

ILLINOIS HEALTH FACILITIES AND SERVICES REVIEW BOARD
APPLICATION FOR PERMIT

ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

14-066

SECTION I. IDENTIFICATION, GENERAL INFORMATION, AND CERTIFICATION

This Section must be completed for all projects.

DEC 18 2014

Facility/Project Identification

HEALTH FACILITIES &

Facility Name:	MacNeal Hospital	SERVICES REVIEW BOARD	
Street Address:	3249 South Park Avenue		
City and Zip Code:	Berwyn, IL 60402		
County:	Cook	Health Service Area	VII
		Health Planning Area:	A-06

Applicant /Co-Applicant Identification

[Provide for each co-applicant [refer to Part 1130.220].

Exact Legal Name:	VHS of Illinois, Inc. d/b/a MacNeal Hospital
Address:	3249 South Oak Park Avenue Berwyn, IL 60402
Name of Registered Agent:	
Name of Chief Executive Officer:	Trevor Fetter
CEO Address:	1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1400 Dallas, TX 75202
Telephone Number:	469/893-2000

Type of Ownership of Applicant/Co-Applicant

<input type="checkbox"/>	Non-profit Corporation	<input type="checkbox"/>	Partnership	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	For-profit Corporation	<input type="checkbox"/>	Governmental	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Limited Liability Company	<input type="checkbox"/>	Sole Proprietorship	<input type="checkbox"/> Other

- o Corporations and limited liability companies must provide an **Illinois certificate of good standing.**
- o Partnerships must provide the name of the state in which organized and the name and address of each partner specifying whether each is a general or limited partner.

APPEND DOCUMENTATION AS ATTACHMENT-1 IN NUMERIC SEQUENTIAL ORDER AFTER THE LAST PAGE OF THE APPLICATION FORM.

Primary Contact

[Person to receive ALL correspondence or inquiries]

Name:	Jacob M. Axel
Title:	President
Company Name:	Axel & Associates, Inc.
Address:	675 North Court, Suite 210 Palatine, IL 60067
Telephone Number:	847/776-7101
E-mail Address:	jacobmaxel@msn.com
Fax Number:	847/776-7004

Additional Contact

[Person who is also authorized to discuss the application for permit]

Name:	Honey Jacobs Skinner
Title:	Partner
Company Name:	Sidley
Address:	1 South Dearborn Chicago, IL 60603
Telephone Number:	312/853-7577
E-mail Address:	mskinner@sidley.com
Fax Number:	312/853-7036

**ILLINOIS HEALTH FACILITIES AND SERVICES REVIEW BOARD
APPLICATION FOR PERMIT**

SECTION I. IDENTIFICATION, GENERAL INFORMATION, AND CERTIFICATION

This Section must be completed for all projects.

Facility/Project Identification

Facility Name:	MacNeal Hospital		
Street Address:	3249 South Park Avenue		
City and Zip Code:	Berwyn, IL 60402		
County:	Cook	Health Service Area	VII
			Health Planning Area: A-06

Applicant /Co-Applicant Identification

[Provide for each co-applicant [refer to Part 1130.220].

Exact Legal Name:	Tenet Healthcare Corporation
Address:	1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1400 Dallas, TX 75202
Name of Registered Agent:	
Name of Chief Executive Officer:	Trevor Fetter
CEO Address:	1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1400 Dallas, TX 75202
Telephone Number:	469/893-2000

Type of Ownership of Applicant/Co-Applicant

<input type="checkbox"/>	Non-profit Corporation	<input type="checkbox"/>	Partnership	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	For-profit Corporation	<input type="checkbox"/>	Governmental	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Limited Liability Company	<input type="checkbox"/>	Sole Proprietorship	<input type="checkbox"/> Other

- o Corporations and limited liability companies must provide an **Illinois certificate of good standing**.
- o Partnerships must provide the name of the state in which organized and the name and address of each partner specifying whether each is a general or limited partner.

APPEND DOCUMENTATION AS ATTACHMENT-1 IN NUMERIC SEQUENTIAL ORDER AFTER THE LAST PAGE OF THE APPLICATION FORM.

Primary Contact

[Person to receive ALL correspondence or inquiries]

Name:	Jacob M. Axel
Title:	President
Company Name:	Axel & Associates, Inc.
Address:	675 North Court, Suite 210 Palatine, IL 60067
Telephone Number:	847/776-7101
E-mail Address:	jacobmaxel@msn.com
Fax Number:	847/776-7004

Additional Contact

[Person who is also authorized to discuss the application for permit]

Name:	Honey Jacobs Skinner
Title:	Partner
Company Name:	Sidley
Address:	1 South Dearborn Chicago, IL 60603
Telephone Number:	312/853-7577
E-mail Address:	mskinner@sidley.com
Fax Number:	312/853-7036

Post Permit Contact

[Person to receive all correspondence subsequent to permit issuance-**THIS PERSON MUST BE EMPLOYED BY THE LICENSED HEALTH CARE FACILITY AS DEFINED AT 20 ILCS 3960**

Name:	J. Scott Steiner
Title:	Chief Executive Officer
Company Name:	MacNeal Hospital
Address:	3249 South Oak Park Avenue Berwyn, IL 60402
Telephone Number:	708/783-3002
E-mail Address:	ssteiner@macneal.com
Fax Number:	708/783-3489

Site Ownership

[Provide this information for each applicable site]

Exact Legal Name of Site Owner:	VHS of Illinois, Inc.
Address of Site Owner:	4646 N. Marine Dr. Suite A3200 Chicago, IL 60640
Street Address or Legal Description of Site:	3249 South Oak Park Avenue Berwyn, IL 60402
Proof of ownership or control of the site is to be provided as Attachment 2. Examples of proof of ownership are property tax statement, tax assessor's documentation, deed, notarized statement of the corporation attesting to ownership, an option to lease, a letter of intent to lease or a lease.	
APPEND DOCUMENTATION AS ATTACHMENT-2, IN NUMERIC SEQUENTIAL ORDER AFTER THE LAST PAGE OF THE APPLICATION FORM.	

Operating Identity/Licensee

[Provide this information for each applicable facility, and insert after this page.]

Exact Legal Name:	VHS of Illinois, Inc. d/b/a MacNeal Hospital		
Address:	3249 South Oak Park Avenue Berwyn, IL 60402		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Non-profit Corporation	<input type="checkbox"/>	Partnership
X	For-profit Corporation	<input type="checkbox"/>	Governmental
<input type="checkbox"/>	Limited Liability Company	<input type="checkbox"/>	Sole Proprietorship
		<input type="checkbox"/>	Other
<ul style="list-style-type: none">o Corporations and limited liability companies must provide an Illinois Certificate of Good Standing.o Partnerships must provide the name of the state in which organized and the name and address of each partner specifying whether each is a general or limited partner.o Persons with 5 percent or greater interest in the licensee must be identified with the % of ownership.			
APPEND DOCUMENTATION AS ATTACHMENT-3, IN NUMERIC SEQUENTIAL ORDER AFTER THE LAST PAGE OF THE APPLICATION FORM.			

Organizational Relationships

Provide (for each co-applicant) an organizational chart containing the name and relationship of any person or entity who is related (as defined in Part 1130.140). If the related person or entity is participating in the development or funding of the project, describe the interest and the amount and type of any financial contribution.

APPEND DOCUMENTATION AS ATTACHMENT-4, IN NUMERIC SEQUENTIAL ORDER AFTER THE LAST PAGE OF THE APPLICATION FORM.

Flood Plain Requirements

[Refer to application instructions.]

Provide documentation that the project complies with the requirements of Illinois Executive Order #2005-5 pertaining to construction activities in special flood hazard areas. As part of the flood plain requirements please provide a map of the proposed project location showing any identified floodplain areas. Floodplain maps can be printed at www.FEMA.gov or www.illinoisfloodmaps.org. **This map must be in a readable format.** In addition please provide a statement attesting that the project complies with the requirements of Illinois Executive Order #2005-5 (<http://www.hfsrb.illinois.gov>).

APPEND DOCUMENTATION AS ATTACHMENT -5, IN NUMERIC SEQUENTIAL ORDER AFTER THE LAST PAGE OF THE APPLICATION FORM.

Historic Resources Preservation Act Requirements

[Refer to application instructions.]

Provide documentation regarding compliance with the requirements of the Historic Resources Preservation Act.

APPEND DOCUMENTATION AS ATTACHMENT-6, IN NUMERIC SEQUENTIAL ORDER AFTER THE LAST PAGE OF THE APPLICATION FORM.

DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

1. Project Classification

[Check those applicable - refer to Part 1110.40 and Part 1120.20(b)]

Part 1110 Classification:

Substantive

Non-substantive

2. Narrative Description

Provide in the space below, a brief narrative description of the project. Explain **WHAT** is to be done in **State Board defined terms**, **NOT WHY** it is being done. If the project site does **NOT** have a street address, include a legal description of the site. Include the rationale regarding the project's classification as substantive or non-substantive.

On September 24, 2013 the Illinois Health Facilities and Services Review Board (“IHFSRB”) unanimously approved the Agreement and Plan of Merger between Vanguard Health System (“Vanguard”) and Tenet Healthcare Corporation (“Tenet”), through which 100% of Vanguard’s stock would be acquired by Tenet. That transaction, as described in the four Certificate of Need applications submitted to the IHFSRB, resulted in the change of ownership of VHS-MacNeal Hospital (as well as VHS-Westlake Hospital, VHS-West Suburban Medical Center and VHS-Weiss Memorial Hospital). The change of ownership took place on October 1, 2013, and VHS-MacNeal Hospital now operates as MacNeal Hospital.

Immediately following the change of ownership of the four hospitals, Tenet initiated an evaluation of all services provided at its four Chicago area hospitals. The purpose of the evaluation was to ensure continuity of care for the hospitals’ patients and to ensure that appropriate access to services was being provided for each hospital’s patients. As a result of that evaluation, Tenet identified two issues relating to comprehensive physical rehabilitation (“rehabilitation”) services that are being addressed through this application: First, Tenet identified a compromised continuity of care for MacNeal Hospital patients requiring rehabilitation services, due to the absence of the service at the hospital and the resulting need to transfer patients to other hospitals for rehabilitation services. Second, Tenet identified a significant rehabilitation over-bedding at Westlake Hospital.

Through this Certificate of Need application, the applicants propose to address the continuity of care issue at MacNeal by proposing the establishment of a 12-bed rehabilitation unit through the renovation of existing space (and the associated discontinuation of 12 Medical/Surgical beds). The Medical/Surgical beds to be discontinued are currently designated as part of a subacute care demonstration project approved by the IHFSRB. In addition, and through the letter on the following page, Tenet proposes to address the over-bedding at Westlake Hospital through a commitment to discontinue 12 rehabilitation beds, upon the IHFSRB’s approval for the hospital to do so. Westlake Hospital is currently approved to operate 40 rehabilitation beds, has discussed the potential removal of 12 beds from service with community representatives, and is confident that the discontinuation of the 12 beds will not compromise access to rehabilitation services for the communities traditionally served by Westlake Hospital. The two proposals, when combined, will result in no net change to the number of rehabilitation beds in the planning area.

This is a substantive project because it proposes the establishment of a new category of service at MacNeal Hospital. IHFSRB approval of the discontinuation of 12 rehab beds at Westlake Hospital is required only if the IHFSRB desires that those beds be discontinued prior to October 1, 2015.



December 15, 2015

Ms. Courtney Avery
Administrator
Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
525 W. Jefferson
Springfield, IL 62761

Dear Ms. Avery:

MacNeal Hospital has filed a Certificate of Need application to establish a 12-bed comprehensive physical rehabilitation ("rehabilitation") unit at the hospital. This project is the product of Tenet Healthcare Corporation's ("Tenet") comprehensive review of its rehabilitation services across all four of its Chicago-area hospitals (MacNeal, Weiss, Westlake and West Suburban) since its purchase of these hospitals from Vanguard Health Systems in October, 2013. This application to establish a new rehabilitation unit will result in 12 additional rehabilitation beds in Planning Area 7. Tenet would like to avoid triggering an increase in the planning area's Inventory of rehabilitation beds by offering to reduce its rehabilitation beds at Westlake Hospital by 12 beds. (Westlake is also located in Planning Area 7). This downsizing in Westlake's rehabilitation beds will result in no net increase in rehabilitation beds for the planning area.

We are coming to you in connection with this matter because, as you will recall, Tenet committed to the Illinois Health Facilities and Services Review Board ("IHFSRB") that it would not reduce beds at the four hospitals it purchased from Vanguard until two years following the acquisition. That two-year period will conclude on October 1, 2015. Tenet is willing to reduce the Westlake rehabilitation beds from 40 to 28 upon the IHFSRB's approval of the MacNeal application, which is anticipated to be heard by the agency prior to the expiration of the two-year period. As you may know, we sought Technical Assistance from your staff (copied here) to formally offer to reduce Westlake's rehabilitation beds so that the MacNeal proposal would not represent an increase in the number of rehabilitation beds in Planning Area 7. We informed your staff that Westlake's proposed 28-bed unit will provide sufficient bed capacity to meet our community's in-patient rehabilitation needs. Moreover, we have engaged our community in our plans regarding the proposed downsizing of Westlake's rehabilitation unit and we are unaware of any opposition. In fact, if it is convenient for your schedule, we would appreciate the opportunity to schedule a follow-up Technical Assistance call with you and our CON consultants regarding this matter. We intend to include Rep. Chris Welch, who serves as Board Chair at Westlake for the purpose of answering any questions that you might have regarding community reaction.


Tenet Healthcare

132 Turnpike Road, Suite 200 Southborough, MA 01772-2129 Main Office: 508-363-9900 W: tenethealth.com

Bottom line, Tenet is prepared to discontinue 12 Westlake rehabilitation beds upon the approval of the MacNeal application, and we would agree to a condition on the MacNeal approval that articulates that condition. We seek your direction on this request because, as noted above, the 2-year period will not run until October 1, 2015. As you are aware, the Board has approved discontinuations prior to the end of the 2-year period. (See Presence St. Francis' request to discontinue its pediatric beds.)

We are eager for your input and we will make ourselves available at any time that is convenient for you.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Erik Wexler', with a large, stylized flourish extending to the right.

Erik Wexler
Chief Executive Officer
Northeast Region

cc: Mike Constantino
Jack Axel
Honey Skinner

LR

Project Costs and Sources of Funds

Complete the following table listing all costs (refer to Part 1120.110) associated with the project. When a project or any component of a project is to be accomplished by lease, donation, gift, or other means, the fair market or dollar value (refer to Part 1130.140) of the component must be included in the estimated project cost. If the project contains non-reviewable components that are not related to the provision of health care, complete the second column of the table below. Note, the use and sources of funds must equal.

Project Costs and Sources of Funds			
USE OF FUNDS	CLINICAL	NONCLINICAL	TOTAL
Preplanning Costs	\$35,000		\$35,000
Site Survey and Soil Investigation			
Site Preparation			
Off Site Work			
New Construction Contracts			
Modernization Contracts	1,705,850		1,705,850
Contingencies	78,250		78,250
Architectural/Engineering Fees	195,000		195,000
Consulting and Other Fees	100,000		100,000
Movable or Other Equipment (not in construction contracts)	452,115		452,115
Bond Issuance Expense (project related)			
Net Interest Expense During Construction (project related)			
Fair Market Value of Leased Space or Equipment			
Other Costs To Be Capitalized			
Acquisition of Building or Other Property (excluding land)			
TOTAL USES OF FUNDS	\$2,566,215		\$2,566,215
SOURCE OF FUNDS	CLINICAL	NONCLINICAL	
Cash and Securities	\$2,566,215		\$2,566,215
Pledges			
Gifts and Bequests			
Bond Issues (project related)			
Mortgages			
Leases (fair market value)			
Governmental Appropriations			
Grants			
Other Funds and Sources			
TOTAL SOURCES OF FUNDS	\$2,566,215		\$2,566,215
NOTE: ITEMIZATION OF EACH LINE ITEM MUST BE PROVIDED AT ATTACHMENT-7, IN NUMERIC SEQUENTIAL ORDER AFTER THE LAST PAGE OF THE APPLICATION FORM.			

Related Project Costs

Provide the following information, as applicable, with respect to any land related to the project that will be or has been acquired during the last two calendar years:

Land acquisition is related to project Yes No
Purchase Price: \$ _____
Fair Market Value: \$ _____

The project involves the establishment of a new facility or a new category of service
 Yes No

If yes, provide the dollar amount of all **non-capitalized** operating start-up costs (including operating deficits) through the first full fiscal year when the project achieves or exceeds the target utilization specified in Part 1100.

Estimated start-up costs and operating deficit cost is \$ 630,000*
*estimated at 50% of first year's personnel and medical supply cost

Project Status and Completion Schedules

For facilities in which prior permits have been issued please provide the permit numbers.

Indicate the stage of the project's architectural drawings:

None or not applicable Preliminary
 Schematics Final Working

Anticipated project completion date (refer to Part 1130.140): 9 mo. following receipt of CON Permit

Indicate the following with respect to project expenditures or to obligation (refer to Part 1130.140):

- Purchase orders, leases or contracts pertaining to the project have been executed.
 Project obligation is contingent upon permit issuance. Provide a copy of the contingent "certification of obligation" document, highlighting any language related to CON Contingencies
 Project obligation will occur after permit issuance.

APPEND DOCUMENTATION AS ATTACHMENT-8, IN NUMERIC SEQUENTIAL ORDER AFTER THE LAST PAGE OF THE APPLICATION FORM.

State Agency Submittals

Are the following submittals up to date as applicable:

- Cancer Registry
 APORS
 All formal document requests such as IDPH Questionnaires and Annual Bed Reports been submitted
 All reports regarding outstanding permits
Failure to be up to date with these requirements will result in the application for permit being deemed incomplete.

Cost Space Requirements

Provide in the following format, the department/area **DGSF** or the building/area **BGSF** and cost. The type of gross square footage either **DGSF** or **BGSF** must be identified. The sum of the department costs **MUST** equal the total estimated project costs. Indicate if any space is being reallocated for a different purpose. Include outside wall measurements plus the department's or area's portion of the surrounding circulation space. **Explain the use of any vacated space.**

Dept. / Area	Cost	Gross Square Feet		Amount of Proposed Total Gross Square Feet That Is:			
		Existing	Proposed	New Const.	Modernized	As Is	Vacated Space
REVIEWABLE							
Medical Surgical							
Intensive Care							
Diagnostic Radiology							
MRI							
Total Clinical							
NON REVIEWABLE							
Administrative							
Parking							
Gift Shop							
Total Non-clinical							
TOTAL							

APPEND DOCUMENTATION AS ATTACHMENT-9, IN NUMERIC SEQUENTIAL ORDER AFTER THE LAST PAGE OF THE APPLICATION FORM.

Facility Bed Capacity and Utilization

Complete the following chart, as applicable. Complete a separate chart for each facility that is a part of the project and insert following this page. Provide the existing bed capacity and utilization data for the latest **Calendar Year for which the data are available**. Include **observation days in the patient day totals for each bed service**. Any bed capacity discrepancy from the Inventory will result in the application being deemed **incomplete**.

FACILITY NAME: MacNeal Hospital		CITY: Berwyn			
REPORTING PERIOD DATES: From: January 1, 2013 to: December 31, 2013					
Category of Service	Authorized Beds	Admissions	Patient Days*	Bed Changes	Proposed Beds
Medical/Surgical**	250	10,363	49,532	-12	238
Obstetrics	25	1,969	4,403	None	25
Pediatrics	10	799	3,254	None	10
Intensive Care	26	920	4,948	None	26
Comprehensive Physical Rehabilitation	0	0	0	+12	12
Acute/Chronic Mental Illness	62	2,676	17,628	None	62
Neonatal Intensive Care					
General Long Term Care					
Specialized Long Term Care					
Long Term Acute Care					
Other ((identify)					
TOTALS:	373	16,727	79,765	None	373

*excludes 1,986 Med/Surg, 445 pediatric, 1ICU, 49 Obstetrics and 2 AMI observation days

**the 12 Medical/Surgical beds to be discontinued are part of a subacute demonstration project

CERTIFICATION

The application must be signed by the authorized representative(s) of the applicant entity. The authorized representative(s) are:

- o in the case of a corporation, any two of its officers or members of its Board of Directors;
- o in the case of a limited liability company, any two of its managers or members (or the sole manager or member when two or more managers or members do not exist);
- o in the case of a partnership, two of its general partners (or the sole general partner, when two or more general partners do not exist);
- o in the case of estates and trusts, two of its beneficiaries (or the sole beneficiary when two or more beneficiaries do not exist); and
- o in the case of a sole proprietor, the individual that is the proprietor.

This Application for Permit is filed on the behalf of VHS of Illinois, Inc. d/b/a MacNeal Hospital in accordance with the requirements and procedures of the Illinois Health Facilities Planning Act. The undersigned certifies that he or she has the authority to execute and file this application for permit on behalf of the applicant entity. The undersigned further certifies that the data and information provided herein, and appended hereto, are complete and correct to the best of his or her knowledge and belief. The undersigned also certifies that the permit application fee required for this application is sent herewith or will be paid upon request.

J. Scott Steiner
SIGNATURE
J. Scott Steiner
PRINTED NAME
Chief Executive Officer
PRINTED TITLE

S. J. Owendale
SIGNATURE
S. J. OWENDALE
PRINTED NAME
CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER
PRINTED TITLE

Notarization:
Subscribed and sworn to before me
this 9th day of December, 2014

Notarization:
Subscribed and sworn to before me
this 9th day of December

Marie O'Brien
Signature of Notary

Marie O'Brien
Signature of Notary



CERTIFICATION

The application must be signed by the authorized representative(s) of the applicant entity. The authorized representative(s) are:

- o in the case of a corporation, any two of its officers or members of its Board of Directors;
- o in the case of a limited liability company, any two of its managers or members (or the sole manager or member when two or more managers or members do not exist);
- o in the case of a partnership, two of its general partners (or the sole general partner, when two or more general partners do not exist);
- o in the case of estates and trusts, two of its beneficiaries (or the sole beneficiary when two or more beneficiaries do not exist); and
- o in the case of a sole proprietor, the individual that is the proprietor.

This Application for Permit is filed on the behalf of Tenet Healthcare Corporation * in accordance with the requirements and procedures of the Illinois Health Facilities Planning Act. The undersigned certifies that he or she has the authority to execute and file this application for permit on behalf of the applicant entity. The undersigned further certifies that the data and information provided herein, and appended hereto, are complete and correct to the best of his or her knowledge and belief. The undersigned also certifies that the permit application fee required for this application is sent herewith or will be paid upon request.



SIGNATURE

Erik Wexler

PRINTED NAME

CEO - Northeast Region

PRINTED TITLE



SIGNATURE

Stephen Gilmore

PRINTED NAME

Chief Financial Officer


PRINTED TITLE

Notarization:
Subscribed and sworn to before me
this 10 day of December, 2014




Signature of Notary

Notarization:
Subscribed and sworn to before me
this 10 day of December, 2014



Signature of Notary

Seal



*Insert EXACT legal name of the applicant entity

SECTION III – BACKGROUND, PURPOSE OF THE PROJECT, AND ALTERNATIVES - INFORMATION REQUIREMENTS

This Section is applicable to all projects except those that are solely for discontinuation with no project costs.

Criterion 1110.230 – Background, Purpose of the Project, and Alternatives

READ THE REVIEW CRITERION and provide the following required information:

BACKGROUND OF APPLICANT

1. A listing of all health care facilities owned or operated by the applicant, including licensing, and certification if applicable.
2. A certified listing of any adverse action taken against any facility owned and/or operated by the applicant during the three years prior to the filing of the application.
3. Authorization permitting HFSRB and DPH access to any documents necessary to verify the information submitted, including, but not limited to: official records of DPH or other State agencies; the licensing or certification records of other states, when applicable; and the records of nationally recognized accreditation organizations. **Failure to provide such authorization shall constitute an abandonment or withdrawal of the application without any further action by HFSRB.**
4. If, during a given calendar year, an applicant submits more than one application for permit, the documentation provided with the prior applications may be utilized to fulfill the information requirements of this criterion. In such instances, the applicant shall attest the information has been previously provided, cite the project number of the prior application, and certify that no changes have occurred regarding the information that has been previously provided. The applicant is able to submit amendments to previously submitted information, as needed, to update and/or clarify data.

APPEND DOCUMENTATION AS ATTACHMENT-11, IN NUMERIC SEQUENTIAL ORDER AFTER THE LAST PAGE OF THE APPLICATION FORM. EACH ITEM (1-4) MUST BE IDENTIFIED IN ATTACHMENT 11.

PURPOSE OF PROJECT

1. Document that the project will provide health services that improve the health care or well-being of the market area population to be served.
2. Define the planning area or market area, or other, per the applicant's definition.
3. Identify the existing problems or issues that need to be addressed, as applicable and appropriate for the project. [See 1110.230(b) for examples of documentation.]
4. Cite the sources of the information provided as documentation.
5. Detail how the project will address or improve the previously referenced issues, as well as the population's health status and well-being.
6. Provide goals with quantified and measurable objectives, with specific timeframes that relate to achieving the stated goals **as appropriate.**

For projects involving modernization, describe the conditions being upgraded if any. For facility projects, include statements of age and condition and regulatory citations if any. For equipment being replaced, include repair and maintenance records.

NOTE: Information regarding the "Purpose of the Project" will be included in the State Board Report.

APPEND DOCUMENTATION AS ATTACHMENT-12, IN NUMERIC SEQUENTIAL ORDER AFTER THE LAST PAGE OF THE APPLICATION FORM. EACH ITEM (1-6) MUST BE IDENTIFIED IN ATTACHMENT 12.

ALTERNATIVES

- 1) Identify **ALL** of the alternatives to the proposed project:

Alternative options **must** include:

- A) Proposing a project of greater or lesser scope and cost;
 - B) Pursuing a joint venture or similar arrangement with one or more providers or entities to meet all or a portion of the project's intended purposes; developing alternative settings to meet all or a portion of the project's intended purposes;
 - C) Utilizing other health care resources that are available to serve all or a portion of the population proposed to be served by the project; and
 - D) Provide the reasons why the chosen alternative was selected.
- 2) Documentation shall consist of a comparison of the project to alternative options. The comparison shall address issues of total costs, patient access, quality and financial benefits in both the short term (within one to three years after project completion) and long term. This may vary by project or situation. **FOR EVERY ALTERNATIVE IDENTIFIED THE TOTAL PROJECT COST AND THE REASONS WHY THE ALTERNATIVE WAS REJECTED MUST BE PROVIDED.**
 - 3) The applicant shall provide empirical evidence, including quantified outcome data that verifies improved quality of care, as available.

APPEND DOCUMENTATION AS ATTACHMENT-13, IN NUMERIC SEQUENTIAL ORDER AFTER THE LAST PAGE OF THE APPLICATION FORM.

SECTION IV - PROJECT SCOPE, UTILIZATION, AND UNFINISHED/SHELL SPACE

Criterion 1110.234 - Project Scope, Utilization, and Unfinished/Shell Space

READ THE REVIEW CRITERION and provide the following information:

SIZE OF PROJECT:

1. Document that the amount of physical space proposed for the proposed project is necessary and not excessive. **This must be a narrative.**
2. If the gross square footage exceeds the BGSF/DGSF standards in Appendix B, justify the discrepancy by documenting one of the following:
 - a. Additional space is needed due to the scope of services provided, justified by clinical or operational needs, as supported by published data or studies;
 - b. The existing facility's physical configuration has constraints or impediments and requires an architectural design that results in a size exceeding the standards of Appendix B;
 - c. The project involves the conversion of existing space that results in excess square footage.

Provide a narrative for any discrepancies from the State Standard. A table must be provided in the following format with Attachment 14.

