplemental Indenture relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 8.000% Senior Notes due 2019, dated as of January 31, 2012, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the guarantors party thereto and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.35 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Registration Statement on Form S-4/A filed April 2, 2012 (No. 333-180265))
4.6	Second Supplemental Indenture relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 8.000% Senior Notes due 2019, dated as of March 31, 2012, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the guarantors party thereto and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.36 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Registration Statement on Form S-4/A filed April 2, 2012 (No. 333-180265))

4.7		Third Supplemental Indenture relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 8.000% Senior Notes due 2019, dated as of May 15, 2012, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the guarantors party thereto and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 18, 2012 (No. 001-15925))
4.8		Fourth Supplemental Indenture relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 8.000% Senior Notes due 2019, dated as of September 30, 2012, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the guarantors party thereto and Regions Bank, as successor trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 filed November 1, 2012 (No. 001-15925))
4.9		Fifth Supplemental Indenture relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 8.000% Senior Notes due 2019, dated as of March 31, 2013, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the guarantors party thereto and Regions Bank, as successor trustee thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 filed April 30, 2013 (No. 001-15925))
4.10		Release of Certain Guarantor relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 8.000% Senior Notes due 2019, dated as of March 31, 2013, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the guarantors party thereto and Regions Bank (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 filed April 30, 2013 (No. 001-15925))
4.11		Sixth Supplemental Indenture relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 8.000% Senior Notes due 2019, dated as of September 30, 2013, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the guarantors party thereto and Regions Bank, as successor trustee thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 filed October 31, 2013 (No. 001-15925))
4.12	*	Seventh Supplemental Indenture relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 8.000% Senior Notes due 2019, dated as of February 12, 2014, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the guarantors party thereto and Regions Bank, as successor Trustee
4.13		Senior Notes Indenture relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 7.125% Senior Notes due 2020, dated as of July 18, 2012, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the Guarantors party thereto and Regions Bank, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 18, 2012 (No. 001-15925))
4.14		Form of 7.125% Senior Note due 2020 (included in Exhibit 4.13)
4.15		First Supplemental Indenture relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 7.125% Senior Notes due 2020, dated as of September 30, 2012, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the guarantors party thereto and Regions Bank, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 filed November 1, 2012 (No. 001-15925))
4.16		Second Supplemental Indenture relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 7.125% Senior Notes due 2020, dated as of March 31, 2013, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the guarantors party thereto and Regions Bank, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 filed April 30, 2013 (No. 001-15925))
4.17		Release of Certain Guarantor relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 7.125% Senior Notes due 2020, dated as of March 31, 2013, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the guarantors party thereto and Regions Bank, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 filed April 30, 2013 (No. 001-15925))

4.18		Third Supplemental Indenture relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 7.125% Senior Notes due 2020, dated as of September 30, 2013, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the guarantors party thereto and Regions Bank, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 filed October 31, 2013 (No. 001-15925))
4.19	*	Fourth Supplemental Indenture relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 7.125% Senior Notes due 2020, dated as of February 12, 2014, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the guarantors party thereto and Regions Bank, as Trustee
4.20		Senior Secured Notes Indenture relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 5.125% Senior Notes due 2018, dated as of August 17, 2012, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the Guarantors party thereto, Regions Bank, as Trustee and Credit Suisse AG, as collateral agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed August 20, 2012 (No. 001-15925))
4.21		Form of 5.125% Senior Secured Note due 2018 (included in Exhibit 4.20)
4.22		First Supplemental Indenture relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 5.125% Senior Secured Notes due 2018, dated as of September 30, 2012, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the guarantors party thereto and Regions Bank, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.7 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 filed November 1, 2012 (No. 001-15925))
4.23		Second Supplemental Indenture relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 5.125% Senior Secured Notes due 2018, dated as of March 31, 2013, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the guarantors party thereto and Regions Bank, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 filed April 30, 2013 (No. 001-15925))
4.24		Release of Certain Guarantor relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 5.125% Senior Secured Notes due 2018, dated as of March 31, 2013, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the guarantors party thereto and Regions Bank, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 filed April 30, 2013 (No. 001-15925))
4.25		Third Supplemental Indenture relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 5.125% Senior Secured Notes due 2018, dated as of September 30, 2013, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the guarantors party thereto and Regions Bank, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 filed October 31, 2013 (No. 001-15925))
4.26	*	Fourth Supplemental Indenture relating to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s 5.125% Senior Secured Notes due 2018, dated as of February 12, 2014, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the guarantors party thereto, Regions Bank, as Trustee and Credit Suisse AG, as collateral agent
4.27		Amendment No. 1 and Reaffirmation Agreement, dated as of August 17, 2012, relating to the Amended and Restated Guarantee and Collateral Agreement, dated as of July 25, 2007, as amended and restated as of November 5, 2010, among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., Community Health Systems, Inc., the guarantors party thereto, and Credit Suisse AG, as collateral trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 filed November 1, 2012 (No. 001-15925))

4.28	First Lien Intercreditor Agreement, dated as of August 17, 2012, among Credit Suisse AG, as collateral agent, Credit Suisse AG, as authorized representative, Regions Bank, as Trustee and authorized representative, and the additional authorized representatives party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 filed November 1, 2012 (No. 001-15925))
4.29	Copyright Security Agreement, dated as of August 17, 2012, among Community Health Systems, Inc., CHS Washington Holdings, LLC, Northwest Hospital, LLC, Quorum Health Resources, LLC, and Credit Suisse AG, as collateral agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 filed November 1, 2012 (No. 001-15925))
4.30	Trademark Security Agreement, dated as of August 17, 2012, among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., Blue Island Hospital Company, LLC, CHS Washington Holdings, LLC, Quorum Health Resources, LLC, Triad Healthcare Corporation, Youngstown Ohio Hospital Company, LLC, and Credit Suisse AG, as collateral agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 filed November 1, 2012 (No. 001-15925))
4.31	Secured Indenture, dated as of January 27, 2014, by and among FWCT-2 Escrow Corporation, Regions Bank, as trustee, and Credit Suisse AG, as collateral agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 28, 2014 (No. 001-15925))
4.32	First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of January 27, 2014, to the Secured Indenture, dated as of January 27, 2014, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the guarantors party thereto, Regions Bank, as trustee, and Credit Suisse AG, as collateral agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 28, 2014 (No. 001-15925))
4.33	Unsecured Indenture, dated as of January 27, 2014, by and among FWCT-2 Escrow Corporation and Regions Bank, as trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 28, 2014 (No. 001-15925))
4.34	First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of January 27, 2014, to the Unsecured Indenture, dated as of January 27, 2014, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the guarantors party thereto and Regions Bank, as trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 28, 2014 (No. 001-15925))
4.35	Secured Notes Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of January 27, 2014, by and among FWCT-2 Escrow Corporation, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated and Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, each as a representative of the initial purchasers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 28, 2014 (No. 001-15925))
4.36	Unsecured Notes Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of January 27, 2014, by and among FWCT-2 Escrow Corporation, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated and Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, each as a representative of the initial purchasers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 28, 2014 (No. 001-15925))
4.37	Secured Notes Registration Rights Agreement Joinder, dated as of January 27, 2014, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the subsidiaries party thereto, and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated and Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, each as a representative of the initial purchasers thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.7 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 28, 2014 (No. 001-15925))

4.38	Unsecured Notes Registration Rights Agreement Joinder, dated as of January 27, 2014, by and among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the subsidiaries party thereto, and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated and Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, each as a representative of the initial purchasers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.8 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 28, 2014 (No. 001-15925))
10.1	Amendment and Restatement Agreement, dated as of November 5, 2010, to the Credit Agreement, dated as of July 25, 2007, among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., Community Health Systems, Inc., the subsidiaries of CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc. party thereto, the lenders party thereto and Credit Suisse AG, as Administrative Agent and Collateral Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 9, 2010 (No. 001-15925))
10.2	Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of July 25, 2007, as amended and restated as of November 5, 2010, among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., Community Health Systems, Inc., the lenders party thereto and Credit Suisse AG, as Administrative Agent and Collateral Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 9, 2010 (No. 001-15925))
10.3	Amended and Restated Guarantee and Collateral Agreement, dated as of July 25, 2007, as amended and restated as of November 5, 2010, among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., Community Health Systems, Inc., the subsidiaries of CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc. from time to time party thereto and Credit Suisse AG, as Collateral Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 9, 2010 (No. 001-15925))
10.4	Second Amendment and Restatement Agreement, dated as of February 2, 2012, to the Credit Agreement, dated as of July 25, 2007, as amended and restated as of November 5, 2010, among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., Community Health Systems, Inc., the subsidiaries of CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc. party thereto, the lenders party thereto and Credit Suisse AG, as Administrative Agent and Collateral Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 6, 2012 (No. 001-15925))
10.5	Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of July 25, 2007, as amended and restated as of November 5, 2010 and February 2, 2012, among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., Community Health Systems, Inc., the lenders party thereto and Credit Suisse AG, as Administrative Agent and Collateral Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 6, 2012 (No. 001-15925))
10.6	Replacement Revolving Credit Facility and Incremental Term Loan Assumption Agreement, dated as of March 6, 2012, among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., Community Health Systems, Inc., the subsidiary guarantors party thereto, the lenders party thereto and Credit Suisse AG, as Administrative Agent and Collateral Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 9, 2012 (No. 001-15925))
10.7	Amendment No. 1, dated as of August 3, 2012, to the Credit Agreement, dated as of July 25, 2007, as amended and restated as of November 5, 2010, and February 2, 2012, among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., Community Health Systems, Inc., the lenders party thereto and Credit Suisse AG, as administrative agent and as collateral agent for the Lenders (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed August 6, 2012 (No. 001-15925))
10.8	Loan Modification Agreement, dated as of August 22, 2012, to the Credit Agreement, dated as of July 25, 2007, as amended and restated as of November 5, 2010, and February 2, 2012, and as amended as of August 3, 2012, among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., Community Health Systems, Inc., the guarantors party thereto, the lenders party thereto and Credit Suisse AG, as administrative agent and as collateral agent for the Lenders (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed August 22, 2012 (No. 001-15925))

10.9	Amendment No. 2, dated as of November 27, 2012, to the Credit Agreement, dated as of July 25, 2007, as amended and restated as of November 5, 2010 and February 2, 2012, and as amended as of August 3, 2012, among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., Community Health Systems, Inc., the lenders party thereto and Credit Suisse AG, as administrative agent and as collateral agent for the lenders (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 28, 2012 (No. 001-15925))
10.10	Amendment No. 3, dated as of August 12, 2013, to the Credit Agreement, dated as of July 25, 2007, as amended and restated as of November 5, 2010 and February 2, 2012, and as amended as of August 3, 2012 and November 27, 2012, among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., Community Health Systems, Inc., the lenders party thereto and Credit Suisse AG, as administrative agent and as collateral agent for the lenders (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed August 13, 2013 (No. 001-15925))
10.11	Third Amendment and Restatement Agreement, dated as of January 27, 2014, to the Credit Agreement dated as of July 25, 2007, as amended and restated as of November 5, 2010 and as of February 2, 2012, among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., Community Health Systems, Inc., the subsidiaries of CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc. party thereto, the lenders party thereto and Credit Suisse AG, as administrative agent and collateral agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 28, 2014 (No. 001-15925))
10.12	Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of January 27, 2014, to the Credit Agreement dated as of July 25, 2007, as amended and restated as of November 5, 2010 and as of February 2, 2012, among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., Community Health Systems, Inc., the subsidiaries of CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc. party thereto, the lenders party thereto and Credit Suisse AG, as administrative agent and collateral agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 28, 2014 (No. 001-15925))
10.13	Contingent Value Rights Agreement, dated as of January 27, 2014, by and between Community Health Systems, Inc. and American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC, as trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 28, 2014 (No. 001-15925))
10.14	Receivables Sale Agreement, dated as of March 21, 2012, among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the originators party thereto and Community Health Systems Professional Services Corporation, as Collection Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 23, 2012 (No. 001-15925))
10.15	Receivables Purchase and Contribution Agreement, dated as of March 21, 2012, among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., CHS Receivables Funding, LLC and Community Health Systems Professional Services Corporation, as Collection Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 23, 2012 (No. 001-15925))
10.16	Receivables Loan Agreement, dated as of March 21, 2012, among CHS Receivables Funding, LLC, the lenders party thereto, the managing agents party thereto, Credit Agricole Corporate and Investment Bank, as Administrative Agent, and Community Health Systems Professional Services Corporation, as Collection Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 23, 2012 (No. 001-15925))

10.17		First Omnibus Amendment, dated July 30, 2012, to the Receivables Sale Agreement among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the originators party thereto and Community Health Systems Professional Services Corporation, as Collection Agent, to the Receivables Purchase and Contribution Agreement among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., CHS Receivables Funding, LLC and Community Health Systems Professional Services Corporation, as Collection Agent, and to the Receivables Loan Agreement among CHS Receivables Funding, LLC, the lenders party thereto, the managing agents party thereto, Credit Agricole Corporate and Investment Bank, as Administrative Agent, and Community Health Systems Professional Services Corporation, as Collection Agent, all dated as of March 21, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 filed November 1, 2012 (No. 001-15925))
10.18		Second Omnibus Amendment, dated March 7, 2013, to the Receivables Sale Agreement among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., the originators party thereto and Community Health Systems Professional Services Corporation, as Collection Agent, to the Receivables Purchase and Contribution Agreement among CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., CHS Receivables Funding, LLC and Community Health Systems Professional Services Corporation, as Collection Agent, and to the Receivables Loan Agreement among CHS Receivables Funding, LLC, the lenders party thereto, the managing agents party thereto, Credit Agricole Corporate and Investment Bank, as Administrative Agent, and Community Health Systems Professional Services Corporation, as Collection Agent, all dated as of March 21, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 8, 2013 (No. 001-15925))
10.19	†	Form of Indemnification Agreement between Community Health Systems, Inc. and its directors and executive officers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to Amendment No. 2 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Registration Statement on Form S-1/A filed May 2, 2000 (No. 333-31790))
10.20	†	CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc. Amended and Restated Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 filed February 27, 2009 (No. 001-15925))
10.21	†	Amendment No. 1, dated as of September 13, 2011, to the CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc. Amended and Restated Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, as amended and restated on January 1, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 filed October 28, 2011 (No. 001-15925))
10.22	†	Community Health Systems Supplemental Executive Benefits (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 filed February 27, 2009 (No. 001-15925))
10.23	†	Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan Trust, dated June 1, 2005, by and between CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc., as grantor, and Wachovia Bank, N.A., as trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed June 1, 2005 (No. 001-15925))
10.24	†	CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan, amended and restated effective January 1, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 filed February 27, 2009 (No. 001-15925))
10.25	* †	CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan, amended and restated effective January 1, 2014
10.26	†	Community Health Systems Deferred Compensation Plan Trust, amended and restated effective February 26, 1999 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002 filed March 27, 2003 (No. 001-15925))
10.27	†	CHS NQDCP, effective as of September 1, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed December 11, 2009 (No. 333-163691))

10.28	†	CHS NQDCP Adoption Agreement, executed as of August 11, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed December 11, 2009 (No. 333-163691))
10.29	†	Guarantee, dated December 9, 2009, made by Community Health Systems, Inc. in favor of CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc. with respect to CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.'s payment obligations under the CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan and the NQDCP (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed December 11, 2009 (No. 333-163691))
10.30	†	Community Health Systems, Inc. 2004 Employee Performance Incentive Plan, as amended and restated on March 24, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 filed July 31, 2009 (No. 001-15925))
10.31	†	Amendment No. 1, dated as of December 8, 2010, to the Community Health Systems, Inc. 2004 Employee Performance Incentive Plan, as amended and restated on March 24, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 filed February 25, 2011 (No. 001-15925))
10.32	†	Community Health Systems, Inc. Directors' Fees Deferral Plan, as amended and restated on December 10, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 filed February 27, 2009 (No. 001-15925))
10.33	†	Community Health Systems, Inc. 2000 Stock Option and Award Plan, as amended and restated on March 20, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 filed July 30, 2013 (No. 001-15925))
10.34	†	Form of Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement (Employee) for Community Health Systems, Inc. 2000 Stock Option and Award Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 filed February 26, 2010 (No. 001-15925))
10.35	†	Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement for Community Health Systems, Inc. 2000 Stock Option and Award Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 filed February 27, 2009 (No. 001-15925))
10.36	†	Form of Performance Based Restricted Stock Award Agreement for Community Health Systems, Inc. 2000 Stock Option and Award Plan (Most Highly Compensated Executive Officers) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 filed February 27, 2009 (No. 001-15925))
10.37	†	Form of Director Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement for Community Health Systems, Inc. 2000 Stock Option and Award Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 filed February 26, 2010 (No. 001-15925))
10.38	†	Community Health Systems, Inc. 2009 Stock Option and Award Plan, as amended and restated on March 20, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Annex A to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Definitive Proxy Statement on Form 14A filed April 5, 2013)
10.39	* †	Form of Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement (Employee) for Community Health Systems, Inc. 2009 Stock Option and Award Plan, as amended and restated on March 20, 2013

10.40	†	Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement for Community Health Systems, Inc. 2009 Stock Option and Award Plan, as amended and restated on March 20, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 filed July 31, 2013 (No. 001-15925))
10.41	†	Form of Performance Based Restricted Stock Award Agreement (Most Highly Compensated Executive Officers) for Community Health Systems, Inc. 2009 Stock Option and Award Plan, as amended and restated on March 20, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 filed July 30, 2013 (No. 001-15925))
10.42	†	Form of Director Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement for Community Health Systems, Inc. 2009 Stock Option and Award Plan, as amended and restated on March 20, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 filed July 31, 2013 (No. 001-15925))
10.43	†	Form of Amended and Restated Change in Control Severance Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 filed February 27, 2009 (No. 001-15925))
10.44		Participation Agreement entered into as of January 1, 2005, by and between Community Health Systems Professional Services Corporation and HealthTrust Purchasing Group, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Community Health Systems, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 7, 2005 (No. 001-15925))
12	*	Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges
21	*	List of Subsidiaries
23.1	*	Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP
31.1	*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	*	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	*	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101.INS		XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH		XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
101.CAL		XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
101.DEF		XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase
101.LAB		XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
101.PRE		XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

* Filed herewith.

† Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

Exhibit 4.12

Execution Copy

SEVENTH SUPPLEMENTAL INDENTURE (this "**Supplemental Indenture**"), dated as of February 12, 2014, among CHS/COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC., a Delaware corporation (the "**Issuer**"), each of the parties identified as a New Subsidiary Guarantor on the signature pages hereto (each, a "**New Subsidiary Guarantor**" and collectively, the **"New Subsidiary Guarantors"**) and REGIONS BANK, as successor Trustee under the Indenture (the "**Trustee**").