SIZE OF PROJECT				
DEPARTMENT/SERVICE	PROPOSED BGSF/DGSF	STATE STANDARD	DIFFERENCE	MET STANDARD?
Comp. Physical Rehab.	7,825 dgsf	7,920 dgsf	(95)	YES

APPEND DOCUMENTATION AS ATTACHMENT-14, IN NUMERIC SEQUENTIAL ORDER AFTER THE LAST PAGE OF THE APPLICATION FORM.

PROJECT SERVICES UTILIZATION:

This criterion is applicable only to projects or portions of projects that involve services, functions or equipment for which HFSRB has established utilization standards or occupancy targets in 77 Ill. Adm. Code 1100.

Document that in the second year of operation, the annual utilization of the service or equipment shall meet or exceed the utilization standards specified in 1110.Appendix B. **A narrative of the rationale that supports the projections must be provided.**

A table must be provided in the following format with Attachment 15.

UTILIZATION					
	DEPT./ SERVICE	HISTORICAL UTILIZATION (PATIENT DAYS) (TREATMENTS) ETC.	PROJECTED UTILIZATION	STATE STANDARD	MET STANDARD?
YEAR 1					
YEAR 2					

APPEND DOCUMENTATION AS ATTACHMENT-15, IN NUMERIC SEQUENTIAL ORDER AFTER THE LAST PAGE OF THE APPLICATION FORM.

UNFINISHED OR SHELL SPACE:**NOT APPLICABLE**

Provide the following information:

1. Total gross square footage of the proposed shell space;
2. The anticipated use of the shell space, specifying the proposed GSF to be allocated to each department, area or function;
3. Evidence that the shell space is being constructed due to
 - a. Requirements of governmental or certification agencies; or
 - b. Experienced increases in the historical occupancy or utilization of those areas proposed to occupy the shell space.
4. Provide:
 - a. Historical utilization for the area for the latest five-year period for which data are available; and
 - b. Based upon the average annual percentage increase for that period, projections of future utilization of the area through the anticipated date when the shell space will be placed into operation.

APPEND DOCUMENTATION AS ATTACHMENT-16, IN NUMERIC SEQUENTIAL ORDER AFTER THE LAST PAGE OF THE APPLICATION FORM.**ASSURANCES:****NOT APPLICABLE**

Submit the following:

1. Verification that the applicant will submit to HFSRB a CON application to develop and utilize the shell space, regardless of the capital thresholds in effect at the time or the categories of service involved.
2. The estimated date by which the subsequent CON application (to develop and utilize the subject shell space) will be submitted; and
3. The anticipated date when the shell space will be completed and placed into operation.

APPEND DOCUMENTATION AS ATTACHMENT-17, IN NUMERIC SEQUENTIAL ORDER AFTER THE LAST PAGE OF THE APPLICATION FORM.

B. Criterion 1110.630 - Comprehensive Physical Rehabilitation

1. Applicants proposing to establish, expand and/or modernize Comprehensive Physical Rehabilitation category of service must submit the following information:
2. Indicate bed capacity changes by Service: Indicate # of beds changed by action(s):

Category of Service	# Existing Beds	# Proposed Beds
x Comprehensive Physical Rehabilitation	0	12

3. READ the applicable review criteria outlined below and **submit the required documentation for the criteria:**

APPLICABLE REVIEW CRITERIA	Establish	Expand	Modernize
1110.630(b)(1) - Planning Area Need - 77 Ill. Adm. Code 1100 (formula calculation)	X		
1110.630(b)(2) - Planning Area Need - Service to Planning Area Residents	X	X	
1110.630(b)(3) - Planning Area Need - Service Demand - Establishment of Category of Service	X		
1110.630(b)(4) - Planning Area Need - Service Demand - Expansion of Existing Category of Service		X	
1110.630(b)(5) - Planning Area Need - Service Accessibility	X		
1110.630(c)(1) - Unnecessary Duplication of Services	X		
1110.630(c)(2) - Maldistribution	X		
1110.630(c)(3) - Impact of Project on Other Area Providers	X		
1110.630(d)(1) - Deteriorated Facilities			X
1110.630(d)(2) - Documentation			X
1110.630(d)(3) - Documentation Related to Cited Problems			X
1110.630(d)(4) - Occupancy			X
1110.630(e)(1) and (2) - Staffing	X	X	
1110.630(e)(2) - Personnel Qualifications	X		
1110.630(f) - Performance Requirements	X	X	X
1110.630(g) - Assurances	X	X	X
APPEND DOCUMENTATION AS ATTACHMENT-21, IN NUMERIC SEQUENTIAL ORDER AFTER THE LAST PAGE OF THE APPLICATION FORM.			

IX. 1120.130 - Financial Viability

All the applicants and co-applicants shall be identified, specifying their roles in the project funding or guaranteeing the funding (sole responsibility or shared) and percentage of participation in that funding.

not applicable—no debt financing

Financial Viability Waiver

The applicant is not required to submit financial viability ratios if:

1. "A" Bond rating or better
2. All of the projects capital expenditures are completely funded through internal sources
3. The applicant's current debt financing or projected debt financing is insured or anticipated to be insured by MBIA (Municipal Bond Insurance Association Inc.) or equivalent
4. The applicant provides a third party surety bond or performance bond letter of credit from an A rated guarantor.

See Section 1120.130 Financial Waiver for information to be provided

APPEND DOCUMENTATION AS ATTACHMENT-37, IN NUMERIC SEQUENTIAL ORDER AFTER THE LAST PAGE OF THE APPLICATION FORM.

The applicant or co-applicant that is responsible for funding or guaranteeing funding of the project shall provide viability ratios for the latest three years for which audited financial statements are available and for the first full fiscal year at target utilization, but no more than two years following project completion. When the applicant's facility does not have facility specific financial statements and the facility is a member of a health care system that has combined or consolidated financial statements, the system's viability ratios shall be provided. If the health care system includes one or more hospitals, the system's viability ratios shall be evaluated for conformance with the applicable hospital standards.

Provide Data for Projects Classified as:	Category A or Category B (last three years)			Category B (Projected)
Enter Historical and/or Projected Years:				
Current Ratio				
Net Margin Percentage				
Percent Debt to Total Capitalization				
Projected Debt Service Coverage				
Days Cash on Hand				
Cushion Ratio				

Provide the methodology and worksheets utilized in determining the ratios detailing the calculation and applicable line item amounts from the financial statements. Complete a separate table for each co-applicant and provide worksheets for each.

2. Variance

Applicants not in compliance with any of the viability ratios shall document that another organization, public or private, shall assume the legal responsibility to meet the debt obligations should the applicant default.

APPEND DOCUMENTATION AS ATTACHMENT 38, IN NUMERICAL ORDER AFTER THE LAST PAGE OF THE APPLICATION FORM.

X. 1120.140 - Economic Feasibility

This section is applicable to all projects subject to Part 1120.

A. Reasonableness of Financing Arrangements

The applicant shall document the reasonableness of financing arrangements by submitting a notarized statement signed by an authorized representative that attests to one of the following:

- 1) That the total estimated project costs and related costs will be funded in total with cash and equivalents, including investment securities, unrestricted funds, received pledge receipts and funded depreciation; or
- 2) That the total estimated project costs and related costs will be funded in total or in part by borrowing because:
 - A) A portion or all of the cash and equivalents must be retained in the balance sheet asset accounts in order to maintain a current ratio of at least 2.0 times for hospitals and 1.5 times for all other facilities; or
 - B) Borrowing is less costly than the liquidation of existing investments, and the existing investments being retained may be converted to cash or used to retire debt within a 60-day period.

B. Conditions of Debt Financing

not applicable—no debt financing

This criterion is applicable only to projects that involve debt financing. The applicant shall document that the conditions of debt financing are reasonable by submitting a notarized statement signed by an authorized representative that attests to the following, as applicable:

- 1) That the selected form of debt financing for the project will be at the lowest net cost available;
- 2) That the selected form of debt financing will not be at the lowest net cost available, but is more advantageous due to such terms as prepayment privileges, no required mortgage, access to additional indebtedness, term (years), financing costs and other factors;
- 3) That the project involves (in total or in part) the leasing of equipment or facilities and that the expenses incurred with leasing a facility or equipment are less costly than constructing a new facility or purchasing new equipment.

C. Reasonableness of Project and Related Costs

Read the criterion and provide the following:

1. Identify each department or area impacted by the proposed project and provide a cost and square footage allocation for new construction and/or modernization using the following format (insert after this page).

COST AND GROSS SQUARE FEET BY DEPARTMENT OR SERVICE											
Department (list below)	A	B	C		D		E	F	G	H	Total Cost (G + H)
	Cost/Square Foot New	Foot Mod.	Gross Sq. Ft. New	Circ.*	Gross Sq. Ft. Mod.	Circ.*	Const. \$ (A x C)	Mod. \$ (B x E)			
Contingency											
TOTALS											

* Include the percentage (%) of space for circulation

D. Projected Operating Costs

The applicant shall provide the projected direct annual operating costs (in current dollars per equivalent patient day or unit of service) for the first full fiscal year at target utilization but no more than two years following project completion. Direct cost means the fully allocated costs of salaries, benefits and supplies for the service.

E. Total Effect of the Project on Capital Costs

The applicant shall provide the total projected annual capital costs (in current dollars per equivalent patient day) for the first full fiscal year at target utilization but no more than two years following project completion.

APPEND DOCUMENTATION AS ATTACHMENT -39, IN NUMERIC SEQUENTIAL ORDER AFTER THE LAST PAGE OF THE APPLICATION FORM.

Note on Charity Care and Medicaid Patients and Costs

Tenet Healthcare assumed control of its Illinois hospitals on October 1, 2013. Consistent with technical assistance guidance provided by IHFSRB staff, data is provided only for the period following the change of ownership.

XI. Safety Net Impact Statement

SAFETY NET IMPACT STATEMENT that describes all of the following must be submitted for ALL SUBSTANTIVE AND DISCONTINUATION PROJECTS:

1. The project's material impact, if any, on essential safety net services in the community, to the extent that it is feasible for an applicant to have such knowledge.
2. The project's impact on the ability of another provider or health care system to cross-subsidize safety net services, if reasonably known to the applicant.
3. How the discontinuation of a facility or service might impact the remaining safety net providers in a given community, if reasonably known by the applicant.

Safety Net Impact Statements shall also include all of the following:

1. For the 3 fiscal years prior to the application, a certification describing the amount of charity care provided by the applicant. The amount calculated by hospital applicants shall be in accordance with the reporting requirements for charity care reporting in the Illinois Community Benefits Act. Non-hospital applicants shall report charity care, at cost, in accordance with an appropriate methodology specified by the Board.
2. For the 3 fiscal years prior to the application, a certification of the amount of care provided to Medicaid patients. Hospital and non-hospital applicants shall provide Medicaid information in a manner consistent with the information reported each year to the Illinois Department of Public Health regarding "Inpatients and Outpatients Served by Payor Source" and "Inpatient and Outpatient Net Revenue by Payor Source" as required by the Board under Section 13 of this Act and published in the Annual Hospital Profile.
3. Any information the applicant believes is directly relevant to safety net services, including information regarding teaching, research, and any other service.

A table in the following format must be provided as part of Attachment 43.

Safety Net Information per PA 96-0031			
CHARITY CARE			
Charity (# of patients)	10/1/13-6/30/14	Year	Year
Inpatient	180		
Outpatient	1565		
Total	1845		
Charity (cost in dollars)			
Inpatient	\$851,377		
Outpatient	\$866,126		
Total	\$1,717,503		
MEDICAID			
Medicaid (# of patients)	10/1/13-6/30/14	Year	Year
Inpatient	2556		
Outpatient	35060		
Total	37616		
Medicaid (revenue)			
Inpatient	\$16,222,591		
Outpatient	\$9,657,817		
Total	\$25,880,408		

APPEND DOCUMENTATION AS ATTACHMENT-40, IN NUMERIC SEQUENTIAL ORDER AFTER THE LAST PAGE OF THE APPLICATION FORM.

XII. Charity Care Information

Charity Care information MUST be furnished for ALL projects.

1. All applicants and co-applicants shall indicate the amount of charity care for the latest three **audited** fiscal years, the cost of charity care and the ratio of that charity care cost to net patient revenue.
2. If the applicant owns or operates one or more facilities, the reporting shall be for each individual facility located in Illinois. If charity care costs are reported on a consolidated basis, the applicant shall provide documentation as to the cost of charity care; the ratio of that charity care to the net patient revenue for the consolidated financial statement; the allocation of charity care costs; and the ratio of charity care cost to net patient revenue for the facility under review.
3. If the applicant is not an existing facility, it shall submit the facility's projected patient mix by payer source, anticipated charity care expense and projected ratio of charity care to net patient revenue by the end of its second year of operation.

Charity care" means care provided by a health care facility for which the provider does not expect to receive payment from the patient or a third-party payer. (20 ILCS 3960/3) Charity Care must be provided at cost.

A table in the following format must be provided for all facilities as part of Attachment 44.

CHARITY CARE			
	10/1/13-6/30/14	Year	Year
Net Patient Revenue	\$180,174,000		
Amount of Charity Care (charges)	\$10,120,821		
Cost of Charity Care	\$1,717,503		

APPEND DOCUMENTATION AS ATTACHMENT-41, IN NUMERIC SEQUENTIAL ORDER AFTER THE LAST PAGE OF THE APPLICATION FORM.

XI. Safety Net Impact Statement

SAFETY NET IMPACT STATEMENT that describes all of the following must be submitted for ALL SUBSTANTIVE AND DISCONTINUATION PROJECTS:

1. The project's material impact, if any, on essential safety net services in the community, to the extent that it is feasible for an applicant to have such knowledge.
2. The project's impact on the ability of another provider or health care system to cross-subsidize safety net services, if reasonably known to the applicant.
3. How the discontinuation of a facility or service might impact the remaining safety net providers in a given community, if reasonably known by the applicant.

Safety Net Impact Statements shall also include all of the following:

1. For the 3 fiscal years prior to the application, a certification describing the amount of charity care provided by the applicant. The amount calculated by hospital applicants shall be in accordance with the reporting requirements for charity care reporting in the Illinois Community Benefits Act. Non-hospital applicants shall report charity care, at cost, in accordance with an appropriate methodology specified by the Board.
2. For the 3 fiscal years prior to the application, a certification of the amount of care provided to Medicaid patients. Hospital and non-hospital applicants shall provide Medicaid information in a manner consistent with the information reported each year to the Illinois Department of Public Health regarding "Inpatients and Outpatients Served by Payor Source" and "Inpatient and Outpatient Net Revenue by Payor Source" as required by the Board under Section 13 of this Act and published in the Annual Hospital Profile.
3. Any information the applicant believes is directly relevant to safety net services, including information regarding teaching, research, and any other service.

A table in the following format must be provided as part of Attachment 43.

Safety Net Information per PA 96-0031			
CHARITY CARE			
Charity (# of patients)	10/1/13-6/30/14	Year	Year
Inpatient	54		
Outpatient	394		
Total	448		
Charity (cost In dollars)			
Inpatient	\$1,056,872		
Outpatient	\$284,525		
Total	\$1,341,397		
MEDICAID			
Medicaid (# of patients)	10/1/13-6/30/14	Year	Year
Inpatient	1355		
Outpatient	8757		
Total	10112		
Medicaid (revenue)			
Inpatient	\$15,564,252		
Outpatient	\$3,165,798		
Total	\$18,730,050		

APPEND DOCUMENTATION AS ATTACHMENT-40, IN NUMERIC SEQUENTIAL ORDER AFTER THE LAST PAGE OF THE APPLICATION FORM.

XII. Charity Care Information

Charity Care information MUST be furnished for ALL projects.

1. All applicants and co-applicants shall indicate the amount of charity care for the latest three audited fiscal years, the cost of charity care and the ratio of that charity care cost to net patient revenue.
2. If the applicant owns or operates one or more facilities, the reporting shall be for each individual facility located in Illinois. If charity care costs are reported on a consolidated basis, the applicant shall provide documentation as to the cost of charity care; the ratio of that charity care to the net patient revenue for the consolidated financial statement; the allocation of charity care costs; and the ratio of charity care cost to net patient revenue for the facility under review.
3. If the applicant is not an existing facility, it shall submit the facility's projected patient mix by payer source, anticipated charity care expense and projected ratio of charity care to net patient revenue by the end of its second year of operation.

Charity care" means care provided by a health care facility for which the provider does not expect to receive payment from the patient or a third-party payer. (20 ILCS 3960/3) Charity Care must be provided at cost.

A table in the following format must be provided for all facilities as part of Attachment 44.

CHARITY CARE			
	10/1/13-6/30/14	Year	Year
Net Patient Revenue	\$50,179,000		
Amount of Charity Care (charges)	\$6,986,442		
Cost of Charity Care	\$1,341,397		

APPEND DOCUMENTATION AS ATTACHMENT-41, IN NUMERIC SEQUENTIAL ORDER AFTER THE LAST PAGE OF THE APPLICATION FORM.

XI. Safety Net Impact Statement

SAFETY NET IMPACT STATEMENT that describes all of the following must be submitted for ALL SUBSTANTIVE AND DISCONTINUATION PROJECTS:

1. The project's material impact, if any, on essential safety net services in the community, to the extent that it is feasible for an applicant to have such knowledge.
2. The project's impact on the ability of another provider or health care system to cross-subsidize safety net services, if reasonably known to the applicant.
3. How the discontinuation of a facility or service might impact the remaining safety net providers in a given community, if reasonably known by the applicant.

Safety Net Impact Statements shall also include all of the following:

1. For the 3 fiscal years prior to the application, a certification describing the amount of charity care provided by the applicant. The amount calculated by hospital applicants shall be in accordance with the reporting requirements for charity care reporting in the Illinois Community Benefits Act. Non-hospital applicants shall report charity care, at cost, in accordance with an appropriate methodology specified by the Board.
2. For the 3 fiscal years prior to the application, a certification of the amount of care provided to Medicaid patients. Hospital and non-hospital applicants shall provide Medicaid information in a manner consistent with the information reported each year to the Illinois Department of Public Health regarding "Inpatients and Outpatients Served by Payor Source" and "Inpatient and Outpatient Net Revenue by Payor Source" as required by the Board under Section 13 of this Act and published in the Annual Hospital Profile.
3. Any information the applicant believes is directly relevant to safety net services, including information regarding teaching, research, and any other service.

A table in the following format must be provided as part of Attachment 43.

Safety Net Information per PA 96-0031			
CHARITY CARE			
Charity (# of patients)	10/1/13-6/30/14	Year	Year
Inpatient	48		
Outpatient	605		
Total	653		
Charity (cost in dollars)			
Inpatient	\$224,684		
Outpatient	\$279,790		
Total	\$504,474		
MEDICAID			
Medicaid (# of patients)	10/1/13-6/30/14	Year	Year
Inpatient	1072		
Outpatient	10588		
Total	11660		
Medicaid (revenue)			
Inpatient	\$10,012,247		
Outpatient	\$4,117,635		
Total	\$14,129,882		

APPLICATION FORM

XII. Charity Care Information

Charity Care information **MUST** be furnished for **ALL** projects.

1. All applicants and co-applicants shall indicate the amount of charity care for the latest three **audited** fiscal years, the cost of charity care and the ratio of that charity care cost to net patient revenue.
2. If the applicant owns or operates one or more facilities, the reporting shall be for each individual facility located in Illinois. If charity care costs are reported on a consolidated basis, the applicant shall provide documentation as to the cost of charity care; the ratio of that charity care to the net patient revenue for the consolidated financial statement; the allocation of charity care costs; and the ratio of charity care cost to net patient revenue for the facility under review.
3. If the applicant is not an existing facility, it shall submit the facility's projected patient mix by payer source, anticipated charity care expense and projected ratio of charity care to net patient revenue by the end of its second year of operation.

Charity care" means care provided by a health care facility for which the provider does not expect to receive payment from the patient or a third-party payer. (20 ILCS 3960/3) Charity Care must be provided at cost.

A table in the following format must be provided for all facilities as part of Attachment 44.

CHARITY CARE			
	10/1/13-6/30/14	Year	Year
Net Patient Revenue	\$85,589,000		
Amount of Charity Care (charges)	\$2,697,725		
Cost of Charity Care	\$504,474		

APPEND DOCUMENTATION AS ATTACHMENT-41, IN NUMERIC SEQUENTIAL ORDER AFTER THE LAST PAGE OF THE APPLICATION FORM.

XI. Safety Net Impact Statement

SAFETY NET IMPACT STATEMENT that describes all of the following must be submitted for ALL SUBSTANTIVE AND DISCONTINUATION PROJECTS:

1. The project's material impact, if any, on essential safety net services in the community, to the extent that it is feasible for an applicant to have such knowledge.
2. The project's impact on the ability of another provider or health care system to cross-subsidize safety net services, if reasonably known to the applicant.
3. How the discontinuation of a facility or service might impact the remaining safety net providers in a given community, if reasonably known by the applicant.

Safety Net Impact Statements shall also include all of the following:

1. For the 3 fiscal years prior to the application, a certification describing the amount of charity care provided by the applicant. The amount calculated by hospital applicants shall be in accordance with the reporting requirements for charity care reporting in the Illinois Community Benefits Act. Non-hospital applicants shall report charity care, at cost, in accordance with an appropriate methodology specified by the Board.
2. For the 3 fiscal years prior to the application, a certification of the amount of care provided to Medicaid patients. Hospital and non-hospital applicants shall provide Medicaid information in a manner consistent with the information reported each year to the Illinois Department of Public Health regarding "Inpatients and Outpatients Served by Payor Source" and "Inpatient and Outpatient Net Revenue by Payor Source" as required by the Board under Section 13 of this Act and published in the Annual Hospital Profile.
3. Any information the applicant believes is directly relevant to safety net services, including information regarding teaching, research, and any other service.

A table in the following format must be provided as part of Attachment 43.

Safety Net Information per PA 96-0031			
CHARITY CARE			
Charity (# of patients)	10/1/13-6/30/14	Year	Year
Inpatient	77		
Outpatient	254		
Total	331		
Charity (cost in dollars)			
Inpatient	\$306,896		
Outpatient	\$690,152		
Total	\$997,048		
MEDICAID			
Medicaid (# of patients)	10/1/13-6/30/14	Year	Year
Inpatient	1628		
Outpatient	20748		
Total	22376		
Medicaid (revenue)			
Inpatient	\$9,153,252		
Outpatient	\$8,834,394		
Total	\$17,987,646		

APPEND DOCUMENTATION AS ATTACHMENT-40, IN NUMERIC SEQUENTIAL ORDER AFTER THE LAST PAGE OF THE APPLICATION FORM.

XII. Charity Care Information

Charity Care information MUST be furnished for ALL projects.


1. All applicants and co-applicants shall indicate the amount of charity care for the latest three **audited** fiscal years, the cost of charity care and the ratio of that charity care cost to net patient revenue.
2. If the applicant owns or operates one or more facilities, the reporting shall be for each individual facility located in Illinois. If charity care costs are reported on a consolidated basis, the applicant shall provide documentation as to the cost of charity care; the ratio of that charity care to the net patient revenue for the consolidated financial statement; the allocation of charity care costs; and the ratio of charity care cost to net patient revenue for the facility under review.
3. If the applicant is not an existing facility, it shall submit the facility's projected patient mix by payer source, anticipated charity care expense and projected ratio of charity care to net patient revenue by the end of its second year of operation.

Charity care" means care provided by a health care facility for which the provider does not expect to receive payment from the patient or a third-party payer. (20 ILCS 3960/3) Charity Care must be provided at cost.

A table in the following format must be provided for all facilities as part of Attachment 44.

CHARITY CARE			
	10/1/13-6/30/14	Year	Year
Net Patient Revenue	\$103,706,000		
Amount of Charity Care (charges)	5,697,417		
Cost of Charity Care	\$997,048		

APPEND DOCUMENTATION AS ATTACHMENT-41, IN NUMERIC SEQUENTIAL ORDER AFTER THE LAST PAGE OF THE APPLICATION FORM.



To all to whom these Presents Shall Come, Greeting:

I, Jesse White, Secretary of State of the State of Illinois, do hereby certify that

VHS OF ILLINOIS, INC., INCORPORATED IN DELAWARE AND LICENSED TO TRANSACT BUSINESS IN THIS STATE ON SEPTEMBER 27, 1999, APPEARS TO HAVE COMPLIED WITH ALL THE PROVISIONS OF THE BUSINESS CORPORATION ACT OF THIS STATE RELATING TO THE PAYMENT OF FRANCHISE TAXES, AND AS OF THIS DATE, IS A FOREIGN CORPORATION IN GOOD STANDING AND AUTHORIZED TO TRANSACT BUSINESS IN THE STATE OF ILLINOIS.



In Testimony Whereof, I hereto set my hand and cause to be affixed the Great Seal of the State of Illinois, this 20TH day of OCTOBER A.D. 2014 .


Jesse White

Authentication #: 1429302494

Authenticate at: <http://www.cyberdriveillinois.com>

SECRETARY OF STATE

ATTACHMENT 1



To all to whom these Presents Shall Come, Greeting:

I, Jesse White, Secretary of State of the State of Illinois, do hereby certify that

TENET HEALTHCARE CORPORATION, INCORPORATED IN NEVADA AND LICENSED TO TRANSACT BUSINESS IN THIS STATE ON JULY 01, 2013, APPEARS TO HAVE COMPLIED WITH ALL THE PROVISIONS OF THE BUSINESS CORPORATION ACT OF THIS STATE RELATING TO THE PAYMENT OF FRANCHISE TAXES, AND AS OF THIS DATE, IS A FOREIGN CORPORATION IN GOOD STANDING AND AUTHORIZED TO TRANSACT BUSINESS IN THE STATE OF ILLINOIS.

In Testimony Whereof, I hereto set my hand and cause to be affixed the Great Seal of the State of Illinois, this 21ST day of OCTOBER A.D. 2014 .



Jesse White

Authentication #: 1429401610

Authenticate at: <http://www.cyberdriveillinois.com>

SECRETARY OF STATE

ATTACHMENT 1


November 10, 2014

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

Please be advised that the MacNeal Hospital site, located at 3249 South Oak Park Avenue in Berwyn, is owned and controlled by VHS of Illinois, Inc.

Sincerely,


J. Scott Steiner
Chief Executive Officer




Notarized:

Marie O'Brien
November 10, 2014

ATTACHMENT 2

Ownership of Chicago Hospitals
Organizational Chart






December 11, 2014

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, IL

To Whom It May Concern:

I hereby attest that the site of MacNeal Hospital is not located within a special flood hazard area, and that the proposed development of a physical rehabilitation unit on that site is consistent with Illinois Executive Order #2005-5.

Sincerely,


J. Scott Steiner
Chief Executive Officer

Notarized:

Marie O'Brien
December 11, 2014



ATTACHMENT 5

FEMA  Scale: 6% LOMC: 1

Help

Zoom    

Zoom In  **Zoom Out** 

MAX  **Zoom In**  **Zoom Out** 

Follow Instructions

- Select page size
 - Letter 8.5x11
 - Legal 8.5x14
 - Tablet 11x17
- Select & Move Areas
 - Print Area
 - Scale and North Arrow
 - Title Block
- Create FIR Matte
 - Adobe PDF
 - Image File

NOTES TO USERS

PANEL INDEX



LEGEND

FIRM
FLOOD RELIANCE RATE OF
FIRM, FIRM TYPE,
RELIANCE
CANTON, MISSISSIPPI, 39011

SCALE 1:12

DATE

BY

APPROVED

DATE

REVISIONS

NO.

DATE

DESCRIPTION

ATTACHMENT 5

36



FAX (217) 524-7525

Cook County

Berwyn

CON - Interior Rehabilitation and Change in Bed Designation, MacNeal Hospital

3249 S. Oak Park Ave.

IHPA Log #014102314

November 6, 2014

Jacob Axel

Axel & Associates, Inc.

675 North Court, Suite 210

Palatine, IL 60067

Dear Mr. Axel:

This letter is to inform you that we have reviewed the information provided concerning the referenced project.

Our review of the records indicates that no historic, architectural or archaeological sites exist within the project area.

Please retain this letter in your files as evidence of compliance with Section 4 of the Illinois State Agency Historic Resources Preservation Act (20 ILCS 3420/1 et. seq.). This clearance remains in effect for two years from date of issuance. It does not pertain to any discovery during construction, nor is it a clearance for purposes of the Illinois Human Skeletal Remains Protection Act (20 ILCS 3440).

If you have any further questions, please contact me at 217/785-5027.

Sincerely,

Anne E. Haaker

Deputy State Historic

Preservation Officer

PROJECT COSTS AND SOURCES OF FUNDS

PROJECT COSTS

Preplanning Costs	\$35,000
Alternatives evaluation-\$10,000	
Feasibility assessment-\$20,000	
Other/Misc.-\$5,000	
Modernization Contracts	\$1,705,850
Estimate of renovation-related costs associated with the re-use of nursing unit originally designed as medical/surgical units	
Contingencies	\$78,250
Renovation-related contingency	
Architectural and Engineering Fees	\$195,000
Design-\$120,000	
Alternatives assessments-\$7,000	
Regulatory agency interaction-\$8,000	
Equipment selection and planning-\$10,000	
Interiors-\$20,000	
Renovation monitoring-\$15,000	
Other/Misc.-\$15,000	
Consulting	\$100,000
CON-related-\$65,000	
Permits, fees, and reviews-\$20,000	
Other/Misc.-\$15,000	
Moveable Equipment	\$452,115
Please see equipment budget, attached	
<u>SOURCES OF FUNDS</u>	
Cash	\$2,566,215

PROPOSED REHABILITATION UNIT-EQUIPMENT COST ESTIMATE

Qty.	Description	Unit \$	Total \$
12	low bed with mattress	\$ 8,395	\$ 100,740
12	overhead table	\$ 518	\$ 6,210
12	nightstand	\$ 614	\$ 7,371
12	recliner	\$ 1,869	\$ 22,425
15	flat screen TV	\$ 863	\$ 12,938
1	in ceiling lift system	\$ 51,750	\$ 51,750
1	bariatric parallel bars	\$ 1,495	\$ 1,495
1	sit-to-stand device	\$ 5,891	\$ 5,891
1	dynamapp	\$ 4,370	\$ 4,370
1	stairs	\$ 2,519	\$ 2,519
1	ramp	\$ 1,725	\$ 1,725
1	UBE	\$ 8,625	\$ 8,625
16	tablet/CAB	\$ 1,725	\$ 27,600
3	spectralink phone	\$ 500	\$ 1,500
17	visitor chair	\$ 518	\$ 8,798
14	duafold chair	\$ 1,165	\$ 16,309
	Mediware IRF software	\$ 13,800	\$ 13,800
	IS equipment	\$ 24,127	\$ 24,127
2	ice machines	\$ 5,000	\$ 10,000
2	refrigerators	\$ 900	\$ 1,800
3	High Lo treatment tables	\$ 4,950	\$ 14,850
1	car transfer simulator	\$ 17,800	\$ 17,800
1	conference room table	\$ 950	\$ 950
12	conference room chairs	\$ 290	\$ 3,480
2	breakroom table	\$ 300	\$ 600
12	breakroom chairs	\$ 190	\$ 2,280
3	waiting room tables	\$ 425	\$ 1,275
1	Nu step	\$ 4,900	\$ 4,900
1	oven w cook top	\$ 780	\$ 780
1	microwave	\$ 450	\$ 450
36	privacy curtains	\$ 315	\$ 11,340
5	task chairs	\$ 395	\$ 1,975
22	artwork	\$ 450	\$ 9,900
	Misc. Equip. < \$400		\$ 20,000
			\$ 420,572
	Freight/Taxes		\$ 31,543
			\$ 452,115

Cost Space Requirements

Dept./Area	Cost	Departmental Gross Square Feet			Amount of Proposed Total Square Feet		
		Existing	Proposed	New Const.	That is:		
Inpatient Rehab.	\$ 2,566,215		7,825		Modernized	As Is	Vacated Space
TOTAL	\$ 2,566,215		7,825		7,825	7,825	



Ms. Courtney Avery
Illinois Health Facilities
And Services Review Board
525 West Jefferson
Springfield, IL 62761

Dear Ms. Avery:

Tenet Healthcare's Northeast Region includes four hospitals in Illinois:

- MacNeal Hospital in Berwyn
- West Suburban Medical Center in Oak Park
- Westlake Hospital in Melrose Park
- Weiss Memorial Hospital in Chicago.

In accordance with Review Criterion 1110.230.b, Background of the Applicant, we are submitting this letter assuring the Illinois Health Facilities and Services Review Board that:


1. Neither Tenet Healthcare nor any of the hospitals identified above have had any adverse actions against any facility owned and operated by an applicant during the three (3) year period prior to the filing of this application, and
2. Tenet Healthcare and the hospitals identified above authorize the State Board and Agency access to information to verify documentation or information submitted in response to the requirements of Review Criterion 1110.230.b or to obtain any documentation or information which the State Board or Agency finds pertinent to this application.

If we can in any way provide assistance to your staff regarding these assurances or any other issue relative to this application, please do not hesitate to call me.

Sincerely,

Erik Wexler
CEO, Northeast Region
Tenet Healthcare

Notarized:





**Illinois Department of
PUBLIC HEALTH**

HF104756

← DISPLAY THIS PART IN A
CONSPICUOUS PLACE

LICENSE, PERMIT, CERTIFICATION, REGISTRATION

The person, firm or corporation whose name appears on this certificate has complied with the provisions of the Illinois statutes and/or rules and regulations and is hereby authorized to engage in the activity as indicated below.

LaMar Hasbrouck, MD, MPH
Acting Director

Issued under the authority of
the Illinois Department of
Public Health

EXPIRATION DATE	CATEGORY	LIC NUMBER
01/31/2015		0005082
General Hospital		
Effective: 02/01/2014		

VHS of Illinois
dba MacNeal Hospital
3249 South Oak Park Avenue
Berwyn, IL 60402

Exp. Date 01/31/2015

Lic Number 0005082

Date Printed 01/08/2014

VHS of Illinois
dba MacNeal Hospital
3249 South Oak Park Avenue
Berwyn, IL 60402

The face of this license has a colored background. Printed by Authority of the State of Illinois • P.O. #4012320 10M 3/12

FEE RECEIPT NO.

ATTACHMENT 11

42



May 19, 2014

Scott Steiner
Chief Executive Officer
Vanguard Health Systems of Illinois
3249 South Oak Park Avenue
Berwyn, IL 60402

Joint Commission ID #: 7246
Program: Hospital Accreditation
Accreditation Activity: 60-day Evidence of
Standards Compliance
Accreditation Activity Completed: 05/19/2014

Dear Mr. Steiner:

The Joint Commission would like to thank your organization for participating in the accreditation process. This process is designed to help your organization continuously provide safe, high-quality care, treatment, and services by identifying opportunities for improvement in your processes and helping you follow through on and implement these improvements. We encourage you to use the accreditation process as a continuous standards compliance and operational improvement tool.

The Joint Commission is granting your organization an accreditation decision of Accredited for all services surveyed under the applicable manual(s) noted below:

• Comprehensive Accreditation Manual for Hospitals

This accreditation cycle is effective beginning March 15, 2014. The Joint Commission reserves the right to shorten or lengthen the duration of the cycle; however, the certificate and cycle are customarily valid for up to 36 months.

Please visit [Quality Check®](#) on The Joint Commission web site for updated information related to your accreditation decision.

We encourage you to share this accreditation decision with your organization's appropriate staff, leadership, and governing body. You may also want to inform the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS), state or regional regulatory services, and the public you serve of your organization's accreditation decision.

Please be assured that The Joint Commission will keep the report confidential, except as required by law. To ensure that The Joint Commission's information about your organization is always accurate and current, our policy requires that you inform us of any changes in the name or ownership of your organization or the health care services you provide.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads 'Mark Pelletier'.

Mark G. Pelletier, RN, MS

Chief Operating Officer

Division of Accreditation and Certification Operations

ATTACHMENT 11



May 19, 2014

Scott Steiner
Chief Executive Officer
Vanguard Health Systems of Illinois
3249 South Oak Park Avenue
Berwyn, IL 60402

Joint Commission ID #: 7246
Program: Nursing Care Center Accreditation
Accreditation Activity: 60-day Evidence of
Standards Compliance
Accreditation Activity Completed: 05/19/2014

Dear Mr. Steiner:

The Joint Commission would like to thank your organization for participating in the accreditation process. This process is designed to help your organization continuously provide safe, high-quality care, treatment, and services by identifying opportunities for improvement in your processes and helping you follow through on and implement these improvements. We encourage you to use the accreditation process as a continuous standards compliance and operational improvement tool.

The Joint Commission is granting your organization an accreditation decision of Accredited for all services surveyed under the applicable manual(s) noted below:

- Comprehensive Accreditation Manual for Nursing Care Center

This accreditation cycle is effective beginning March 15, 2014. The Joint Commission reserves the right to shorten or lengthen the duration of the cycle; however, the certificate and cycle are customarily valid for up to 36 months.

Please visit [Quality Check®](#) on The Joint Commission web site for updated information related to your accreditation decision.

We encourage you to share this accreditation decision with your organization's appropriate staff, leadership, and governing body. You may also want to inform the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS), state or regional regulatory services, and the public you serve of your organization's accreditation decision.

Please be assured that The Joint Commission will keep the report confidential, except as required by law. To ensure that The Joint Commission's information about your organization is always accurate and current, our policy requires that you inform us of any changes in the name or ownership of your organization or the health care services you provide.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads 'Mark Pelletier'.

Mark G. Pelletier, RN, MS

Chief Operating Officer

Division of Accreditation and Certification Operations

ATTACHMENT 11

44



May 19, 2014

Scott Steiner
Chief Executive Officer
Vanguard Health Systems of Illinois
3249 South Oak Park Avenue
Berwyn, IL 60402

Joint Commission ID #: 7246
Program: Home Care Accreditation
Accreditation Activity: 60-day Evidence of
Standards Compliance
Accreditation Activity Completed: 05/19/2014

Dear Mr. Steiner:

The Joint Commission would like to thank your organization for participating in the accreditation process. This process is designed to help your organization continuously provide safe, high-quality care, treatment, and services by identifying opportunities for improvement in your processes and helping you follow through on and implement these improvements. We encourage you to use the accreditation process as a continuous standards compliance and operational improvement tool.

The Joint Commission is granting your organization an accreditation decision of Accredited for all services surveyed under the applicable manual(s) noted below:

- . Comprehensive Accreditation Manual for Home Care

This accreditation cycle is effective beginning March 15, 2014. The Joint Commission reserves the right to shorten or lengthen the duration of the cycle; however, the certificate and cycle are customarily valid for up to 36 months.

Please visit [Quality Check®](#) on The Joint Commission web site for updated information related to your accreditation decision.

We encourage you to share this accreditation decision with your organization's appropriate staff, leadership, and governing body. You may also want to inform the state or regional regulatory services, and the public you serve of your organization's accreditation decision.

Please be assured that The Joint Commission will keep the report confidential, except as required by law. To ensure that The Joint Commission's information about your organization is always accurate and current, our policy requires that you inform us of any changes in the name or ownership of your organization or the health care services you provide.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads 'Mark Pelletier'.

Mark G. Pelletier, RN, MS

Chief Operating Officer

Division of Accreditation and Certification Operations

ATTACHMENT 11

2/5



**Illinois Department of
PUBLIC HEALTH**

HF 106199

LICENSE, PERMIT, CERTIFICATION, REGISTRATION

The person, firm or corporation whose name appears on this certificate has complied with the provisions of the Illinois statutes and/or rules and regulations and is hereby authorized to engage in the activity as indicated below.

Lamar Hasbrouck, MD, MPH

Issued under the authority of
the Illinois Department of
Public Health

Acting Director

EXPIRATION DATE	CATEGORY	LD NUMBER
07/31/2015	General Hospital	0005694
Effective: 08/01/2014		

**VHS West Suburban Medical Center, Inc.
dba West Suburban Medical Center
3 Erie Court
Oak Park, IL 60302**

The face of this license has a colored background. Printed by Authority of the State of Illinois • P.O. #4012320 10M 3/12

↑
DISPLAY THIS PART IN A
CONSPICUOUS PLACE

Exp. Date 07/31/2015

Lic Number 0005694

Date Printed 07/02/2014

**VHS West Suburban Medical Center, Inc.
dba West Suburban Medical Center
3 Erie Court
Oak Park, IL 60302**

FEE RECEIPT NO.

Handwritten initials

VHS West Suburban Medical Center, Inc.

Oak Park, IL

has been Accredited by




The Joint Commission

Which has surveyed this organization and found it to meet the requirements for the

Hospital Accreditation Program

August 30, 2013

Accreditation is customarily valid for up to 36 months.




Rebecca Paichin, MD
Chair, Board of Commissioners

Organization ID #: 7399
Print/Reprint Date: 09/19/13



Mark R. Chassin, MD, FACP, MPP, MPH
President

The Joint Commission is an independent, not-for-profit, national body that oversees the safety and quality of health care and other services provided in accredited organizations. Information about accredited organizations may be provided directly to The Joint Commission at 1-800-994-6610. Information regarding accreditation and the accreditation performance of individual organizations can be obtained through The Joint Commission's web site at www.jointcommission.org.



This reproduction of the original accreditation certificate has been issued for use in regulatory/payer agency verification of accreditation by The Joint Commission. Please consult Quality Check on The Joint Commission's website to confirm the organization's current accreditation status and for a listing of the organization's locations of care.

ATTACHMENT 11

47



Illinois Department of PUBLIC HEALTH

HF 106200

LICENSE PERMIT CERTIFICATION REGISTRATION

The person, firm or corporation whose name appears on this certificate has complied with the provisions of the Illinois statutes and/or rules and regulations and is hereby authorized to engage in the activity as indicated below:

LaMar Hasbrouck, MD, MPH

Issued under the authority of
the Illinois Department of
Public Health

Acting Director

EXPIRATION DATE	CATEGORY	I.D. NUMBER
07/31/2015	General Hospital	0005702

Effective: 08/01/2014

VHS Westlake Hospital, Inc.
dba Westlake Hospital
1225 West Lake Street
Melrose Park, IL 60160

The face of this license has a colored background. Printed by Authority of the State of Illinois • P.O. #4012320 10K 3/12

← DISPLAY THIS PART IN A
CONSPICUOUS PLACE

Exp. Date 07/31/2015

Lic Number 0005702

Date Printed 07/02/2014

Validation Num

VHS Westlake Hospital, Inc.
dba Westlake Hospital
1225 West Lake Street
Melrose Park, IL 60160

FEE RECEIPT NO.

48



AMERICAN OSTEOPATHIC ASSOCIATION

BUREAU OF HEALTHCARE FACILITIES ACCREDITATION
HEALTHCARE FACILITIES ACCREDITATION PROGRAM

142 E. Ontario Street, Chicago, IL 60611-2864 312 202 8258 | 800- 621 -1773 X 8258

December 20, 2013

Joan L. Ormsby
Chief Executive Officer
Westlake Hospital
1225 West Lake Street
Melrose Park, IL 60160

Dear Ms. Ormsby:

The American Osteopathic Association's Bureau of Healthcare Facilities Accreditation (BHFA) reviewed the triennial Deficiency Assessment Report for your Acute Care Hospital and granted Full Accreditation with resurvey within 3 years and does recommend that the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services Regional Office (CMS, RO) approve continued deemed status for:

Westlake Hospital
1225 West Lake Street
Melrose Park, IL 60160

River Forest Pain Center
7411 Lake Street
River Forest, IL 60305

Program: Acute Care Hospital

CCN # 140240

HFAP ID: 156993

Triennial Survey Dates: 10/14/2013 – 10/16/2013

Plan(s) of Correction Received: 11/07/2013

Effective Date of Accreditation: 12/21/2013 - 12/21/2016

Condition Level Deficiencies: None
(Use crosswalk and CFR citations, if applicable):

This accreditation decision was reached on December 18, 2013 by the BHFA's Executive Committee.

Sincerely,

Beverly Robins, RN, BSN, MBA
Director of Accreditation Services, HFAP
Healthcare Facilities Accreditation Program (HFAP)

BR/CDC

c: Sherri Morgan-Johnson, RN, BSN, MHSA, Nurse Consultant
Region V, CMS

ATTACHMENT 11



**Illinois Department of
PUBLIC HEALTH**

HF105692

LICENSE, PERMIT, CERTIFICATION, REGISTRATION

The person, firm or corporation whose name appears on this certificate has complied with the provisions of the Illinois statutes and/or rules, and regulations and is hereby authorized to engage in the activity as indicated below.

LaMar Hasbrouck, MD, MPH
Acting Director

Issued under the authority of
the Illinois Department of
Public Health

EXPIRATION DATE	CATEGORY	LD NUMBER
5/31/2015		0005249
General Hospital		
Effective: 06/01/2014		

VHS Acquisition Subsidiary Number 3, Inc.
dba Louis A. Weiss Memorial Hospital
4646 North Marine Drive
Chicago, IL 60640

The face of this license has a colored background. Printed by Authority of the State of Illinois • P.O. #4012320 10M 3/12



February 20, 2014

Tony Tedeschi, MD
CEO
Louis A. Weiss Memorial Hospital
4646 North Marine Drive
Chicago, IL 60640

Joint Commission ID #: 7286
Program: Hospital Accreditation
Accreditation Activity: Unannounced Full
Event
Accreditation Activity Completed:
02/14/2014

Dear Dr. Tedeschi:

The Joint Commission would like to thank your organization for participating in the accreditation process. This process is designed to help your organization continuously provide safe, high-quality care, treatment, and services by identifying opportunities for improvement in your processes and helping you follow through on and implement these improvements. We encourage you to use the accreditation process as a continuous standards compliance and operational improvement tool.

With that goal in mind, your organization received Requirement(s) for Improvement during its recent survey. These requirements have been summarized in the Accreditation Report provided by the survey team that visited your organization.

Please be assured that The Joint Commission will keep the report confidential, except as required by law. To ensure that The Joint Commission's information about your organization is always accurate and current, our policy requires that you inform us of any changes in the name or ownership of your organization or the health care services you provide.

Please visit [Quality Check®](#) on The Joint Commission web site for updated information related to your accreditation decision.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mark Pelletier".

Mark G. Pelletier, RN, MS

Chief Operating Officer

Division of Accreditation and Certification Operations

**Tenet General Hospitals and Specialty Facilities
As of October 3, 2014**

Alabama

<u>Facility Name</u>	<u>Ownership Name</u>
Brookwood Medical Center	Brookwood Health Services, Inc. (AL)

Arizona

<u>Facility Name</u>	<u>Ownership Name</u>
Arizona Heart Hospital	VHS of Phoenix, Inc. (DE)
Arizona Heart Institute	VHS Arizona Heart Institute, Inc. (DE)
Arrowhead Hospital	VHS of Arrowhead, Inc. (DE)
Maryvale Hospital	VHS Acquisition Corporation (DE)
Paradise Valley Hospital	VHS Acquisition Subsidiary Number 1, Inc. (DE)
Phoenix Baptist Hospital	VHS of Phoenix, Inc. (DE)
West Valley Hospital	Hospital Development of West Phoenix, Inc. (DE)

California

<u>Facility Name</u>	<u>Ownership Name</u>
Desert Regional Medical Center	Desert Regional Medical Center, Inc. (CA) (Formerly Tenet HealthSystem Desert, Inc.)
Doctors Hospital of Manteca	Doctors Hospital of Manteca, Inc. (CA)
Doctors Medical Center of Modesto	Doctors Medical Center of Modesto, Inc. (CA)
Emanuel Medical Center	Doctors Medical Center of Modesto, Inc. (CA)
Fountain Valley Regional Hospital & Medical Center	Fountain Valley Regional Hospital and Medical Center (CA)
John F. Kennedy Memorial Hospital	JFK Memorial Hospital, Inc. (CA)
Lakewood Regional Medical Center	Lakewood Regional Medical Center, Inc. (CA)
Los Alamitos Medical Center	Los Alamitos Medical Center, Inc. (CA)
Placentia Linda Hospital	Placentia-Linda Hospital, Inc. (CA)
San Ramon Regional Medical Center	San Ramon Regional Medical Center, L.L.C. (DE)
Sierra Vista Regional Medical Center	Sierra Vista Hospital, Inc. (CA)
Twin Cities Community Hospital	Twin Cities Community Hospital, Inc. (CA)

Florida

<u>Facility Name</u>	<u>Ownership Name</u>
Coral Gables Hospital	CGH Hospital, Ltd. (FL)
Delray Medical Center	Delray Medical Center, Inc. (FL)
Good Samaritan Medical Center	Good Samaritan Medical Center, Inc. (FL) (Formerly Tenet Good Samaritan, Inc.)
Hialeah Hospital	Hialeah Hospital, Inc. (FL) (Formerly Tenet Hialeah HealthSystem, Inc.)
North Shore Medical Center	North Shore Medical Center, Inc. (FL) (Formerly Tenet HealthSystem North Shore, Inc.)
North Shore Medical Center – FMC Campus (Formerly Florida Medical Center)	North Shore Medical Center, Inc. (FL) (Formerly Tenet HealthSystem North Shore, Inc.)
Palm Beach Gardens Medical Center	Palm Beach Gardens Community Hospital, Inc. (FL)
Palmetto General Hospital	Lifemark Hospitals of Florida, Inc. (FL)
St. Mary's Medical Center	St. Mary's Medical Center, Inc. (FL) (Formerly Tenet St. Mary's, Inc.)
West Boca Medical Center	West Boca Medical Center, Inc. (FL)

Georgia

<u>Facility Name</u>	<u>Ownership Name</u>
Atlanta Medical Center (Formerly Georgia Baptist Medical Center)	Atlanta Medical Center, Inc. (GA) (Formerly Tenet HealthSystem GB, Inc.)
Atlanta Medical Center – South Campus (Formerly South Fulton Medical Center)	Atlanta Medical Center, Inc. (GA) (Formerly Tenet HealthSystem GB, Inc.)
North Fulton Hospital	North Fulton Medical Center, Inc. (GA)
Spalding Regional Hospital	Spalding Regional Medical Center, Inc. (GA) (Formerly Tenet HealthSystem Spalding, Inc.)
Sylvan Grove Hospital	Sylvan Grove Hospital, Inc. (GA) (Formerly Tenet HealthSystem SGH, Inc.)

Illinois

<u>Facility Name</u>	<u>Ownership Name</u>
Louis A. Weiss Memorial Hospital	VHS Acquisition Subsidiary Number 3, Inc. (DE)
MacNeal Hospital	VHS of Illinois, Inc. (DE)
West Suburban Medical Center	VHS West Suburban Medical Center, Inc. (DE)
Westlake Hospital	VHS Westlake Hospital, Inc. (DE)

Massachusetts

<u>Facility Name</u>	<u>Ownership Name</u>
MetroWest Medical Center – Framingham Union Hospital	VHS Acquisition Subsidiary Number 9, Inc. (DE)
MetroWest Medical Center – Leonard Morse Hospital	VHS Acquisition Subsidiary Number 9, Inc. (DE)
Saint Vincent Hospital at Worcester Medical Center	VHS Acquisition Subsidiary Number 7, Inc. (DE)

Michigan

<u>Facility Name</u>	<u>Ownership Name</u>
Children's Hospital of Michigan	VHS Children's Hospital of Michigan, Inc. (DE)
Detroit Receiving Hospital	VHS Detroit Receiving Hospital, Inc. (DE)
DMC Surgery Hospital	VHS Harper-Hutzel Hospital, Inc. (DE)
Harper University Hospital	VHS Harper-Hutzel Hospital, Inc. (DE)
Huron Valley-Sinai Hospital	VHS Huron Valley-Sinai Hospital, Inc. (DE)
Hutzel Women's Hospital	VHS Harper-Hutzel Hospital, Inc. (DE)
Rehabilitation Institute of Michigan	VHS Rehabilitation Institute of Michigan, Inc. (DE)
Sinai-Grace Hospital	VHS Sinai-Grace Hospital, Inc. (DE)

Missouri

<u>Facility Name</u>	<u>Ownership Name</u>
Des Peres Hospital (Formerly Deaconess Medical Center - West)	Des Peres Hospital, Inc. (MO) (Formerly Tenet HealthSystem DI, Inc.)
Saint Louis University Hospital	SLH Vista, Inc. (MO) (Formerly Tenet HealthSystem SL, Inc.)

North Carolina

<u>Facility Name</u>	<u>Ownership Name</u>
Central Carolina Hospital	AMISUB of North Carolina, Inc. (NC)
Frye Regional Medical Center	Frye Regional Medical Center, Inc. (NC)

54

Pennsylvania

<u>Facility Name</u>	<u>Ownership Name</u>
Hahnemann University Hospital	Tenet HealthSystem Hahnemann, L.L.C. (PA)
St. Christopher's Hospital for Children	Tenet HealthSystem St. Christopher's Hospital for Children, L.L.C. (PA)

South Carolina

<u>Facility Name</u>	<u>Ownership Name</u>
Coastal Carolina Hospital	Coastal Carolina Medical Center, Inc. (SC)
East Cooper Medical Center	East Cooper Community Hospital, Inc. (SC)
Hilton Head Hospital	Hilton Head Health System, L.P. (SC)
Piedmont Medical Center	AMISUB of South Carolina, Inc. (SC)

Tennessee

<u>Facility Name</u>	<u>Ownership Name</u>
Saint Francis Hospital	AMISUB (SFH), Inc. (TN)
Saint Francis Hospital – Bartlett	Saint Francis Hospital – Bartlett, Inc. (TN) (Formerly, Tenet HealthSystem Bartlett, Inc.)

Texas

<u>Facility Name</u>	<u>Ownership Name</u>
Baptist Medical Center	VHS San Antonio Partners, LLC (DE)
Centennial Medical Center	Tenet Frisco, Ltd. (TX)
Cypress Fairbanks Medical Center	New Medical Horizons II, Ltd. (TX)
Doctors Hospital at White Rock Lake	Tenet Hospitals Limited (TX)
Houston Northwest Medical Center	Houston Northwest Operating Company, L.L.C. (TX)
Lake Pointe Medical Center	Lake Pointe Operating Company, L.L.C. (TX)
Mission Trail Baptist Hospital	VHS San Antonio Partners, LLC (DE)
Nacogdoches Medical Center	TH Healthcare, Ltd. (TX)
North Central Baptist Hospital	VHS San Antonio Partners, LLC (DE)
Northeast Baptist Hospital	VHS San Antonio Partners, LLC (DE)
Park Plaza Hospital	TH Healthcare, Ltd. (TX)
Plaza Specialty Hospital	Houston Specialty Hospital, Inc. (TX)

55

(Long-Term Acute Care Hospital)	
Providence Memorial Hospital	Tenet Hospitals Limited (TX)
Resolute Health Hospital	Resolute Hospital Company, LLC (DE)
Sierra Medical Center	Tenet Hospitals Limited (TX)
Sierra Providence East Medical Center	Tenet Hospitals Limited (TX)
St. Luke's Baptist Hospital	VHS San Antonio Partners, LLC (DE)
Texas Regional Medical Center at Sunnyvale	Texas Regional Medical Center, LLC (TX)
Valley Baptist Medical Center – Brownsville	VHS Brownsville Hospital Company, LLC (DE)
Valley Baptist Medical Center – Harlingen	VHS Harlingen Hospital Company, LLC (DE)

PURPOSE

MacNeal Hospital (“MacNeal”) does not currently operate a comprehensive physical rehabilitation (“rehabilitation”) category of service. As a result, MacNeal patients, including those hospitalized with a stroke, patients receiving certain orthopedic surgery procedures, and others requiring inpatient rehabilitation are required to be transported to another hospital for that service. The requirement to leave MacNeal compromises continuity of care, results in primary care physicians’ difficulties in following their patients, compromises accessibility, is a hardship on families and the actual transporting of the patient is costly. Approximately 240 patients a year are transferred from MacNeal to other hospitals for inpatient rehabilitation care.