W I T N E S S E T H:

WHEREAS, each of the Issuer, the Guarantors and the Trustee have heretofore executed and delivered an Indenture as amended, supplemented, waived or otherwise modified (the "**Indenture**"), dated as of November 22, 2011, providing for the issuance of the 8.000% Senior Notes due 2019 (the "**Securities**");

WHEREAS, each of the undersigned New Subsidiary Guarantors has deemed it advisable and in its best interest to execute and deliver this Supplemental Indenture, and to become a New Subsidiary Guarantor under the Indenture; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 9.01(4) of the Indenture, the Trustee, the Issuer and the New Subsidiary Guarantors are authorized to execute and deliver this Supplemental Indenture.

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing and for good and valuable consideration, the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, the Issuer, the New Subsidiary Guarantors and the Trustee mutually covenant and agree for the equal and ratable benefit of the Holders of the Securities as follows:

SECTION 1. Capitalized Terms. Capitalized terms used herein but not defined shall have the meanings assigned to them in the Indenture.

SECTION 2. Guaranties. Each New Subsidiary Guarantor hereby agrees to guarantee the Issuer's obligations under the Securities on the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in Article 10 of the Indenture and to be bound by all other applicable provisions of the Indenture as a Subsidiary Guarantor.

SECTION 3. Ratification of Indenture; Supplemental Indentures Part of Indenture. Except as expressly amended hereby, the Indenture is in all respects ratified and confirmed and all the terms, conditions and provisions thereof shall remain in full force and effect. This Supplemental Indenture shall form a part of the Indenture for all purposes, shall inure to the benefit of the Trustee and every Holder of Securities heretofore or hereafter authenticated and the Issuer, the Trustee and every Holder of Securities heretofore or hereafter authenticated and delivered shall be bound hereby.

SECTION 4. Governing Law. **THIS SUPPLEMENTAL INDENTURE SHALL BE GOVERNED BY, AND CONSTRUED IN ACCORDANCE WITH, THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK.**

SECTION 5. Trustee Makes No Representation. The Trustee makes no representation as to the validity or sufficiency of this Supplemental Indenture.

SECTION 6. Counterparts. The parties may sign any number of copies of this Supplemental Indenture. Each signed copy shall be an original, but all of them together represent the same agreement. The exchange of copies of this Supplemental Indenture and of signature pages by facsimile or other electronic transmission shall constitute effective execution and delivery of this Supplemental Indenture as to the parties hereto and may be used in lieu of the original Supplemental Indenture for all purposes. Signatures of the parties hereto transmitted by facsimile or other electronic transmission shall be deemed to be their original signatures for all purposes.

SECTION 7. Effect of Headings. The Section headings herein are for convenience only and shall not effect the construction of this Supplemental Indenture.

[Signature page follows]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have caused this Supplemental Indenture to be duly executed as of this 12th day of February, 2014.

CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.,
a Delaware corporation

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President, Secretary & General Counsel

Armory HMA, LLC,
a Mississippi limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Bartow HMA, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Biloxi H.M.A., LLC,
a Mississippi limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Brandon HMA, LLC,
a Mississippi limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Brevard HMA Holdings, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Brevard HMA Hospitals, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Campbell County HMA, LLC,
a Tennessee limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Carlisle HMA, LLC,
a Pennsylvania limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Carolinas JV Holdings, L.P.,
a Delaware limited partnership

By: Carolinas JV Holdings General, LLC, its general partner

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Carolinas JV Holdings General, LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Central Florida HMA Holdings, LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Central States HMA Holdings, LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Chester HMA, LLC,
a South Carolina limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Citrus HMA, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Clarksdale HMA, LLC,
a Mississippi limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Cocke County HMA, LLC,
a Tennessee limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Florida HMA Holdings, LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Fort Smith HMA, LLC,
an Arkansas limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Hamlet H.M.A., LLC,
a North Carolina limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Health Management Associates, Inc.,
a Delaware corporation

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Health Management General Partner, LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Health Management Associates, LP,
a Delaware limited partnership

By: Health Management General Partner, LLC, its general partner

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

HMA Fentress County General Hospital, LLC,
a Tennessee limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

HMA Hospitals Holdings, LP,
a Delaware limited partnership

By: Health Management General Partner, LLC, its general partner

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

HMA Santa Rosa Medical Center, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Hospital Management Associates, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Jackson HMA, LLC,
a Mississippi limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Jefferson County HMA, LLC,
a Tennessee limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Kennett HMA, LLC,
a Missouri limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Key West HMA, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Knoxville HMA Holdings, LLC,
a Tennessee limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Lehigh HMA, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Lone Star HMA, L.P.,
a Delaware limited partnership

By: Mesquite HMA General, LLC, its general partner

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Madison HMA, LLC,
a Mississippi limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Melbourne HMA, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Mesquite HMA General, LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Metro Knoxville HMA, LLC,
a Tennessee limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Mississippi HMA Holdings I, LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Mississippi HMA Holdings II, LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Monroe HMA, LLC,
a Georgia limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Naples HMA, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Poplar Bluff Regional Medical Center, LLC,
a Missouri limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Port Charlotte HMA, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Punta Gorda HMA, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

River Oaks Hospital, LLC,
a Mississippi limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Rockledge HMA, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

ROH, LLC,
a Mississippi limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Sebastian Hospital, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Sebring Hospital Management Associates, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Southeast HMA Holdings, LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Southwest Florida HMA Holdings, LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Statesville HMA, LLC,
a North Carolina limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

VAN BUREN H.M.A., LLC,
an Arkansas limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Venice HMA, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Winder HMA, LLC,
a Georgia limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Yakima HMA, LLC,
a Washington limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Regions Bank, as Trustee

By: /s/ Paul Williams

Paul Williams

Vice President

Exhibit 4.19

FOURTH SUPPLEMENTAL INDENTURE (this "**Supplemental Indenture**"), dated as of February 12, 2014, among CHS/COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC., a Delaware corporation (the "**Issuer**"), each of the parties identified as a New Subsidiary Guarantor on the signature pages hereto (each, a "**New Subsidiary Guarantor**" and collectively, the **"New Subsidiary Guarantors"**) and REGIONS BANK, as Trustee under the Indenture (the "**Trustee**").

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, each of the Issuer, the Guarantors and the Trustee have heretofore executed and delivered an Indenture as amended, supplemented, waived or otherwise modified (the "**Indenture**"), dated as of July 18, 2012, providing for the issuance of the 7.125% Senior Notes due 2020 (the "**Securities**");

WHEREAS, each of the undersigned New Subsidiary Guarantors has deemed it advisable and in its best interest to execute and deliver this Supplemental Indenture, and to become a New Subsidiary Guarantor under the Indenture; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 9.01(4) of the Indenture, the Trustee, the Issuer and the New Subsidiary Guarantors are authorized to execute and deliver this Supplemental Indenture.

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing and for good and valuable consideration, the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, the Issuer, the New Subsidiary Guarantors and the Trustee mutually covenant and agree for the equal and ratable benefit of the Holders of the Securities as follows:

SECTION 1. Capitalized Terms. Capitalized terms used herein but not defined shall have the meanings assigned to them in the Indenture.

SECTION 2. Guaranties. Each New Subsidiary Guarantor hereby agrees to guarantee the Issuer's obligations under the Securities on the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in Article 10 of the Indenture and to be bound by all other applicable provisions of the Indenture as a Subsidiary Guarantor.

SECTION 3. Ratification of Indenture; Supplemental Indentures Part of Indenture. Except as expressly amended hereby, the Indenture is in all respects ratified and confirmed and all the terms, conditions and provisions thereof shall remain in full force and effect. This Supplemental Indenture shall form a part of the Indenture for all purposes, shall inure to the benefit of the Trustee and every Holder of Securities heretofore or hereafter authenticated and the Issuer, the Trustee and every Holder of Securities heretofore or hereafter authenticated and delivered shall be bound hereby.

SECTION 4. Governing Law. **THIS SUPPLEMENTAL INDENTURE SHALL BE GOVERNED BY, AND CONSTRUED IN ACCORDANCE WITH, THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK.**

SECTION 5. Trustee Makes No Representation. The Trustee makes no representation as to the validity or sufficiency of this Supplemental Indenture.

SECTION 6. Counterparts. The parties may sign any number of copies of this Supplemental Indenture. Each signed copy shall be an original, but all of them together represent the same agreement. The exchange of copies of this Supplemental Indenture and of signature pages by facsimile or other electronic transmission shall constitute effective execution and delivery of this Supplemental Indenture as to the parties hereto and may be used in lieu of the original Supplemental Indenture for all purposes. Signatures of the parties hereto transmitted by facsimile or other electronic transmission shall be deemed to be their original signatures for all purposes.

SECTION 7. Effect of Headings. The Section headings herein are for convenience only and shall not effect the construction of this Supplemental Indenture.

[Signature page follows]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have caused this Supplemental Indenture to be duly executed as of this 12th day of February, 2014.

CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.,
a Delaware corporation

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President, Secretary & General Counsel

Armory HMA, LLC,
a Mississippi limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Bartow HMA, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Biloxi H.M.A., LLC,
a Mississippi limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Brandon HMA, LLC,
a Mississippi limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Brevard HMA Holdings, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Brevard HMA Hospitals, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Campbell County HMA, LLC,
a Tennessee limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Carlisle HMA, LLC,
a Pennsylvania limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Carolinas JV Holdings, L.P.,
a Delaware limited partnership

By: Carolinas JV Holdings General, LLC, its general partner

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Carolinas JV Holdings General, LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Central Florida HMA Holdings, LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Central States HMA Holdings, LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Chester HMA, LLC,
a South Carolina limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Citrus HMA, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Clarksdale HMA, LLC,
a Mississippi limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Cocke County HMA, LLC,
a Tennessee limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Florida HMA Holdings, LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Fort Smith HMA, LLC,
an Arkansas limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Hamlet H.M.A., LLC,
a North Carolina limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Health Management Associates, Inc.,
a Delaware corporation

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Health Management General Partner, LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Health Management Associates, LP,
a Delaware limited partnership

By: Health Management General Partner, LLC, its general partner

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

HMA Fentress County General Hospital, LLC,
a Tennessee limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

HMA Hospitals Holdings, LP,
a Delaware limited partnership

By: Health Management General Partner, LLC, its general partner

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

HMA Santa Rosa Medical Center, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Hospital Management Associates, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Jackson HMA, LLC,
a Mississippi limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Jefferson County HMA, LLC,
a Tennessee limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Kennett HMA, LLC,
a Missouri limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Key West HMA, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Knoxville HMA Holdings, LLC,
a Tennessee limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Lehigh HMA, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Lone Star HMA, L.P.,
a Delaware limited partnership

By: Mesquite HMA General, LLC, its general partner

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Madison HMA, LLC,
a Mississippi limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Melbourne HMA, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Mesquite HMA General, LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Metro Knoxville HMA, LLC,
a Tennessee limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Mississippi HMA Holdings I, LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Mississippi HMA Holdings II, LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Monroe HMA, LLC,
a Georgia limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Naples HMA, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Poplar Bluff Regional Medical Center, LLC,
a Missouri limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Port Charlotte HMA, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Punta Gorda HMA, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

River Oaks Hospital, LLC,
a Mississippi limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Rockledge HMA, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

ROH, LLC,
a Mississippi limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Sebastian Hospital, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Sebring Hospital Management Associates, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Southeast HMA Holdings, LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Southwest Florida HMA Holdings, LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Statesville HMA, LLC,
a North Carolina limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

VAN BUREN H.M.A., LLC,
an Arkansas limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Venice HMA, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Winder HMA, LLC,
a Georgia limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Yakima HMA, LLC,
a Washington limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Regions Bank, as Trustee

By: /s/ Paul Williams

Paul Williams

Vice President

Exhibit 4.26

FOURTH SUPPLEMENTAL INDENTURE (this "**Supplemental Indenture**"), dated as of February 12, 2014, among CHS/COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC., a Delaware corporation (the "**Issuer**"), each of the parties identified as a New Subsidiary Guarantor on the signature pages hereto (each, a "**New Subsidiary Guarantor**" and collectively, the **"New Subsidiary Guarantors"**), REGIONS BANK, as Trustee under the Indenture (the "**Trustee**") and Credit Suisse AG (the **"Collateral Agent"**).

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, each of the Issuer, the Guarantors and the Trustee have heretofore executed and delivered to the Trustee an Indenture as amended, supplemented, waived or otherwise modified (the "**Indenture**"), dated as of August 17, 2012, providing for the issuance of the 5.125% Senior Secured Notes due 2018 (the "**Securities**");

WHEREAS, each of the undersigned New Subsidiary Guarantors has deemed it advisable and in its best interest to execute and deliver this Supplemental Indenture, and to become a New Subsidiary Guarantor under the Indenture; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 9.01(4) of the Indenture, the Trustee, the Collateral Agent, the Issuer and the New Subsidiary Guarantors are authorized to execute and deliver this Supplemental Indenture.

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing and for good and valuable consideration, the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, the Issuer, the New Subsidiary Guarantors and the Trustee mutually covenant and agree for the equal and ratable benefit of the Holders of the Securities as follows:

SECTION 1. Capitalized Terms. Capitalized terms used herein but not defined shall have the meanings assigned to them in the Indenture.

SECTION 2. Guaranties. Each New Subsidiary Guarantor hereby agrees to guarantee the Issuer's obligations under the Securities on the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in Article 10 of the Indenture and to be bound by all other applicable provisions of the Indenture as a Subsidiary Guarantor.

SECTION 3. Ratification of Indenture; Supplemental Indentures Part of Indenture. Except as expressly amended hereby, the Indenture is in all respects ratified and confirmed and all the terms, conditions and provisions thereof shall remain in full force and effect. This Supplemental Indenture shall form a part of the Indenture for all purposes, shall inure to the benefit of the Trustee and every Holder of Securities heretofore or hereafter authenticated and the Issuer, the Trustee and every Holder of Securities heretofore or hereafter authenticated and delivered shall be bound hereby.

SECTION 4. Governing Law. **THIS SUPPLEMENTAL INDENTURE SHALL BE GOVERNED BY, AND CONSTRUED IN ACCORDANCE WITH, THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK.**

SECTION 5. Trustee Makes No Representation. The Trustee makes no representation as to the validity or sufficiency of this Supplemental Indenture.

SECTION 6. Counterparts. The parties may sign any number of copies of this Supplemental Indenture. Each signed copy shall be an original, but all of them together represent the same agreement. The exchange of copies of this Supplemental Indenture and of signature pages by facsimile or other electronic transmission shall constitute effective execution and delivery of this Supplemental Indenture as to the parties hereto and may be used in lieu of the original Supplemental Indenture for all purposes. Signatures of the parties hereto transmitted by facsimile or other electronic transmission shall be deemed to be their original signatures for all purposes.

SECTION 7. Benefits Acknowledged. Each New Subsidiary Guarantor's Note Guarantee is subject to the terms and conditions set forth in the Indenture. Each New Subsidiary Guarantor acknowledges that

it will receive direct and indirect benefits from the financing arrangements contemplated by the Indenture and this Supplemental Indenture and that the guarantee and waivers made by it pursuant to its Note Guarantee are knowingly made in contemplation of such benefits.

SECTION 8. Effect of Headings. The Section headings herein are for convenience only and shall not effect the construction of this Supplemental Indenture.

[Signature page follows]

Brevard HMA Hospitals, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Campbell County HMA, LLC,
a Tennessee limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Carlisle HMA, LLC,
a Pennsylvania limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Carolinas JV Holdings, L.P.,
a Delaware limited partnership

By: Carolinas JV Holdings General, LLC, its general partner

By: /s/Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Carolinas JV Holdings General, LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Central Florida HMA Holdings, LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Central States HMA Holdings, LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Chester HMA, LLC,
a South Carolina limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Citrus HMA, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Clarksdale HMA, LLC,
a Mississippi limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Cocke County HMA, LLC,
a Tennessee limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Florida HMA Holdings, LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Fort Smith HMA, LLC,
an Arkansas limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Hamlet H.M.A., LLC,
a North Carolina limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Health Management Associates, Inc.,
a Delaware corporation

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Health Management General Partner, LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Health Management Associates, LP,
a Delaware limited partnership

By: Health Management General Partner, LLC, its general partner

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

HMA Fentress County General Hospital, LLC,
a Tennessee limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

HMA Hospitals Holdings, LP,
a Delaware limited partnership

By: Health Management General Partner, LLC, its general partner

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

HMA Santa Rosa Medical Center, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Hospital Management Associates, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Jackson HMA, LLC,
a Mississippi limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Jefferson County HMA, LLC,
a Tennessee limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Kennett HMA, LLC,
a Missouri limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Key West HMA, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Knoxville HMA Holdings, LLC,
a Tennessee limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Lehigh HMA, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Lone Star HMA, L.P.,
a Delaware limited partnership

By: Mesquite HMA General, LLC, its general partner

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Madison HMA, LLC,
a Mississippi limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Melbourne HMA, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Mesquite HMA General, LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Metro Knoxville HMA, LLC,
a Tennessee limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Mississippi HMA Holdings I, LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Mississippi HMA Holdings II, LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Monroe HMA, LLC,
a Georgia limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Naples HMA, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Poplar Bluff Regional Medical Center, LLC,
a Missouri limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Port Charlotte HMA, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Punta Gorda HMA, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

River Oaks Hospital, LLC,
a Mississippi limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Rockledge HMA, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

ROH, LLC,
a Mississippi limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Sebastian Hospital, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Sebring Hospital Management Associates, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Southeast HMA Holdings, LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Southwest Florida HMA Holdings, LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Statesville HMA, LLC,
a North Carolina limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

VAN BUREN H.M.A., LLC,
an Arkansas limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Venice HMA, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Winder HMA, LLC,
a Georgia limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Yakima HMA, LLC,
a Washington limited liability company

By: /s/ Rachel A. Seifert
Rachel A. Seifert
Executive Vice President and Secretary

Regions Bank, as Trustee

By: /s/ Paul Williams

Paul Williams

Vice President

Credit Suisse AG, as Collateral Agent

By: /s/ Michael Spaight
Michael Spaight
Authorized Signatory

By: /s/ Ryan Long
Ryan Long
Authorized Signatory

Exhibit 10.25

CHS/COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC.

DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN

(As Amended and Restated Effective as of January 1, 2014)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
ARTICLE I	DEFINITIONS AND CONSTRUCTION	1
ARTICLE II	ADMINISTRATION	6
ARTICLE III	PARTICIPATION	6
ARTICLE IV	BENEFITS	7
ARTICLE V	VESTING	8
ARTICLE VI	TRUST	8
ARTICLE VII	PAYMENT OF BENEFITS	9
ARTICLE VIII	HARDSHIP DISTRIBUTIONS	11
ARTICLE IX	CHANGE IN CONTROL	12
ARTICLE X	NATURE OF THE PLAN	12
ARTICLE XI	EMPLOYMENT RELATIONSHIP	12
ARTICLE XII	AMENDMENT AND TERMINATION	13
ARTICLE XIII	CLAIMS PROCEDURE	13
ARTICLE XIV	MISCELLANEOUS	14
EXHIBIT A	PRE-2005 PLAN DOCUMENT	16

CHS/COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC.
DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN

W I T N E S S E T H:

WHEREAS, CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc. (the "Company") has previously established and currently maintains the CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan (the "Plan"); and

WHEREAS, the Company amended and restated the Plan in order to establish a deferred compensation arrangement under the Plan for deferrals made on or after January 1, 2005, in compliance with Internal Revenue Code Section 409A and the guidance related thereto; and

WHEREAS, the Company amended and restated the Plan, effective as of January 1, 2008, to incorporate required provisions for compliance with Code Section 409A and the final Treasury regulations promulgated thereunder and to make certain other changes; and

WHEREAS, the Company wishes to amend and restate the Plan to clarify certain provisions and to make certain other changes;

NOW, THEREFORE, the Plan is hereby amended and restated, effective as of January 1, 2014, except as otherwise provided herein, as follows:

ARTICLE I

Definitions and Construction

1.1 Definitions. Where the following words and phrases appear in the Plan, they shall have the respective meanings set forth below, unless their context clearly indicates to the contrary:

(1) Account: An account shall be established for a Member that is credited with amounts determined pursuant to Sections 4.1 and 4.2 of the Plan. As of any Determination Date, a Member's benefit under the Plan shall be equal to the amount credited to his Account as of such date. If a Member has made an election to defer a portion of his Compensation until a specified date pursuant to Section 3.4, the account described herein shall consist of such subaccounts as are necessary to segregate such deferral from the other amounts deferred by the Member.

(2) Affiliate: Any subsidiary of Community Health Systems, Inc., the corporate parent of the Company.

(3) Bonus: A bonus paid by the Company or an Affiliate to a Member for services rendered or labor performed while a Member during a Plan Year other than an Incentive Compensation Bonus.

(4) Bonuses: A Bonus or an Incentive Compensation Bonus.

(5) Change in Control: The occurrence of any of the following events, but only to the extent such event would constitute a change in the ownership or effective control of CHS, or in the ownership of a substantial portion of the assets of CHS, as set forth in Code Section 409A(a)(2)(A)(v) and defined in regulations promulgated by the U.S. Department of Treasury thereunder:

(a) An acquisition (other than directly from CHS) of any voting securities of CHS ("Voting Securities") by any Person (as the term person is used for purposes of Section 13(d) or 14(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended ("Exchange Act")) immediately after which such Person has Beneficial Ownership (within the meaning of Rule 13d-3 promulgated under the Exchange Act) of more than 50% of the then-outstanding shares of Common Stock of CHS ("Shares") or the combined voting power of CHS' then-outstanding Voting Securities; provided, however, in determining whether a Change in Control has occurred pursuant to this Section 2.1(f)(1), Shares or Voting Securities which are acquired in a Non-Control Acquisition (as hereinafter defined) shall not constitute an acquisition that would cause a Change in Control. A "Non-Control Acquisition" shall mean an acquisition by (i) an employee benefit plan (or a trust forming a part thereof) maintained by the Company or any Subsidiary, (ii) CHS or any Subsidiary, or (iii) any Person in connection with a Non-Control Transaction (as hereinafter defined);

(b) The individuals who, as of the date hereof, are members of the Board of CHS ("Incumbent Board"), cease for any reason to constitute at least a majority of the members of the Board of CHS or, following a Merger (as hereinafter defined) that results in CHS having a Parent Corporation (as hereinafter defined), the board of directors of the ultimate Parent Corporation; provided, however, that if the election, or nomination for election, by the CHS common stockholders, of any new director was approved by a vote of at least two-thirds of the Incumbent Board of CHS, such new director shall, for purposes of the Plan, be considered as a member of the Incumbent Board of CHS; provided further, however, that no individual shall be considered a member of the Incumbent Board of CHS if such individual initially assumed office as a result of either an actual or threatened Election Contest (as described in Rule 14a-11 promulgated under the Exchange Act) or other actual or threatened solicitation of proxies or consents by or on behalf of a Person other than the Board of CHS ("Proxy Contest"), including by reason of any agreement intended to avoid or settle any Election Contest or Proxy Contest; or

(c) The consummation of:

(1) A merger, consolidation or reorganization with or into the Company or in which securities of the Company are issued ("Merger"), unless such Merger, is a Non-Control Transaction. A Non-Control Transaction shall mean a Merger where:

(A) the stockholders of CHS immediately before such Merger own, directly or indirectly, immediately following such Merger, at least 50% of the combined voting power of the outstanding voting securities of (x) the corporation resulting from such Merger ("Surviving Corporation"), if 50%

or more of the combined voting power of the then outstanding voting securities of the Surviving Corporation is not Beneficially Owned, directly or indirectly, by another Person ("Parent Corporation"), or (y) if there are one or more Parent Corporations, the ultimate Parent Corporation; and

(B) the individuals who were members of the Incumbent Board of CHS immediately prior to the execution of the agreement providing for such Merger, constitute at least a majority of the members of the board of directors of (x) the Surviving Corporation, if there is no Parent Corporation, or (y) if there are one or more Parent Corporations, the ultimate Parent Corporation.

(2) A complete liquidation or dissolution of CHS; or

(3) The sale or other disposition of all, or substantially all, of the assets of CHS to any Person (other than a transfer to a Subsidiary or under conditions that would constitute a Non-Control Transaction with the disposition of assets being regarded as a Merger for this purpose or the distribution to the CHS' stockholders of the stock of a Subsidiary or any other assets).

Notwithstanding the foregoing, a Change in Control shall not be deemed to occur solely because any Person ("Subject Person") acquired Beneficial Ownership of more than the permitted amount of the then-outstanding Shares or Voting Securities as a result of the acquisition of Shares or Voting Securities by CHS which, by reducing the number of Shares or Voting Securities then-outstanding, increases the proportional number of shares Beneficially Owned by the Subject Person, provided that if a Change in Control would occur (but for the operation of this sentence) as a result of the acquisition of Shares or Voting Securities by CHS, and after such share acquisition by CHS the Subject Person becomes the Beneficial Owner of any additional Shares or Voting Securities which increases the percentage of the then-outstanding Shares or Voting Securities Beneficially Owned by the Subject Person, then a Change in Control shall occur.

(6) CHS: Community Health Systems, Inc., a Delaware corporation.

(7) Code: The Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

(8) Committee: The administrative committee appointed by the Company to administer the Plan, if any, which committee shall consist of the same persons designated by the Company pursuant to the terms of the Retirement Plan to act on behalf of the Company.

(9) Company: CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.

(10) Company Matching Contributions: Contributions made to the Retirement Plan by the Company or an Affiliate on a Member's behalf pursuant to Section 4.1(b) of the Retirement Plan or otherwise as provided for therein.

(11) Compensation: The total base salary paid by the Company or an Affiliate during the Plan Year to or for the benefit of a Member for services rendered or labor performed while a Member as determined by the Company in its sole discretion.

(12) Contributing Member: A Member who, for a Plan Year, made a deferral election pursuant to Section 3.2, Section 3.3 and/or Section 3.4.

(13) Determination Date. The last day of the Plan Year, or such other dates as established by the plan administrator.

(14) ERISA: Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended.

(15) Incentive Compensation Bonus: Performance-based compensation, as such term is defined under Code Section 409A and the regulations promulgated thereunder, paid by the Company or an Affiliate to a Member for services rendered or labor performed while a Member during the entire Plan Year.

(16) Investment(s): Any investment fund(s) offered through the Trustee or its affiliates.

(17) Investment Gains or Losses: Actual gains or losses realized from investments applied to a Member's Account as of each Determination Date pursuant to Section 4.1 of the Plan, after deducting applicable investment-related costs and expenses, if any. For the Determination Date, such Member's Account may be reduced or increased for an amount equal to the Federal or state income taxes that the Company is required to pay or expects to realize in relation to such investment(s)' taxable gain or loss realized during such year.

(18) Limitations: Benefit limitations imposed on the Retirement Plan under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended, and under sections 401(a)(17), 401(k)(3), 401(m)(2), 402(g) and 415 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

(19) Member: Any employee of the Company or an Affiliate who has been designated by the Committee as a Member of the Plan until such employee ceases to be a Member in accordance with Section 3.1 of the Plan.

(20) Plan: CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended from time to time.

(21) Plan Year: The twelve-consecutive month period commencing January 1 and ending December 31 of each year.

(22) Retirement: Separation from Service with the Company on or after attainment of age fifty-five (55) with at least five (5) Years of Service, as that term is defined in the Retirement Plan.

(23) Retirement Plan: CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc. Retirement Savings Plan, or any successor plan.

(24) Separation from Service: The termination of employment with the Company, as set forth in Code Section 409A(a)(2)(A)(i) and defined in regulations promulgated by the U.S. Department of Treasury thereunder, provided that no separation from service shall occur while a Member is on military leave, sick leave, or other bona fide leave of absence not extending beyond six months, or, if longer, so long as the Member's right to reemployment is provided either by statute or by contract. If a period of leave exceeds six months and the Member's right to reemployment is not provided either by statute or contract, for the purposes of the Plan, the employment relationship is deemed to terminate on the first date immediately following such six-month period; provided, however, that a Member shall not be deemed to have Separated from Service on account of a leave of absence until the first date immediately following the end of a 29-month period of leave (if the employment relationship is not terminated sooner) where such leave is due to any medically determinable physical or mental impairment that can be expected to result in death or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than six months and where such impairment causes the Member to be unable to perform the duties of his or her position of employment or any substantially similar position of employment.

(25) Specified Employee: A key employee, as defined in Code Section 416(i) without regard to Section 416(i)(5), of an employer any stock of which is publicly traded on an established securities market or otherwise. The identification date for determining a key employee shall be December 31. For the purposes of this definition, the term employer shall refer to the entity for whom the services are performed by the Specified Employee and with respect to whom the legally binding right to compensation arises together with and all entities with whom such entity would be considered a single employer under Code Section 414(b) or Code Section 414(c).

(26) SSP: CHS 401(k) Supplemental Savings Plan.

(27) Trust Agreement: The agreement entered into between the Company and the Trustee establishing a trust to hold and invest contributions made by the Company under the Plan and from which all or a portion of the amounts payable under the Plan to Members and their beneficiaries will be distributed.

(28) Trust Assets: All assets held by the Trustee under the Trust Agreement.

(29) Trustee: The trustee or trustees qualified and acting under the Trust Agreement at any time.

1.2 Number and Gender. Wherever appropriate herein, words used in the singular shall be considered to include the plural and the plural to include the singular. Wherever appropriate herein, the masculine gender, where appearing in this Plan, shall be deemed to include the feminine gender and vice versa.

1.3 Headings. The headings of Articles and Sections herein are included solely for convenience, and, if there is any conflict between such headings and the text of the Plan, the text shall control.

ARTICLE II

Administration

The Plan shall be administered by the Committee, which shall be authorized, subject to the provisions of the Plan, to establish rules and regulations and make such interpretations and determinations as it may deem necessary or advisable for the proper administration of the Plan and all such rules, regulations, interpretations, and determinations shall be binding on all Plan Members and their beneficiaries. The Committee shall be composed of not less than three individuals. Each member of the Committee shall serve until he resigns or is removed by the Company. Upon the resignation or removal of a member of the Committee, the Company shall appoint a substitute member. No member of the Committee shall have any right to vote or decide upon any matter relating solely to himself under the Plan or to vote in any case in which his individual right to claim any benefit under the Plan is particularly involved. In any case in which a Committee member is so disqualified to act, and the remaining members cannot agree, the Company shall appoint a temporary substitute member to exercise all the powers of the disqualified member concerning the matter in which he is disqualified.

ARTICLE III

Participation

3.1 Eligibility. Any employee of the Company or an Affiliate shall become a Member upon designation by the Committee. Once an employee has been designated as a Member, he shall automatically continue to be a Member until he ceases to be an employee of the Company or an Affiliate or is removed as a Member by the Committee. Notwithstanding the preceding provisions of this Section 3.1, participation in this Plan shall at all times be limited to a selected group of management or highly compensated employees of the Company and its Affiliates.

3.2 Compensation Deferral Election. Subject to any administrative constraints as may be established in the discretion of the Committee, any Member may elect to defer receipt of a whole percentage or amount of his Compensation during a Plan Year under the Plan. A Member's election to defer receipt of Compensation shall be made prior to the beginning of such Plan Year and shall be irrevocable for such Plan Year. The reduction in a Member's Compensation pursuant to his election shall be effected by Compensation reductions each payroll period within the Plan Year. For new Members, the election shall be made within thirty (30) days of becoming eligible.

3.3 Bonus Deferral Election. Any Member may elect to defer receipt of a whole percentage or amount of his Bonus or Incentive Compensation Bonus for any Plan Year under the Plan. A Member's election to defer receipt of any Bonus shall be made prior to the beginning of such Plan Year and shall be irrevocable for such Plan Year. A Member's election to defer receipt of any Incentive Compensation Bonus for any Plan Year shall be made at least

six months prior to the end of the Plan Year. The election to defer receipt of such whole percentage of a Member's Bonus or Incentive Compensation Bonus pursuant to the deferral election above shall be effected by a reduction in the amount of the Bonus or Incentive Compensation Bonus to which such deferral election relates.

3.4 Targeted Deferral Election. Subject to the rules in Section 3.2, any Member may elect to defer receipt of a whole percentage or amount of any portion of the Member's Compensation until a specific future date by executing a deferral form designed for such purpose as specified by the Committee. Upon the occurrence of any such date specified by a Member in such an election form, the deferred amount, and the Investment(s) Gains or Losses attributable thereto, shall be distributed to the Member. Until so distributed, such deferral amounts shall continue to be a part of the Member's Account.

3.5 Investment Request. A Member may request the Committee to invest or change the investment of all or a portion of his Account in any Investments. A Member may make such request at any time, provided that the Committee shall only be obligated to direct the Trustee to make such Investment or change such Investment as soon as reasonably practicable and within the guidelines and requirements established by the Trustee for the investment of funds held in the Account. A Member who does not request the Committee to invest any portion of his Account shall have the funds held in such Account in an Investment, as determined by the Committee in its sole discretion.

ARTICLE IV

Benefits

4.1 Deferral Contributions. As of the last day of each payroll period of each Plan Year, a Member's Account shall be credited with an amount equal to the Compensation deferred under the Plan pursuant to an election by the Member as described in Article III for such payroll period. As of the last day of the payroll period in which Bonuses are paid, a Member's Account shall be credited with an amount equal to the Bonuses deferred under the Plan pursuant to an election by the Member as described in Article III.

4.2 Matching Contributions. As of the last day of each Plan Year, or, if later, the date on which the Company Matching Contributions are made under the Retirement Plan for any such Plan Year, the Member's Account of each Contributing Member during such Plan Year who remains employed by the Company on such date shall be credited with an amount equal to the following:

(1) the Company Matching Contributions to which such Contributing Member would have been entitled under the Retirement Plan taking into account both (i) the salary deferrals made by such Contributing Member to the Retirement Plan for the Plan Year, and (ii) the deferrals made by such Contributing Member under this Plan pursuant to Sections 3.2, 3.3, or 3.4 for the same Plan Year (up to a combined maximum of six percent (6.00%) of such Contributing Member's Compensation assuming that none of the Limitations were imposed); minus

(2) the Company Matching Contributions, if any, actually made on behalf of such Contributing Member under the Retirement Plan for such Plan Year; minus

(3) the Company contributions, if any, to accounts actually made on behalf of such Contributing Member under the SSP for such Plan Year.

In addition, if (i) the total of such Contributing Member's salary deferrals under the Retirement Plan (as adjusted after application of the Limitations) and deferrals pursuant to the SSP and Sections 3.2, 3.3 or 3.4 under this Plan is less than 6.00% of such Contributing Member's Compensation for a Plan Year; and (ii) the Contributing Member elects to increase his or her deferrals under this Plan by all or any portion of any salary deferrals to the Retirement Plan that are returned to the Contributing Member as a result of the application of the Limitations within 120 days after receipt of such returned salary deferrals, even if such increased deferrals are made in the next Plan Year, such increased deferrals shall also be taken into account in subparagraph (i) above until the total of the Contributing Member's salary deferrals under the Retirement Plan, SSP, and deferrals under this Plan for the Plan Year equals 6.00% of the Contributing Member's Compensation.

Effective as of January 1, 2009, no additional amounts shall be credited to a Contributing Member's Account pursuant to this Section 4.2.

4.3 Employer Contributions. The Company may make contributions (including both discretionary matching and nonelective) to a Participant's Account from time to time as determined by the Company in its sole discretion.

ARTICLE V

Vesting

All amounts credited to a Member's Account shall be fully vested and not subject to forfeiture for any reason; provided, however, the amounts credited to a Member's Account pursuant to Sections 4.2 or 4.3, including any Investment Gains or Losses allocable to such credits, may be subject to a vesting schedule as determined by the Company in its sole discretion; provided however, that if no such vesting schedule is established by the Company at the time of such credits, then such credits shall be subject to the same vesting schedule as that set forth in the Retirement Plan. Notwithstanding the preceding sentence, a vesting schedule applicable to amounts credited to a Member's Account pursuant to Section 4.2 as of December 31, 2008, shall not be subject to modification pursuant to this Article V. Notwithstanding this Article V, the benefits payable to each Member hereunder constitute an unfunded, unsecured obligation of the Company, and the assets held by the Company and the Trustee remain subject to the claims of the Company's creditors.

ARTICLE VI

Trust

The Company may, from time to time and in its sole discretion, pay and deliver money or other property to the Trustee for the payment of benefits under the Plan. Notwithstanding any

provision in the Plan to the contrary, distributions due under the Plan to or on behalf of Members shall be made by the Trustee in accordance with the terms of the Trust Agreement and the Plan; provided, however, that the Company shall remain obligated to pay all amounts due to such persons under the Plan. To the extent that Trust Assets are not sufficient to pay any amounts due under the Plan to or on behalf of the Members when such amounts are due, the Company shall pay such amounts directly. Nothing in the Plan or the Trust Agreement shall relieve the Company of its obligation to make the distributions required in Article VII hereof except to the extent that such obligation is satisfied by the application of funds held by the Trustee under the Trust Agreement. Any recipient of benefits hereunder shall have no security or other interest in Trust Assets. Any and all Trust Assets shall remain subject to the claims of the general creditors of the Company, present and future, and no payment shall be made under the Plan unless the Company is then solvent. Should an inconsistency or conflict exist between the specific terms of the Plan and those of the Trust Agreement, then the relevant terms of the Trust Agreement shall govern and control.

ARTICLE VII

Payment of Benefits

7.1 Separation from Service. Upon a Member's Separation from Service with the Company or an Affiliate for any reason, the amount credited to such Member's Account as of the Determination Date immediately preceding such Member's Separation from Service, adjusted for any amount deferred and Investment Gains or Losses realized from such Determination Date to the date of the Member's Separation from Service, shall be distributed to such Member or, if the Member's Separation from Service is on account of death, to the Member's beneficiary as determined pursuant to Section 7.2 below.