The purpose of the proposed project is to eliminate the difficulties noted above in a cost-effective manner, through the conversion of 12 Medical/Surgical beds currently designated for use as a portion of the hospital’s sub-acute care demonstration project into an organized inpatient rehabilitation unit.

The proposed project, which is limited to the establishment of a rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, will provide area residents rehabilitation services in their community. The hospital’s primary service area is relatively small, with only twelve ZIP Code areas accounting for nearly 78% of the hospital’s admissions.

MacNeal is a fully-bilingual hospital, with nearly 40% of its patients being Hispanic/Latino. In 2013 21.3% of the patients admitted to MacNeal were Medicaid recipients.

The table on the following page provides an analysis of MacNeal’s patient origin, identifying each ZIP Code area contributing a minimum of 1.0% of the hospital’s admissions, during, 2013. It is not anticipated that the proposed project will have any material impact on patient origin.

ZIP Code	Community	%	Cum. %
60402	Berwyn*	19.82%	19.82%
60804	Cicero*	18.96%	38.78%
60638	Chicago	15.73%	54.51%
60632	Chicago	5.43%	59.94%
60629	Chicago	4.19%	64.13%
60634	Lyons*	3.38%	67.51%
60623	Chicago	2.65%	70.16%
60501	Summit/Argo*	2.61%	72.78%
60546	Riverside*	2.15%	74.93%
60513	Brookfield*	1.72%	76.65%
60455	Bridgeview*	1.33%	77.98%
60525	La Grange*	1.08%	77.98%
	all other < 1.00%	22.02%	100.00%

*8 Planning Area ZIP codes accounting for 51.05%

The goal of this project is to address the needs of community residents and MacNeal patients requiring admission to a rehabilitation bed; and to do so through a unit at MacNeal. The success in meeting this goal will be immediately measurable following the project's completion through both the reduction and potential elimination of the transferring of rehabilitation patients elsewhere for admission.

ALTERNATIVES

The applicants for the proposed project are Tenet Healthcare Corporation and one of its Chicago area hospitals, MacNeal Hospital, located in Berwyn. The purposes of the project are to improve accessibility to comprehensive physical rehabilitation (“rehabilitation”) services and to improve the continuity of care for MacNeal patients requiring inpatient rehabilitation services following discharge from a Medical/Surgical unit.

The project addressed through this *Application for Permit* proposes the establishment of a 12-bed comprehensive physical rehabilitation category of service to be developed through the renovation of a portion of the hospital’s sub-acute care demonstration project unit (Transitional Care Unit), allowing the sharing of certain facilities, including a therapy gym. The focus of the proposed project is on the provision of rehabilitation services primarily to MacNeal patients, i.e. patient that can/would be directly transferred from a MacNeal Medical/Surgical unit to the proposed rehabilitation unit when clinically appropriate. The demonstration project’s beds are categorized as Medical/Surgical beds.

The first alternative considered by the applicants was to continue to transfer patients to other hospitals providing inpatient rehabilitation. This alternative, while having no cost, was dismissed because it does not afford the continuity of care that would result from other alternatives. In addition, this alternative does not improve accessibility for the families of MacNeal patients living near the hospital, and often relying on public transportation.

The second alternative considered involved other locations within the hospital. While this alternative would have no impact on accessibility, quality of care or operating cost, the need to provide a rehabilitation gym for the exclusive use of the unit’s patients would increase the capital cost associated with the project by approximately \$725,000.

The third alternative considered involved the establishment of a larger unit, with the goal being to attract patients in need of rehabilitation services from other hospitals. This alternative would increase the capital costs, depending on the size of the unit, and could increase the operating costs. Accessibility for the target patient population (MacNeal Medical/Surgical patients and their families) and quality of care would be the same as that resulting from the proposed project. This alternative would increase the project cost by approximately \$60,000 per additional bed.

Last, and as discussed in the Narrative Description, Tenet Healthcare Corporation has committed to a 12-bed reduction to Westlake Hospital's comprehensive physical rehabilitation bed complement as a component of this application. The fourth alternative considered was the omission of the commitment to discontinue rehabilitation beds at Westlake Hospital. This alternative would have no impact on access, quality of care or cost.

The proposed project is believed by the applicants to be the most reasonable approach to reaching the project's stated purposes of improving accessibility for the families of MacNeal patients requiring inpatient rehabilitation services and improving the continuity of care provided to MacNeal patients in a cost effective manner, and without adding rehabilitation beds to the planning area's bed inventory.

SIZE

The proposed amount of space associated with this project is necessary and not excessive.

The proposed 12-bed comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit will be developed through the renovation of 7,000 dgsf on the second floor of the hospital. That space will contain 12 private patient rooms, and the associated support space.

The hospital's sub-acute care demonstration project, consisting of 24 beds is located in space adjacent to the proposed rehabilitation unit's space. The demonstration project uses a 2,500 dgsf rehabilitation gym, which, following the completion of the proposed project, will be used jointly by the rehabilitation unit and the demonstration project. One-third of that space (825 dgsf) is being allocated to the proposed rehabilitation unit, consistent with the rehabilitation : demonstration project bed distribution, resulting in 7,825 dgsf being designated as rehabilitation unit space, or 652 dgsf/bed, consistent with the IDPH's standard of 525-660 dgsf/bed.

PROJECT SERVICES UTILIZATION

The proposed 12-bed comprehensive physical rehabilitation (“rehabilitation”) unit is projected to reach the 85% occupancy target level during its second year of operation, as noted in the table below.

Dept./ Service	2013 Historical Utilization (Patient Days) (TREATMENTS) ETC. N/A	PROJECTED UTILIZATION		STATE STANDARD	MET STANDARD?
		YEAR 1	YEAR 2		
Rehabilitation	N/A	3,050	3,808	3,413+	YES

During 2013 the average length of stay for patients admitted to a rehabilitation unit in HSA 7 was 13.6 days. Therefore, for planning purposes, 13.6 days was projected to be the average length of stay on the proposed unit. 280 patients are projected to be admitted to the proposed 12-bed rehabilitation unit during the unit’s second year of operation, and following a “ramp-up” period taking place during the proposed unit’s initial months of operation.

The projected number of admissions is believed to be conservative, and three “sources” of patients have been identified.

First, letters have been provided (please see ATTACHMENT 21c) from 48 physicians, documenting 267 referrals to rehabilitation units in 2013, and confirming that 260 of those patients would have been admitted to the proposed MacNeal rehabilitation unit, had it been available. Second, as discussed in ATTACHMENT 21c, MacNeal operates a sub-acute unit, and a portion of the patients admitted to that unit would have been admitted to the proposed rehabilitation unit, had it been available. A letter is provided in ATTACHMENT 21c, identifying a minimum of 116 such patients a year. Third, during 2013 an additional 2,152 patients were transferred directly from MacNeal to either an intermediate care facility (ICF) or a skilled nursing facility (SNF). A letter is attached from MacNeal's Chief Medical Officer (ATTACHMENT 21c), in which he estimates that 2-3% (43-65 patients) of the patients transferred to an ICF or SNF were transferred primarily to receive rehabilitation services and would be clinically qualified to be admitted to the proposed rehabilitation unit. Together, these three sources account for nearly 430 prospective admissions, approximately 50% more than needed to operate the proposed rehabilitation unit at the IDPH's 85% target utilization level.

PLANNING AREA NEED

MacNeal Hospital is located in comprehensive physical rehabilitation (“rehabilitation”) Planning Area VII, which consists of suburban Cook County and DuPage County. Applicant Tenet Healthcare Corporation owns both MacNeal Hospital and Westlake Hospital (as well as two other hospitals in the Chicago area). Westlake Hospital is approved to operate 40 rehabilitation beds, and consistent with this application’s Narrative Description and the attached letter, at a time to be determined by the IHFSRB following the approval of this project, Westlake will remove 12 rehabilitation beds from its bed complement. As a result, the proposed establishment of a 12-bed rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital will not impact the calculated bed need for Planning Area VII.

It is anticipated that the proposed rehabilitation unit will have a patient origin very similar to MacNeal Hospital’s current patient origin, with a majority of the patients being residents of west suburban Cook County.

The table on the following page provides an analysis of MacNeal’s patient origin, identifying each ZIP Code area contributing a minimum of 1.0% of the hospital’s admissions, during, 2013.

ZIP Code	Community	%	Cum. %
60402	Berwyn*	19.82%	19.82%
60804	Cicero*	18.96%	38.78%
60638	Chicago	15.73%	54.51%
60632	Chicago	5.43%	59.94%
60629	Chicago	4.19%	64.13%
60634	Lyons*	3.38%	67.51%
60623	Chicago	2.65%	70.16%
60501	Summit/Argo*	2.61%	72.78%
60546	Riverside*	2.15%	74.93%
60513	Brookfield*	1.72%	76.65%
60455	Bridgeview*	1.33%	77.98%
60525	La Grange*	1.08%	77.98%
	all other < 1.00%	22.02%	100.00%

*8 Planning Area ZIP codes accounting for 51.05%

As a demonstration of both demand for an inpatient rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital and the anticipated utilization of that unit, letters are provided in ATTACHMENT 21c from 48 physicians practicing at MacNeal Hospital. These letters document both the physician's historical referral pattern for rehabilitation and the physician's anticipated admissions to the proposed rehabilitation unit at MacNeal. Please note that the individual physician's patients admitted to Westlake Hospital's rehabilitation unit have been specifically omitted. The table below summarizes the attached letters.

Name	2013 Referrals	Qualified Projected Referrals*
Christina Arellano	2	2
Guido Grasso-Knight	2	2
Dorothy Warzocha	2	2
Mithila Janakiram	1	1
Parag Amin	13	13
Summers Stacks	2	2
Louis De La Garza	5	5
Steve Michel	2	2
Tibor Boco	4	4

Nicholas Anderson	2	2
Jennifer Miklos	1	1
M. Qureshi	3	3
Yesenia Yepez	4	4
Sajan Thomas	2	2
Alina Merrill	1	1
Bobby Chan	1	1
Rupal Bhatnagar	1	1
Don Woznica, Jr.	2	2
Scott Seymour	9	9
Charles T. Shaw	3	3
Robert Kash	2	2
Shishir Jain	12	12
Leonard Splishakoff	10	10
Taiwo Oyenuga	13	13
Diorella Guloy	5	5
James Martin	10	10
S. Tayebi	5	5
Dilip M. Shah	5	5
John Brofman	10	10
Dinas Aleksonis	4	4
Manish Desai	15	15
Edward C. Foley	2	2
Sagun Gautam	8	8
Haissam Sabbagh	5	5
David Millos	1	1
Manjula Khandelwal	12	12
Aaron Lee	2	2
David Dionisio	2	2
Susan Siotte	12	12
Ligia Rioja	12	12
Tim McGonage	12	8
Sejal Shah	8	8
Silvia Juarez	2	2
Samiya Hushmi	6	6
David B. levy	15	12
Bernard Slusinski	2	2
Dina Pavilonis	3	3
Roslia Herrera	<u>10</u>	<u>10</u>
	267	260

*in instances where a physicians anticipated referrals exceeded historical referrals, the historical number was used

The documented anticipated 260 admissions identified in the table above have been converted to patient days, using the 2013 average length of stay (ALOS) of 13.6 days, as reported in Planning Area VII's hospital's *Hospital Profiles*. 3,536 rehabilitation patient days of care are projected, resulting in an average daily census of 9.68 patients.

In addition, MacNeal Hospital operates a sub-acute care hospital model as a demonstration project approved by the IHFSRB under the Alternative Health Care Delivery Act. Approximately 90% of the patients admitted to this model (also referred to as the hospital's Transitional Care Unit or TCU) have diagnoses similar to those of patients typically admitted to a rehabilitation unit, including stroke, joint replacement, lower extremity amputation, and fractures, and receive some level of physical rehabilitation on the TCU. These patients have medical conditions too complex to be addressed in the skilled care setting. The unit's staff estimate that 15-20% of the patients admitted to the TCU are clinically appropriate for admission to a rehabilitation unit, could tolerate the level of rehabilitation provided on a rehabilitation unit, and would benefit from the level of rehabilitation provided in that setting. Often, and for a variety of reasons including the family's inability to travel to a hospital outside of their community, the patient is admitted to the TCU rather than being transferred to another hospital for admission to a rehabilitation unit. Therefore, and consistent with guidance provided through a technical assistance conference with IHFSRB staff, a letter is being provided (please see ATTACHMENT 21c) from the TCU's Medical Director, Mark Hroncich, MD, documenting the anticipated admission of a minimum of 116 annual patients to the proposed rehabilitation unit that would otherwise be admitted to the TCU. These 116 admissions, again using the anticipated 13.6 day average length of stay, will result in an average daily census of 4.32 patients.

Last, during 2013 an additional 2,152 patients were transferred directly from MacNeal to either an intermediate care facility (ICF) or a skilled nursing facility (SNF). A letter is attached (please see ATTACHMENT 21c) from MacNeal's Medical Director, Charles Bareis, MD in which he estimates that 2-3% of the patients transferred to an ICF or SNF (43-65 patients) were transferred primarily to receive rehabilitation services and would be clinically qualified to be admitted to the proposed rehabilitation unit. Conservatively anticipating the lower end of the

range identified in Dr. Bareis' letter, 43 patients, these patients would result in an average daily census of 1.60 patients.

Together, these three sources account for nearly 420 prospective admissions, approximately 50% more than needed to operate the proposed rehabilitation unit at the IDPH's 85% target utilization level.

UNNECESSARY DUPLICATION/MAL-DISTRIBUTION/IMPACT OF THE
PROJECT ON AREA PROVIDERS

The proposed project will not result in unnecessary duplication or result in a mal-distribution. The project, as proposed, will not add any comprehensive physical rehabilitation (“rehabilitation”) beds to the IDPH’s *Inventory* as a result of Tenet Healthcare Corporation’s pledge to discontinue twelve rehabilitation beds at Westlake Hospital. Westlake Hospital is located in the same planning area as MacNeal Hospital, and therefore the project will not result in an unnecessary duplication or alter the distribution of beds within the planning area. The discontinuation of twelve rehabilitation beds at Westlake Hospital will occur upon the direction of the Illinois Health Facilities and Services Review Board, either prior to or concurrent with the opening of the proposed 12-bed rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital.

Eighty-five ZIP Code areas are located partially or totally within a 30 minute (adjusted by 10%, per IHFSRB formula) drive of MacNeal Hospital. A listing of those ZIP Codes is provided at the end of this attachment. The 2013 population of that 85-ZIP Code area, based on estimates developed by GeoLytics was 2,411,913.

The table on the following page identifies all providers of comprehensive rehabilitation services located within 30 minutes of MacNeal Hospital.

Hospital	Location	Miles	Min.*
Loyola University Med. Center	Maywood	4.3	10
Rush Oak Park Hospital	Oak Park	3.7	11
Adventist La Grange Mem. Hosp.	La Grange	7.4	15
Schwab Rehabilitation Hospital	Chicago	5.7	16
Rush University Medical Center	Chicago	9.1	17
Shriner's Hospital for Children	Chicago	5.8	18
University of Illinois Med. Ctr.	Chicago	11.4	18
Westlake Hospital	Melrose Park	6.8	18
Mercy Hospital & Medical Center	Chicago	11.5	19
Presence St. Mary's Hospital	Chicago	10.3	23
Rehabilitation Inst. Of Chicago	Chicago	15.6	24
Holy Cross Hospital	Chicago	10.6	27
Presence St. Joseph Hospital	Chicago	17.9	28
Advocate Christ Med. Center	Oak Lawn	11.7	29

*adjusted by factor of 1.1
Source: MapQuest 10/30/14

The hospitals identified in the table above are approved to provide 663 comprehensive physical rehabilitation beds, or .27 bed per 1,000 population residing within the 85-ZIP Code area identified above.

To operate at the IDPH's target occupancy level of 85%, and assuming the unit's average length of stay to be similar to that of the 2013 average length of stay in HSA 7 (13.6 days), 274 patients will need to be admitted to the proposed rehabilitation unit. For planning purposes, the required number of admissions has been increased to 280.

The required number of patients are, as discussed in depth in ATTACHMENT 21b, available, and it is reasonable to postulate that the proposed rehabilitation unit will not have a

significant impact on any other area provider. The number of qualified admissions far exceeds the proposed unit's capacity to admit patients, and admissions priority to the proposed unit will be given to patients to be transferred from a MacNeal Hospital Medical/Surgical unit. As discussed in ATTACHMENT 21b, a minimum of 116 patients that will be admitted to the proposed rehabilitation unit annually are now admitted to the hospital's Transitional Care Unit, and an additional 43 patients are admitted to intermediate or skilled nursing facilities to receive rehabilitation services. These patients alone, none of which are not currently being admitted to a rehabilitation unit, will provided an average daily census of 5.9 patients, or 57% of the proposed unit's anticipated average daily census of 10.4 patients. The additional 4.5 patients are currently admitted to numerous other rehabilitation units throughout the metropolitan Chicago area, and as a result, the establishment of a rehabilitation unit at MacNeal will have minimal impact on any other rehabilitation unit.



Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

I serve as the Medical Director of MacNeal Hospital's Transitional Care Unit ("TCU"), which operates as an IDPH-approved sub-acute care demonstration project. Last year, approximately 775 patients were admitted to the TCU, the vast majority of which were transferred from a medical/surgical unit at MacNeal Hospital to the TCU.

I estimate that, at minimum, 15% of the patients admitted to the TCU last year would have been admitted to a physical rehabilitation unit, had one been available at MacNeal Hospital. While patients are regularly transferred from MacNeal Hospital to either rehabilitation hospitals or rehabilitation units at other hospitals, a portion of the patient population that would benefit from a physical rehabilitation unit will not or cannot leave the Berwyn community for that care. As a result, I would estimate that, on an annual basis, a minimum of 116+ patients now admitted to the TCU would be admitted to a rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital.

I am aware that many area physicians have provided letters that document the number of rehabilitation patients that they would refer to a rehabilitation unit at MacNeal. The patients that I am referencing have not been included in the other physicians' estimates, as they have not been admitted to a rehabilitation unit.

Sincerely,

Mark Hroncich MD 11-18-14

Mark Hroncich, MD



11/18/2014

Notarized:

November 25, 2014

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, IL

To Whom It May Concern:

I serve as the Chief Medical Officer of MacNeal Hospital, and this letter is being provided to confirm, in part, that the rehabilitation unit proposed to be developed at MacNeal will attract a sufficient number of patients to allow it to operate above the Illinois Department of Public Health's target utilization rate.



During 2013, 2,152 MacNeal patients were transferred to area intermediate care and skilled care facilities upon discharge from a Medical/Surgical unit. I estimate that 2-3% of those patients were clinically qualified for admission to a rehabilitation unit, and would have been admitted to a rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, had such a unit been available. In most instances these patients or their families chose nursing home admission over admission to a rehabilitation unit for reasons of proximity to either the patient's home or the homes of family members.

Sincerely,



Charles Bareis, MD, F.A.C.P.
Chief Medical Officer
MacNeal Hospital
3249 S. Oak Park Ave.
Berwyn IL 60402
(708) 783-3013

Notarized:



Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.

During 2013, I referred 2 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 2 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.

Sincerely,



Name (please print): CHRISTINA ARELLANO Chulau

Specialty: FAMILY MED

Date: 11/5/14

Marie O'Brien
11/5/14

Notarized:

ATTACHMENT 21c

80

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.

During 2013, I referred 2 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 2-5 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.

Sincerely,



Name (please print): Guido Grassi-Knight

Specialty: Family Medicine

Date: 11-15-14



Marie O'Brien
11/15/14

Notarized:

ATTACHMENT 21c

81

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.

During 2013, I referred ~~10~~ 2 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer ~~10~~ 2 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.

Sincerely,



Name (please print): Dorothy Warzocha

Specialty: Family Medicine

Date: 11/5/14



Marie O'Brien
11/5/14

Notarized:

ATTACHMENT 21c

82

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.

During 2013, I referred 1 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 2 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.

Sincerely,

Name (please print): Mithila Janakiram

Specialty: Family Medicine

Date: 11/5/14

Notarized:

83



(Handwritten Signature)
ATTACHMENT 21c
11/5/14

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.


During 2013, I referred 13 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 15 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.

Sincerely,



Name (please print): PARAS AMW

Specialty: INTERNAL MEDICINE

Date: 10/29/14

Notarized:

84




ATTACHMENT 21c
10/29/2014

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.

During 2013, I referred 2 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 3-5 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.

Sincerely,



Name (please print): Summers Stacks

Specialty: ICU Hospitalist

Date: 10/31/14



Marie O'Brien
10/31/14

Notarized:

ES

ATTACHMENT 21c

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.

During 2013, I referred 5 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 5 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.

Sincerely,



Name (please print): Louis De La Garza, M.D.

Specialty: INTERNAL MEDICINE

Date: 10/29/14

Marie O'Brien
10/29/14

Notarized:

ATTACHMENT 21c

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.

During 2013, I referred 2 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 2 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.

Sincerely, 

Name (please print): STEVE MICHEL

Specialty: INT. MED

Date: 11-4-14

Notarized:

87




ATTACHMENT 21c
11/4/2014

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.


During 2013, I referred 4 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 4 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.

Sincerely,



Name (please print): TIBOR Boca M.D.

Specialty: NEUROSURGERY

Date: 11/4/2014

Notarized:

SP



Susan A. Jantelezio
11/4/2014
ATTACHMENT 21c

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.

During 2013, I referred 2 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 2 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.

Sincerely,

Name (please print): Nicolas Anderson *NICOLAS ANDERSON*

Specialty: PODIATRY - FOOT & ANKLE

Date: 11/3/2014



Notarized:

89

Marie O'Brien
ATTACHMENT 21C
11/3/2014

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.

During 2013, I referred 1 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 1-5 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.



Sincerely,



Name (please print): Jennifer Miklos, DPM

Specialty: Podiatry

Date: 11/3/14



11/3/14

Notarized:

ATTACHMENT 21c

90

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.


During 2013, I referred 3 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 3 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.


Sincerely,



Name (please print): M. Qureshi

Specialty: Internal Medicine

Date: 10/30/14



Notarized:

ATTACHMENT 21c

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.

During 2013, I referred 4 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 16 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.


Sincerely,

Yesenia Yezpez
Name (please print): Yesenia Yezpez M.D.
Specialty: Family Medicine

Date: 10/29/14.

Notarized:

92



Deborah K. Palomino
Commission expires 1/25/15
ATTACHMENT 21c
10/29/14

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.

During 2013, I referred two patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 2-4 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.

Sincerely,

Sajan Thomas

Name (please print): SAJAN THOMAS

Specialty: INTERNAL MEDICINE

Date: 10/28/14



Marie O'Brien
10/28/14

Notarized:

ATTACHMENT 21c

93

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.

During 2013, I referred 1 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 1 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.


Sincerely,

 D.O.

Name (please print): Alma Merrill

Specialty: Family Medicine

Date: 10/29/14



Deborah K Palomino
Commission expires 1-25-15

Notarized:

pd

ATTACHMENT NO 209-14

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.

During 2013, I referred 1 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 1 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.


Sincerely,



Name (please print): BOBBY CHAN, MD

Specialty: FAMILY MEDICINE

Date: 10/29/14



Deborah K. Palomino
Commission expires
1-25-15

Notarized:

95

ATTACHMENT 2/4p

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.

During 2013, I referred 1 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer at least 1 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.


Sincerely,



Name (please print): Rupal Bhatnagar

Specialty: Family medicine

Date: 10/29/14



Deborah K. Palomino
Commission expires 1-25-15

Notarized:

ATTACHMENT 21c
10/29/14

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.

During 2013, I referred 2 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 2 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.


Sincerely,



Name (please print): DON WOZNICA JR

Specialty: FAMILY PRACTICE

Date: 10/29/14



Notarized:

ATTACHMENT 21c

97

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.

During 2013, I referred 9 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 10 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.

Sincerely,

Name (please print): Scott Seymour

Specialty: ORTHOPAEDIC SURGERY

Date: 10/28/2014

Notarized:

MS



Marie O'Brien
ATTACHMENT 21c
10/28/14

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.

During 2013, I referred 3 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 3 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.

Sincerely,



Name (please print): Charles T Shaw md

Specialty: Int Med

Date: 10-28-14

Marie O'Brien
10-28-14

Notarized:

ATTACHMENT 21c

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.


During 2013, I referred 2 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 2 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.

Sincerely,



Name (please print): DR. ROBERT KASHA


Specialty: INTERNAL MEDICINE

Date: 10/28/14

Notarized:

100




ATTACHMENT 21c
10/28/14

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.

During 2013, I referred 12 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 12 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.

Sincerely,

SJain

Name (please print): SHISHIR JAIN

Specialty: INTERNAL MEDICINE

Date: 10/28/14

Notarized:

101



Marie O'Brien
ATTACHMENT 21c
10/28/14

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.

During 2013, I referred 10 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 10 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.

Sincerely,

Name (please print): Leonard Spishak MD
Specialty: Family Medicine
Date: 10/28/14



Marie O'Brien
10/28/14
ATTACHMENT 21c

Notarized:

102

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.

During 2013, I referred 13 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 13 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.

Sincerely,

Name (please print): JAIWO SYERUGA

Specialty: INTERNAL MEDICINE

Date: 10/27/14



Notarized: Marie O'Brien
103 10/27/14
ATTACHMENT 21c

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.

During 2013, I referred 5 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 5 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.

Sincerely,

Name (please print): DIORIELLA GULLOY, D.O.

Specialty: INTERNAL MEDICINE

Date: 10/27/14



Notarized:

Marie O'Brien
ATTACHMENT 21c
10/27/14

104

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.


During 2013, I referred 10 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 10 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.

Sincerely,



Name (please print): JAMES MARSTON

Specialty: INTERNAL MEDICINE



Date: 10/27/14

Notarized:


10/27/14

ATTACHMENT 21c

105

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.

During 2013, I referred 5 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 5 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.

Sincerely,

Name (please print): [Signature]

Specialty: IM

Date: 10/27/14

Notarized:

106



[Signature] ATTACHMENT 21c
10/27/2014

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.

During 2013, I referred 5 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 5 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.

Sincerely,

Dilip M Shah MD

Name (please print): DILIP M SHAH MD

Specialty: I-M

Date: 10/24/14

Notarized:

107



SJA
10/24/2014

ATTACHMENT 21c

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.


During 2013, I referred 10 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 10 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.

Sincerely,



Name (please print): VONN ARPMAN

Specialty: LUNG DISEASE

Date: 10/23/14

Notarized:

108



ATTACHMENT 21c
Susan A. Jantelezio
10/23/2014

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.

During 2013, I referred 4 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 4 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.


The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.

Sincerely,

Name (please print): DINAS ALEKSONIS

Specialty: INTERNAL MEDICINE

Date: 10/23/14



Notarized:

109

Susan A. Jantelezo
ATTACHMENT 21c
10/23/2014

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.

During 2013, I referred 15 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 15 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.

Sincerely,





Name (please print): Manish Desai

Specialty: Int. Med.

Date: 10/23/14

Notarized:




10/23/2014
ATTACHMENT 21c

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.