7.2 Death. Upon a Member's death, the amount credited to such Member's Account as of the Determination Date immediately preceding the date of such Member's death, adjusted for any amount deferred and Investment Gains or Losses realized from such Determination Date to the date of the Member's death, shall be distributed to such Member's designated beneficiary. The Member, by written instrument filed with the Committee in such manner and form as the Committee may prescribe, may designate one or more beneficiaries to receive such payment. The beneficiary designation may be changed from time to time prior to the death of the Member. In the event that the Committee has no valid beneficiary designation on file, the amount credited to such Member's Account shall be distributed to the Member's surviving spouse, if any, or if the Member has no surviving spouse, to the executor or administrator of the Member's estate, as applicable.

7.3 Targeted Deferrals. If a Member has made one or more targeted deferrals pursuant to Section 3.4, upon the date specified in any election form used by the Member to make such election, the amount credited in the subaccount of the Member's Account which relates to such deferral as of the Determination Date immediately preceding such specified date shall be distributed to such Member. If some event takes place that would entitle a Member to a distribution under Sections 7.1 or 7.2 prior to such specified date, the amounts in such subaccount shall be distributed along with any other amounts in the Member's Account pursuant to Section 7.1 or 7.2.

7.4 Time of Payment. Payment of a Member's benefit hereunder shall be made as soon as administratively feasible following the date on which the Member or his beneficiary becomes entitled to such benefit pursuant to Sections 7.1, 7.2, or 7.3, but no earlier than 10 days thereafter and no later than 45 days thereafter, except for the Company Matching Contributions as provided herein. If a Member's Separation from Service or death or any other events that entitle the Member to a distribution occurs within the first four months of a year, the portion of the Company Matching Contributions for the preceding Plan Year that has been credited to a Member's Account shall be distributed to such Member no later than the earlier of (i) the date of which the calculation of such contributions has been finalized or (ii) May 1 of the year of termination of employment or death, or any other events which shall entitle the Member to a distribution. In all other events, the 10 days and 45 days limitation shall apply to the distribution of the Member's entire Account balance, unless expressly provided otherwise. Notwithstanding the foregoing, for a Specified Employee, distributions may not be made before the day immediately following the date that is six (6) months after the date of the Member's Separation from Service (or, if earlier, the date of death of the Member). Also, notwithstanding the foregoing, a Member may elect to delay the time of payment under the following conditions: (i) such election shall not take effect until at least 12 months after the date on which the election is made; (ii) with respect to a payment made upon Separation from Service, a targeted deferral, or as a result of a Change in Control, the first payment with respect to which such election is made be deferred for a period of not less than 5 years from the date such payment would otherwise have been made; and (iii) any election related to a targeted deferral may not be made less than 12 months prior to the date of the first scheduled payment. Notwithstanding anything in this Section 7.4 to the contrary, an election relating to the time of payment may be made as permitted under Code Section 409A and applicable guidance of the Internal Revenue Service.

7.5 Form of Payment. For purposes of distributing all of a Member's Account, the form of any payment to a Member or his designated beneficiary shall be in a lump sum, paid in cash or by check; provided, however, if an election is made to delay the time of payment under Section 7.4, such payments shall be made, at the election of the Member, in a lump sum, in five (5) annual installments, or in ten (10) annual installments. Notwithstanding anything in this Section 7.5 to the contrary, an initial election as to the time and form of payment, other than lump sum payment, may be made as permitted under Code Section 409A and applicable guidance of the Internal Revenue Service.

7.6 Pre-Retirement Form of Payment for Compensation Earned After December 31, 2013. Notwithstanding an election pursuant to Sections 7.4 or 7.5 to the contrary, for purposes of distributing the portion of a Member's Account attributable to Compensation earned after December 31, 2013, upon a Member's Separation from Service with the Company or an Affiliate for any reason before Retirement, the form of payment shall be in a lump sum.

7.7 2008 Transitional Rule Election. By election made no later than December 31, 2008, a Member may elect to change the time or form of payment of a Member's Account and the election shall not be treated as a change in time or form of payment under Code Section 409A(a)(4) or an acceleration of payment under Code Section 409A(a)(3). Such election may apply only to amounts that would not otherwise be payable in 2008 and may not cause an amount to be paid in 2008 that would not otherwise be payable in 2008.

7.8 Permitted Accelerations. Except as provided in this Section 7.8, the Plan may not permit the acceleration of the time or schedule of any payment or amount scheduled to be paid pursuant to the terms of the Plan, and no such accelerated payment may be made whether or not provided for under the terms of the Plan. Notwithstanding the foregoing, a payment may be accelerated to the extent permitted by Treasury Regulation 1.409A-3(j)(4), but only as follows:

(1) to the extent necessary for any Federal officer or employee in the executive branch to comply with an ethics agreement with the Federal government, or to the extent reasonably necessary to avoid the violation of an applicable Federal, state, local, or foreign ethics law or conflicts of interest law (including where such payment is reasonably necessary to permit the service provider to participate in activities in the normal course of his or her position in which the service provider would otherwise not be able to participate under an applicable rule).

(2) to pay the Federal Insurance Contributions Act ("FICA") tax on compensation deferred under the Plan, to pay the state, local, or foreign tax laws as a result of the payment of the FICA tax, and to pay the additional income tax attributable to the pyramiding wages and taxes; however, the total payment must not exceed the aggregate of the FICA tax, and the income tax withholding related to such FICA tax.

(3) at any time the Plan fails to meet the requirements of Code Section 409A and the regulations promulgated by the U.S. Department of Treasury thereunder; however, such payment may not exceed the amount required to be included in income as a result of the failure to comply with the requirements of Code Section 409A and the regulations promulgated by the U.S. Department of Treasury thereunder.

(4) to pay (a) the state, local, or foreign taxes arising from participation in the Plan that apply to an amount deferred under the Plan before the amount is paid or made available to the Member, (b) the federal, state, local, or foreign income tax withholdings on such payment, and (c) the additional income tax withholdings attributable to such additional payments and taxes; provide, however, that such payment may not exceed the amount of such taxes due as a result of participation in the Plan and the income tax withholding related to such state, local, and foreign tax amount.

ARTICLE VIII

Hardship Distributions

Upon written application by a Member who has experienced an unforeseeable emergency, the Committee may distribute to such Member an amount not to exceed the lesser of the amount credited to such Member's Account or the amount determined by the Committee as being reasonably necessary to satisfy the emergency need (a "Hardship Distribution"). For purposes of this Article VIII, an unforeseeable emergency shall mean a severe financial hardship to the Member resulting from an illness or accident of the Member, the Member's spouse, or a dependent (as defined in Code Section 152(a)) of the Member, loss of the Member's property due to casualty, or other similar extraordinary and unforeseeable circumstances arising as a result of events beyond the control of the Member. The requirement for a Hardship Distribution is met only if, as determined under regulations of the Secretary of Treasury, the amounts distributed

with respect to an emergency do not exceed the amounts necessary to satisfy such emergency plus amounts necessary to pay taxes reasonably anticipated as a result of the distribution, after taking into account the extent to which such hardship is or may be relieved through reimbursement or compensation by insurance or otherwise or by liquidation of the Member's assets (to the extent the liquidation of such assets would not itself cause severe financial hardship).

ARTICLE IX

Change in Control

Notwithstanding any provision of the Plan to the contrary, in the event of a Change in Control, the amount credited to such Member's Account as of the Determination Date immediately preceding such Change in Control, adjusted for any amount deferred and Investment Gains or Losses realized from such Determination Date to the date of the Change in Control, shall be distributed to such Member in a single lump sum payment as soon as administratively feasible, but no earlier than 10 days thereafter and no later than 45 days after the date of the Change in Control.

ARTICLE X

Nature of the Plan

The Plan shall constitute an unfunded, unsecured obligation of the Company to make cash payments in accordance with the provisions of the Plan. The Plan is not intended to meet the qualification requirements of section 401 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. The Company in its sole discretion may set aside such amounts for the payment of Accounts as the Company may from time to time determine. Neither the establishment of the Plan, the operation thereof, nor the setting aside of any amounts shall be deemed to create a funding arrangement. No Member shall have any security or other interest in any such amounts set aside or any other assets of the Company.

The arrangement provided for in this January 1, 2014, amendment of the Plan shall apply only with respect to amounts deferred after December 31, 2004. For amounts deferred before January 1, 2005, such deferrals shall be governed by the arrangement in place prior to the January 1, 2005, amendment of the Plan, as set forth in Exhibit A. No provision of this document is intended to be and shall not be a material modification of the arrangement in place as of October 3, 2004. To the extent any term of this document constitutes a material modification (that is, a benefit or right existing as of October 3, 2004, is enhanced or a new benefit or right is added) to the prior arrangement, such modification shall be of no force or effect.

ARTICLE XI

Employment Relationship

Nothing in the adoption or implementation of the Plan shall confer on any employee the right to continued employment by the Company or an Affiliate or affect in any way the right of

the Company or an Affiliate to terminate his employment at any time. For the purposes of the Plan, any question as to whether and when there has been a termination of a Member's employment, and the cause of such termination, shall be determined by the Committee, and its determination shall be final.

ARTICLE XII

Amendment and Termination

The Company may amend or terminate the Plan, by written action, without the consent of the Members; provided, however, that no such amendment or termination shall adversely affect any benefits that have been earned prior to any such amendment or termination, except as required by law.

ARTICLE XIII

Claims Procedure

The Committee shall have full power and authority to interpret, construe, and administer the Plan, and the Committee's interpretations and construction hereof, and actions hereunder, including the value, amount, timing, form, or recipient of any payment to be made hereunder, shall be binding and conclusive on all persons for all purposes. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the determination of a Change in Control event will be objectively determinable under Article IX and the Committee shall not have discretionary authority to determine whether a Change in Control has occurred.

In the event that a claim for a benefit is wholly or partially denied, the Committee shall, within 90 days after receipt of the claim by the Plan, provide the claimant with a written statement setting forth the specific reasons for the adverse determination; reference to the specific plan provisions on which the determination is based; a description of any additional material or information necessary for the claimant to perfect the claim and an explanation of why such material or information is necessary; and a description of the Plan's review procedures and time limits applicable to such procedures, including a statement of the claimant's right to bring a civil action under Section 502(a) of the ERISA following an adverse benefit determination on review.

The claimant will have 60 days following receipt of an adverse benefit determination within which to appeal the determination. During such time, the Participant will have the opportunity to submit written comment, documents, records, and other information relating to the claim for benefits. The claimant will be provided, upon request and free of charge, reasonable access to, and copies of, all documents, records, and other information relevant to the claim for benefits. The review will take into account all comments, documents, records, and other information submitted by the claimant relating to the claim, without regard to whether such information was submitted or considered in the initial benefit determination.

The Committee will notify the claimant within 60 days after receipt of the claimant's request for review by the Plan. In the case of an adverse benefit determination, the notification shall set forth, in a manner calculated to be understood by the claimant the specific reason or

reasons for the adverse determination; reference to the specific plan provisions on which the benefit determination is based; a statement that the claimant is entitled to receive, upon request and free of charge, reasonable access to, and copies of, all documents, records, and other information relevant to the claimant's claim for benefits; and a statement describing any voluntary appeal procedures offered by the plan and the claimant's right to obtain the information about such procedures, and a statement of the claimant's right to bring an action under section 502(a) of ERISA.

No member of the Committee or any other persons acting on behalf of the Committee shall be liable to any person for any action taken or omitted in connection with the interpretation and administration of the Plan unless attributable to his own willful misconduct or lack of good faith. Claimants who are members of the Committee shall not participate in any action or determination regarding their own benefits hereunder.

ARTICLE XIV

Miscellaneous

14.1 Indemnification. The Company shall indemnify and hold harmless each member of the Committee and any other persons acting on behalf of the Committee, against any and all expenses and liabilities arising out of his or her administrative functions or fiduciary responsibilities, excepting only expenses and liabilities arising out of the individual's own willful misconduct or lack of good faith. Expenses against which such person shall be indemnified hereunder include, without limitation, the amounts of any settlement or judgment, costs, counsel fees and related charges reasonably incurred in connection with a claim asserted or a proceeding brought or settlement thereof.

14.2 Withholding Taxes. The Company shall have the right to deduct from any payments made under this Plan, any federal, state or local taxes required by law to be withheld with respect to such payments.

14.3 Nonalienation of Benefits. Subject to income tax withholding, benefits payable under this Plan shall not be subject in any manner to anticipation, alienation, sale, transfer, assignment, pledge, encumbrance, charge, garnishment, execution or levy of any kind, either voluntary or involuntary, including any such liability that is for alimony or other payments for the support of a spouse or former spouse, or for any other relative of the Member, prior to actually being received; and any attempt to anticipate, alienate, sell, transfer, assign, pledge, encumber, charge or otherwise dispose of any right to benefits payable hereunder shall be void. The Company shall not in any manner be liable for, or subject to, the debts, contracts, liabilities, engagements or torts of any person entitled to benefits hereunder.

14.4 Severability. If any provision of the Plan shall be held illegal or invalid for any reason, said illegality or invalidity shall not affect the remaining provisions hereof; rather, each provision shall be fully severable and the Plan shall be construed and enforced as if said illegal or invalid provision had never been included herein.

14.5 Jurisdiction. The situs of the Plan hereby created is Tennessee. All provisions of the Plan shall be construed in accordance with the laws of Tennessee except to the extent preempted by federal law.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has caused this Plan to be executed on the _____ day of _____, 2013, to be effective as of January 1, 2014.

CHS/COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC.

By: _____

Title: _____

EXHIBIT A

CHS/COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC.

DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN

As Amended Effective October 1, 1993; January 1, 1994; January 1, 1995;
April 1, 1999; July 1, 2000; January 1, 2001; June 30, 2002; and January 1, 2014

Original Effective Date: June 1, 1991

EXHIBIT A

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ARTICLE I	DEFINITIONS AND CONSTRUCTION	18
ARTICLE II	ADMINISTRATION	21
ARTICLE III	PARTICIPATION	21
ARTICLE IV	BENEFITS	22
ARTICLE V	VESTING	24
ARTICLE VI	TRUST	25
ARTICLE VII	PAYMENT OF BENEFITS	25
ARTICLE VIII	HARDSHIP DISTRIBUTIONS	26
ARTICLE IX	SALE OF THE COMPANY	27
ARTICLE X	NATURE OF THE PLAN	27
ARTICLE XI	EMPLOYMENT RELATIONSHIP	27
ARTICLE XII	AMENDMENT AND TERMINATION	28
ARTICLE XIII	CLAIMS PROCEDURE	28
ARTICLE XIV	MISCELLANEOUS	28

EXHIBIT A

(3) Benefit Exchange Agreement: An agreement entered into between certain Members and the Company in connection with the surrender of the Member's interest in the Split Dollar Agreement and the Member's vested interest in the cash value of the variable life insurance policy that is subject to the terms of the Split Dollar Agreement, as it may be amended.

(4) Bonus: The bonus paid by the Company or an Affiliate to a Member pursuant to an employment agreement between the Company or an Affiliate and the Member or otherwise for services rendered or labor performed while a Member.

(5) Change of Control: A Change of Control occurs in the event of a sale of all or substantially all of the stock or assets of the Company to a purchaser if the debt-to-equity ratio of the purchaser, taking into account the sale of the stock or assets of the Company, is greater than .75 to 1 as determined by the Committee immediately prior to the sale.

(6) Committee: The administrative committee appointed by the Company to administer the Plan, if any, which committee shall consist of the same persons designated by the Company pursuant to the terms of the Retirement Plan to act on behalf of the Company, as the administrator of such Plan.

(7) Company: CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc.

(8) Company Matching Contributions: Contributions made to the Retirement Plan by the Company or an Affiliate on a Member's behalf pursuant to Section 4.1(b) of the Retirement Plan or otherwise as provided for therein.

(9) Compensation: The total base salary paid by the Company or an Affiliate during the Plan Year to or for the benefit of a Member for services rendered or labor performed while a Member.

(10) Contributing Member: A Member who, for a Plan Year, made a deferral election pursuant to Section 3.2, Section 3.3 and/or Section 3.4.

(11) Determination Date. The last business day of each quarter in a calendar year.

(12) Earnings Credit: The earnings applied to a Member's Account as of each Determination Date pursuant to Section 4.2(b).

(13) Effective Date: June 1, 1991.

(14) Investment(s): Any investment fund(s) offered through the Trustee or its affiliates including Nations Fund, Inc., Nations Fund Trust, or Nations Fund Portfolios, Inc. (or their successors).

(15) Investment Gains or Losses: Actual gains or losses realized from investments applied to a Member's Account as of each Determination Date pursuant to Section 4.2(a) of the Plan, after deducting applicable investment-related costs and expenses, if any. For the Determination Date, such Member's Account shall be reduced or increased for an amount equal to the Federal or state income taxes that the Company is required to pay or expects to realize in relation to such investment(s)' taxable gain or loss realized during such year.

EXHIBIT A

(16) Limitations: Benefit limitations imposed on the Retirement Plan under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended, and under sections 401(a)(17), 401(k)(3), 401(m)(2), 402(g) and 415 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

(17) Member: Any employee of the Company or an Affiliate who has been designated by the Committee as a Member of the Plan until such employee ceases to be a Member in accordance with Section 3.1 of the Plan.

(18) Plan: CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended from time to time.

(19) Plan Year: The seven-month period commencing June 1, 1991, and ending December 31, 1991 and each twelve-consecutive month period commencing January 1 of each year thereafter.

(20) Post-Termination Benefits Deposit: Certain deposit provided for under the terms of the Split Dollar Agreement.

(21) Retirement Plan: CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc. Retirement Savings Plan, or any successor plan.

(22) Split Dollar Agreement: An agreement entered into between the Company and the Member pursuant to the provisions of the Supplemental Survivor Accumulation portion of the Community Health Systems, Inc. Supplemental Benefits Plan.

(23) SSP: CHS 401(k) Supplemental Savings Plan.

(24) Trust Agreement: The agreement entered into between the Company and the Trustee establishing a trust to hold and invest contributions made by the Company under the Plan and from which all or a portion of the amounts payable under the Plan to Members and their beneficiaries will be distributed.

(25) Trust Assets: All assets held by the Trustee under the Trust Agreement.

(26) Trustee: The trustee or trustees qualified and acting under the Trust Agreement at any time.

1.2 Number and Gender. Wherever appropriate herein, words used in the singular shall be considered to include the plural and the plural to include the singular. The masculine gender, where appearing in this Plan, shall be deemed to include the feminine gender and vice versa.

1.3 Headings. The headings of Articles and Sections herein are included solely for convenience and if there is any conflict between such headings and the text of the Plan, the text shall control.

EXHIBIT A

ARTICLE II

Administration

The Plan shall be administered by the Committee that shall be authorized, subject to the provisions of the Plan, to establish rules and regulations and make such interpretations and determinations as it may deem necessary or advisable for the proper administration of the Plan and all such rules, regulations, interpretations, and determinations shall be binding on all Plan Members and their beneficiaries. The Committee shall be composed of not less than three individuals. Each member of the Committee shall serve until he resigns or is removed by the Company. Upon the resignation or removal of a member of the Committee, the Company shall appoint a substitute member. No member of the Committee shall have any right to vote or decide upon any matter relating solely to himself under the Plan or to vote in any case in which his individual right to claim any benefit under the Plan is particularly involved. In any case in which a Committee member is so disqualified to act, and the remaining members cannot agree, the Company shall appoint a temporary substitute member to exercise all the powers of the disqualified member concerning the matter in which he is disqualified. All expenses incurred in connection with the administration of the Plan shall be borne by the Company.