During 2013, I referred 2 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 2 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.

Sincerely, Edward C Foley MD


Name (please print): Edward C Foley MD

Specialty: Family Medicine

Date: 10/23/14

Notarized:

///



Marie O'Brien
ATTACHED 10/23/14

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.

During 2013, I referred 8 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 8 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.

Sincerely,

Sagun Coutain

Name (please print): SAGUN SAUTAN

Specialty: Family Practice

Date: 10/22/14



Marie O'Brien
10/22/14

Notarized:

ATTACHMENT 21c

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.

During 2013, I referred 5 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 5 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.

Sincerely,



Name (please print): Haissam Sabbagh, MD

Specialty: Internal Medicine

Date: 10-21-14



Notarized:

113

ATTACHMENT 21c

S. Jantelezio 10/21/2014

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.

During 2013, I referred 1 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 2 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.

Sincerely,



Name (please print): David Miller

Specialty: Podiatry

Date: 10-21-14



Marie O'Brien
10/21/14

Notarized:

114

ATTACHMENT 21c

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop a n inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.

During 2013, I referred 12 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 12 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.

Sincerely,

M. Khandelwal

Name (please print): MANSUKA Khandelwal


Specialty: Physiatry

Date: 10/20/14

Marie O'Brien
10-20-14

Notarized:

115



CHMENT 21c

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.

During 2013, I referred 2 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 3 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.

Sincerely,



Name (please print): Aaron Lee DO

Specialty: Family Medicine

Date: 10/20/14

Notarized:

116



Marie O'Brien
10/20/14

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.

During 2013, I referred 2 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 2 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.

Sincerely,



Name (please print):

DAVID DROMISIO

Specialty:

FAMILY MEDICINE

Date:

10/21/14



Marie O'Brien
10/21/14

ATTACHMENT 21c

Notarized:

117

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.

During 2013, I referred 12 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 12 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.

Sincerely,



Name (please print): Susan Scotte

Specialty: Neurology

Date: 11/05/14



Marie O'Brien
11/5/14

Notarized:

ATTACHMENT 21c

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.

During 2013, I referred 12 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 12 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.

Sincerely,

Ligia Rioja

Name (please print): Ligia Rioja

Specialty: Neurology

Date: 11/5/2014



Marie O'Brien
11/5/2014

Notarized:

ATTACHMENT 21c

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.

During 2013, I referred 12 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 8 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.


Sincerely,



Name (please print): Tim McGonagle, MD

Specialty: Neurology

Date: 11/5/14



Notarized:

ATTACHMENT 21c

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.


During 2013, I referred 8 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 8 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.

Sincerely,



Name (please print): Sejal Shah

Specialty: Internal medicine

Date: 11-4-14

Notarized:



[Handwritten Signature]
ATTACHMENT 21c
11/4/2014

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.

During 2013, I referred 2 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 2 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.

Sincerely,



Name (please print): SILVIA SUAREZ

Specialty: FAMILY MEDICINE

Date: 11/4/14



Marie O'Brien
11/4/14

Notarized:

ATTACHMENT 21c

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.


During 2013, I referred 6 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 6 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.

Sincerely,



Name (please print): Samiya Heshmi

Specialty: Internal Medicine

Date: 11/4/13

Notarized:



Marie O'Brien
11/4/13

ATTACHMENT 21c

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.

During 2013, I referred ¹⁵⁻20 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 12 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.

Sincerely,

Name (please print):

Specialty:

Date:


David B Levy
Family Medicine
11/5/14




11/5/14

Notarized:

ATTACHMENT 21c

124

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.

During 2013, I referred 2 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 2 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.

Sincerely, Bernard Staszewski, DO



Name (please print): Bernard Staszewski, DO

Specialty: Family Practice

Date: 11/5/2014

Notarized:

Marie O'Brien
11/5/14

ATTACHMENT 21c

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.

During 2013, I referred 3 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 2/10 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.


The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.

Sincerely,


Name (please print): Dina Pavlovic
Specialty: Family Medicine
Date: 11/5/14




11/5/14

Notarized:

ATTACHMENT 21c

Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written in support of the plans to develop an inpatient comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, Illinois.

During 2013, I referred 10 patients for admission to either a rehabilitation hospital or a hospital-based acute rehabilitation unit, other than the unit at Westlake Hospital.

I anticipate that after the MacNeal Hospital unit is opened, I will annually refer 10 patients to the proposed MacNeal Hospital unit that would not currently be referred to the Westlake Hospital unit.

The proposed referred patients noted above have not been used in the support of the establishment or expansion of any other comprehensive physical rehabilitation services.

The information contained in this letter is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge.

Sincerely,

R. Herrera

Name (please print): ROSELIA HERRERA MD

Specialty: FAM. PRACTICE

Date: 11-05-14



Marie O'Brien
11/5/14

Notarized:

ATTACHMENT 21c

127

ZIP CODE AREAS WITHIN 30 MINUTES OF MacNEAL HOSPITAL

		60104			
		60126			
		60130			
		60139			
		60141			
		60147			
		60148			
		60153			
		60154			
		60160			
		60162			
		60163			
		60164			
		60165			
		60171			
		60181			
		60301			
		60302			
		30304			
		60305			
		60341			
		60402			
		60415			
		60439			
		60440			
		60453			
		60455			
		60456			
		60457			
		60458			
		60459			
		60462			
		60463			
		60464			
		60465			
		60480			
		60482			
		60501			
		60513			
		60514			
		60515			
		60517			

ATTACHMENT 21c

		60521			
		60525			
		60532			
		60534			
		60546			
		60558			
		60559			
		60561			
		60601			
		60602			
		60603			
		60604			
		60605			
		60606			
		60607			
		60608			
		60609			
		60610			
		60611			
		60612			
		60614			
		60615			
		60616			
		60620			
		60621			
		60622			
		60623			
		60624			
		60629			
		60632			
		60634			
		60635			
		60636			
		60637			
		60638			
		60644			
		60650			
		60651			
		60652			
		60653			
		60655			
		60658			
		60685			

ATTACHMENT 21c

STAFFING AVAILABILITY

The proposed comprehensive physical rehabilitation (rehabilitation) category of service will be staffed consistent with, and in many cases above, all licensure and Joint Commission requirements.

It is not anticipated that any difficulties will be encountered in the hiring of well-qualified staff for the proposed rehabilitation service.

A qualified physiatrist will be recruited to service as the unit's Medical Director. Because of the size of the Chicago area physician community, the applicants do not anticipate difficulties in the recruitment of a Medical Director. Word of mouth will be used as the primary recruitment tool for this position, particularly with the physiatrists practicing at Tenet Healthcare's other hospitals. Available employment positions for the other professional positions will initially be made known to current MacNeal Hospital staff and staff at Tenet Healthcare's other Chicago area hospitals, after which normal means of advertising openings, including professional journals and local newspapers will be used. The initial staff will be in place 2-4 weeks prior to the service's acceptance of patients. Once appointed, the Medical Director will participate in the evaluation of other personnel.

Recruitment of qualified staff to fill all of the other positions identified in Section 1110.630.f.2. will commence within sixty days of receipt of the Certificate of Need Permit. All positions identified in Section 1110.630.f.2 will be filled either on a staff or consulting basis, as appropriate, prior to the opening of the proposed rehabilitation unit.

STAFFING AVAILABILITY

The proposed comprehensive physical rehabilitation (rehabilitation) category of service will be staffed consistent with, and in many cases above, all licensure and Joint Commission requirements.

It is not anticipated that any difficulties will be encountered in the hiring of well-qualified staff for the proposed rehabilitation service.

A qualified psychiatrist will be recruited to service as the unit's Medical Director. Because of the size of the Chicago area physician community, the applicants do not anticipate difficulties in the recruitment of a Medical Director. Word of mouth will be used as the primary recruitment tool for this position, particularly with the psychiatrists practicing at Tenet Healthcare Corporation's other hospitals. Available employment positions for the other professional positions will initially be made known to current MacNeal Hospital staff and staff at Tenet Healthcare Corporation's other Chicago area hospitals, after which normal means of advertising openings, including professional journals and local newspapers will be used. The initial staff will be in place 2-4 weeks prior to the service's accepting of patients. Once appointed, the Medical Director will participate in the evaluation of other potential personnel.

Recruitment of qualified staff to fill all of the other positions identified in Section 1110.630.f.2. will commence within sixty days of receipt of the Certificate of Need Permit. All positions identified in Section 1110.630.f.2 will be filled either on a staff or consulting basis, as appropriate, prior to the opening of the proposed rehabilitation unit.


November 10, 2014

Illinois Health Facilities
and Services Review Board
Springfield, Illinois

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is being written for inclusion in the Certificate of Need application addressing the establishment of a comprehensive physical rehabilitation category of service at MacNeal Hospital. Please be advised that it is my expectation and understanding that by the second year following the project's completion, the proposed service will be operating at the IHFSRB's target utilization rate, and that it will, at minimum, maintain that level of utilization thereafter.

Sincerely,


J. Scott Steiner
Chief Executive Officer



Notarized:

Marie O'Brien
November 10, 2014

ATTACHMENT 21g

Morningstar[®] Document ResearchSM

FORM 10-K

TENET HEALTHCARE CORP - THC

Filed: February 24, 2014 (period: December 31, 2013)

Annual report with a comprehensive overview of the company

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, DC 20549

Form 10-K

Annual report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013

OR

Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

for the transition period from to

Commission File Number 1-7293

TENET HEALTHCARE CORPORATION

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Nevada
(State of Incorporation)

95-2557091
(IRS Employer Identification No.)

1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1400
Dallas, TX 75202
(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

(469) 893-2200
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common stock, \$0.05 par value	New York Stock Exchange
9 7/8% Senior Notes due 2014	New York Stock Exchange
9 1/4% Senior Notes due 2015	New York Stock Exchange
6 7/8% Senior Notes due 2031	New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: **None**

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the preceding 12 months, and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2).

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2). Yes No

As of June 30, 2013, the aggregate market value of the shares of common stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant (treating all holders who were SEC reporting persons, and holders of 10% or more of the common stock outstanding as of that date, for this purpose, as affiliates) was approximately \$3.9 billion based on the closing price of the Registrant's shares on the New York Stock Exchange on Friday, June 28, 2013. As of January 31, 2014, there were 96,989,632 shares of common stock

134

outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Registrant's definitive proxy statement for the 2014 annual meeting of shareholders are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K.

ATTACHMENT 36

135

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
<u>PART I</u>	
<u>Item 1. Business</u>	1
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	23
<u>Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	32
<u>Item 2. Properties</u>	32
<u>Item 3. Legal Proceedings</u>	32
<u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	32
<u>PART II</u>	
<u>Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	33
<u>Item 6. Selected Financial Data</u>	35
<u>Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	36
<u>Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	87
<u>Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	88
<u>Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	91
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	96
<u>Supplemental Financial Information</u>	130
<u>Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	131
<u>Item 9A. Controls and Procedures</u>	131
<u>Item 9B. Other Information</u>	131
<u>PART III</u>	
<u>Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	132
<u>Item 11. Executive Compensation</u>	132
<u>Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	132
<u>Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	132
<u>Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	132
<u>PART IV</u>	
<u>Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	133

PART I.

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

OVERVIEW

Tenet Healthcare Corporation (together with our subsidiaries, referred to herein as “Tenet,” “we” or “us”) is an investor-owned company whose subsidiaries and affiliates operate regionally focused, integrated health care delivery networks with a significant presence in several large urban and suburban markets. At the core of our networks are acute care and specialty hospitals that, together with our strategically aligned outpatient facilities and related businesses, allow us to provide a comprehensive range of health care services in the communities we serve. As of December 31, 2013, we primarily operated 77 hospitals, 183 outpatient centers, six health plans, six accountable care networks and Conifer Health Solutions, LLC (“Conifer”), which provides business process solutions to more than 700 hospital and other clients nationwide. On October 1, 2013, we completed our previously announced acquisition of Vanguard Health Systems, Inc. (“Vanguard”), an investor-owned hospital company whose operations complemented our existing business. Through this acquisition, we significantly increased our scale, became more geographically diverse, and expanded the services we offer.

With respect to our hospitals and outpatient facilities, we seek to offer superior quality and patient services to meet community needs, to make capital and other investments in our facilities and technology to remain competitive, to recruit and retain physicians, to expand our outpatient business, and to negotiate favorable contracts with managed care and other private payers. With respect to business process services, we provide comprehensive operational management for revenue cycle functions, including patient access, health information management, revenue integrity and patient financial services. We also offer communications and engagement solutions to optimize the relationship between providers and patients. In addition, our management services offerings have expanded to support value-based performance through clinical integration, financial risk management and population health management. For financial reporting purposes, our business lines are classified into two separate reportable business segments — hospital operations and other, and Conifer. Financial and statistical information about our business segments can be found in Item 7, Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, of Part II of this report.

We are committed to providing the communities our hospitals, outpatient centers and other health care facilities serve with high quality, cost-effective health care while growing our business, increasing our profitability and creating long-term value for our shareholders. Our operating strategies for accomplishing this mission in the complex and competitive health care industry are discussed in detail in Item 7, Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, of Part II of this report. In general, we anticipate the continued acceleration of major industry trends we have seen emerge over the last several years, and our strategies reflect the belief that: (1) consumers will increasingly select services and providers based on quality and cost; (2) physicians will seek strategic partners with whom they can align clinically and financially; (3) more procedures will shift from the inpatient to the outpatient setting; (4) demand will grow as a result of an improved economy, shifting demographics and the expansion of coverage under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 (the “Affordable Care Act”); and (5) payer reimbursements will be constrained and further shift to being more closely tied to performance on quality and service metrics. We believe that our strategies and the acceleration of these trends will allow us to achieve our operational and financial targets. We adjust our strategies as necessary in response to changes in the economic and regulatory climates in which we operate and the results achieved by our various efforts.

OPERATIONS

HOSPITAL OPERATIONS AND OTHER

Hospitals, Outpatient Centers and Related Businesses—At December 31, 2013, our subsidiaries operated 77 hospitals, including four academic medical centers, two children’s hospitals, three specialty hospitals and a critical access hospital, with a total of 20,293 licensed beds, serving primarily urban and suburban communities in 14 states. Of those hospitals, 72 were owned by our subsidiaries, and five were owned by third parties and leased by our subsidiaries. We are also in the process of constructing the new Resolute Health Hospital and Wellness Campus in New Braunfels, Texas, which is expected to be completed in or around May 2014. In addition, at December 31, 2013, our subsidiaries operated a long-term acute care hospital and owned or leased and operated a number of medical office buildings, all of which were located on, or nearby, our hospital campuses. Furthermore, our subsidiaries operated 183 free-standing and provider-based outpatient centers in 16 states at

Table of Contents

December 31, 2013, including diagnostic imaging centers, ambulatory surgery centers, urgent care centers and satellite emergency departments, among others. We also owned over 500 physician practices at December 31, 2013.

We seek to operate our hospitals, outpatient centers and related businesses in a manner that positions them to compete effectively in an evolving health care environment. From time to time, we build new hospitals and outpatient centers, and make strategic acquisitions of hospitals, outpatient businesses, physician practices, and other health care assets and companies — in each case in markets where we believe our operating strategies can improve performance and create shareholder value. We believe that growth by strategic acquisitions, when and if opportunities are available, can supplement the growth we believe we can generate organically in our existing markets. Moreover, we continually evaluate collaboration opportunities with outpatient facilities, health care providers, physician groups and others in our markets to maximize effectiveness, reduce costs and build clinically integrated networks that provide quality service across the care continuum. During the year ended December 31, 2013, we acquired 28 hospitals (plus the New Braunfels hospital under construction) and 39 outpatient centers, serving communities in Arizona, California, Illinois, Massachusetts, Michigan and Texas, through our acquisition of Vanguard. We also purchased the following businesses: (1) 11 ambulatory surgery centers (in one of which we had previously held a noncontrolling interest); (2) an urgent care center; (3) a provider network based in Southern California that includes contracted independent physicians, ancillary providers and hospitals; (4) a medical office building; and (5) various physician practice entities. In addition, in May 2013, we entered into a partnership with John Muir Health through which we will jointly develop and expand outpatient services and physician relationships to improve the efficiency and coordination of care in the Tri-Valley area and nearby communities in Northern California. Furthermore, we have signed a definitive agreement to acquire Emanuel Medical Center, a 209-bed hospital located in Turlock, California. We also sometimes decide to sell, consolidate or close certain facilities to eliminate duplicate services or excess capacity or because of changing market conditions or other factors.

Our hospitals classified in continuing operations for financial reporting purposes generated in excess of 90% of our net operating revenues before provision for doubtful accounts for all periods presented in our Consolidated Financial Statements. Factors that affect patient volumes and, thereby, the results of operations at our hospitals and related health care facilities include, but are not limited to: (1) the business environment, economic conditions and demographics of local communities in which we operate; (2) the number of uninsured and underinsured individuals in local communities treated at our hospitals; (3) seasonal cycles of illness; (4) climate and weather conditions; (5) physician recruitment, retention and attrition; (6) advances in technology and treatments that reduce length of stay; (7) local health care competitors; (8) managed care contract negotiations or terminations; (9) the number of patients with high-deductible health insurance plans; (10) any unfavorable publicity about us that impacts our relationships with physicians and patients; (11) changes in health care regulations and the participation of individual states in federal programs; and (12) the timing of elective procedures.

Each of our general hospitals offers acute care services, operating and recovery rooms, radiology services, respiratory therapy services, clinical laboratories and pharmacies; in addition, most offer intensive care, critical care and/or coronary care units, physical therapy, and orthopedic, oncology and outpatient services. Many of our hospitals also offer tertiary care services such as open-heart surgery, neonatal intensive care and neurosciences. Five of our hospitals — Good Samaritan Medical Center, Hahnemann University Hospital, Harper University Hospital, North Shore Medical Center and St. Louis University Hospital — offer quaternary care in areas such as heart, liver, kidney and bone marrow transplants. Children's Hospital of Michigan and St. Christopher's Hospital for Children provide tertiary and quaternary pediatric services, including bone marrow and kidney transplants, as well as burn services. A number of our hospitals also offer advanced treatment options for patients — Good Samaritan Medical Center, North Shore Medical Center, Sierra Medical Center and Sierra Providence East Medical Center offer gamma-knife brain surgery; and Brookwood Medical Center, North Shore Medical Center, Saint Vincent Hospital at Worcester Medical Center and St. Louis University Hospital offer cyberknife radiation therapy for tumors and lesions in the brain, lung, neck, spine and elsewhere that may previously have been considered inoperable or inaccessible by traditional radiation therapy. In addition, our hospitals will continue their efforts to develop and deliver those outpatient services that can be provided on a quality, cost-effective basis and that we believe will meet the needs of the communities served by the facilities.

Many of our hospitals also offer a wide range of clinical research studies, giving patients access to innovative care. We are dedicated to helping our hospitals participate in medical research that is consistent with state and federal regulations and provides good clinical practice guidelines. Clinical research programs relate to a wide array of ailments, including cardiovascular disease, pulmonary disease, musculoskeletal disorders, neurological disorders, genitourinary disease and various cancers, as well as medical device studies. By supporting clinical research, our hospitals are actively involved in medical advancements that can lead to improvements in patient safety and clinical care.

Except as set forth in the table below, each of our acute care hospitals is accredited by The Joint Commission (formerly, The Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations). With such accreditation, our hospitals are

Table of Contents

deemed to meet the Medicare Conditions of Participation and are eligible to participate in government-sponsored provider programs, such as the Medicare and Medicaid programs.

The following table lists, by state, the hospitals owned or leased and operated by our subsidiaries as of December 31, 2013:

<u>Hospital</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Licensed Beds</u>	<u>Status</u>
Alabama			
Brookwood Medical Center	Birmingham	631	Owned
Arizona			
Arizona Heart Hospital(1)	Phoenix	59	Owned
Arrowhead Hospital	Glendale	217	Owned
Maryvale Hospital	Phoenix	232	Owned
Paradise Valley Hospital	Phoenix	136	Owned
Phoenix Baptist Hospital	Phoenix	221	Owned
West Valley Hospital	Goodyear	164	Owned
California			
Desert Regional Medical Center(2)	Palm Springs	387	Leased
Doctors Hospital of Manteca	Manteca	73	Owned
Doctors Medical Center	Modesto	461	Owned
Fountain Valley Regional Hospital & Medical Center	Fountain Valley	400	Owned
John F. Kennedy Memorial Hospital	Indio	156	Owned
Lakewood Regional Medical Center	Lakewood	172	Owned
Los Alamitos Medical Center	Los Alamitos	167	Owned
Placentia Linda Hospital	Placentia	114	Owned
San Ramon Regional Medical Center(3)	San Ramon	123	Owned
Sierra Vista Regional Medical Center	San Luis Obispo	164	Owned
Twin Cities Community Hospital	Templeton	122	Owned
Florida			
Coral Gables Hospital	Coral Gables	245	Owned
Delray Medical Center	Delray Beach	493	Owned
Good Samaritan Medical Center	West Palm Beach	333	Owned
Hialeah Hospital	Hialeah	378	Owned
North Shore Medical Center	Miami	357	Owned
North Shore Medical Center — FMC Campus	Lauderdale Lakes	459	Owned
Palm Beach Gardens Medical Center(4)	Palm Beach Gardens	199	Leased
Palmetto General Hospital	Hialeah	360	Owned
Saint Mary's Medical Center	West Palm Beach	464	Owned
West Boca Medical Center	Boca Raton	195	Owned
Georgia			
Atlanta Medical Center	Atlanta	762	Owned
Atlanta Medical Center — South Campus(5)	East Point	—	Owned
North Fulton Regional Hospital(6)	Roswell	202	Leased
Spalding Regional Hospital	Griffin	160	Owned
Sylvan Grove Hospital(7)	Jackson	25	Leased
Illinois			
Louis A. Weiss Memorial Hospital	Chicago	236	Owned
MacNeal Hospital	Berwyn	373	Owned
West Suburban Medical Center	Oak Park	234	Owned
Westlake Hospital(8)	Melrose Park	242	Owned
Massachusetts			
MetroWest Medical Center — Framingham Union Hospital	Framingham	147	Owned
MetroWest Medical Center — Leonard Morse Hospital	Natick	122	Owned
Saint Vincent Hospital at Worcester Medical Center	Worcester	321	Owned

139

Table of Contents

<u>Hospital</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Licensed Beds</u>	<u>Status</u>
Michigan			
Children's Hospital of Michigan	Detroit	228	Owned
Detroit Receiving Hospital	Detroit	298	Owned
Harper University Hospital	Detroit	567	Owned
Huron Valley-Sinai Hospital	Commerce Township	153	Owned
Hutzel Women's Hospital(9)	Detroit	—	Owned
Rehabilitation Institute of Michigan(1)	Detroit	94	Owned
Sinai-Grace Hospital	Detroit	404	Owned
DMC Surgery Hospital(1)	Madison Heights	67	Owned
Missouri			
Des Peres Hospital	St. Louis	143	Owned
St. Louis University Hospital	St. Louis	356	Owned
North Carolina			
Central Carolina Hospital	Sanford	137	Owned
Frye Regional Medical Center(10)	Hickory	355	Leased
Pennsylvania			
Hahnemann University Hospital	Philadelphia	496	Owned
St. Christopher's Hospital for Children	Philadelphia	189	Owned
South Carolina			
Coastal Carolina Hospital	Hardeeville	41	Owned
East Cooper Medical Center	Mount Pleasant	140	Owned
Hilton Head Hospital	Hilton Head	93	Owned
Piedmont Medical Center	Rock Hill	288	Owned
Tennessee			
Saint Francis Hospital	Memphis	519	Owned
Saint Francis Hospital — Bartlett	Bartlett	196	Owned
Texas			
Baptist Medical Center	San Antonio	623	Owned
Centennial Medical Center	Frisco	118	Owned
Cypress Fairbanks Medical Center	Houston	181	Owned
Doctors Hospital at White Rock Lake	Dallas	218	Owned
Houston Northwest Medical Center(11)	Houston	430	Owned
Lake Pointe Medical Center(12)	Rowlett	112	Owned
Mission Trail Baptist Hospital	San Antonio	110	Owned
Nacogdoches Medical Center	Nacogdoches	153	Owned
North Central Baptist Hospital	San Antonio	340	Owned
Northeast Baptist Hospital	San Antonio	379	Owned
Park Plaza Hospital	Houston	444	Owned
Providence Memorial Hospital	El Paso	508	Owned
Sierra Medical Center	El Paso	349	Owned
Sierra Providence East Medical Center	El Paso	110	Owned
St. Luke's Baptist Hospital	San Antonio	282	Owned
Valley Baptist Medical Center — Brownsville(13)	Brownsville	280	Owned
Valley Baptist Medical Center(13)	Harlingen	586	Owned
Total Licensed Beds		20,293	

- (1) Specialty hospital.
- (2) Lease expires in 2027.
- (3) Owned by a limited liability company formed as part of a joint venture with John Muir Health, a not-for-profit integrated system of doctors, hospitals and other health care services in the San Francisco Bay area; a Tenet subsidiary owned a 51% interest in the limited liability company at December 31, 2013 and is the managing member, and John Muir Health owned a 49% interest.
- (4) Lease expires in February 2017, but may be renewed through at least February 2037, subject to certain conditions contained in the lease.
- (5) Licensed beds for Atlanta Medical Center — South Campus are presented on a combined basis with Atlanta Medical Center.
- (6) Lease expires in February 2020, but may be renewed through at least February 2040, subject to certain conditions contained in the lease.

ATTACHMENT 36

ATTACHMENT 36

141

Table of Contents

- (7) Designated by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (“CMS”) as a critical access hospital. Although it has not sought to be accredited, the hospital participates in the Medicare and Medicaid programs by otherwise meeting the Medicare Conditions of Participation. The current lease term for this facility expires in December 2016, but may be renewed through December 2046, subject to certain conditions contained in the lease.
- (8) Accredited by the American Osteopathic Association.
- (9) Licensed beds for Hutzel Women’s Hospital are presented on a combined basis with Harper University Hospital.
- (10) Lease expires in February 2022, but may be renewed through at least February 2042, subject to certain conditions contained in the lease.
- (11) Owned by a limited liability company in which a Tenet subsidiary owned an 86.69% interest at December 31, 2013 and is the managing member.
- (12) Owned by a limited liability company in which a Tenet subsidiary owned a 94.674% interest at December 31, 2013 and is the managing member.
- (13) Indirectly owned by a limited liability company formed as part of a joint venture with VB Medical Holdings (formerly known as Valley Baptist Medical Center — Brownsville), a Texas non-profit corporation; a Tenet subsidiary owned a 51% interest in the limited liability company at December 31, 2013 and is the managing member, and VB Medical Holdings owned a 49% interest.

The following table presents the number of hospitals operated by our subsidiaries, as well as the total number of licensed beds at those facilities, at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

	December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Total number of facilities(1)	77	49	50
Total number of licensed beds(2)	20,293	13,216	13,453

- (1) Includes all general and specialty hospitals and our critical access hospital, as well as one facility at December 31, 2011 that is classified in discontinued operations for financial reporting purposes as of December 31, 2013 and 2012.
- (2) Information regarding utilization of licensed beds and other operating statistics can be found in Item 7, Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, of Part II of this report.

As of December 31, 2013, we also owned 183 free-standing and provider-based outpatient centers in 16 states — typically at locations complementary to our hospitals — including diagnostic imaging centers, ambulatory surgery centers, urgent care centers and satellite emergency departments, among others. Most of these outpatient centers are in leased facilities, and a number of outpatient facilities are owned and operated by joint ventures in which we hold a majority equity interest. The largest concentrations of our outpatient centers were in those states where we had the largest concentrations of licensed hospital beds, as of December 31, 2013, as shown in the table below:

	% of Outpatient Centers	% of Licensed Beds
Texas	31.7%	25.7%
Florida	16.4%	17.1%
California	16.4%	11.5%

Strong concentrations of hospital beds and outpatient centers within market areas help us contract more successfully with managed care payers, reduce management, marketing and other expenses, and more efficiently utilize resources. However, these concentrations increase the risk that, should any adverse economic, regulatory, environmental or other condition occur in these areas, our overall business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows could be materially adversely affected.

Health Plans and Accountable Care Networks—During the year ended December 31, 2013, we acquired five health plans with approximately 140,000 members through our acquisition of Vanguard:

- VHS Phoenix Health Plan, LLC, a Medicaid-managed health plan operating as Phoenix Health Plan (“PHP”) in Arizona;
- Phoenix Health Plans, Inc. (formerly known as Abrazo Advantage Health Plan, Inc.), a Medicare and Medicaid dual-eligible managed health plan operating in Arizona;
- Chicago Health System, Inc. (“CHS”), a contracting entity for inpatient and outpatient services provided by MacNeal Hospital, Louis A. Weiss Memorial Hospital and participating physicians in the Chicago area;
- Harbor Health Plan, Inc. (formerly known as ProCare Health Plan, Inc.), a Medicaid-managed health plan operating in Michigan; and
- Valley Baptist Insurance Company (“VBIC”), which is currently in the process of changing its name to Allegian Health Plan, offers health maintenance organization (“HMO”), preferred provider organization (“PPO”), and self-funded products to its members in the form of large group, small group and individual product offerings in south Texas. As of January 1, 2014, VBIC also offers a Medicare Advantage health plan.

142

Table of Contents

We also own Golden State Medicare Health Plan, which is an HMO that specializes in the care of seniors in Southern California who are eligible for benefits under the Medicare Advantage program.

We believe these health plans complement and enhance our market position and provide us with expertise that we expect will be increasingly important as the health care industry evolves. Specifically, PHP provides us with insights into state initiatives to manage the Arizona Medicaid population, which is valuable in light of the expansion of health coverage to currently uninsured patients pursuant to the Affordable Care Act and various other health care reform laws. In addition, through CHS, our Chicago-based preferred provider network, we manage capitated contracts covering inpatient, outpatient and physician services. We believe our ownership of CHS allows us to gain additional experience with risk-bearing contracts and delivery of care in low-cost settings, including our network of health centers.

We also own or control six accountable care networks — in Florida, California, Illinois, Michigan and Texas — and participate in two additional accountable care networks through collaborations with other health care providers in our markets in Arizona and Massachusetts. These networks operate using a range of payment and delivery models that seek to align provider reimbursement in a way that encourages improved quality metrics and efficiencies in the total cost of care for an assigned population of patients through cooperation of the providers. We believe that our experience operating health plans and accountable care networks gives us a solid framework upon which to build and expand our population health strategies.

CONIFER

Our Conifer subsidiary provides a number of services primarily to health care providers to assist them in generating sustainable improvements in their operating margins, while also enhancing patient, physician and employee satisfaction. At December 31, 2013, Conifer provided one or more of the business process services described below from 20 service centers to more than 700 Tenet and non-Tenet hospital and other clients in over 40 states.

Revenue Cycle Management—Conifer provides comprehensive operational management for patient access, health information management, revenue integrity and patient financial services, including:

- centralized insurance and benefit verification, financial clearance, pre-certification, registration and check-in services;
- financial counseling services, including reviews of eligibility for government health care programs, for both insured and uninsured patients;
- productivity and quality improvement programs, revenue cycle assessments and optimization recommendations, and The Joint Commission and other preparedness services;
- coding and compliance support, billing assistance, auditing, training, and data management services at every step in the revenue cycle process;
- accounts receivable management, third-party billing and collections; and
- ongoing measurement and monitoring of key revenue cycle metrics.

These revenue cycle management solutions assist hospitals and other health care organizations in improving cash flow, increasing revenue, and advancing physician and patient satisfaction.

Patient Communications and Engagement Services—Conifer offers customized communications and engagement solutions to optimize the relationship between providers and patients. Conifer's trained customer service representatives provide direct, 24-hour, multilingual support for (1) physician referrals, calls regarding maternity services and other patient inquiries, (2) community education and outreach, (3) scheduling and appointment reminders, and (4) employee recruitment. Conifer also coordinates and implements mail-based marketing programs to keep patients informed of screenings, seminars and other events and services, as well as conducts patient quality and satisfaction surveys to provide valuable feedback to its clients. In addition, Conifer provides clinical admission reviews that are intended to provide evidence-based support for physician decisions on patient status and reduce staffing costs.

Table of Contents

Management Services—Conifer also supports value-based performance through clinical integration, financial risk management and population health management, all of which assist hospitals, physicians, accountable care organizations (“ACOs”), health plans and employers in improving the cost and quality of health care delivery, as well as patient outcomes. Conifer helps clients build clinically integrated networks that provide predictive analytics and quality measures across the care continuum. In addition, Conifer assists clients in improving both the cost and quality of care by aligning and managing financial incentives among health care stakeholders through risk modeling and management for various payment models. Furthermore, Conifer offers clients tools and analytics to improve quality of care and provide care management support of patients with chronic diseases by identifying high-risk patients and monitoring clinical outcomes.

In May 2012, Conifer entered into a 10-year agreement with Catholic Health Initiatives (“CHI”) to provide revenue cycle services for over 50 of CHI’s hospitals. As part of this agreement, CHI received a minority ownership interest in Conifer. In addition, in late 2012, Conifer acquired (i) an information management and services company with extensive health care data and proprietary technology and (ii) a hospital revenue cycle management business.

We began reporting Conifer as a separate business segment for financial reporting purposes in the three months ended June 30, 2012. The loss of Conifer’s key customers, primarily Tenet and CHI, in the future could have a material adverse impact on the segment. Financial and other information about our Conifer business segment is provided in the Consolidated Financial Statements included in this report.

REAL PROPERTY

The locations of our hospitals and the number of licensed beds at each hospital at December 31, 2013 are set forth in the table beginning on page 3. Our subsidiaries also operate a number of medical office buildings, all of which are located on, or nearby, our hospital campuses. We own nearly all of our medical office buildings; the remainder are owned by third parties and leased by our subsidiaries.

Our corporate headquarters are located in Dallas, Texas. In addition, we maintain administrative and regional offices in markets where we operate hospitals and other businesses, including Conifer. We typically lease our office space under operating lease agreements. We believe that all of our properties are suitable for their respective uses and are, in general, adequate for our present needs.

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

We rely on a combination of trademark, copyright and trade secret laws, as well as contractual terms and conditions, to protect our rights in our intellectual property assets. In addition, Conifer has sought patent protection for one of its key innovations. Legal standards relating to the validity, enforceability and scope of protection of patents can be uncertain. We do not know whether Conifer’s patent application will result in the issuance of a patent or whether the examination process will require us to further narrow our claims. Our patent application may not result in the grant of a patent with the scope of the claims that we seek, if at all, or the scope of the granted claims may not be sufficiently broad to protect our technology. Any patents that may be granted in the future from pending or future applications may be opposed, contested, circumvented, designed around by a third party, or found to be invalid or unenforceable. Third parties may develop technologies that are similar or superior to our proprietary technologies, duplicate or otherwise obtain and use our proprietary technologies, or design around patents owned or licensed by us. Conversely, although we do not believe our technology infringes any patent or other intellectual property right held by a third party, we could be prevented from providing our service offerings and could be subject to significant damage awards if it is found to do so.

We control access to and the use of our application capabilities through a combination of internal and external controls. We also license some of our software through agreements that impose specific restrictions on customers’ ability to use the software, such as prohibiting reverse engineering and limiting the use of copies.

We incorporate third-party commercial and, on occasion, open source software products into our technology platform. We employ third-party licensed software in order to simplify our development and maintenance efforts, support our own technology infrastructure or test a new capability.

MEDICAL STAFF AND EMPLOYEES

General—Our hospitals are staffed by licensed physicians who have been admitted to the medical staffs of individual hospitals. Under state laws and other licensing standards, hospital medical staffs are generally self-governing organizations

Table of Contents

subject to ultimate oversight by the hospital's local governing board. Members of the medical staffs of our hospitals also often serve on the medical staffs of hospitals not owned by us. Members of our medical staffs are free to terminate their affiliation with our hospitals or admit their patients to competing hospitals at any time. As of December 31, 2013, we owned over 500 physician practices and employed over 1,500 physicians (where permitted by law). However, the overwhelming majority of the physicians who practice at our hospitals are not our employees. Nurses, therapists, lab technicians, facility maintenance workers and the administrative staffs of hospitals normally are our employees. We are subject to federal minimum wage and hour laws and various state labor laws, and maintain a number of different employee benefit plans.

Our operations depend on the efforts, abilities and experience of the physicians on the medical staffs of our hospitals, most of whom have no contractual relationship with us. It is essential to our ongoing business that we attract and retain on our medical staffs an appropriate number of quality physicians in the specialties required to support our services. Although we had a net overall gain in physicians added to the medical staffs of legacy Tenet hospitals in each of the last three years, in some of our markets, physician recruitment and retention are still affected by a shortage of physicians in certain specialties and the difficulties that physicians can experience in obtaining affordable malpractice insurance or finding insurers willing to provide such insurance.

Over 40,000 new employees joined Tenet in October 2013 in connection with our acquisition of Vanguard. As of December 31, 2013, we employed over 100,000 employees (of which 27% were part-time employees) in the following categories:

Hospital operations(1)	92,094
Conifer	10,145
Administrative offices	1,472
Total	103,711

(1) Includes employees whose employment related to the operations of our general hospitals, specialty hospitals, critical access hospital, long-term acute care hospital, outpatient centers, physician practices, health plans, accountable care networks and other health care operations.

Union Activity and Labor Relations—As of December 31, 2013, approximately 21% of our employees were represented by various labor unions. These employees — primarily registered nurses and service and maintenance workers — were located at 39 of our hospitals, the majority of which are in California, Florida and Michigan. We currently have two expired contracts and are negotiating renewals under extension agreements. We are also negotiating an initial contract at one of our hospitals where employees recently chose union representation. At this time, we are unable to predict the outcome of the negotiations, but increases in salaries, wages and benefits could result from these agreements. Furthermore, there is a possibility that strikes could occur during the negotiation process, which could increase our labor costs and have an adverse effect on our patient volumes and net operating revenues. Future organizing activities by labor unions could increase our level of union representation in 2014.

Shortage of Experienced Nurses and Mandatory Nurse-Staffing Ratios— In addition to union activity, factors that adversely affect our labor costs include the nationwide shortage of experienced nurses and the enactment of state laws regarding nurse-staffing ratios. Although our nurse turnover rates are favorable overall, like others in the health care industry, we continue to experience a shortage of seasoned nurses in certain key specialties and geographic areas. Most applicants for our nursing positions are newly licensed nurses rather than experienced nurses, which requires us to make greater investments in education and training. In addition, state-mandated nurse-staffing ratios in California affect not only our labor costs, but, if we are unable to hire the necessary number of experienced nurses to meet the required ratios, they may also cause us to limit patient admissions with a corresponding adverse effect on our net operating revenues. We continually monitor our nurse-staffing ratios in California in an effort to achieve full compliance with the state-mandated nurse-staffing ratios there. Nurse-staffing ratio legislation has been proposed in, but not yet enacted by, Congress and other states besides California in which we operate hospitals, including Florida, Michigan and Pennsylvania. In Texas and Missouri, hospitals are required to adopt, implement and enforce official nurse staffing plans, but are not required to maintain staffing ratios.

COMPETITION

HEALTH CARE SERVICES

Overall, our hospitals, outpatient centers and other health care businesses operate in competitive environments, primarily at the local level. Generally, other hospitals and outpatient centers in the local communities we serve provide services

Table of Contents

similar to those we offer, and, in some cases, competing facilities are more established or newer than ours. Furthermore, competing facilities (1) may offer a broader array of services to patients and physicians than ours, (2) may have larger or more specialized medical staffs to admit and refer patients, (3) may have a better reputation in the community, or (4) may be more centrally located with better parking or closer proximity to public transportation. In the future, we expect to encounter increased competition from companies, like ours, that consolidate hospitals and health care companies in specific geographic markets. Continued consolidation in the health care industry will be a leading factor contributing to greater competition in our current markets and markets we may enter in the future.

We also face increased competition from specialty hospitals (some of which are physician-owned) and unaffiliated freestanding outpatient centers for market share in high-margin services and for quality physicians and personnel. Furthermore, some of the hospitals that compete with our hospitals are owned by government agencies or not-for-profit organizations. These tax-exempt competitors may have certain financial advantages not available to our facilities, such as endowments, charitable contributions, tax-exempt financing, and exemptions from sales, property and income taxes. In addition, in certain markets in which we operate, large teaching hospitals provide highly specialized facilities, equipment and services that may not be available at our hospitals.

Another major factor in the competitive position of a hospital or outpatient facility is the ability to negotiate contracts with managed care plans. HMOs, PPOs, third-party administrators, and other third-party payers use managed care contracts to encourage patients to use certain hospitals in exchange for discounts from the hospitals' established charges. Our future success depends, in part, on our ability to retain and renew our managed care contracts and enter into new managed care contracts on terms favorable to us. Other health care providers may affect our ability to enter into acceptable managed care contractual arrangements or negotiate increases in our reimbursement and other favorable terms and conditions. For example, some of our competitors may negotiate exclusivity provisions with managed care plans or otherwise restrict the ability of managed care companies to contract with us. Furthermore, the trend toward consolidation among non-government payers tends to increase their bargaining power over fee structures. In addition, as various provisions of the Affordable Care Act are implemented, including the establishment of health insurance exchanges, non-government payers may increasingly demand reduced fees or be unwilling to negotiate reimbursement increases.

State laws that require findings of need for construction and expansion of health care facilities or services (as described in "Health Care Regulation and Licensing — Certificate of Need Requirements" below) may also have the effect of restricting competition. In addition, in those states that do not have certificate of need requirements or that do not require review of health care capital expenditure amounts below a relatively high threshold, competition in the form of new services, facilities and capital spending is more prevalent.

Our strategies are designed to help our hospitals remain competitive. Broadly speaking, we attract physicians by providing high-quality care to our patients and otherwise creating an environment in which physicians prefer to practice. We continue to invest in our *Physician Relationship Program*, which is centered on understanding the needs of physicians who admit patients both to our hospitals and to our competitors' hospitals and responding to those needs with changes and improvements in our hospitals and operations. We have targeted capital spending in order to address specific needs or growth opportunities of our hospitals, which is expected to have a positive impact on their volumes. We have also sought to include all of our hospitals and an increased number of our affiliated physicians in the affected geographic area or nationally when negotiating new managed care contracts, which may result in additional volumes at facilities that were not previously a part of such managed care networks. In addition, we have completed clinical service line market demand analyses and profitability assessments to determine which services are highly valued that can be emphasized and marketed to improve our operating results. This *Targeted Growth Initiative* ("TGI") has resulted in some reductions in unprofitable service lines in several locations. However, the de-emphasis or elimination of certain unprofitable service lines as a result of our TGI analyses will allow us to dedicate more resources on services that are in higher demand and are more profitable. Moreover, we have increased our focus on operating our outpatient centers with improved accessibility and more convenient service for patients, as well as increased predictability and efficiency for physicians.

We have also made significant investments in the last decade in equipment, technology, education and operational strategies designed to improve clinical quality at our hospitals and outpatient centers. As a result of our efforts, our CMS Hospital Compare Core Measures scores have consistently exceeded the national average since the end of 2005, and major national private payers have also recognized our achievements relative to quality. These designations are expected to become increasingly important as governmental and private payers move to pay-for-performance models, and the commercial market moves to more narrow networks and other methods designed to encourage covered individuals to use certain facilities over others. Through our *Commitment to Quality and Performance Excellence Program* initiatives, we continually collaborate with physicians to implement the most current evidence-based medicine techniques to improve the way we provide care, while using

Table of Contents

labor management tools and supply chain initiatives to reduce variable costs. We believe the use of these practices will promote the most effective and efficient utilization of resources and result in shorter lengths of stay, as well as reductions in redundant ancillary services and readmissions for hospitalized patients. In general, we believe that quality of care improvements may have the effect of reducing costs, increasing payments from Medicare and certain managed care payers for our services, and increasing physician and patient satisfaction, which may improve our volumes.

Further, each hospital has a local governing board, consisting primarily of community members and physicians, that develops short-term and long-term plans for the hospital to foster a desirable medical environment. Each local governing board also reviews and approves, as appropriate, actions of the medical staff, including staff appointments, credentialing, peer review and quality assurance. While physicians may terminate their association with our hospitals at any time, we believe that by striving to maintain and improve the quality of care at our hospitals and by maintaining ethical and professional standards, we will attract and retain qualified physicians with a variety of specialties.

REVENUE CYCLE MANAGEMENT SOLUTIONS

Our Conifer subsidiary faces competition from existing participants and new entrants to the revenue cycle management market. In addition, the internal revenue cycle management staff of hospitals and other health care providers, who have historically performed many of the functions addressed by our services, in effect compete with us. Moreover, providers who have previously made investments in internally developed solutions sometimes choose to continue to rely on their own resources. We also currently compete with several categories of external participants in the revenue cycle market, most of which focus on small components of the hospital revenue cycle, including:

- software vendors and other technology-supported revenue cycle management business process outsourcing companies;
- traditional consultants, either specialized health care consulting firms or health care divisions of large accounting firms; and
- large, non-healthcare focused business process and information technology outsourcing firms.

We believe that competition for the revenue cycle management and other services Conifer provides is based primarily on: (1) knowledge and understanding of the complex public and private health care payment and reimbursement systems; (2) a track record of delivering revenue improvements and efficiency gains for hospitals and other health care providers; (3) the ability to deliver solutions that are fully integrated along each step of the revenue cycle; (4) cost-effectiveness, including the breakdown between up-front costs and pay-for-performance incentive compensation; (5) reliability, simplicity and flexibility of the technology platform; (6) understanding of the health care industry's regulatory environment; (7) sufficient infrastructure; and (8) financial stability.

To be successful, Conifer must respond more quickly and effectively than its competitors to new or changing opportunities, technologies, standards, regulations and customer requirements. Existing or new competitors may introduce technologies or services that render Conifer's technologies or services obsolete or less marketable. Even if Conifer's technologies and services are more effective than the offerings of its competitors, current or potential customers might prefer competitive technologies or services to Conifer's technologies and services. Furthermore, increased competition may result in pricing pressures, which could negatively impact Conifer's margins, growth rate or market share.

HEALTH CARE REGULATION AND LICENSING

AFFORDABLE CARE ACT

The Affordable Care Act is changing how health care services in the United States are covered, delivered and reimbursed. The primary goal of this comprehensive legislation is to extend health coverage to millions of uninsured legal U.S. residents through a combination of private sector health insurance reforms and public program expansion. To fund the expansion of insurance coverage, the legislation contains measures designed to promote quality and cost efficiency in health care delivery and to generate budgetary savings in the Medicare and Medicaid programs. In addition, the Affordable Care Act contains provisions intended to strengthen fraud and abuse enforcement.

Table of Contents

Health Insurance Market Reforms—The Affordable Care Act contains provisions requiring most Americans to maintain, and employers to provide, “minimal essential” health insurance coverage. For individuals who are not exempt from the “individual mandate,” and who do not receive health insurance through an employer or government program, the means of satisfying the requirement is to purchase insurance from a private company or a health insurance exchange. Health insurance exchanges are government-regulated organizations that provide competitive markets for buying health insurance by offering individuals and small employers a choice of different health plans, certifying plans that participate, and providing information to help consumers better understand their options. Some states operate their own exchanges while others utilize federally facilitated exchanges. The federally run exchanges, and several of the state-run exchanges, have faced operational hurdles and challenges in the initial period of their operation, which could reduce the number of individuals that obtain coverage through the exchanges in the short term. Beginning in 2014, individuals who are enrolled in a health benefits plan purchased through an exchange may be eligible for a premium credit or cost-sharing subsidy. Also beginning in 2014, those who do not comply with the individual mandate must make a “shared responsibility payment” to the federal government in the form of a tax penalty.

The “employer mandate” provision of the Affordable Care Act requires the imposition of penalties on employers having 50 or more employees who do not offer affordable health insurance coverage to those working 30 or more hours per week. These large employer coverage provisions were originally scheduled to go into effect on January 1, 2014, but were subsequently deferred one year. On February 10, 2014, the requirements of the employer mandate were further delayed such that companies with 50 to 99 employees will now have until January 1, 2016 to provide coverage under the Affordable Care Act, and companies with 100 or more employees must offer insurance to only 70% of full-time workers in 2015, rather than 95%, to avoid fines. Based on the Congressional Budget Office’s most recent estimates, we do not believe that the delays in the employer mandate will have a discernible effect on insurance coverage.

The Affordable Care Act also establishes a number of health insurance market reforms, including bans on lifetime limits and pre-existing condition exclusions, new benefit mandates, and increased dependent coverage. Specifically, group health plans and health insurance issuers offering group or individual coverage:

- may not establish lifetime limits or, beginning January 1, 2014, annual limits on the dollar value of benefits;
- may not rescind coverage of an enrollee, except in instances where the individual has performed an act or practice that constitutes fraud or makes an intentional misrepresentation of material fact;
- must reimburse hospitals for emergency services provided to enrollees without prior authorization and without regard to whether a participating provider contract is in place; and
- must continue to make dependent coverage available to unmarried dependents until age 26 (coverage for the dependents of unmarried adult children is not required) effective for health plan policy years beginning on or after September 23, 2010 (for plans that offer dependent coverage).

We anticipate that health care providers will generally benefit over time from insurance coverage provisions of the Affordable Care Act; however, it is not clear what impact, if any, the increased obligations on managed care and other private payers imposed by the Affordable Care Act will have on commercial managed care volumes and payment rates in the near term.

Public Program Reforms—Prior to the passage of the Affordable Care Act, the Medicaid program offered federal funding to states to assist only limited categories of low-income individuals (including children, pregnant women, the blind and the disabled) in obtaining medical care. The health care reform law expanded eligibility under existing Medicaid programs to virtually all individuals under 65 years old with incomes up to 138% of the federal poverty level beginning in 2014. The expansion of the Medicaid program (which will be substantially funded by the federal government) in each state requires state legislative or regulatory action and the approval by CMS of a state Medicaid plan amendment. There is no deadline for a state to undertake expansion and qualify for the enhanced federal funding available under the Affordable Care Act. We cannot provide any assurances as to whether or when the states in which we operate might choose to expand their Medicaid programs or whether those states that do expand their Medicaid programs will continue to offer expanded eligibility in the future.

The Affordable Care Act also provides that the federal government will subsidize states that create non-Medicaid plans called “Basic Health Programs” for residents whose incomes are greater than 133% but less than 200% of the federal poverty level. Approved state plans will be eligible to receive federal funding, however, CMS announced in February 2013 that Basic Health Programs would not be operational until 2015.

Even though the Affordable Care Act expanded Medicaid eligibility, the law also contains a number of provisions designed to significantly reduce Medicare and Medicaid program spending, including:

148

Table of Contents

- negative adjustments to the annual input price index, or “market basket,” updates for Medicare’s inpatient, outpatient, long-term acute and inpatient rehabilitation prospective payment systems, which began in 2010, as well as additional “productivity adjustments” that began in 2011; and
- reductions to Medicare disproportionate share hospital (“DSH”) payments, beginning in federal fiscal year (“FFY”) 2014, as the number of uninsured individuals declines. Medicaid DSH cuts were also initially scheduled to begin in FFY 2014, however, a provision in the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2013 delayed these cuts until FFY 2016.

The Affordable Care Act also contains a number of provisions intended to improve the quality and efficiency of medical care provided to Medicare and Medicaid beneficiaries. For example, the legislation expands payment penalties based on a hospital’s rates of certain Medicare-designated hospital-acquired conditions (“HACs”). These HACs, which would normally result in a higher payment for an inpatient hospital discharge, will instead be paid as though the HAC is not present. Effective July 1, 2011, the Affordable Care Act likewise prohibits the use of federal funds under the Medicaid program to reimburse providers for medical assistance provided to treat HACs. Currently, hospitals with excessive readmissions for certain conditions receive reduced Medicare payments for all inpatient admissions. Beginning in FFY 2015, hospitals that fall into the top 25% of national risk-adjusted HAC rates for all hospitals in the previous year will also receive a 1% reduction in Medicare payment rates. Separately, under a Medicare value-based purchasing program that was launched in FFY 2013, hospitals that satisfy certain performance standards receive increased payments for discharges during the following fiscal year. These payments are funded by decreases in payments to all hospitals for inpatient services. For discharges occurring during FFY 2014 and after, the performance standards must assess hospital efficiency, including Medicare spending per beneficiary. In addition, the Affordable Care Act directed CMS to launch a national pilot program to study the use of bundled payments to hospitals, physicians and post-acute care providers relating to a single admission to promote collaboration and alignment on quality and efficiency improvement; implementation of the pilot program is currently ongoing through the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Innovation within CMS, which has the authority to develop and test new payment methodologies designed to improve quality of care and lower costs.

Furthermore, the Affordable Care Act contains provisions relating to recovery audit contractors (“RACs”), which are third-party organizations under contract with CMS that identify underpayments and overpayments under the Medicare program and recoup any overpayments on behalf of the government. The Affordable Care Act expanded the RAC program’s scope to include Medicaid claims and required all states to enter into contracts with RACs.

Other Provisions—The Affordable Care Act contains a number of other additional provisions, including provisions relating to the Medicare and Medicaid anti-kickback and anti-fraud and abuse amendments, Section 1877 of the Social Security Act (commonly referred to as the “Stark” law), and qui tam or “whistleblower” actions, each of which is described in detail below, as well as provisions regarding:

- the creation of an Independent Payment Advisory Board that will make recommendations to Congress regarding additional changes to provider payments and other aspects of the nation’s health care system; and
- new taxes on manufacturers and distributors of pharmaceuticals and medical devices used by our hospitals, as well as a requirement that manufacturers file annual reports of payments made to physicians.

The Impact of Health Reform on Us—The expansion of health insurance coverage under the Affordable Care Act may result in a material increase in the number of patients using our facilities who have either private or public program coverage. Further, the health reform law provides for a value-based purchasing program, the establishment of ACOs and bundled payment pilot programs, which will create possible sources of additional revenue. However, it is difficult to predict the full impact of the Affordable Care Act on our future revenues and operations at this time due to uncertainty regarding a number of material factors, including:

- how many states will ultimately implement the Medicaid expansion provisions and under what terms (a number of states in which we operate, including Florida and Texas, have chosen not to expand their Medicaid programs at this time);
- how many currently uninsured individuals will obtain coverage (either private health insurance or Medicaid) as a result of the Affordable Care Act;

149

Table of Contents

- what percentage of the newly insured patients will be covered under the Medicaid program and what percentage will be covered by private health insurers;
- the extent to which states will enroll new Medicaid participants in managed care programs;
- the pace at which insurance coverage expands, including the pace of different types of coverage expansion;
- the change, if any, in the volume of inpatient and outpatient hospital services that are sought by and provided to previously uninsured individuals;
- the rate paid to hospitals by private payers for newly covered individuals, including those covered through the newly created exchanges and those who might be covered under the Medicaid program under contracts with the state;
- the rate paid by state governments under the Medicaid program for newly covered individuals;
- the percentage of individuals in the exchanges who select the high-deductible plans, considering that health insurers offering those kinds of products have traditionally sought to pay lower rates to hospitals;
- the extent to which the provisions of the Affordable Care Act will put pressure on the profitability of health insurers, which in turn might cause them to seek to reduce payments to hospitals with respect to both newly insured individuals and their existing business; and
- the possibility that the Affordable Care Act or components of it will be delayed, revised or eliminated as a result of court challenges or actions by Congress.