ARTICLE III

Participation

3.1 Eligibility. Any employee of the Company or an Affiliate shall become a Member upon designation by the Committee. Once an employee has been designated as a Member, he shall automatically continue to be a Member until he ceases to be an employee of the Company or an Affiliate or is removed as a Member by the Committee. Notwithstanding the preceding provisions of this Section 3.1, participation in this Plan shall at all times be limited to a selected group of management or highly compensated employees of the Company.

3.2 Compensation Deferral Election. Any Member may elect to defer receipt of a whole percentage of his Compensation for one or more calendar quarters during a Plan Year under the Plan. A Member's election to defer receipt of Compensation for any calendar quarter(s) of a Plan Year shall be made prior to the beginning of such calendar quarter(s) of the Plan Year and shall be irrevocable for such calendar quarter(s) of the Plan Year. The reduction in a Member's Compensation pursuant to his election shall be effected by Compensation reductions as of each payroll period within the election period.

3.3 Bonus Deferral Election. Any Member may elect to defer receipt of a whole percentage of his Bonus for any Plan Year under the Plan. A Member's election to defer receipt of his Bonus for any Plan Year shall be made prior to the earlier of (i) the date on which such bonus becomes payable and ascertainable, or (ii) October 1 of such Plan Year for which such Bonus is payable, and shall be irrevocable for such Plan Year. The election to defer receipt of such whole percentage of a Member's Bonus pursuant to the deferral election above shall be effected by a reduction in the amount of the Bonus to which such deferral election relates.

3.4 Targeted Deferral Election. In general, all amounts deferred by a Member pursuant to Sections 3.2 and 3.3 shall be held for the Member and distributed following the Member's termination of employment or the occurrence of a hardship event pursuant to Sections 7.1, 7.2 and 8.1. Notwithstanding the preceding sentence, a Member may also defer the receipt of any portion of the Member's Compensation otherwise deferred pursuant to the provisions of Sections 3.2 and 3.3 until a specific future date, by executing a deferral form designed for such purpose as specified by the Committee. Upon the occurrence of any such date specified by a Member in such an election form, the deferred amount, and the Earnings Credit and Investment(s) Gains or Losses attributable thereto, shall be distributed to the Member. Until so distributed, such deferral amounts shall continue to be a part of the Member's Account.

3.5 Investment Request. A Member may request the Committee to invest or change the investment of all or a portion of his Account in any Investments. A Member may make such request at any time, provided that the Committee shall only be obligated to direct the Trustee to make such investment or charge such investment as soon as reasonably practicable and within the guidelines and requirements established by the Trustee for the investment of funds held in the Account. A Member who does not request the Committee to invest any portion of his Account shall have the funds held in such Account in a money market fund offered through the Trustee or its affiliates.

3.6 Post-Termination Benefits Deposit. Notwithstanding any provision of the Plan to the contrary, the Company may make for any Member an annual contribution equal to that portion of Post-Termination Benefit Deposits to be made to the Plan as calculated under the terms of any Benefits Exchange Agreement between the Member and the Company.

ARTICLE IV

Benefits

4.1 Amount of Benefit.

(a) Deferral Contributions. As of the last day of each payroll period of each Plan Year, a Member's Account shall be credited with an amount equal to the Compensation deferred under the Plan pursuant to an election by the Member as described in Article III for such payroll period. Effective as of June 30, 2002, as of the last day of each payroll period of each Plan Year, a Member's Account shall be credited with an amount equal to that portion of Post-Termination Benefit Deposits made to the Plan, if any, as calculated under the terms of the Benefits Exchange Agreement between the Member and the Company.

(b) Matching Contributions. As of the last day of each Plan Year, or, if later, the date on which the Company Matching Contributions are made under the Retirement Plan for any such Plan Year, the Member's Account of each Contributing Member during such Plan Year who remains employed by the Company on such date shall be credited with an amount equal to the following:

(1) the Company Matching Contributions to which such Contributing Member would have been entitled under the Retirement Plan taking into account

both (i) the salary deferrals made by such Contributing Member to the Retirement Plan for the Plan Year, and (ii) the deferrals made by such Contributing Member under this Plan pursuant to Sections 3.1, 3.2, or 3.3 for the same Plan Year (up to a combined maximum of six percent (6.00%) of such Contributing Member's Compensation assuming that none of the Limitations were imposed); minus

(2) the Company Matching Contributions, if any, actually made on behalf of such Contributing Member under the Retirement Plan for such Plan Year; minus

(3) the Company contributions, if any, to accounts actually made on behalf of such Contributing Member under the SSP for such Plan Year.

In addition, if (i) the total of such Contributing Member's salary deferrals under the Retirement Plan (as adjusted after application of the Limitations) and deferrals pursuant to the SSP and Sections 3.1, 3.2 or 3.3 under this Plan is less than 6.00% of such Contributing Member's Compensation for a Plan Year; and (ii) the Contributing Member elects to increase his or her deferrals under this Plan by all or any portion of any salary deferrals to the Retirement Plan that are returned to the Contributing Member as a result of the application of the Limitations within 120 days after receipt of such returned salary deferrals, even if such increased deferrals are made in the next Plan Year; such increased deferrals shall also be taken into account in subparagraph (a) above until the total of the Contributing Member's salary deferrals under the Retirement Plan, SSP, and deferrals under this Plan for the Plan Year equals 6.00% of the Contributing Member's Compensation.

(c) Benefit Exchange Agreement Contributions. Effective for Plan Years beginning on or after January 1, 2002, the Company shall credit to the Account of each Member who has entered into a Benefit Exchange Agreement with the Company the following amounts, as specified under the terms of each such Benefit Exchange Agreement:

(1) all unpaid 2001 and 2002 variable life insurance policy premium payments required under the terms of the Split Dollar Agreement;

(2) an amount equal to 100% of the net cash surrender value of such variable life insurance policy on the date such policy is surrendered by the Company; and

(3) if required by the Member's Benefit Exchange Agreement, annual amounts equal to the premium payments to such variable life insurance policy that would have been required under the Split Dollar Agreement for years after 2002, reduced each year by the actual cost of providing supplemental life insurance coverage to the Member pursuant to the terms of the Benefit Exchange Agreement.

As of any Determination Date, the benefit to which a Member or his beneficiary shall be entitled under the Plan shall be equal to the amount credited to such Member's Account as of such date.

(d) Special Contributions. For the Plan Year beginning January 1, 2003, the Company shall make a special one-time cash contribution to each Participant's Account in an amount equal to the dollar value of the matching contributions that were forfeited by the Participants under the Retirement Plan for the plan years of the Retirement Plan that ended on December 31, 2001, and December 31, 2002. The Plan Administrator shall determine the dollar value of all such forfeited matching contributions, which determination shall be final and binding on all Participants. Such special contributions shall be made no later than September 15, 2003, unless the Plan Administrator has not yet finally determined the amount of the forfeited matching contributions, in which event such contributions shall be made not later than 30 days after such forfeited matching contributions are finally determined by the Plan Administrator. Notwithstanding the foregoing, no such special contribution shall be made for a Member if the Company makes a similar contribution for a Member to the SSP.

4.2 Investment Credit. As of each Determination Date, the Account of each Member shall be credited with Investment Gains or Losses as provided in this Section 4.2.

(a) If a Member has requested in accordance with Section 3.5 of the Plan that all or a portion of his Account be invested in any particular Investment(s), the Account of such Member shall be credited with the Investment Gains or Losses since the preceding Determination Date.

(b) Any portion of a Member's Account, the investment of which has not been requested by the Member, shall be credited with the Earnings Credit for such Determination Date.

(c) A Member's Account shall not be credited with any Investment Credit under this Section 4.2 on the Company Matching Contributions portion credited to his Account as of the last day of each Plan Year pursuant to Section 4.1 of the Plan until the Company actually makes the cash deposit of such Matching Contributions with the Trustee.

ARTICLE V

Vesting

All amounts credited to a Member's Account shall be fully vested and not subject to forfeiture for any reason; provided, however, the amounts credited to a Member's Account pursuant to the second paragraph of Section 4.1, including any Earnings Credit and/or Investment Gains or Losses allocable to such credits, shall be subject to the same vesting schedule as that set forth in the Retirement Plan. Notwithstanding the preceding sentence, the benefits payable to each Member hereunder constitute an unfunded, unsecured obligation of the Company, and the assets held by the Company and the Trustee remain subject to the claims of the Company's creditors.

EXHIBIT A

ARTICLE VI

Trust

The Company may, from time to time and in its sole discretion, pay and deliver money or other property to the Trustee for the payment of benefits under the Plan. Notwithstanding any provision in the Plan to the contrary, distributions due under the Plan to or on behalf of Members shall be made by the Trustee in accordance with the terms of the Trust Agreement and the Plan; provided, however, that the Company shall remain obligated to pay all amounts due to such persons under the Plan. To the extent that Trust Assets are not sufficient to pay any amounts due under the Plan to or on behalf of the Members when such amounts are due, the Company shall pay such amounts directly. Nothing in the Plan or the Trust Agreement shall relieve the Company of its obligation to make the distributions required in Article VII hereof except to the extent that such obligation is satisfied by the application of funds held by the Trustee under the Trust Agreement. Any recipient of benefits hereunder shall have no security or other interest in Trust Assets. Any and all Trust Assets shall remain subject to the claims of the general creditors of the Company, present and future, and no payment shall be made under the Plan unless the Company is then solvent. Should an inconsistency or conflict exist between the specific terms of the Plan and those of the Trust Agreement, then the relevant terms of the Trust Agreement shall govern and control.

ARTICLE VII

Payment of Benefits

7.1 Termination of Employment. Upon a Member's termination of employment with the Company or an Affiliate for any reason, the amount credited to such Member's Account as of the Determination Date immediately preceding such Member's termination of employment, adjusted for any amount deferred and Earnings Credit and Investment(s) Income or Loss realized from such Determination Date to the date of the Member's termination of employment, shall be distributed to such Member or, if the Member's termination of employment is on account of death, to the Member's beneficiary as determined pursuant to Section 7.2 below.

7.2 Death. Upon a Member's death, the amount credited to such Member's Account as of the Determination Date immediately preceding the date of such Member's death, adjusted for any amount deferred and Earnings Credit and Investment Gains or Losses realized from such Determination Date to the date of the Member's death, shall be distributed to such Member's designated beneficiary. The Member, by written instrument filed with the Committee in such manner and form as the Committee may prescribe, may designate one or more beneficiaries to receive such payment. The beneficiary designation may be changed from time to time prior to the death of the Member. In the event that the Committee has no valid beneficiary designation on file, the amount credited to such Member's Account shall be distributed to the Member's surviving spouse, if any, or if the Member has no surviving spouse, to the executor or administrator of the Member's estate.

7.3 Targeted Deferrals. If a Member has made one or more targeted deferrals pursuant to Section 3.4, upon the date specified in any election form used by the Member to
make such election, the amount credited in the subaccount of the Member's Account which relates to such deferral as of the Determination Date immediately preceding such specified date shall be distributed to such Member. If some event takes place that would entitle a Member to a distribution under Sections 7.1 or 7.2 prior to such specified date, the amounts in such subaccount shall be distributed along with any other amounts in the Member's Account pursuant to Section 7.1 or 7.2.

7.4 Time of Payment. Payment of a Member's benefit hereunder shall be made (or commence if payment is in the form of an annuity contract) as soon as administratively feasible following the date on which the Member or his beneficiary becomes entitled to such benefit pursuant to Sections 7.1, 7.2, or 7.3, but no earlier than 10 days thereafter and no later than 45 days thereafter, except for the Company Matching Contributions as provided herein. If a Member's termination of employment or death or any other events which caused termination of the Plan, occurs within the first four months of a year, the portion of the Company Matching Contributions for the preceding Plan Year that has been credited to a Member's Account shall be distributed to such Member no later than the earlier of (i) the date of which the calculation of such contributions has been finalized or (ii) May 1 of the year of termination of employment or death, or any other events which shall entitle the Member to a distribution. In all other events, the 10 days and 45 days limitation shall apply to the distribution of the Member's entire Account balance, unless expressly provided otherwise.

7.5 Form of Payment. For purposes of distributing all of a Member's Account other than any portion thereof attributable to targeted deferrals and earnings thereon, the form of any payment to a Member or his designated beneficiary shall be in substantially equal annual installments over a period of ten (10) years, paid in cash or by certified check, with the first such payment to be made on the first business day of the calendar year following the Member's termination of employment (for purposes of payments made pursuant to Section 7.1) or death (for purposes of payments made pursuant to Section 7.2), unless the Member has made an election to receive such distribution in the form of a lump sum payment or in five (5) substantially equal installment payments in such manner and form as prescribed by the Committee. Any election, or subsequent election, made by the Member pursuant to this Section shall not be effective until the passage of twelve (12) consecutive months before the date of the Member's termination of employment with the Company or an Affiliate, if payment is required pursuant to Section 7.1, or the Member's date of death, if the payment is required pursuant to Section 7.2. All distributions of that portion of a Member's Account attributable to any targeted deferral and earnings thereon shall be distributed in a single lump sum payment, in cash or certified check, on the date specified by the Member in the election form used to make the targeted deferral, or as soon thereafter as administratively possible.

ARTICLE VIII

Hardship Distributions

Upon written application by a Member who has experienced an unforeseeable emergency, as determined by the Committee, the Committee may distribute to such Member an amount not to exceed the lesser of the amount credited to such Member's Account or the amount determined by the Committee as being reasonably necessary to satisfy the emergency need. For

purposes of this Article VIII, a hardship distribution pursuant to an unforeseeable emergency shall be authorized in the event of severe financial hardship to the Member resulting from a sudden and unexpected illness or accident of the Member or his dependent, loss of the Member's property due to casualty, or other similar extraordinary and unforeseeable circumstances arising as a result of events beyond the Member's control. An unforeseeable emergency will not include the need to send a Member's child to college or the desire to purchase a home. Additionally, the Member must demonstrate that the hardship may not be relieved through reimbursement or compensation by insurance or otherwise, by liquidation of the Member's assets, to the extent the liquidation of such assets would not itself cause severe financial hardship, or by cessation of deferrals under this Plan.

ARTICLE IX

Sale of the Company

In the event of a sale of all or substantially all of the stock or assets of the Company, either (a) the purchaser shall assume the liabilities of the Plan and shall continue to operate the Plan in accordance with the provisions set forth herein (including any subsequent amendments hereto) or (b) the Plan shall be terminated and the amount credited to each Member's Account shall be distributed in a lump sum payment in cash or by certified check to each such Member in accordance with Section 7.4. However, should such sale result in a Change of Control, the Plan shall be terminated and the amount credited to each Member's Account shall be distributed in a lump sum payment in cash or by certified check to each such Member in accordance with Section 7.4.

ARTICLE X

Nature of the Plan

The Plan shall constitute an unfunded, unsecured obligation of the Company to make cash payments in accordance with the provisions of the Plan. The Plan is not intended to meet the qualification requirements of section 401 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. The Company in its sole discretion may set aside such amounts for the payment of Accounts as the Company may from time to time determine. Neither the establishment of the Plan, the operation thereof, nor the setting aside of any amounts shall be deemed to create a funding arrangement. No Member shall have any security or other interest in any such amounts set aside or any other assets of the Company.

ARTICLE XI

Employment Relationship

Nothing in the adoption or implementation of the Plan shall confer on any employee the right to continued employment by the Company or an Affiliate or affect in any way the right of the Company or an Affiliate to terminate his employment at any time. Any question as to whether and when there has been a termination of a Member's employment, and the cause of such termination, shall be determined by the Committee, and its determination shall be final.

EXHIBIT A

ARTICLE XII

Amendment and Termination

The Company may amend or terminate the Plan, by resolution duly adopted, without the consent of the Members; provided, however, that no such amendment or termination shall adversely affect any benefits which have been earned prior to any such amendment or termination. Further, upon termination of the Plan, the Committee, in its sole discretion, may elect to distribute the amount credited to each Member's Account in a lump sum cash payment in accordance with Section 7.4; provided, however, in the event of a Change of Control, the amount credited to each Member's Account must be distributed in accordance with Section 7.4.

ARTICLE XIII

Claims Procedure

The Committee shall have full power and authority to interpret, construe and administer the Plan, and the Committee's interpretations and construction hereof, and actions hereunder, including the timing, form, amount or recipient of any payment to be made hereunder, shall be binding and conclusive on all persons for all purposes. In the event that an individual's claim for a benefit is denied or modified, the Committee shall provide such individual with a written statement setting forth the specific reasons for such denial or modification in a manner calculated to be understood by the individual. Any such written statement shall reference the pertinent provisions of the Plan upon which the denial or modification is based and shall explain the Plan's claim review procedure. Such individual may, within forty-five (45) days of receipt of such written statement, make written request to the Committee for review of its initial decision. Within forty-five (45) days following such request for review, the Committee shall, after affording such individual a reasonable opportunity for a full and fair hearing, render its final decision in writing to such individual. Notwithstanding the preceding sentence, should a Member's claim be related to the preceding Plan Year's Company Matching Contributions, the Committee shall render its final decision on the later of (i) forty-five (45) days following such request for review, or (ii) 120 days after the end of the preceding Plan Year. No member of the Committee shall be liable to any person for any action taken or omitted in connection with the interpretation and administration of the Plan unless attributable to his own willful misconduct or lack of good faith. Members of the Committee shall not participate in any action or determination regarding their own benefits hereunder.

ARTICLE XIV

Miscellaneous

14.1 Indemnification. The Company shall indemnify and hold harmless each member of the Committee and any other persons acting on its behalf, against any and all expenses and liabilities arising out of his or her administrative functions or fiduciary responsibilities, excepting only expenses and liabilities arising out of the individual's own willful misconduct or lack of good faith. Expenses against which such person shall be indemnified hereunder include, without limitation, the amounts of any settlement or judgment, costs, counsel fees and related charges reasonably incurred in connection with a claim asserted or a proceeding brought or settlement thereof.

EXHIBIT A

14.2 Effective Date. The Plan shall become operative and effective as of the Effective Date and shall continue until amended or terminated as provided in Article XII.

14.3 Withholding Taxes. The Company shall have the right to deduct from any payments made under this Plan, any federal, state or local taxes required by law to be withheld with respect to such payments.

14.4 Nonalienation of Benefits. Subject to income tax withholding, benefits payable under this Plan shall not be subject in any manner to anticipation, alienation, sale, transfer, assignment, pledge, encumbrance, charge, garnishment, execution or levy of any kind, either voluntary or involuntary, including any such liability which is for alimony or other payments for the support of a spouse or former spouse, or for any other relative of the Member, prior to actually being received; and any attempt to anticipate, alienate, sell, transfer, assign, pledge, encumber, charge or otherwise dispose of any right to benefits payable hereunder shall be void. The Company shall not in any manner be liable for, or subject to, the debts, contracts, liabilities, engagements or torts of any person entitled to benefits hereunder.

14.5 Severability. If any provision of the Plan shall be held illegal or invalid for any reason, said illegality or invalidity shall not affect the remaining provisions hereof; rather, each provision shall be fully severable and the Plan shall be construed and enforced as if said illegal or invalid provision had never been included herein.

14.6 Jurisdiction. The situs of the Plan hereby created is Tennessee. All provisions of the Plan shall be construed in accordance with the laws of Tennessee except to the extent preempted by federal law.

Exhibit 10.39

NON-QUALIFIED STOCK OPTION AGREEMENT (Employee)

Community Health Systems, Inc.
2009 Stock Option and Award Plan

THIS AGREEMENT between you and Community Health Systems, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the "Company") governs an Award of a Non-qualified Stock Option on a date specified in your Award notification (the "Grant Date"), and

WHEREAS, the Company has adopted the Community Health Systems, Inc. 2009 Stock Option and Award Plan (the "Plan") in order to provide additional incentive to certain employees, officers and directors of the Company and its Subsidiaries; and

WHEREAS, the Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors (the "Committee") has determined to grant an option to you as provided herein;

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereto agree as follows:

1. Grant of Option.

1.1 The Company hereby grants to you the right and option (the "Option") to purchase all or any part of an aggregate of the number of whole Shares (such number being subject to adjustment as provided in Section 10 hereof) set out in your Award notification, on the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreement and in the Plan, a copy of which is available to you from the Company by request. The Company may delegate any of the duties associated with the administration of the Plan or this Agreement to one or more affiliates or third-party vendors (the "Plan Administrator").

1.2 This Option is not intended to qualify as an Incentive Stock Option within the meaning of Section 422 of the Code.

1.3 Except as otherwise defined herein, capitalized terms used in this Agreement shall have the same definitions as set forth in the Plan.

2. Purchase Price.

The price at which you shall be entitled to purchase Shares upon the exercise of this Option shall be the close price of the Company's stock on the Grant Date, or, if the Grant Date falls on a weekend or non-business day, the close price of the Company's stock on the last business day prior to the Grant Date, in each case, as reported in The Wall Street Journal (such price being subject to adjustment as provided in Section 10 hereof).

3. Duration of Option.

The Option shall be exercisable to the extent and in the manner provided herein for a period of ten (10) years from the date hereof (the "Exercise Term"); provided, however, that the Option may be earlier terminated as provided in Section 6 or Section 8 hereof; provided, further, that the Option may, upon your death, be later exercised for up to one (1) year following the date of your death if such death occurs prior to the tenth anniversary of the Grant Date.

4. Exercisability of Option.

Unless otherwise provided in this Agreement or the Plan, the Option shall entitle you to purchase, in whole at any time or in part from time to time, thirty-three and one-third percent (33 1/3%) of the total number of Shares subject to the Option after the expiration of one (1) year from the Grant Date and an additional thirty-three and one-third percent (33 1/3%) of the total number of Shares subject to the Option after the expiration of each of the second and third anniversaries of the Grant Date, and each such right of purchase shall be cumulative and shall continue, unless sooner exercised or terminated as herein provided, during the remaining period of the Exercise Term. Any fractional number of Shares resulting from the application of the foregoing percentages shall be rounded to the nearest whole number of Shares.

5. Manner of Exercise and Payment.

5.1 Election to Exercise. Subject to the terms and conditions of this Agreement and the Plan, the Option may be exercised by electronic notification to the Company's Plan Administrator, or by telephonic request (each as subject to the Company's Insider Trading Policy). Such notification shall state that you are electing to exercise the Option and the number of Shares in respect of which the Option is being exercised. In the event of your death, such notification shall be in the form prescribed by the Company or its Plan Administrator and shall be signed by your legal guardian, executor, administrator or other legal representative. The Company or its Administrator may require proof satisfactory to it as to the right of such person to exercise the Option.

5.2 Deliveries. The notification of exercise described in Section 5.1 hereof shall be accompanied by the full purchase price for the Shares in respect of which the Option is being exercised, in cash or by check or, if acceptable to the Plan Administrator, such payment shall follow by check from a registered broker acting as agent on your behalf. However, at the discretion of the Committee, you may pay the exercise price in part or in full by transferring to the Company Shares owned by you for a period of six (6) months (or such lesser period as may be permitted by the Committee) prior to the exercise of the Option. In addition, an Option may be exercised through the Company's Plan Administrator pursuant to its cashless exercise procedures which may be deemed acceptable by the Committee from time to time. Any Shares transferred to the Company in payment of the exercise price under an Option shall be valued at their Fair Market Value on the date of exercise of such Option.

5.3 Issuance of Shares. Upon your election to exercise your options, following receipt of full payment for the Shares underlying the Option, and subject to Section 11, the Company or its Plan Administrator shall take such action as may be necessary under applicable law to affect the issuance to you of the number of Shares so exercised.

5.4 Stockholder Rights. You shall not be deemed to be the holder of, or to have any of the rights of a holder with respect to any Shares subject to the Option until (a) the Option shall have been exercised in accordance with the terms of this Agreement and you shall have paid the full purchase price for the number of Shares in

respect of which the Option was exercised, and any withholding taxes due in connection with such exercise, (b) the Company or its Plan Administrator shall have issued the Shares to you, and (c) your name shall have been entered as a shareholder of record on the books of the Company. Upon the occurrence of all of the foregoing events, you shall have full voting and other ownership rights with respect to such Shares.

6. Termination of Option. Subject to Sections 7 and 8 hereof, each Option shall terminate on the date which is the tenth anniversary of the Grant Date (or if later, the first anniversary of the date of your death if such death occurs prior to such tenth anniversary), unless terminated earlier as follows:

6.1 If your employment is terminated for any reason other than disability, death or for Cause, you may for a period of three (3) months after such termination exercise your Option to the extent, and only to the extent, that the Option or portion thereof was vested and exercisable as of the date of such termination, after which time the Option shall automatically terminate in full.

6.2 If your employment is terminated by reason of Disability, all of the Option shall immediately become vested and exercisable and you may, for a period of twelve (12) months after such termination, exercise your Option, after which time the Option shall automatically terminate in full.

6.3 If your employment is terminated by reason of your death, or if you die within three (3) months after termination as described in Section 6.1 hereof the Option shall immediately become vested and exercisable and the person or persons to whom such rights under the Option shall pass by will, or by the laws of descent or distribution may, for a period of twelve (12) months following your death, exercise the Option, after which time the Option shall terminate in full.

6.4 If your employment is terminated for Cause, the option granted to you hereunder shall immediately terminate in full and no rights thereunder may be exercised.

6.5 Except as expressly provided herein to the contrary, the Option, to the extent not yet vested and exercisable, shall terminate immediately upon the Employee's termination of employment with the Company for any reason.

7. Effect of Change of Control.

In the event of a Change in Control, the terms of the Plan shall control the vesting of an Option that has not become vested in accordance with Section 4 or 6 hereof.

8. Prohibited Activities

8.1 Prohibition Against Certain Activities. You agree that (a) you will not at any time during your employment (other than in the course of your employment) with the Company or any Affiliate thereof, or after any termination of employment, directly or indirectly disclose or furnish to any other person or use for your own or any other person's account any confidential or proprietary knowledge or any other

information which is not a matter of public knowledge obtained during the course of your employment with, or other performance of services for (including service as a director of), the Company or any Affiliate thereof or any predecessor of any of the foregoing, no matter from where or in what manner you may have acquired such knowledge or information, and you shall retain all such knowledge and information in trust for the benefit of the Company, its Affiliates and the successors and assigns of any of them, (b) you will not at any time during your employment with the Company or any Affiliate thereof, or for three (3) years following any termination of employment, directly or indirectly solicit for employment, including, without limitation, recommending to any subsequent employer the solicitation for employment of, any person who at the time of the solicitation is employed by the Company or any Affiliate thereof, (c) you will not at any time during your employment with, or performance of services for (including service as a director of), the Company or any Affiliate thereof or after any termination of employment, publish any statement or make any statement (under circumstances reasonably likely to become public or that might reasonably be expected to become public) critical of the Company or any Affiliate of the Company, or in any way adversely affecting or otherwise maligning the business reputation of any of the foregoing entities, and (d) you will not breach the provisions of Section 9 hereof (any activity described in clause (a), (b), (c) or (d) of this Section 8.1 being herein referred to as a "Prohibited Activity").

8.2 Right to Terminate Option. You understand that the Company is granting to you an option to purchase Shares hereunder to reward you for your future efforts and loyalty to the Company and its Affiliates by giving you the opportunity to participate in the potential future appreciation of the Company. Accordingly, if, at any time during which any portion of the Option, including any exercisable portion, is outstanding (a) if you engage in any Prohibited Activity, or (b) you engage in any Competitive Activity (as hereinafter defined), or (c) you are convicted of a crime against the Company or any of its Affiliates, then, in addition to any other rights and remedies available to the Company, the Company shall be entitled, at its option, to terminate the Option, including any exercisable portion thereof, which shall then be of no further force and effect.

The term "Competitor" shall mean any person that competes either directly or indirectly through one or more Affiliates with any of the businesses in which, at the time your employment is terminated, the Company or any of its subsidiaries is engaged.

The term "Competitive Activity" shall mean engaging in any of the following activities: (i) serving as a director of any Competitor; (ii) directly or indirectly (x) controlling any Competitor or (y) owning any equity or debt interests in any Competitor (other than equity or debt interests which are publicly traded and do not exceed 2% of the particular class of interests outstanding) (it being understood that, if any such interests in any Competitor are owned by an investment vehicle or other entity in which you own an equity interest, a portion of the interests in such Competitor owned by such entity shall be attributed to you, such portion determined by applying the percentage of the equity interest in such entity owned by you to the interests in such Competitor owned by such entity); (iii) directly or indirectly soliciting, diverting, taking away, appropriating or otherwise interfering with any of the customers or suppliers of the Company or any Affiliate of the Company; (iv) employment by (including serving as an officer or director of) or providing consulting services to any Competitor; provided, however, that if the Competitor has more than one discrete and readily distinguishable part of its business,

employment by or providing consulting services to any Competitor shall be Competitive Activity only if (1) your employment duties are at or involving the part of the Competitor's business that competes with any of the businesses conducted by the Company or any of its subsidiaries (the "Competing Operations"), including serving in a capacity where any person at the Competing Operations reports to you, or (2) the consulting services are provided to or involve the Competing Operations. For purposes of this definition, the term "control" means the possession, directly or indirectly, of the power to direct or cause the direction of the management and policies of any Competitor, whether through the ownership of equity or debt interests, by contract or otherwise.

9. Non-Transferability.

The Option shall not be transferable other than by will or by the laws of descent and distribution or pursuant to a domestic relations order; provided, however, that the Option may be transferred to members of your immediate family, to trusts solely for the benefit of such immediate family members and to partnerships in which such family members and/or trusts are the only partners. For this purpose, immediate family means your spouse, parents, children, stepchildren and grandchildren and the spouses of such parents, children, stepchildren and grandchildren.

10. Adjustments.

In the event of a Change in Capitalization, the Committee may make appropriate adjustments to the number and class of Shares or other stock or securities subject to this Option and the purchase price for such Shares or other stock or securities (an "Adjustment"). In the event of (i) the liquidation or dissolution of the Company or (ii) a merger or consolidation of the Company (a "Transaction"), any such Adjustment may be as provided for in the plan or agreement of liquidation, dissolution, merger or consolidation. If such plan or agreement does not expressly provide for the treatment of the Option in connection with the Transaction, the Option shall continue in effect in accordance with its terms and you shall be entitled to receive in respect of all Shares subject to the Option, upon exercise of the Option, the same number and kind of stock, securities, cash, property or other consideration that each holder of Shares was entitled to receive in the Transaction. The Committee's Adjustment shall be final and binding for all purposes of the Plan and the Agreement. No Adjustment provided for in this Section 10 shall require the Company to issue a fractional Share, and the total adjustment with respect to this Agreement shall be limited accordingly.

11. Withholding.

The Company or its Plan Administrator shall have the right to deduct from any amounts payable under this Agreement an amount equal to the federal, state and local income taxes and other amounts as may be required by applicable law to be withheld (the "Withholding Taxes"). If you are entitled to receive Shares upon exercise of the Option, you shall pay the Withholding Taxes to the Company or its Plan Administrator in cash prior to the issuance of such Shares. In satisfaction of the Withholding Taxes, you may, unless the Committee determines otherwise, elect to have withheld a portion of the Shares issuable to you upon exercise of the Option having an aggregate Fair Market Value equal to the Withholding Taxes.

12. No Right to Continued Employment.

This Agreement and the Option shall not confer upon you any right with respect to continuance of employment by the Company or any Affiliate thereof, nor shall it interfere in any way with the right of the Company or any Affiliate thereof to terminate your employment at any time.

13. Entire Agreement.

This Agreement and the terms and conditions of the Plan constitute the entire understanding between you and the Company and its Subsidiaries, and supersede all other agreements, whether written or oral, with respect to the subject matter hereof.

14. Acknowledgement that You Are Bound by the Plan.

By acknowledging and accepting this Option and the terms of this Agreement you hereby confirm the availability and your review of a copy of the Plan and the Prospectus, and other documents provided to you in connection with this Option by the Company or its Plan Administrator, and you agree to be bound by all the terms and provisions thereof and by the Company's Insider Trading Policy, as each may be amended from time to time.

15. Modification of Agreement.

This Agreement may be modified, amended, supplemented or terminated, and any terms or conditions may be waived, but only by a written instrument executed both parties hereto; provided that the Company may modify, amend, supplement or terminate this Agreement in a writing signed by the Company without any further action by you if such modification, amendment, supplement or termination does not adversely affect your rights hereunder.

16. Invalidity of Provisions.

The invalidity or unenforceability of any provision of this Agreement in any jurisdiction shall not affect the validity or enforceability of the remainder of this Agreement in that jurisdiction or the validity or enforceability of this Agreement, including that provision, in any other jurisdiction. If any provision of this Agreement is held unlawful or unenforceable in any respect, such provision shall be revised or applied in a manner that renders it lawful and enforceable to the fullest extent possible.

17. Binding Effect.

This Agreement shall inure to the benefit of and be binding upon the parties hereto and their respective heirs, legal representatives, successors and assigns.

18. Headings.

The headings and captions contained herein are for convenience only and shall not control or affect the meaning or construction of any provision hereof.

19. Resolution of Disputes.

Any dispute or disagreement which may arise under, or as a result of, or in any way relate to, the interpretation, construction or application of this Agreement shall first be referred to the Chief Executive Officer for informal resolution, and if necessary, referred to the Committee for its determination. Any determination made hereunder shall be final, binding and conclusive on you, your heirs, executors, administrators and successors, and the Company and its Subsidiaries for all purposes.

20. Governing Law.

This Agreement and the rights and obligations of the parties hereto shall be governed by, and construed in accordance with, the laws of the State of Delaware without giving effect to the principles of conflicts of laws thereof.

21. Specific Performance.

The parties hereto acknowledge that there will be no adequate remedy at law for a violation of any of the provisions of this Agreement and that, in addition to any other remedies which may be available; all of the provisions of this Agreement shall be specifically enforceable in accordance with their respective terms.

22. Notice.

All notifications and other communications hereunder shall be in writing and, unless otherwise provided herein, shall be deemed to have been given when received by the party to whom such notice is to be given at its address set forth below, or such other address for the party as shall be specified by notice given pursuant hereto:

(a) If to the Company, by regular mail to:

Community Health Systems, Inc.
4000 Meridian Boulevard
Franklin, TN 37067
Attention: General Counsel

(b) If to you or your legal representative, to such person at the address as reflected in the records of the Company.

23. Consent to Jurisdiction.

Each party hereby irrevocably and unconditionally consents to submit to the exclusive jurisdiction of the courts of the State of Tennessee and of the United States of America, in each case located in the County of Williamson, for any actions, suits or proceedings arising out of or relating to this Agreement, the Option or the Plan and the transactions contemplated hereby and thereby ("Litigation") (and agrees not to commence any Litigation except in any such court), and further agrees that service of process, summons, notice or document by U.S. certified mail to such party's respective address set forth in Section 22 hereof shall be effective service of process for any Litigation brought against such party in any such court. Each party hereby irrevocably and unconditionally waives any objection to the laying of venue of any litigation in the

courts of the State of Tennessee or of the United States of America, in each case located in the County of Williamson, and hereby further irrevocably and unconditionally waives and agrees not to plead or claim in any such court that any Litigation brought in any such court has been brought in an inconvenient forum.

22. Deemed Execution. On the date of your electronic acceptance of the terms of the Option and this Agreement, this Agreement shall be deemed to have been executed and delivered by you and the Company.

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC.