Furthermore, the Affordable Care Act provides for significant reductions in the growth of Medicare spending, reductions in Medicare and Medicaid DSH payments, and the establishment of programs where reimbursement is tied to quality and integration. Any reductions to our reimbursement under the Medicare and Medicaid programs by the Affordable Care Act could adversely affect our business and results of operations to the extent such reductions are not offset by increased revenues from providing care to previously uninsured individuals. It is difficult to predict the effect on our revenues resulting from reductions to Medicare and Medicaid spending because of uncertainty regarding a number of material factors, including the following:

- the amount of overall revenues we will generate from the Medicare and Medicaid programs when the reductions are implemented;
- whether future reductions required by the Affordable Care Act will be changed by statute prior to becoming effective;
- the size of the law's annual productivity adjustment to the market basket;
- the amount of the Medicare DSH reductions that will be made commencing in FFY 2014;
- the allocation to our hospitals of the Medicaid DSH reductions commencing in FFY 2016;
- what the losses in revenues, if any, will be from the law's quality initiatives;
- how successful accountable care networks in which we participate will be at coordinating care and reducing costs or whether they will decrease reimbursement;
- the scope and nature of potential changes to Medicare reimbursement methods, such as an emphasis on bundling payments or coordination of care programs; and
- reductions to Medicare payments CMS may impose for "excessive readmissions."

In addition, we may continue to experience a high level of bad debt expense and have to provide uninsured discounts and charity care for undocumented aliens who will not be permitted to enroll in a health insurance exchange or government health care program.

Because of the many variables involved, we are unable to predict the ultimate net effect on us of the expected decreases in uninsured individuals using our facilities, the reductions in Medicare spending and Medicare and Medicaid DSH funding, and

Table of Contents

numerous other provisions in the Affordable Care Act that may affect us. Moreover, we are unable to predict the future course of federal, state and local health care regulation or legislation, including Medicare and Medicaid statutes and regulations. Further changes in the regulatory framework affecting health care providers could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

ANTI-KICKBACK AND SELF-REFERRAL REGULATIONS

Anti-Kickback Statute—Medicare and Medicaid anti-kickback and anti-fraud and abuse amendments codified under Section 1128B(b) of the Social Security Act (the “Anti-kickback Statute”) prohibit certain business practices and relationships that might affect the provision and cost of health care services payable under the Medicare and Medicaid programs and other government programs, including the payment or receipt of remuneration for the referral of patients whose care will be paid for by such programs. Specifically, the law prohibits any person or entity from offering, paying, soliciting or receiving anything of value, directly or indirectly, for the referral of patients covered by Medicare, Medicaid and other federal health care programs or the leasing, purchasing, ordering or arranging for or recommending the lease, purchase or order of any item, good, facility or service covered by these programs. In addition to addressing other matters, as discussed below, the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (“HIPAA”) also amended Title XI (42 U.S.C. Section 1301 et seq.) to broaden the scope of fraud and abuse laws to include all health plans, whether or not payments under such health plans are made pursuant to a federal program. Moreover, the Affordable Care Act amended the Anti-kickback Statute to provide that knowledge of the law or the intent to violate the law is not required.

Sanctions for violating the Anti-kickback Statute include criminal and civil penalties, as well as fines and possible exclusion from government programs, such as Medicare and Medicaid. In addition, under the Affordable Care Act, submission of a claim for services or items generated in violation of the Anti-kickback Statute constitutes a false or fraudulent claim and may be subject to additional penalties under the federal False Claims Act (“FCA”). Furthermore, it is a violation of the federal Civil Monetary Penalties Law to offer or transfer anything of value to Medicare or Medicaid beneficiaries that is likely to influence their decision to obtain covered goods or services from one provider or service over another. Many states have statutes similar to the federal Anti-kickback Statute, except that the state statutes usually apply to referrals for services reimbursed by all third-party payers, not just federal programs.

The federal government has also issued regulations that describe some of the conduct and business relationships that are permissible under the Anti-kickback Statute. These regulations are often referred to as the “Safe Harbor” regulations. Currently, there are safe harbors for various activities, including the following: investment interests; space rental; equipment rental; practitioner recruitment; personal services and management contracts; sales of practices; referral services; warranties; discounts; employees; group purchasing organizations; waivers of beneficiary coinsurance and deductible amounts; managed care arrangements; obstetrical malpractice insurance subsidies; investments in group practices; ambulatory surgery centers; and referral agreements for specialty services. The fact that certain conduct or a given business arrangement does not meet a Safe Harbor does not necessarily render the conduct or business arrangement illegal under the Anti-kickback Statute. Rather, such conduct and business arrangements may be subject to increased scrutiny by government enforcement authorities and should be reviewed on a case-by-case basis.

Stark Law—The Stark law generally restricts referrals by physicians of Medicare or Medicaid patients to entities with which the physician or an immediate family member has a financial relationship, unless one of several exceptions applies. The referral prohibition applies to a number of statutorily defined “designated health services,” such as clinical laboratory, physical therapy, radiology, and inpatient and outpatient hospital services. The exceptions to the referral prohibition cover a broad range of common financial relationships. These statutory, and the subsequent regulatory, exceptions are available to protect certain permitted employment relationships, relocation arrangements, leases, group practice arrangements, medical directorships, and other common relationships between physicians and providers of designated health services, such as hospitals. A violation of the Stark law may result in a denial of payment, required refunds to patients and the Medicare program, civil monetary penalties of up to \$15,000 for each violation, civil monetary penalties of up to \$100,000 for “sham” arrangements, civil monetary penalties of up to \$10,000 for each day that an entity fails to report required information, and exclusion from participation in the Medicare and Medicaid programs and other federal programs. In addition, the submission of a claim for services or items generated in violation of the Stark law may constitute a false or fraudulent claim, and thus be subject to additional penalties under the FCA. Many states have adopted self-referral statutes similar to the Stark Law, some of which extend beyond the related state Medicaid program to prohibit the payment or receipt of remuneration for the referral of patients and physician self-referrals regardless of the source of the payment for the care. Our participation in and development of joint ventures and other financial relationships with physicians could be adversely affected by the Stark law and similar state enactments.

Table of Contents

The Affordable Care Act also made changes to the “whole hospital” exception in the Stark law, effectively preventing new physician-owned hospitals after March 23, 2010 and limiting the capacity and amount of physician ownership in existing physician-owned hospitals. As revised, the Stark law prohibits physicians from referring Medicare patients to a hospital in which they have an ownership or investment interest unless the hospital has physician ownership and a Medicare provider agreement as of March 23, 2010 (or, for those hospitals under development at the time of the Affordable Care Act’s enactment, as of December 31, 2010). A physician-owned hospital that meets these requirements will still be subject to restrictions that limit the hospital’s aggregate physician ownership percentage and, with certain narrow exceptions for hospitals with a high percentage of Medicaid patients, prohibit expansion of the number of operating rooms, procedure rooms or beds. The legislation also subjects a physician-owned hospital to reporting requirements and extensive disclosure requirements on the hospital’s website and in any public advertisements. As of December 31, 2013, two of our hospitals are owned by joint ventures that include some physician owners and are subject to the limitations and requirements in the Affordable Care Act on physician-owned hospitals.

Implications of Fraud and Abuse Laws—Our operations could be adversely affected by the failure of our arrangements to comply with the Anti-Kickback Statute, the Stark Law, billing laws and regulations, current state laws, or other legislation or regulations in these areas adopted in the future. We are unable to predict whether other legislation or regulations at the federal or state level in any of these areas will be adopted, what form such legislation or regulations may take or how they may impact our operations. We are continuing to enter into new financial arrangements with physicians and other providers in a manner structured to comply in all material respects with these laws. We cannot assure you, however, that governmental officials responsible for enforcing these laws will not assert that we are in violation of them or that such statutes or regulations ultimately will be interpreted by the courts in a manner consistent with our interpretation.

We have a variety of financial relationships with physicians who refer patients to our hospitals, and we may sell ownership interests in certain of our other facilities to physicians and other qualified investors in the future. We also have contracts with physicians providing for a variety of financial arrangements, including employment contracts, leases and professional service agreements. We have provided financial incentives to recruit physicians to relocate to communities served by our hospitals, including income and collection guarantees and reimbursement of relocation costs, and will continue to provide recruitment packages in the future. Furthermore, new payment structures, such as ACOs and other arrangements involving combinations of hospitals, physicians and other providers who share payment savings, could potentially be seen as implicating anti-kickback and self-referral provisions.

In accordance with our ethics and compliance program, which is described in detail under “Compliance and Ethics” below, we have policies and procedures in place concerning compliance with the Anti-kickback Statute and the Stark law, among others. In addition, our ethics and compliance, law and audit services departments systematically review a substantial number of our arrangements with referral sources to determine the extent to which they comply with our policies and procedures and with the Anti-kickback Statute, the Stark law and similar state statutes. On the one hand, we may be less willing than some of our competitors to take actions or enter into business arrangements that do not clearly satisfy the safe harbors and exceptions to the fraud and abuse laws described above; as a result, this unwillingness may put us at a competitive disadvantage. On the other hand, we cannot assure you that the regulatory authorities that enforce these laws will not determine that some of our arrangements violate the Anti-Kickback Statute, the Stark law or other applicable regulations. An adverse determination could subject us to liabilities under the Social Security Act, including criminal penalties, civil monetary penalties and exclusion from participation in Medicare, Medicaid or other federal health care programs, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. In addition, any determination by a federal or state agency or court that we have violated any of these laws could give Conifer’s customers the right to terminate our services agreements with them. Moreover, any violations by and resulting penalties or exclusions imposed upon Conifer’s customers could adversely affect their financial condition and, in turn, have a material adverse effect on Conifer’s business and results of operations.

HEALTH INSURANCE PORTABILITY AND ACCOUNTABILITY ACT

Title II, Subtitle F of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act mandates the adoption of specific standards for electronic transactions and code sets that are used to transmit certain types of health information. HIPAA’s objective is to encourage efficiency and reduce the cost of operations within the health care industry. To protect the information transmitted using the mandated standards and the patient information used in the daily operations of a covered entity, HIPAA also sets forth federal rules protecting the privacy and security of protected health information (“PHI”). The privacy and security regulations address the use and disclosure of individually identifiable health information and the rights of patients to understand and control how their information is used and disclosed. The law provides both criminal and civil fines and penalties for covered entities that fail to comply with HIPAA.

Table of Contents

To receive reimbursement from CMS for electronic claims, health care providers and health plans must use HIPAA's electronic data transmission (transaction and code set) standards when transmitting certain health care information electronically. Our electronic data transmissions are compliant with current standards. In January 2009, CMS published a final rule changing the formats used for certain electronic transactions and requiring the use of updated standard code sets for certain diagnoses and procedures known as ICD-10 code sets. At this time, use of the ICD-10 code sets is not mandatory until October 1, 2014. We are continuing to modify our payment systems and processes to prepare for ICD-10 implementation. Although use of the ICD-10 code sets will require significant administrative changes, we believe that the cost of compliance with these regulations has not had and is not expected to have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or revenues. However, we may experience a short-term adverse impact on our cash flows due to claims processing delays related to payer implementation of the new code sets. Furthermore, the Affordable Care Act requires the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services ("HHS") to adopt standards for additional electronic transactions and to establish operating rules to promote uniformity in the implementation of each standardized electronic transaction.

Under HIPAA, covered entities must establish administrative, physical and technical safeguards to protect the confidentiality, integrity and availability of electronic PHI maintained or transmitted by them or by others on their behalf. The covered entities we operate are in material compliance with the privacy, security and National Provider Identifier requirements of HIPAA. In addition, most of Conifer's customers are covered entities, and Conifer is a business associate to many of those customers under HIPAA as a result of its contractual obligations to perform certain functions on behalf of and provide certain services to those customers. As a business associate, Conifer's use and disclosure of PHI is restricted by HIPAA and the business associate agreements. Conifer is required to enter into with its covered entity customers.

In 2009, HIPAA was amended by the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health ("HITECH") Act to impose certain of the HIPAA privacy and security requirements directly upon business associates of covered entities and significantly increase the monetary penalties for violations of HIPAA. Regulations that took effect in late 2009 also require business associates such as Conifer to notify covered entities, who in turn must notify affected individuals and government authorities, of data security breaches involving unsecured PHI. Since the passage of the HITECH Act, enforcement of HIPAA violations has increased. A knowing breach of the HIPAA privacy and security requirements made applicable to business associates by the HITECH Act could expose Conifer to criminal liability, and a breach of safeguards and processes that is not due to reasonable cause or involves willful neglect could expose Conifer to significant civil penalties and the possibility of civil litigation under HIPAA and applicable state law.

In May 2011, the Office for Civil Rights of HHS proposed new regulations to implement changes to the HIPAA requirements set forth in the HITECH Act that state that covered entities and business associates must account for disclosures of PHI to carry out treatment, payment and health care operations if such disclosures are through an electronic health record. The proposed regulations seek to expand the scope of the requirements under the HITECH Act and create a new patient right to an "access report," which would be required to list every person who has accessed, for any reason, PHI about the individual contained in any electronic designated record set. Because our hospitals currently utilize multiple, independent modules that may meet the definition of "electronic designated record set," our ability to produce an access report that satisfies the proposed regulatory requirements would likely require new technology solutions to map across those multiple record sets. It is our understanding that many providers have expressed significant concerns to CMS regarding the access report requirement created by the proposed rule. On January 17, 2013, HHS issued final regulations modifying the requirements set forth in the HITECH Act. While we were in material compliance with the new regulations as of the compliance date of September 23, 2013, the new regulations did not address the proposed "access report" requirement. Because we cannot predict the requirements of any future final rule regarding access reports, we are unable to estimate the costs of compliance, if any, at this time.

We have developed a comprehensive set of policies and procedures in our efforts to comply with HIPAA, and similar state privacy laws, under the guidance of our ethics and compliance department. Hospital and Conifer compliance officers and information security officers are responsible for implementing and monitoring compliance with our HIPAA privacy and security policies and procedures at our hospitals and Conifer. We have also created an internal web-based HIPAA training program, which is mandatory for all employees. Based on existing regulations and our experience with HIPAA to this point, we continue to believe that the ongoing costs of complying with HIPAA will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

HEALTH PLAN REGULATORY MATTERS

Our health plans are subject to state and federal laws and regulations. CMS has the right to audit our Medicare Advantage and dual-eligible health plans to determine the plans' compliance with CMS regulations and guidelines. In addition, each plan must submit periodic filings to and respond to inquiries and audits by its respective state insurance regulators.

Table of Contents

Furthermore, our health plans are typically required to file periodic reports with their respective state Medicaid agencies, meet certain financial viability standards, provide their members with certain mandated benefits, and meet certain quality assurance and improvement requirements. Our health plans must also comply with the standardized formats for electronic transmissions and the privacy and security standards of HIPAA. We believe our health plans have implemented the necessary policies and procedures to comply with the final federal regulations on these matters and were in compliance with them by their deadlines.

As described above, the Anti-kickback Statute prohibits the payment, solicitation, offering or receipt of any form of remuneration in return for the referral of federal health program patients or any item or service that is reimbursed, in whole or in part, by any federal health care program. The Safe Harbor regulations that specifically relate to managed care include:

- waivers by HMOs of Medicare and Medicaid beneficiaries' obligations to pay cost-sharing amounts or to provide other incentives in order to attract Medicare and Medicaid enrollees;
- certain discounts offered to prepaid health plans by contracting providers;
- certain price reductions offered to eligible managed care organizations; and
- certain price reductions offered by contractors with substantial financial risk to managed care providers.

We believe that our health plans' arrangements comply in all material respects with the federal Anti-kickback Statute and similar state statutes, and we further believe that the incentives offered by our health plans to their members and the discounts they receive contracting with health care providers satisfy the requirements of the Safe Harbor regulations.

GOVERNMENT ENFORCEMENT EFFORTS AND QUI TAM LAWSUITS

Both federal and state government agencies continue heightened and coordinated civil and criminal enforcement efforts against the health care industry. The operational mission of the Office of Inspector General ("OIG") of HHS is to protect the integrity of the Medicare and Medicaid programs and the well-being of program beneficiaries by: detecting and preventing waste, fraud and abuse; identifying opportunities to improve program economy, efficiency and effectiveness; and holding accountable those who do not meet program requirements or who violate federal laws. The OIG carries out its mission by conducting audits, evaluations and investigations and, when appropriate, imposing civil monetary penalties, assessments and administrative sanctions. Although we have extensive policies and procedures in place to facilitate compliance in all material respects with the laws, rules and regulations affecting the health care industry, if a determination is made that we were in material violation of such laws, rules or regulations, our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows could be materially adversely affected.

Health care providers are also subject to qui tam or "whistleblower" lawsuits under the federal False Claims Act, which allows private individuals to bring actions on behalf of the government, alleging that a hospital or health care provider has defrauded a government program, such as Medicare or Medicaid. If the government intervenes in the action and prevails, the defendant may be required to pay three times the actual damages sustained by the government, plus mandatory civil penalties for each false claim submitted to the government. As part of the resolution of a qui tam case, the party filing the initial complaint may share in a portion of any settlement or judgment. If the government does not intervene in the action, the qui tam plaintiff may continue to pursue the action independently. There are many potential bases for liability under the FCA. Liability often arises when an entity knowingly submits a false claim for reimbursement to the federal government. The FCA defines the term "knowingly" broadly. Though simple negligence will not give rise to liability under the FCA, submitting a claim with reckless disregard to its truth or falsity constitutes a "knowing" submission under the FCA and, therefore, will qualify for liability. The Fraud Enforcement and Recovery Act of 2009 expanded the scope of the FCA by, among other things, creating liability for knowingly and improperly avoiding repayment of an overpayment received from the government and broadening protections for whistleblowers. Under the Affordable Care Act, the knowing failure to report and return an overpayment within 60 days of identifying the overpayment or by the date a corresponding cost report is due, whichever is later, constitutes a violation of the FCA. Further, the Affordable Care Act expands the scope of the FCA to cover payments in connection with health insurance exchanges if those payments include any federal funds. Qui tam actions can also be filed under certain state false claims laws if the fraud involves Medicaid funds or funding from state and local agencies. Like other companies in the health care industry, we are subject to qui tam actions from time to time. We are unable to predict the future impact of such actions on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

154

HEALTH CARE FACILITY LICENSING REQUIREMENTS

The operation of health care facilities is subject to federal, state and local regulations relating to personnel, operating policies and procedures, fire prevention, rate-setting, the adequacy of medical care, and compliance with building codes and environmental protection laws. Various licenses and permits also are required in order to dispense narcotics, operate pharmacies, handle radioactive materials and operate certain equipment. Our facilities are subject to periodic inspection by governmental and other authorities to assure continued compliance with the various standards necessary for licensing and accreditation. We believe that all of our health care facilities hold all required governmental approvals, licenses and permits material to the operation of their business.

UTILIZATION REVIEW COMPLIANCE AND HOSPITAL GOVERNANCE

In addition to certain statutory coverage limits and exclusions, federal laws and regulations, specifically the Medicare Conditions of Participation, generally require health care providers, including hospitals that furnish or order health care services that may be paid for under the Medicare program or state health care programs, to ensure that claims for reimbursement are for services or items that are (1) provided economically and only when, and to the extent, they are medically reasonable and necessary, (2) of a quality that meets professionally recognized standards of health care, and (3) supported by appropriate evidence of medical necessity and quality. The Social Security Act established the Utilization and Quality Control Peer Review Organization program, now known as the Quality Improvement Organization (“QIO”) program, to promote the effectiveness, efficiency, economy and quality of services delivered to Medicare beneficiaries and to ensure that those services are reasonable and necessary. CMS administers the program through a network of QIOs that work with consumers, physicians, hospitals and other caregivers to refine care delivery systems to ensure patients receive the appropriate care at the appropriate time, particularly among underserved populations. The QIO program also safeguards the integrity of the Medicare trust fund by reviewing Medicare patient admissions, treatments and discharges, and ensuring payment is made only for medically necessary services, and investigates beneficiary complaints about quality of care. The QIOs have the authority to deny payment for services provided and recommend to HHS that a provider that is in substantial noncompliance with certain standards be excluded from participating in the Medicare program.

There has been recent increased scrutiny of hospitals’ Medicare observation rates from outside auditors, government enforcement agencies and industry observers. The term “Medicare observation rate” is defined as total unique observation claims divided by the sum of total unique observation claims and total inpatient short-stay acute care hospital claims. A low rate may raise suspicions that a hospital is inappropriately admitting patients that could be cared for in an observation setting. In our affiliated hospitals, we use the independent, evidence-based clinical criteria developed by McKesson Corporation, commonly known as InterQual Criteria, to determine whether a patient qualifies for inpatient admission. The industry anticipates increased scrutiny and litigation risk, including government investigations and qui tam suits, related to inpatient admission decisions and the Medicare observation rate. In addition, effective October 1, 2013, CMS established a new concept, referred to as the “two-midnight rule,” to guide practitioners admitting patients and contractors conducting payment reviews on when it is appropriate to admit individuals as hospital inpatients. Under the two-midnight rule, CMS has indicated that a Medicare patient should generally be admitted on an inpatient basis only when there is a reasonable expectation that the patient’s care will cross two midnights, and, if not, then the patient generally should be treated as an outpatient. Our hospitals have undertaken extensive efforts to implement the two-midnight rule in light of existing guidance. CMS is currently conducting a “probe and educate” program regarding the two-midnight rule, the purpose of which is to assess hospitals’ compliance with the rule and also to provide follow-up education. The probe and educate period is currently scheduled to end September 30, 2014 and, unless extended, full implementation and enforcement of the two-midnight rule will begin on October 1, 2014. Because of the newness of the two-midnight rule, and the fact that the probe and educate program is ongoing, it is unclear what impact, if any, the two-midnight rule will have on inpatient admission rates at our hospitals.

Medical and surgical services and practices are extensively supervised by committees of staff doctors at each of our health care facilities, are overseen by each facility’s local governing board, the members of which primarily are community members and physicians, and are reviewed by our clinical quality personnel. The local hospital governing board also helps maintain standards for quality care, develop short-term and long-range plans, and establish, review and enforce practices and procedures, as well as approves the credentials, disciplining and, if necessary, the termination of privileges of medical staff members.

CERTIFICATE OF NEED REQUIREMENTS

Some states require state approval for construction, acquisition and closure of health care facilities, including findings of need for additional or expanded health care facilities or services. Certificates or determinations of need, which are issued by

155

Table of Contents

governmental agencies with jurisdiction over health care facilities, are at times required for capital expenditures exceeding a prescribed amount, changes in bed capacity or services, and certain other matters. As of December 31, 2013, we operated hospitals in 10 states that require a form of state approval under certificate of need programs applicable to those hospitals. Failure to obtain necessary state approval can result in the inability to expand facilities, add services, acquire a facility or change ownership. Further, violation of such laws may result in the imposition of civil sanctions or the revocation of a facility's license. We are unable to predict whether we will be required or able to obtain any additional certificates of need in any jurisdiction where they are required, or if any jurisdiction will eliminate or alter its certificate of need requirements in a manner that will increase competition and, thereby, affect our competitive position. In those states that do not have certificate of need requirements or that do not require review of health care capital expenditure amounts below a relatively high threshold, competition in the form of new services, facilities and capital spending is more prevalent.

ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS

Our health care operations are subject to a number of federal, state and local environmental laws, rules and regulations that govern, among other things, our disposal of solid waste, as well as our use, storage, transportation and disposal of hazardous and toxic materials (including radiological materials). Our operations also generate medical waste that must be disposed of in compliance with laws and regulations that vary from state to state. In addition, although we are not engaged in manufacturing or other activities that produce meaningful levels of greenhouse gas emissions, our operating expenses could be adversely affected if legal and regulatory developments related to climate change or other initiatives result in increased energy or other costs. We could also be affected by climate change and other environmental issues to the extent such issues adversely affect the general economy or result in severe weather affecting the communities in which our facilities are located. At this time, based on current climate conditions and our assessment of existing and pending environmental rules and regulations, as well as treaties and international accords relating to climate change, we do not believe that the costs of complying with environmental laws and regulations, including regulations relating to climate change issues, will have a material adverse effect on our future capital expenditures, results of operations or cash flows.

Consistent with our commitment to meet the highest standards of corporate responsibility, we have formed a sustainability committee consisting of corporate and hospital leaders to regularly evaluate our environmental outcomes and share best practices among our hospitals and other facilities. In 2013, we published our third annual sustainability report, using the industry-standard Global Reporting Initiative framework. In addition, we are a sponsor of the *Healthier Hospitals Initiative* and continue to work with each of our hospitals in adopting components of the initiative's agenda, which focuses on improvements in (1) sustainability governance, (2) the provision of healthier foods, (3) energy consumption, (4) waste generation, (5) the use of safer chemicals and (6) purchasing decisions. We are committed to report the results of our sustainability efforts on an annual basis.

ANTITRUST LAWS

The federal government and most states have enacted antitrust laws that prohibit specific types of anti-competitive conduct, including price fixing, wage fixing, concerted refusals to deal, price discrimination and tying arrangements, as well as monopolization and acquisitions of competitors that have, or may have, a substantial adverse effect on competition. Violations of federal or state antitrust laws can result in various sanctions, including criminal and civil penalties.

Antitrust enforcement in the health care industry is currently a priority of the U.S. Federal Trade Commission ("FTC"). In recent years, the FTC has filed multiple administrative complaints challenging hospital transactions in several states. The FTC has focused its enforcement efforts on preventing hospital mergers that may, in the government's view, leave insufficient local options for inpatient services. In addition to hospital merger enforcement, the FTC has given increased attention to the effect of combinations involving other health care providers, including physician practices. The FTC has also entered into numerous consent decrees in the past several years settling allegations of price-fixing among providers.

We believe we are in compliance with federal and state antitrust laws, but there can be no assurance that a review of our practices by courts or regulatory authorities would not result in a determination that could adversely affect our operations.

REGULATIONS AFFECTING CONIFER

DEBT COLLECTION ACTIVITIES

The federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA") regulates persons who regularly collect or attempt to collect, directly or indirectly, consumer debts owed or asserted to be owed to another person. Certain of the accounts receivable

Table of Contents

handled by Conifer's debt collection agency subsidiary, Syndicated Office Systems, LLC ("SOS"), are subject to the FDCPA, which establishes specific guidelines and procedures that debt collectors must follow in communicating with consumer debtors, including the time, place and manner of such communications. Further, the FDCPA prohibits harassment or abuse by debt collectors, including the threat of violence or criminal prosecution, obscene language or repeated telephone calls made with the intent to abuse or harass. The FDCPA also places restrictions on communications with individuals other than consumer debtors in connection with the collection of any consumer debt and sets forth specific procedures to be followed when communicating with such third parties for purposes of obtaining location information about the consumer. In addition, the FDCPA contains various notice and disclosure requirements and prohibits unfair or misleading representations by debt collectors. Finally, the FDCPA imposes certain limitations on lawsuits to collect debts against consumers. Debt collection activities are also regulated at the state level. Most states have laws regulating debt collection activities in ways that are similar to, and in some cases more stringent than, the FDCPA.

In certain situations, the activities of SOS are also subject to the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA"), which regulates consumer credit reporting and which may impose liability on us to the extent that adverse credit information reported on a consumer to a credit bureau is false or inaccurate. State law, to the extent it is not preempted by the FCRA, may also impose restrictions or liability on us with respect to reporting adverse credit information that is false or inaccurate.

The U.S. Consumer Financial Protection Bureau ("CFPB") and the FTC have the authority to investigate consumer complaints relating to the FDCPA and the FCRA, and to initiate enforcement actions, including actions to seek restitution and monetary penalties. State officials typically have authority to enforce corresponding state laws. In addition, affected consumers may bring suits, including class action suits, to seek monetary remedies (including statutory damages) for violations of the federal and state provisions discussed above.