Exhibit 12

STATEMENT RE: COMPUTATION OF RATIO OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Earnings					
Income from continuing operations before provision for income taxes	$ 447,662	$ 518,894	$ 473,547	$ 503,771	$ 305,862
Income from equity investees	(36,531)	(45,443)	(49,491)	(42,033)	(42,641)
Distributed income from equity investees	33,705	33,882	39,995	32,255	58,692
Interest and amortization of deferred finance costs	643,608	647,593	644,410	622,933	615,147
Amortization of capitalized interest	2,021	2,421	2,882	3,524	4,016
Implicit rental interest expense	59,384	62,116	63,695	68,207	71,853
Total earnings	$ 1,149,849	$ 1,219,463	$ 1,175,038	$ 1,188,657	$ 1,012,929
Fixed Charges					
Interest and amortization of deferred finance costs	$ 643,608	$ 647,593	$ 644,410	$ 622,933	$ 615,147
Capitalized interest	16,649	11,316	20,998	23,933	10,492
Implicit rental interest expense	59,384	62,116	63,695	68,207	71,853
Total fixed charges	$ 719,641	$ 721,025	$ 729,103	$ 715,073	$ 697,492
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges	1.60 x	1.69 x	1.61 x	1.66 x	1.45 x

Exhibit 21

Community Health Systems, Inc.
SUBSIDIARY LISTING

(*) Majority position held in an entity with physicians, non-profit entities or both
(#) Minority position held in a non-consolidating entity

5300 Grand Limited Partnership* (OK)	
A Woman's Place, LLC (DE)	
Abilene Clinic Asset Holding Company, LLC (DE)	
Abilene Hospital, LLC (DE)	
Abilene Merger, LLC (DE)	
Affinity Health Systems, LLC (DE)	
Affinity Hospital, LLC (DE)	d/b/a Trinity Medical Center
Affinity Orthopedic Services, LLC (DE)	
Affinity Physician Services, LLC (DE)	
Affinity Skilled Nursing, LLC (DE)	
Alaska Physician Services, LLC (DE)	
Alice Hospital, LLC (DE)	
Ambulance Services of Dyersburg, Inc. (TN)	
Ambulance Services of Forrest City, LLC (AR)	
Ambulance Services of Lexington, Inc. (TN)	
Ambulance Services of McKenzie, Inc. (TN)	
Ambulance Services of McNairy, Inc. (TN)	
Ambulance Services of Tooele, LLC (DE)	
American Health Facilities Development, LLC (DE)	
Anesthesiology Group of Hattiesburg, LLC (DE)	
Angelo Community Healthcare Services, Inc. (TX)	
Anna Clinic Corp. (IL)	
Anna Home Care Services, LLC (DE)	
Anna Hospital Corporation (IL)	d/b/a Union County Hospital
APS Medical, LLC (DE)	
Arizona ASC Management, Inc. (AZ)	
Arizona DH, LLC (DE)	
Arizona Medco, LLC (DE)	
ARMC, LP (DE)	d/b/a Abilene Regional Medical Center
Arusha LLC* (PA)	
ASC JV Holdings, LLC (DE)	
ASCOA JV, LLC* (DE)	
Augusta Health System, LLC* (DE)	
Augusta Home Care Services, LLC (DE)	
Augusta Hospital, LLC* (DE)	d/b/a Trinity Hospital of Augusta
Augusta Physician Services, LLC (DE)	
Barberton Health System, LLC (DE)	
Barberton Physician Services, LLC (DE)	
Barstow Healthcare Management, Inc. (CA)	
Beauco, LLC (DE)	
Beaumont Medical Center, L.P. (DE)	
Beaumont Regional, LLC (DE)	
Berwick Clinic Company, LLC (DE)	
Berwick Clinic Corp. (PA)	

Berwick Home Care Services, LLC (DE)	
Berwick Home Health Private Care, Inc. (PA)	
Berwick Hospital Company, LLC (DE)	d/b/a Berwick Hospital Center
BH Trans Company, LLC (DE)	
Big Bend Home Care Services, LLC (DE)	
Big Bend Hospital Corporation (TX)	d/b/a Big Bend Regional Medical Center
Big Spring Hospital Corporation (TX)	d/b/a Scenic Mountain Medical Center
Birmingham Holdings, LLC (DE)	
Birmingham Holdings II, LLC (DE)	
Birmingham Home Care Services, LLC (DE)	
Bluefield Holdings, LLC (DE)	
Bluefield Hospital Company, LLC (DE)	d/b/a Bluefield Regional Medical Center
Blue Island Clinic Company, LLC (DE)	
Blue Island HBP Medical Group, LLC (DE)	
Blue Island Home Care Services, LLC (DE)	
Blue Island Hospital Company, LLC (DE)	d/b/a MetroSouth Medical Center
Blue Island Illinois Holdings, LLC (DE)	
Blue Ridge Georgia Hospital Company, LLC* (DE)	d/b/a Fannin Regional Hospital
Bluffton Health System, LLC (DE)	d/b/a Bluffton Regional Medical Center
Bluffton Physician Services, LLC (DE)	
Boyertown Medical Services, L.P.# (PA)	
Brandywine Hospital Malpractice Assistance Fund, Inc. (PA)	
Brazos Valley of Texas, L.P. (DE)	
Brazos Valley Surgical Center, LLC (DE)	
Broken Arrow Medical Group, LLC (DE)	
Brooklyn Medical Associates, LLC (IN)	
Brownsville Clinic Corp. (TN)	
Brownsville Hospital Corporation (TN)	d/b/a Haywood Park Community Hospital
Brownwood Asset Holding Company, LLC (DE)	
Brownwood Hospital, L.P. (DE)	d/b/a Brownwood Regional Medical Center
Brownwood Medical Center, LLC (DE)	
Bullhead City Clinic Corp. (AZ)	
Bullhead City Hospital Corporation (AZ)	d/b/a Western Arizona Regional Medical Center
Bullhead City Hospital Investment Corporation (DE)	
Bullhead City Imaging Corporation (AZ)	
Byrd Medical Clinic, Inc. (LA)	
Cardiology Associates of Spokane, LLC (DE)	
Cardiology Associates of Tri-cities, LLC (DE)	
Carlsbad Medical Center, LLC (DE)	d/b/a Carlsbad Medical Center
Carolina Surgery Center, LLC* (SC)	
Carolinas Internal Medicine, LLC (DE)	
Carolinas Medical Alliance, Inc. (SC)	
Carolinas OB/GYN Medical Group, LLC (DE)	
Cedar Park Clinic Asset Holding Company, LLC (DE)	
Cedar Park Health System, L.P.* (DE)	d/b/a Cedar Park Regional Medical Center
Center for Adult Healthcare, LLC (DE)	
Central Alabama Physician Services, Inc. (AL)	
Centre Clinic Corp. (AL)	
Centre HBP Services, LLC (DE)	
Centre Home Care Corporation (AL)	

Centre Hospital Corporation (AL)	d/b/a Cherokee Medical Center
Centre RHC Corp. (AL)	
Chaves County New Mexico Hospital Company, LLC (DE)	
Chesterfield Clinic Corp. (SC)	
Chesterfield/Marlboro, L.P. (DE)	d/b/a Marlboro Park Hospital; Chesterfield General Hospital
Chestnut Hill Clinic Company, LLC* (DE)	
Chestnut Hill Health System, LLC* (DE)	
CHHS ALF Company, LLC* (DE)	
CHHS Development Company, LLC* (DE)	
CHHS Holdings, LLC (DE)	
CHHS Hospital Company, LLC* (DE)	d/b/a Chestnut Hill Hospital
CHHS Rehab Company, LLC* (DE)	
Children's Mobile Team# (PA)	
CHS Kentucky Holdings, LLC (DE)	
CHS Pennsylvania Holdings, LLC (DE)	
CHS PSO, LLC (DE)	
CHS Realty Holdings I, Inc. (TN)	
CHS Realty Holdings II, Inc. (TN)	
CHS Realty Holdings Joint Venture (TN)	
CHS Receivables Funding, LLC (DE)	
CHS Utah Holdings, LLC (DE)	
CHS Virginia Holdings, LLC (DE)	
CHS Washington Holdings, LLC (DE)	
CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc. (DE)	
CHSPSC Leasing, Inc. (DE)	
Claremore Anesthesia, LLC (DE)	
Claremore Internal Medicine, LLC (DE)	
Claremore Physicians, LLC (DE)	
Claremore Regional Hospital, LLC (DE)	
Clarksville Endoscopy Center, LLC* (DE)	
Clarksville Health System, G.P.* (DE)	d/b/a Gateway Health System
Clarksville Holdings, LLC (DE)	
Clarksville Holdings II, LLC (DE)	
Clarksville Home Care Services, LLC* (DE)	
Clarksville Imaging Center, LLC# (TN)	
Clarksville Physician Services, G.P.* (DE)	
Cleveland Home Care Services, LLC (DE)	
Cleveland Hospital Corporation (TN)	
Cleveland Medical Clinic, Inc. (TN)	
Cleveland PHO, Inc. (TN)	
Cleveland Tennessee Hospital Company, LLC (DE)	d/b/a SkyRidge Medical Center
Clinton County Health System, LLC (DE)	
Clinton Hospital Corporation (PA)	d/b/a Lock Haven Hospital
Coatesville Cardiology Clinic, LLC (DE)	
Coatesville Clinic Company, LLC (DE)	
Coatesville Hospital Corporation (PA)	d/b/a Brandywine Hospital
C-OK, LLC (DE)	
College Station Clinic Asset Holding Company, LLC (DE)	
College Station Hospital, L.P. (DE)	d/b/a College Station Medical Center

Kosciusko Medical Group, LLC (DE)	
Lake Area Physician Services, LLC (DE)	
Lake Area Surgicare, A Partnership in Commendam* (LA)	
Lake Wales Clinic Corp. (FL)	
Lake Wales Hospital Corporation* (FL)	d/b/a Lake Wales Medical Center
Lake Wales Hospital Investment Corporation* (FL)	
Lake Wales Imaging Center, LLC (DE)	
Lakeland Home Care Services, LLC (DE)	
Lakeway Hospital Corporation (TN)	
Lancaster Clinic Corp. (SC)	
Lancaster Home Care Services, LLC (DE)	
Lancaster Hospital Corporation (DE)	d/b/a Springs Memorial Hospital
Lancaster Imaging Center, LLC (SC)	
Laredo Clinic Asset Holding Company, LLC (DE)	
Laredo Texas Hospital Company, L.P.* (TX)	d/b/a Laredo Medical Center
Las Cruces ASC-GP, LLC (DE)	
Las Cruces Home Care Services, LLC (DE)	
Las Cruces Medical Center, LLC (DE)	d/b/a Mountain View Regional Medical Center
Las Cruces Physician Services, LLC (DE)	
Las Cruces Surgery Center, L.P.* (DE)	
Lea Regional Hospital, LLC (DE)	d/b/a Lea Regional Medical Center
Lexington Clinic Corp. (TN)	
Lexington Family Physicians, LLC (DE)	
Lexington Home Care Services, LLC (DE)	
Lexington Hospital Corporation (TN)	d/b/a Henderson County Community Hospital
Lindenhurst Illinois Hospital Company, LLC (IL)	
Lindenhurst Surgery Center, LLC* (DE)	
Lock Haven Clinic Company, LLC (DE)	
Lock Haven Home Care Services, LLC (DE)	
Logan Hospital Corporation (WV)	
Logan, West Virginia Hospital Company, LLC (WV)	
Longview Clinic Operations Company, LLC (DE)	
Longview Medical Center, L.P. (DE)	d/b/a Longview Regional Medical Center
Longview Merger, LLC (DE)	
Longview Outpatient Physical Therapy, LLC (DE)	
Louisa Home Care Services, LLC (DE)	
LRH, LLC (DE)	
LS Psychiatric, LLC (DE)	
Lufkin Clinic Asset Holding Company, LLC (DE)	
Lutheran Health Network CBO, LLC (DE)	
Lutheran Health Network Investors, LLC* (DE)	
Lutheran Health Network of Indiana, LLC (DE)	
Lutheran Medical Group, LLC (DE)	
Lutheran Medical Office Park Property Owners Association, Inc.# (IN)	
Lutheran Medical Office Park Phase II Property Owners Association, Inc. # (IN)	
Lutheran Musculoskeletal Center, LLC* (DE)	
Lutheran/TRMA Network, LLC# (IN)	
Macon Healthcare, LLC# (DE)	
Madison Clinic Corp. (TN)	

Madison Hospital, LLC (AL)	
Marion Hospital Corporation (IL)	d/b/a Heartland Regional Medical Center
Marion Physician Services, LLC (DE)	
Marlboro Clinic Corp. (SC)	
Martin Clinic Corp. (TN)	
Martin Hospital Corporation (TN)	d/b/a Volunteer Community Hospital
Martins Ferry Clinic Company, LLC (DE)	
Martins Ferry Hospital Company, LLC (DE)	
Mary Black Health System LLC* (DE)	d/b/a Mary Black Memorial Hospital
Mary Black Medical Office Building Limited Partnership* (SC)	
Mary Black MOB II, L.P.* (SC)	
Mary Black Orthopedic Group, LLC (DE)	
Mary Black Physician Services, LLC (DE)	
Mary Black Physicians Group, LLC (DE)	
Massillon Community Health System LLC (DE)	
Massillon Health System, LLC (DE)	
Massillon Holdings, LLC (DE)	
Massillon Physician Services, LLC (DE)	
Mat-Su Regional ASC GP, LLC (DE)	
Mat-Su Regional Surgery Center, L.P. (DE)	
Mat-Su Valley II, LLC* (AK)	
Mat-Su Valley III, LLC* (AK)	
Mat-Su Valley Medical Center, LLC* (AK)	d/b/a Mat-Su Regional Medical Center
McKenna Court Homes, LLC (DE)	
McKenzie Clinic Corp. (TN)	
McKenzie Physician Services, LLC (DE)	
McKenzie Tennessee Hospital Company, LLC (DE)	d/b/a McKenzie Regional Hospital
McKenzie-Willamette Regional Medical Center Associates, LLC* (DE)	d/b/a McKenzie-Willamette Medical Center
McNairy Clinic Corp. (TN)	
McNairy Hospital Corporation (TN)	d/b/a McNairy Regional Hospital
MCSA, L.L.C. (AR)	
Medical Center at Terrell, LLC (DE)	
Medical Center of Brownwood, LLC (DE)	
Medical Diagnostic Center Associates, LP#	
Medical Holdings, Inc. (KS)	
MEDSTAT, LLC (IN)	
Memorial Hospital of Salem Malpractice Assistance Fund, Inc. (NJ)	
Memorial Management, Inc. (IL)	
Mercy Cardiovascular Cath Lab, LLC# (PA)	
Merger Legacy Holdings, LLC (DE)	
Mesa View Physical Rehabilitation, LLC# (NV)	
Mesa View PT, LLC (DE)	
Mesquite Clinic Management Company, LLC (DE)	
MHS Ambulatory Surgery Center, Inc. (ND)	
Mid-America Health Partners, Inc.#	
Mid-Plains, LLC (DE)	
Minot Health Services, Inc. (ND)	
MMC of Nevada, LLC (DE)	d/b/a Mesa View Regional Hospital
Moberly HBP Medical Group, LLC (DE)	
Moberly Hospital Company, LLC (DE)	d/b/a Moberly Regional Medical Center

Moberly Medical Clinics, Inc. (MO)	
Moberly Physicians Corp. (MO)	
Mohave Imaging Center, LLC (AZ)	
Morristown Clinic Corp. (TN)	
Morristown Professional Centers, Inc. (TN)	
Morristown Surgery Center, LLC (TN)	
MWMC Holdings, LLC (DE)	
Nanticoke Hospital Company, LLC (DE)	d/b/a Special Care Hospital
National Healthcare of England Arkansas, Inc. (AR)	
National Healthcare of Holmes County, Inc. (FL)	
National Healthcare of Leesville, Inc. (DE)	d/b/a Byrd Regional Hospital
National Healthcare of Mt. Vernon, Inc. (DE)	d/b/a Crossroads Community Hospital
National Healthcare of Newport, Inc. (DE)	d/b/a Harris Hospital
Navarro Hospital, L.P. (DE)	d/b/a Navarro Regional Hospital
Navarro Regional, LLC (DE)	
NC-CSH, Inc. (CA)	
NC-DSH, LLC (NV)	
Newport Home Care Services, LLC (DE)	
NHCI of Hillsboro, Inc. (TX)	d/b/a Hill Regional Hospital
North Okaloosa Clinic Corp. (FL)	
North Okaloosa Home Health Corp. (FL)	
North Okaloosa Medical Corp.* (FL)	
North Okaloosa Surgery Venture Corp. (FL)	
Northampton Cardiology Clinic, LLC (DE)	
Northampton Clinic Company, LLC (DE)	
Northampton Endoscopy Center, LLC* (DE)	
Northampton Home Care, LLC (DE)	
Northampton Hospital Company, LLC (DE)	d/b/a Easton Hospital
Northampton Physician Services Corp. (PA)	
Northampton Urgent Care, LLC (DE)	
Northeast Medical Center, L.P. (DE)	
Northeast Radiation Oncology Center, LLC# (PA)	
Northeastern Pennsylvania Imaging Center# (PA)	
Northern Indiana Oncology Center of Porter Memorial Hospital, LLC* (IN)	
Northwest Allied Physicians, LLC (DE)	
Northwest Arkansas Employees, LLC (DE)	
Northwest Arkansas Hospitals, LLC (DE)	d/b/a Northwest Medical Center – Bentonville; Northwest Medical Center – Springdale; Willow Creek Women's Hospital
Northwest Benton County Physician Services, LLC (DE)	
Northwest Cardiology, LLC (DE)	
Northwest Hospital, LLC (DE)	d/b/a Northwest Medical Center
Northwest Indiana Health System, LLC* (DE)	
Northwest Marana Hospital, LLC (DE)	
Northwest Medical Center CT/MRI at Marana, LLC (DE)	
Northwest Physicians, LLC (AR)	
Northwest Rancho Vistoso Imaging Services, LLC (DE)	
Northwest Tucson ASC-GP, LLC (DE)	
NOV Holdings, LLC (DE)	

NRH, LLC (DE)	
Oak Hill Clinic Corp. (WV)	
Oak Hill Hospital Corporation (WV)	d/b/a Plateau Medical Center
Ohio Sleep Disorders Centers, LLC# (OH)	
Oklahoma City ASC-GP, LLC (DE)	
Oklahoma City Home Care Services, LLC (DE)	
Olive Branch Clinic Corp. (MS)	
Olive Branch Hospital, Inc. (MS)	
OHANI, LLC (DE)	
One Boyertown Properties, L.P.# (PA)	
Oro Valley Hospital, LLC (DE)	d/b/a Oro Valley Hospital
Pacific Group ASC Division, Inc. (AZ)	
Pacific Physicians Services, LLC (DE)	
Pain Management Joint Venture, LLP# (TX)	
Palm Drive Hospital, L.P. (DE)	
Palm Drive Medical Center, LLC (DE)	
Palmer-Wasilla Health System, LLC (DE)	
Palmetto Tri-County Medical Specialists, LLC (DE)	
Palmetto Women's Care, LLC (DE)	
Pampa Medical Center, LLC (DE)	
Panhandle Medical Center, LLC (DE)	
Panhandle Surgical Hospital, L.P. (DE)	
Parkway Regional Medical Clinic, Inc. (KY)	
Payson Healthcare Management, Inc. (AZ)	
Payson Home Care Services, LLC (DE)	
Payson Hospital Corporation (AZ)	d/b/a Payson Regional Medical Center
PDMC, LLC (DE)	
Peckville Hospital Company, LLC (DE)	d/b/a Mid-Valley Hospital
Pecos Valley of New Mexico, LLC (DE)	
Peerless Healthcare, LLC (TN)	
Pennsylvania Hospital Company, LLC (DE)	
Petersburg Clinic Company, LLC (VA)	
Petersburg Home Care Services, LLC (DE)	
Petersburg Hospital Company, LLC* (VA)	d/b/a Southside Regional Medical Center
Phillips & Coker OB-GYN, LLC (DE)	
Phillips Clinic Corp. (AR)	
Phillips Hospital Corporation (AR)	d/b/a Helena Regional Medical Center
Phoenix Surgical, LLC (DE)	
Phoenixville Clinic Company, LLC (DE)	
Phoenixville Hospital Company, LLC (DE)	d/b/a Phoenixville Hospital
Phoenixville Hospital Malpractice Assistance Fund, Inc. (PA)	
Phoenixville Orthopedic Specialists, LLC (DE)	
Phoenixville Specialty Clinics, LLC (DE)	
Physician Practice Support, Inc. (TN)	
Piney Woods Healthcare System, L.P.* (DE)	d/b/a Woodland Heights Medical Center
Plymouth Hospital Corporation (NC)	
Polk Medical Services, Inc. (TN)	
Ponca City Home Care Services, Inc. (OK)	
Porter Health Services, LLC (DE)	
Porter Hospital, LLC* (DE)	d/b/a Porter Regional Hospital

Porter Physician Services, LLC (DE)	
Pottstown Clinic Company, LLC (DE)	
Pottstown Home Care Services, LLC (DE)	
Pottstown Hospital Company, LLC (DE)	d/b/a Pottstown Memorial Medical Center
Pottstown Hospital Corporation (PA)	
Pottstown Imaging Company, LLC (DE)	
Pottstown Medical Specialists, Inc.# (PA)	
Pottstown Memorial Ambulance Company, LLC (DE)	
Pottstown Memorial Malpractice Assistance Fund, Inc. (PA)	
Pottstown Professional Services Company, LLC (DE)	
PremierCare of Arkansas, LLC# (DE)	
PremierCare of Northwest Arkansas, LLC* (AR)	
Premier Care Super PHO, LLC (DE)	
Procure Solutions, LLC (DE)	
Professional Account Services Inc. (TN)	
QHG Georgia Holdings, Inc. (GA)	
QHG Georgia Holdings II, LLC (DE)	
QHG Georgia, L.P. (GA)	
QHG of Barberton, Inc. (OH)	
QHG of Bluffton Company, LLC (DE)	
QHG of Clinton County, Inc. (IN)	
QHG of Enterprise, Inc. (AL)	d/b/a Medical Center Enterprise
QHG of Forrest County, Inc. (MS)	
QHG of Fort Wayne Company, LLC (DE)	
QHG of Hattiesburg, Inc. (MS)	
QHG of Kenmare, Inc. (ND)	
QHG of Lake City, Inc. (SC)	
QHG of Massillon, Inc. (OH)	
QHG of Minot, Inc. (ND)	
QHG of Ohio, Inc. (OH)	
QHG of South Carolina, Inc. (SC)	d/b/a Carolinas Hospital System; Carolinas Hospital System - Marion
QHG of Spartanburg, Inc. (SC)	
QHG of Springdale, Inc. (AR)	
QHG of Texas, Inc. (TX)	
QHG of Warsaw Company, LLC (DE)	
QHR Healthcare Affiliates, LLC (DE)	
QHR Intensive Resources, LLC (DE)	
QHR International, LLC (DE)	
QHR Naples ASC, LLC (DE)	
Quorum ELF, Inc. (DE)	
Quorum Health Resources, LLC (DE)	
Quorum Health Services, Inc. (DE)	
Quorum Purchasing Advantage, LLC (DE)	
Quorum Solutions, LLC (DE)	
Red Bud Clinic Corp. (IL)	
Red Bud Home Care Services, LLC (DE)	
Red Bud Hospital Corporation (IL)	
Red Bud Illinois Hospital Company, LLC (IL)	d/b/a Red Bud Regional Hospital
Red Bud Physician Group, LLC (DE)	

Regional Cancer Treatment Center, Ltd.# (TX)	
Regional Employee Assistance Program (TX)	
Regional Hospital of Longview, LLC (DE)	
Regional Surgical Services, LLC* (VA)	
Rehab Hospital of Fort Wayne General Partnership* (DE)	
Revenue Cycle Service Center, LLC (DE)	
River Region Medical Corporation (MS)	
River to River Heart Group, LLC (IL)	
Rockwood Clinic, P.S.* (WA)	
Rockwood Clinic Real Estate Holdings, LLC (DE)	
Ronceverte Physician Group, LLC (DE)	
Roswell Clinic Corp. (NM)	
Roswell Community Hospital Investment Corporation (DE)	
Roswell Hospital Corporation (NM)	d/b/a Eastern New Mexico Medical Center
Russell County Clinic Corp. (VA)	
Russell County Medical Center, Inc. (VA)	
Ruston Clinic Company, LLC (DE)	
Ruston Hospital Corporation (DE)	
Ruston Louisiana Hospital Company, LLC (DE)	d/b/a Northern Louisiana Medical Center
SACMC, LLC (DE)	
Salem Clinic Corp. (NJ)	
Salem Home Care Services, LLC (DE)	
Salem Hospital Corporation (NJ)	d/b/a The Memorial Hospital of Salem County
Samaritan Surgicenters of Arizona II, LLC (AZ)	
San Angelo Ambulatory Surgery Center, Ltd.# (TX)	
San Angelo Community Medical Center, LLC (DE)	
San Angelo Hospital, L.P.# (DE)	d/b/a San Angelo Community Medical Center
San Angelo Medical, LLC (DE)	
San Leandro, LLC (DE)	
San Leandro Hospital, L.P. (DE)	
San Leandro Medical Center, LLC (DE)	
San Leandro Surgery Center, Ltd.# (CA)	
San Miguel Clinic Corp. (NM)	
San Miguel Hospital Corporation (NM)	d/b/a Alta Vista Regional Hospital
SCA JV, LLC* (DE)	
Scenic Managed Services, Inc. (TX)	
Schuylkill Internal Medicine Associates, LLC (DE)	
Scranton Cardiovascular Physician Services, LLC (DE)	
Scranton Clinic Company, LLC (DE)	
Scranton Emergency Physician Services, LLC (DE)	
Scranton GP Holdings, LLC (DE)	
Scranton Holdings, LLC (DE)	
Scranton Home Care Services, LLC (DE)	
Scranton Hospital Company, LLC (DE)	d/b/a Regional Hospital of Scranton
Scranton Hospitalist Physician Services, LLC (DE)	
Scranton Quincy Ambulance, LLC (DE)	
Scranton Quincy Clinic Company, LLC (DE)	
Scranton Quincy Holdings, LLC (DE)	
Scranton Quincy Home Care Services, LLC (DE)	
Scranton Quincy Hospital Company, LLC (DE)	d/b/a Moses Taylor Hospital

Scranton Quincy QRFS, LLC (DE)	
Sebastopol, LLC (DE)	
Senior Circle Association (TN)	
SEPA Integrated Providers Alliance, LLC (DE)	
Sharon Clinic Company, LLC (DE)	
Sharon Home Care Services, LLC (DE)	
Sharon Pennsylvania Holdings, LLC (DE)	
Sharon Pennsylvania Hospital Company, LLC (DE)	
Shelby Alabama Real Estate, LLC (DE)	
Shelbyville Clinic Corp. (TN)	
Shelbyville Home Care Services, LLC (DE)	
Shelbyville Hospital Corporation (TN)	d/b/a Heritage Medical Center
Sherman Hospital, L.P. (DE)	
Sherman Medical Center, LLC (DE)	
Siloam Springs Arkansas Hospital Company, LLC (DE)	d/b/a Siloam Springs Regional Hospital
Siloam Springs Clinic Company, LLC (DE)	
Siloam Springs Holdings, LLC (DE)	
Silver Creek MRI, LLC (AZ)	
SJ Home Care, LLC (DE)	
SkyRidge Clinical Associates, LLC (DE)	
SLH, LLC (DE)	
SMMC Medical Group (TX)	
Software Sales Corp. (TN)	
South Alabama Managed Care Contracting, Inc. (AL)	
South Alabama Medical Management Services, Inc. (AL)	
South Alabama Physician Services, Inc. (AL)	
South Arkansas Clinic, LLC (DE)	
South Arkansas Physician Services, LLC (DE)	
South Tulsa Medical Group, LLC (DE)	
SouthCrest Anesthesia Group, LLC (DE)	
SouthCrest Medical Group, LLC (DE)	
SouthCrest, L.L.C. (OK)	
Southeast Alabama Maternity Center, LLC# (AL)	
Southern Chester County Medical Building I* (PA)	
Southern Chester County Medical Building II* (PA)	
Southern Illinois Medical Care Associates, LLC (IL)	
Southern Texas Medical Center, LLC (DE)	
Southside Physician Network, LLC (DE)	
Spokane Home Care Services, LLC (DE)	
Spokane Valley Washington Hospital Company, LLC (DE)	d/b/a Valley Hospital and Medical Center
Spokane Washington Hospital Company, LLC (DE)	d/b/a Deaconess Hospital
Springdale Home Care Services, LLC (DE)	
Springfield Oregon Holdings, LLC (DE)	
Sprocket Medical Management, LLC (TX)	
SS ParentCo., LLC (DE)	
St. Joseph Health System, LLC* (DE)	d/b/a St. Joseph Health System
St. Joseph Medical Group, Inc. (IN)	
StrokeCareNow, LLC# (IN)	
Summerlin Hospital Medical Center, LLC# (DE)	
Summit Surgical Suites, LLC# (IN)	

Sunbury Clinic Company, LLC (DE)	
Sunbury Hospital Company, LLC* (DE)	d/b/a Sunbury Community Hospital
Surgery Center of Salem County, L.L.C.* (NJ)	
Surgical Center of Amarillo, LLC (DE)	
Surgical Center of Carlsbad, LLC (DE)	
Surgicare of Independence, Inc. (MO)	
Surgicare of San Leandro, Inc. (CA)	
Surgicare of Sherman, Inc. (TX)	
Surgicare of Victoria, Inc. (TX)	
Surgicare of Victoria, Ltd. (TX)	
Surgicare Outpatient Center of Lake Charles, Inc. (LA)	
Surgicenter of Johnson County, Inc. (KS)	
Surgicenters of America, Inc. (AZ)	
SVRMC-HBP, LLC (DE)	
Tennyson Holdings, LLC (DE)	
Terrell Hospital, L.P. (DE)	
Terrell Medical Center, LLC (DE)	
Texas Bay Area Clinical Services, Inc. (TX)	
The Sleep Disorder Center of Wyoming Valley, LLC (PA)	
The Vicksburg Clinic, LLC (DE)	
Three Rivers Medical Clinics, Inc. (KY)	
Timberland Medical Group (TX)	
Tomball Ambulatory Surgery Center, L.P. (TX)	
Tomball Clinic Asset Holding Company, LLC (DE)	
Tomball Equipment Leasing Company, LLC* (TX)	
Tomball Texas Equipment Ventures, LLC (TX)	
Tomball Texas Holdings, LLC (DE)	
Tomball Texas Home Care Services, LLC (DE)	
Tomball Texas Hospital Company, LLC (DE)	d/b/a Tomball Regional Medical Center
Tomball Texas Ventures, LLC (DE)	
Tooele Clinic Corp. (UT)	
Tooele Home Care Services, LLC (DE)	
Tooele Hospital Corporation (UT)	d/b/a Mountain West Medical Center
Triad Corporate Services, Limited Partnership (DE)	
Triad CSGP, LLC (DE)	
Triad CSLP, LLC (DE)	
Triad Healthcare Corporation (DE)	
Triad Healthcare System of Phoenix, L.P. (DE)	
Triad Holdings III, LLC (DE)	
Triad Holdings IV, LLC (DE)	
Triad Holdings V, LLC (DE)	
Triad Holdings VI, Inc. (DE)	
Triad Indiana Holdings, LLC* (DE)	
Triad Nevada Holdings, LLC (DE)	
Triad of Alabama, LLC (DE)	d/b/a Flowers Hospital
Triad of Arizona (L.P.), Inc. (AZ)	
Triad of Oregon, LLC (DE)	
Triad of Phoenix, Inc. (AZ)	
Triad RC, Inc. (DE)	
Triad-Arizona I, Inc. (AZ)	

Triad-ARMC, LLC (DE)	
Triad-Denton Hospital GP, LLC (DE)	
Triad-Denton Hospital, L.P. (DE)	
Triad-El Dorado, Inc. (AR)	
Triad-Navarro Regional Hospital Subsidiary, LLC (DE)	
Triad-South Tulsa Hospital Company, Inc. (OK)	
Tri-Irish, Inc. (DE)	
Tri-World, LLC (DE)	
TROSCO, LLC (DE)	
Troy Hospital Corporation (AL)	
Tunkhannock Clinic Company, LLC (DE)	
Tunkhannock Hospital Company, LLC (DE)	d/b/a Tyler Memorial Hospital
Tunkhannock Hospital Physician Services, LLC (DE)	
Tuscora Park Medical Specialists, LLC (DE)	
Upper Delaware Valley Cancer Center Associates# (PA)	
USRC Montgomery Partners, LLC# (PA)	
USRC PMMC, LLC# (PA)	
USRC Pottstown, LLC# (PA)	
Valley Advanced Imaging, LLC# (IN)	
Valley Advanced MRI, LLC# (IN)	
Valley Health System, LLC# (DE)	
ValleyCare Cardiology Group, LLC (DE)	
Vanderbilt-Gateway Cancer Center, G.P.# (DE)	
VHC Holdings, LLC (DE)	
VHC Medical, LLC (DE)	
Vicksburg Healthcare, LLC (DE)	d/b/a River Region Medical Center
Vicksburg Surgical Center, LLC (DE)	
Victoria Clinic Asset Holding Company, LLC (DE)	
Victoria Hospital, LLC (DE)	
Victoria of Texas, L.P. (DE)	d/b/a DeTar Hospital Navarro; DeTar Hospital North
Victoria Texas Home Care Services, LLC (DE)	
Village Medical Center Associates, LLC (DE)	
Virginia Care Company, LLC (DE)	
Virginia Hospital Company, LLC (VA)	
WA-SPOK DH CRNA, LLC (DE)	
WA-SPOK DH Urgent Care, LLC (DE)	
WA-SPOK Kidney Care, LLC (DE)	
WA-SPOK Medical Care, LLC (DE)	
WA-SPOK Primary Care, LLC (DE)	
WA-SPOK Pulmonary & Critical Care, LLC (DE)	
WA-SPOK VH CRNA, LLC (DE)	
WA-SPOK VH Urgent Care, LLC (DE)	
Warren Ohio Hospital Company, LLC (DE)	d/b/a Trumbull Memorial Hospital
Warren Ohio Physician Services, LLC (DE)	
Warren Ohio Rehab Hospital Company, LLC (DE)	
Warsaw Health System, LLC* (DE)	d/b/a Kosciusko Community Hospital
Washington Clinic Corp. (MS)	
Washington Hospital Corporation (MS)	
Washington Physician Corp. (MS)	
Watsonville Hospital Corporation (DE)	d/b/a Watsonville Community Hospital

Waukegan Clinic Corp. (IL)	
Waukegan Hospice Corp. (IL)	
Waukegan Hospital Corporation (IL)	
Waukegan Illinois Hospital Company, LLC (IL)	d/b/a Vista Medical Center East; Vista Medical Center West
Weatherford Home Care Services, LLC (DE)	
Weatherford Hospital Corporation (TX)	
Weatherford Texas Hospital Company, LLC (TX)	d/b/a Weatherford Regional Medical Center
Webb County Texas Home Care Services, LLC (DE)	
Webb Hospital Corporation (DE)	
Webb Hospital Holdings, LLC (DE)	
Wesley Health System, LLC (DE)	d/b/a Wesley Medical Center
Wesley HealthTrust, Inc. (MS)	
Wesley Physician Services, LLC (DE)	
West Anaheim Medical Center, LLC (DE)	
West Anaheim, LLC (DE)	
West Grove Clinic Company, LLC (DE)	
West Grove Family Practice, LLC (DE)	
West Grove Home Care, LLC (DE)	
West Grove Hospital Company, LLC (DE)	d/b/a Jennersville Regional Hospital
Western Arizona Regional Home Health and Hospice, Inc. (AZ)	
Western Illinois Kidney Center, L.L.C.# (IL)	
Western Reserve Health Education, Inc. (DE)	
Wharton Medco, LLC (DE)	
Wheeling HBP Clinic Company, LLC (DE)	
Wheeling West Virginia Clinic Company, LLC (DE)	
Wheeling West Virginia Hospital Company, LLC (DE)	
WHMC, LLC (DE)	
Wichita Falls Texas Home Care Corporation (TX)	
Wichita Falls Texas Private Duty Corporation (TX)	
Wilkes-Barre Academic Medicine, LLC (DE)	
Wilkes-Barre Behavioral Hospital Company, LLC (DE)	
Wilkes-Barre Behavioral Ventures, LLC (DE)	
Wilkes-Barre Clinic Company, LLC (DE)	
Wilkes-Barre Community Residential Unit, LLC (DE)	
Wilkes-Barre Holdings, LLC (DE)	
Wilkes-Barre Home Care Services, LLC (DE)	
Wilkes-Barre Hospital Company, LLC (DE)	d/b/a Wilkes-Barre General Hospital
Wilkes-Barre Intermountain Clinic, LLC (DE)	
Wilkes-Barre Personal Care Services, LLC (DE)	
Wilkes-Barre Skilled Nursing Services, LLC (DE)	
Willamette Community Medical Group, LLC (DE)	
Williamston Clinic Corp. (NC)	
Williamston HBP Services, LLC (DE)	
Williamston Hospital Corporation (NC)	d/b/a Martin General Hospital
Wiregrass Clinic, LLC (DE)	
Women & Children's Hospital, LLC (DE)	d/b/a Lake Area Medical Center
Women's Health Partners, LLC (DE)	
Woodland Heights Medical Center, LLC (DE)	
Woodward Clinic Company, LLC (DE)	
Woodward Health System, LLC (DE)	d/b/a Woodward Hospital

Woodward Home Care Services, LLC (DE)	
York Anesthesiology Physician Services, LLC (DE)	
York Clinic Company, LLC (DE)	
York Home Care Services, LLC (DE)	
York Pathology Physician Services, LLC (DE)	
York Pennsylvania Holdings, LLC (DE)	
York Pennsylvania Hospital Company, LLC (DE)	d/b/a Memorial Hospital
Youngstown Home Care Services, LLC (DE)	
Youngstown Ohio Hospital Company, LLC (DE)	d/b/a Northside Medical Center
Youngstown Ohio Laboratory Services Company, LLC (DE)	
Youngstown Ohio Outpatient Services Company, LLC (DE)	
Youngstown Ohio Physician Services Company, LLC (DE)	
Youngstown Ohio PSC, LLC (DE)	

Exhibit 23.1

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We consent to the incorporation by reference in Registration Statement No. 333-181630 on Form S-3 and Registration Nos. 333-44870, 333-61614, 333-100349, 333-107810, 333-121282, 333-121283, 333-144525, 333-163688, 333-163689, 333-163690, 333-163691, 333-176893, 333-188343 and 333-190260 on Form S-8 of our reports dated February 26, 2014, relating to the consolidated financial statements and consolidated financial statement schedule of Community Health Systems, Inc. and subsidiaries, and the effectiveness of Community Health Systems, Inc. and subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting, appearing in this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Community Health Systems, Inc. and subsidiaries for the year ended December 31, 2013.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Nashville, Tennessee
February 26, 2014

Exhibit 31.1

I, Wayne T. Smith, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Community Health Systems, Inc.;

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and we have:

a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

b) designed such internal controls over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors:

a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Wayne T. Smith
Wayne T. Smith
Chairman of the Board
and Chief Executive Officer

Date: February 26, 2014

Exhibit 31.2

I, W. Larry Cash, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Community Health Systems, Inc.;

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material faet necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and we have:

a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

b) designed such internal controls over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors:

a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ W. Larry Cash
W. Larry Cash
President of Financial Services,
Chief Financial Officer and Director

Date: February 26, 2014

Exhibit 32.1

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Annual Report of Community Health Systems, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-K for the period ending December 31, 2013, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Wayne T. Smith, Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

(1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and

(2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ WAYNE T. SMITH
Wayne T. Smith
Chairman of the Board and
Chief Executive Officer

February 26, 2014

Exhibit 32.2

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Annual Report of Community Health Systems, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-K for the period ending December 31, 2013, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, W. Larry Cash, President of Financial Services and Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

(1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and

(2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/S/ W. LARRY CASH
W. Larry Cash
President of Financial Services, Chief Financial Officer and Director

February 26, 2014