The CFPB was formed within the U.S. Federal Reserve pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Dodd-Frank Act") to promote transparency, simplicity, fairness, accountability and equal access in the market for consumer financial products or services, including debt collection services. The Dodd-Frank Act gives significant discretion to the CFPB in establishing regulatory requirements and enforcement priorities. In 2013, the CFPB issued examination procedures for, and began conducting examinations of, a number of companies with respect to their debt collection practices. The CFPB's examination authority permits agency examiners to inspect the books and records of companies engaged in debt collection activities, such as SOS, and ask questions about their payment processing activities, collections, accounts in default, consumer reporting and third-party relationships, as well as compliance programs. We believe that the potential exists that non-bank providers of consumer credit that are examined by the CFPB could, depending upon the circumstances, be required, as a result of any CFPB examination, to change their practices or procedures. In August 2013, Conifer received a civil investigative demand from the CFPB that requires Conifer to provide to the CFPB a broad range of information regarding its debt collection activities, including its internal compliance procedures. To date, the CFPB has not indicated that it has targeted any particular issue or concern underlying the issuance of the civil investigative demand. Conifer is cooperating with the CFPB in providing the requested information.

CREDIT CARD ACTIVITIES

Conifer accepts credit card payments from patients of its facilities. Various federal and state laws and regulations impose privacy and information security standards with respect to the acceptance of credit cards as a form of payment. If Conifer fails to comply with these laws and regulations or experiences a credit card security breach, its reputation could be damaged, possibly resulting in lost business, and it could be subjected to additional legal or financial risk as a result of non-compliance.

COMPLIANCE AND ETHICS

General—Our ethics and compliance department maintains our multi-faceted, values-based ethics and compliance program, which is designed to (1) help staff in our corporate and Conifer offices, hospitals, outpatient centers, health plan offices and physician practices meet or exceed applicable standards established by federal and state laws and regulations, as well as industry practice, and (2) monitor and raise awareness of ethical issues among employees and others, and stress the importance of understanding and complying with our *Standards of Conduct*. The ethics and compliance department operates with independence — it has its own operating budget; it has the authority to hire outside counsel, access any Tenet document and interview any of our personnel; and our chief compliance officer reports directly to the quality, compliance and ethics committee of our board of directors.

Table of Contents

Program Charter—In September 2011, the quality, compliance and ethics committee of our board of directors approved an updated *Quality, Compliance and Ethics Program Charter* intended to:

- support and maintain our present and future responsibilities with regard to participation in federal health care programs; and
- further our goals of (1) fostering and maintaining the highest ethical standards among all employees, officers and directors, physicians practicing at Tenet facilities and contractors that furnish health care items or services, and (2) valuing our compliance with all state and federal laws and regulations as a foundation of our corporate philosophy.

The primary focus of our quality, compliance and ethics program is compliance with the requirements of Medicare, Medicaid and other federally funded health care programs. Pursuant to the terms of the charter, our ethics and compliance department is responsible for the following activities: (1) annually assessing, critiquing and (as appropriate) drafting and distributing company policies and procedures; (2) developing, providing and tracking ethics training for all employees, directors and, as applicable, contractors and agents; (3) developing, providing and tracking job-specific training to those who work in clinical quality, coding, billing, cost reporting and referral source arrangements; (4) developing, providing and tracking annual training on ethics and clinical quality oversight to the members of each hospital governing board; (5) creating and disseminating the company's *Standards of Conduct* and obtaining certifications of adherence to the *Standards of Conduct* as a condition of employment; (6) maintaining and promoting Tenet's Ethics Action Line, which allows confidential reporting of issues on an anonymous basis and emphasizes Tenet's no retaliation policy; (7) responding to and resolving all compliance-related issues that arise from the Ethics Action Line and compliance reports received from our facilities, hospital compliance officers or any other source; (8) ensuring that appropriate corrective and disciplinary actions are taken when non-compliant conduct or improper contractual relationships are identified; (9) monitoring and measuring adherence to all applicable Tenet policies and legal and regulatory requirements related to federal health care programs; (10) directing an annual screening of individuals for exclusion from federal health care program participation as required by federal regulations; (11) maintaining a database of all arrangements involving the payment of anything of value between Tenet and any physician or other actual or potential source of health care business or referrals to or from Tenet; and (12) overseeing annual audits of clinical quality, referral source arrangements, outliers, charging, coding, billing and other compliance risk areas as may be identified from time to time.

Standards of Conduct—All of our employees, including our chief executive officer, chief financial officer and principal accounting officer, are required to abide by our *Standards of Conduct* to advance our mission that our business be conducted in a legal and ethical manner. The members of our board of directors and many of our contractors are also required to abide by our *Standards of Conduct*. The standards reflect our basic values and form the foundation of a comprehensive process that includes compliance with all corporate policies, procedures and practices. Our standards cover such areas as quality patient care, compliance with all applicable laws and regulations, appropriate use of our assets, protection of patient information and avoidance of conflicts of interest.

As part of the program, we provide annual training sessions to every employee, as well as our board of directors and certain physicians and contractors. All employees are required to report incidents that they believe in good faith may be in violation of the *Standards of Conduct*, and are encouraged to contact our 24-hour toll-free Ethics Action Line when they have questions about the standards or any ethics concerns. All reports to the Ethics Action Line are kept confidential to the extent allowed by law, and employees have the option to remain anonymous. Incidents of alleged financial improprieties reported to the Ethics Action Line or the ethics and compliance department are communicated to the audit committee of our board of directors. Reported cases that involve a possible violation of the law or regulatory policies and procedures are referred to the ethics and compliance department for investigation. Retaliation against employees in connection with reporting ethical concerns is considered a serious violation of our *Standards of Conduct*, and, if it occurs, it will result in discipline, up to and including termination of employment.

Availability of Documents—The full text of our *Quality, Compliance and Ethics Program Charter*, our *Standards of Conduct*, and a number of our ethics and compliance policies and procedures are published on our website, at www.tenethealth.com, under the "Ethics and Compliance" caption in the "About" section. A copy of our *Standards of Conduct* is also available upon written request to our corporate secretary. Information about how to contact our corporate secretary is set forth under "Company Information" below. Amendments to the *Standards of Conduct* and any grant of a waiver from a provision of the *Standards of Conduct* requiring disclosure under applicable Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") rules will be disclosed at the same location as the *Standards of Conduct* on our website.

Table of Contents

INSURANCE

Property Insurance—We have property, business interruption and related insurance coverage to mitigate the financial impact of catastrophic events or perils that is subject to deductible provisions based on the terms of the policies. These policies are on an occurrence basis.

Professional and General Liability Insurance—As is typical in the health care industry, we are subject to claims and lawsuits in the ordinary course of business. The health care industry has seen significant increases in the cost of professional liability insurance due to increased litigation. In response, we formed and maintain captive insurance companies to self-insure a substantial portion of our professional and general liability risk. We also own two captive insurance companies that write professional liability insurance for a small number of physicians, including employed physicians, who are on the medical staffs of certain of our hospitals.

Claims in excess of our self-insurance retentions are insured with commercial insurance companies. If the aggregate limit of any of our professional and general liability policies is exhausted, in whole or in part, it could deplete or reduce the limits available to pay any other material claims applicable to that policy period. Any losses not covered by or in excess of the amounts maintained under insurance policies will be funded from our working capital.

In addition to the reserves recorded by our captive insurance subsidiaries, we maintain reserves, including reserves for incurred but not reported claims, for our self-insured professional liability retentions and claims in excess of the policies' aggregate limits, based on actuarial estimates of losses and related expenses. Also, we provide standby letters of credit to certain of our insurers, which can be drawn upon under certain circumstances, to collateralize the deductible and self-insured retentions under a selected number of our professional and general liability insurance programs.

COMPANY INFORMATION

Tenet Healthcare Corporation was incorporated in the State of Nevada in 1975. We file annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other documents with the SEC under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). Our reports, proxy statements and other documents filed electronically with the SEC are available at the website maintained by the SEC at www.sec.gov.

Our website, www.tenethealth.com, also offers, free of charge, access to our annual, quarterly and current reports (and amendments to such reports), and other filings made with, or furnished to, the SEC as soon as reasonably practicable after such documents are submitted to the SEC. The information found on our website is not part of this or any other report we file with or furnish to the SEC.

Inquiries directed to our corporate secretary may be sent to Corporate Secretary, Tenet Healthcare Corporation, P.O. Box 139003, Dallas, Texas 75313-9003 or by e-mail at CorporateSecretary@tenethealth.com.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The information in this report includes "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Exchange Act, each as amended. All statements, other than statements of historical or present facts, that address activities, events, outcomes, business strategies and other matters that we plan, expect, intend, assume, believe, budget, predict, forecast, project, estimate or anticipate (and other similar expressions) will, should or may occur in the future are forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements represent management's current belief, based on currently available information, as to the outcome and timing of future events. They involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors — many of which we are unable to predict or control — that may cause our actual results, performance or achievements, or health care industry results, to be materially different from those expressed or implied by forward-looking statements. Such factors include, but are not limited to, the following:

- The impact of the Affordable Care Act on our business and the enactment of, or changes in, laws and regulations affecting the health care industry generally;
- The effect that adverse economic conditions have on our volumes and our ability to collect outstanding receivables on a timely basis, among other things;

159

Table of Contents

- Adverse litigation or regulatory developments;
- Our ability to enter into managed care provider arrangements on acceptable terms;
- Cuts to Medicare and Medicaid payment rates or changes in reimbursement practices;
- Competition;
- Our success in implementing our business development plans;
- Our ability to hire and retain qualified personnel, especially health care professionals;
- The availability and terms of capital to fund the expansion of our business, including the acquisition of additional facilities;
- Our success in marketing Conifer's revenue cycle management, health care information management, management services, and patient communications and engagement services to third-party hospitals and other healthcare-related entities;
- Our ability to realize fully or at all the anticipated benefits of our acquisition of Vanguard and to successfully integrate the operations of our business and Vanguard's business;
- Our ability to identify and execute on measures designed to save or control costs or streamline operations;
- The impact of our significant indebtedness; and
- Other factors and risks referenced in this report and our other public filings.

Also included among the foregoing factors are the positive and negative effects of health reform legislation on reimbursement and utilization, as well as the future design of provider networks and insurance plans, including pricing, provider participation, coverage, and co-pays and deductibles.

When considering forward-looking statements, a reader should keep in mind the risk factors and other cautionary statements in this report. Should one or more of the risks and uncertainties described in this report occur, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, our actual results and plans could differ materially from those expressed in any forward-looking statements. We specifically disclaim any obligation to update any information contained in a forward-looking statement or any forward-looking statement in its entirety and, therefore, disclaim any resulting liability for potentially related damages.

All forward-looking statements attributable to us are expressly qualified in their entirety by this cautionary statement.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Our business is subject to a number of risks and uncertainties — many of which are beyond our control — that may cause our actual operating results or financial performance to be materially different from our expectations. If one or more of the events discussed in the following risks were to occur, actual outcomes could differ materially from those expressed in or implied by any forward-looking statements we make in this report or our other filings with the SEC, and our business, financial condition, results of operations or liquidity could be materially adversely affected; furthermore, the trading price of our common stock could decline and our shareholders could lose all or part of their investment.

We cannot predict with certainty the ultimate net effect that the Affordable Care Act may have on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

The Affordable Care Act is changing how health care services in the United States are covered, delivered and reimbursed. The expansion of health insurance coverage under the law may result in a material increase in the number of patients using our facilities who have either private or public program coverage. However, it is difficult to predict the full impact of the Affordable Care Act on our future revenues and operations at this time due to uncertainty regarding a number of material factors, including:

Table of Contents

- how many states will ultimately implement the Medicaid expansion provisions and under what terms (a number of states in which we operate, including Florida and Texas, have chosen not to expand their Medicaid programs at this time);
- how many currently uninsured individuals will obtain coverage (either private health insurance or Medicaid) as a result of the Affordable Care Act;
- what percentage of the newly insured patients will be covered under the Medicaid program and what percentage will be covered by private health insurers;
- the extent to which states will enroll new Medicaid participants in managed care programs;
- the pace at which insurance coverage expands, including the pace of different types of coverage expansion;
- the change, if any, in the volume of inpatient and outpatient hospital services that are sought by and provided to previously uninsured individuals;
- the rate paid to hospitals by private payers for newly covered individuals, including those covered through the newly created exchanges and those who might be covered under the Medicaid program under contracts with the state;
- the rate paid by state governments under the Medicaid program for newly covered individuals;
- the percentage of individuals in the exchanges who select the high-deductible plans, considering that health insurers offering those kinds of products have traditionally sought to pay lower rates to hospitals;
- the extent to which the provisions of the Affordable Care Act will put pressure on the profitability of health insurers, which in turn might cause them to seek to reduce payments to hospitals with respect to both newly insured individuals and their existing business; and
- the possibility that the Affordable Care Act or components of it will be delayed, revised or eliminated as a result of court challenges or actions by Congress.

Furthermore, the Affordable Care Act provides for significant reductions in the growth of Medicare spending, reductions in Medicare and Medicaid DSH payments, and the establishment of programs where reimbursement is tied to quality and integration. A substantial portion of both our patient volumes and, as result, our revenues is derived from government health care programs, principally Medicare and Medicaid. Any reductions to our reimbursement under the Medicare and Medicaid programs by the Affordable Care Act could adversely affect our business and results of operations to the extent such reductions are not offset by increased revenues from providing care to previously uninsured individuals. It is difficult to predict the effect on our revenues resulting from reductions to Medicare and Medicaid spending because of uncertainty regarding a number of material factors, including the following:

- the amount of overall revenues we will generate from the Medicare and Medicaid programs when the reductions are implemented;
- whether future reductions required by the Affordable Care Act will be changed by statute prior to becoming effective;
- the size of the law's annual productivity adjustment to the market basket;
- the amount of the Medicare DSH reductions that will be made commencing in FFY 2014;
- the allocation to our hospitals of the Medicaid DSH reductions commencing in FFY 2016;
- what the losses in revenues, if any, will be from the law's quality initiatives;
- how successful accountable care networks in which we participate will be at coordinating care and reducing costs or whether they will decrease reimbursement;
- the scope and nature of potential changes to Medicare reimbursement methods, such as an emphasis on bundling payments or coordination of care programs; and

161

Table of Contents

- reductions to Medicare payments CMS may impose for “excessive readmissions.”

In addition, the federally run exchanges, and several of the state-run exchanges, have faced operational hurdles and challenges in the initial period of their operation, which could reduce the number of individuals that obtain coverage through the exchanges in the short term. Further, we may continue to experience a high level of bad debt expense and have to provide uninsured discounts and charity care for undocumented aliens who will not be permitted to enroll in a health insurance exchange or government health care program.

In general, there is significant uncertainty with respect to the positive and negative effects the Affordable Care Act may have on reimbursement, utilization and the future design of provider networks and insurance plans (including pricing, provider participation, coverage, co-pays and deductibles), and the multiple models that attempt to predict those effects may differ materially from our expectations. Because of the many variables involved, we are unable to predict the ultimate net effect on us of the expected decreases in uninsured individuals using our facilities, the reductions in Medicare spending and Medicare and Medicaid DSH funding, and numerous other provisions in the Affordable Care Act that may affect us. Moreover, we are unable to predict the future course of federal, state and local health care regulation or legislation, including Medicare and Medicaid statutes and regulations. Further changes in the regulatory framework affecting health care providers could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

If we are unable to enter into and maintain managed care contractual arrangements on acceptable terms, if we experience material reductions in the contracted rates we receive from managed care payers or if we have difficulty collecting from managed care payers, our results of operations could be adversely affected.

We currently have thousands of managed care contracts with various HMOs and PPOs. The amount of our managed care net patient revenues during the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$6.3 billion, which represented approximately 58% of our total net patient revenues before provision for doubtful accounts. Approximately 59% of our managed care net patient revenues for the year ended December 31, 2013 was derived from our top ten managed care payers. In the year ended December 31, 2013, our commercial managed care net inpatient revenue per admission from our acute care hospitals was approximately 76% higher than our aggregate yield on a per admission basis from government payers, including managed Medicare and Medicaid insurance plans. In addition, at December 31, 2013, approximately 58% of our net accounts receivable related to continuing operations were due from managed care payers.

Our ability to negotiate favorable contracts with HMOs, insurers offering preferred provider arrangements and other managed care plans significantly affects the revenues and operating results of our hospitals. In addition, private payers are increasingly attempting to control health care costs through direct contracting with hospitals to provide services on a discounted basis, increased utilization reviews and greater enrollment in managed care programs, such as HMOs and PPOs. The trend toward consolidation among private managed care payers tends to increase their bargaining power over prices and fee structures. It is not clear what impact, if any, the increased obligations on private payers imposed by the health care reform law will have on our ability to negotiate reimbursement increases. However, as various provisions of the Affordable Care Act are implemented, including the establishment of the exchanges, non-government payers may increasingly demand reduced fees. In most cases, we negotiate our managed care contracts annually as they come up for renewal at various times during the year. Our future success will depend, in part, on our ability to renew existing managed care contracts and enter into new managed care contracts on terms favorable to us. Other health care companies, including some with greater financial resources, greater geographic coverage or a wider range of services, may compete with us for these opportunities. For example, some of our competitors may negotiate exclusivity provisions with managed care plans or otherwise restrict the ability of managed care companies to contract with us. Any material reductions in the contracted rates we receive for our services, coupled with any difficulties in collecting receivables from managed care payers, could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. Any material adverse effects resulting from future reductions in payments from private payers could be exacerbated if we are not able to manage our operating costs effectively.

Further changes in the Medicare and Medicaid programs or other government health care programs could have an adverse effect on our business.

For the year ended December 31, 2013, approximately 22% of our net patient revenues before provision for doubtful accounts for our general hospitals were related to the Medicare program, and approximately 9% of our net patient revenues before provision for doubtful accounts for our general hospitals were related to various state Medicaid programs, in each case excluding Medicare and Medicaid managed care programs. In addition to the changes affected by the Affordable Care Act, the Medicare and Medicaid programs are subject to: other statutory and regulatory changes, administrative rulings, interpretations

Table of Contents

and determinations concerning patient eligibility requirements, funding levels and the method of calculating payments or reimbursements, among other things; requirements for utilization review; and federal and state funding restrictions, all of which could materially increase or decrease payments from these government programs in the future, as well as affect the cost of providing services to our patients and the timing of payments to our facilities, which could in turn adversely affect our overall business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. Any material adverse effects resulting from future reductions in payments from government programs could be exacerbated if we are not able to manage our operating costs effectively.

Several states in which we operate continue to face budgetary challenges due to the economic downturn and other factors that have resulted, and likely will continue to result, in reduced Medicaid funding levels to hospitals and other providers. Because most states must operate with balanced budgets, and the Medicaid program is generally a significant portion of a state's budget, states can be expected to adopt or consider adopting future legislation designed to reduce their Medicaid expenditures. In addition, some states are implementing delays in issuing Medicaid payments to providers. As an alternative means of funding provider payments, most of the states in which we operate have adopted broad-based provider taxes to fund the non-federal share of Medicaid programs. Continuing pressure on state budgets and other factors could result in future reductions to Medicaid payments, payment delays or additional taxes on hospitals.

In general, we are unable to predict the effect of future government health care funding policy changes on our operations. If the rates paid by governmental payers are reduced, if the scope of services covered by governmental payers is limited, or if we or one or more of our subsidiaries' hospitals are excluded from participation in the Medicare or Medicaid program or any other government health care program, there could be a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

The industry trend toward value-based purchasing may negatively impact our revenues.

We believe that value-based purchasing initiatives of both governmental and private payers tying financial incentives to quality and efficiency of care will increasingly affect the results of operations of our hospitals and other health care facilities and may negatively impact our revenues if we are unable to meet expected quality standards. The Affordable Care Act contains a number of provisions intended to promote value-based purchasing in federal health care programs. Medicare now requires providers to report certain quality measures in order to receive full reimbursement increases for inpatient and outpatient procedures that were previously awarded automatically. In addition, hospitals that meet or exceed certain quality performance standards will receive increased reimbursement payments, and hospitals that have "excess readmissions" for specified conditions will receive reduced reimbursement. Furthermore, Medicare no longer pays hospitals additional amounts for the treatment of certain hospital-acquired conditions, also known as HACs, unless the conditions were present at admission. Beginning in FFY 2015, hospitals that rank in the worst 25% of all hospitals nationally for HACs in the previous year will receive reduced Medicare reimbursements. The Affordable Care Act also prohibits the use of federal funds under the Medicaid program to reimburse providers for treating certain provider-preventable conditions.

There is a trend among private payers toward value-based purchasing of health care services, as well. Many large commercial payers require hospitals to report quality data, and several of these payers will not reimburse hospitals for certain preventable adverse events. We expect value-based purchasing programs, including programs that condition reimbursement on patient outcome measures, to become more common and to involve a higher percentage of reimbursement amounts. We are unable at this time to predict how this trend will affect our results of operations, but it could negatively impact our revenues if we are unable to meet quality standards established by both governmental and private payers.

Our business continues to be adversely affected by a high volume of uninsured and underinsured patients, as well as declines in commercial managed care patients.

Like other organizations in the health care industry, we continue to provide services to a high volume of uninsured patients and more patients than in prior years with increased burdens of co-pays and deductibles due to changes in their health care plans. As a result, we continue to experience a high level of uncollectible accounts, and, unless our business mix shifts toward a greater number of insured patients as a result of the Affordable Care Act or otherwise, the trend of higher co-pays and deductibles reverses, or the economy improves and unemployment rates decline, we anticipate this high level of uncollectible accounts to continue or increase. In addition, even after implementation of the Affordable Care Act, we may continue to experience significant levels of bad debt expense and have to provide uninsured discounts and charity care for undocumented aliens who are not permitted to enroll in a health insurance exchange or government health care program.

Over the past several years, we have experienced declines in our commercial managed care volumes, which in the aggregate generate substantially higher yields than Medicare and Medicaid volumes. The declines in our commercial managed

163

care volumes are due, in part, to the related effects of higher unemployment and reductions in commercial managed care enrollment. In addition, we believe that the growth in high-deductible health plans has adversely impacted commercial managed care volumes.

Our hospitals, outpatient centers and other health care businesses operate in competitive environments, and competition is one reason increases in patient volumes have been constrained.

The health care business is highly competitive, and competition among hospitals and other health care providers for patients has intensified in recent years. Generally, other hospitals and outpatient centers in the local communities we serve provide services similar to those we offer, and, in some cases, competing facilities (1) are more established or newer than ours, (2) may offer a broader array of services to patients and physicians than ours, and (3) may have larger or more specialized medical staffs to admit and refer patients, among other things. Furthermore, health care consumers are now able to access hospital performance data on quality measures and patient satisfaction, as well as standard charges for services, to compare competing providers; if any of our hospitals achieve poor results (or results that are lower than our competitors) on quality measures or patient satisfaction surveys, or if our standard charges are higher than our competitors, we may attract fewer patients. Additional quality measures and future trends toward clinical transparency may have an unanticipated impact on our competitive position and patient volumes.

Continued consolidation in the health care industry will be a leading factor contributing to greater competition in our current markets and markets we may enter in the future. We also face increased competition from specialty hospitals (some of which are physician-owned) and unaffiliated freestanding outpatient centers for market share in high margin services and for quality physicians and personnel. Furthermore, some of the hospitals that compete with our hospitals are owned by government agencies or not-for-profit organizations supported by endowments and charitable contributions and can finance capital expenditures and operations on a tax-exempt basis. As is the case with our hospitals, some of our health plan competitors are owned by governmental agencies or non-profit corporations that have greater financial resources than we do. If our competitors are better able to attract patients, recruit physicians, expand services or obtain favorable managed care contracts at their facilities than we are, we may experience an overall decline in patient volumes

We could be subject to substantial uninsured liabilities or increased insurance costs as a result of significant legal actions.

We are subject to medical malpractice lawsuits, class action lawsuits and other legal actions in the ordinary course of business. Some of these actions may involve large demands, as well as substantial defense costs. Even in states that have imposed caps on damages, litigants are seeking recoveries under new theories of liability that might not be subject to such caps. Our professional and general liability insurance does not cover all claims against us, and it may not continue to be available at a reasonable cost for us to maintain at adequate levels, as the health care industry has seen significant increases in the cost of such insurance due to increased litigation. We cannot predict the outcome of current or future legal actions against us or the effect that judgments or settlements in such matters may have on us or on our insurance costs. Additionally, all professional and general liability insurance we purchase is subject to policy limitations. If the aggregate limit of any of our professional and general liability policies is exhausted, in whole or in part, it could deplete or reduce the limits available to pay any other material claims applicable to that policy period. Any losses not covered by or in excess of the amounts maintained under insurance policies will be funded from our working capital. Furthermore, one or more of our insurance carriers could become insolvent and unable to fulfill its or their obligations to defend, pay or reimburse us when those obligations become due. In that case or if payments of claims exceed our estimates or are not covered by our insurance, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

If we are unable to recruit and retain an appropriate number of quality physicians on the medical staffs of our hospitals, our business may suffer.

The success of our business depends in significant part on the number, quality and specialties of the physicians on the medical staffs of our hospitals, the admitting practices of those physicians and maintaining good relations with those physicians. Although we operate physician practices and, where permitted by law, employ physicians, physicians are often not employees of the hospitals at which they practice and, in many of the markets we serve, most physicians have admitting privileges at other hospitals in addition to our hospitals. Such physicians may terminate their affiliation with our hospitals or admit their patients to competing hospitals at any time. In some of our markets, physician recruitment and retention are affected by a shortage of physicians in certain specialties and the difficulties that physicians can experience in obtaining affordable malpractice insurance or finding insurers willing to provide such insurance. If we are unable to attract and retain sufficient numbers of quality physicians by providing adequate support personnel, technologically advanced equipment and hospital facilities that meet the

164

Table of Contents

needs of those physicians and their patients, physicians may be discouraged from referring patients to our facilities, admissions may decrease and our operating performance may decline.

Our labor costs could be adversely affected by competition for staffing, the shortage of experienced nurses and labor union activity.

Our hospital operations depend on the efforts, abilities and experience of our management and medical support personnel, including nurses, pharmacists and lab technicians, as well as our employed physicians. We compete with other health care providers in recruiting and retaining physicians and qualified management responsible for the daily operations of our hospitals. In addition, like others in the health care industry, we continue to experience a shortage of seasoned nurses in certain key specialties and geographic areas. As a result, from time to time, we may be required to enhance wages and benefits to recruit and retain experienced nurses, make greater investments in education and training for newly licensed nurses, or hire more expensive temporary or contract personnel. Furthermore, state-mandated nurse-staffing ratios in California affect not only our labor costs, but, if we are unable to hire the necessary number of experienced nurses to meet the required ratios, they may also cause us to limit patient admissions with a corresponding adverse effect on our net operating revenues. In general, our failure to recruit and retain qualified management, experienced nurses and other medical support personnel, or to control labor costs, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Increased labor union activity is another factor that could adversely affect our labor costs. At December 31, 2013, approximately 21% of our employees were represented by various labor unions. These employees — primarily registered nurses and service and maintenance workers — were located at 39 of our hospitals, the majority of which are in California, Florida and Michigan. We currently have two expired contracts and are negotiating renewals under extension agreements. We are also negotiating an initial contract at one of our hospitals where employees recently chose union representation. At this time, we are unable to predict the outcome of the negotiations, but increases in salaries, wages and benefits could result from these agreements. Furthermore, there is a possibility that strikes could occur during the negotiation process, which could increase our labor costs and have an adverse effect on our patient volumes and net operating revenues. Future organizing activities by labor unions could increase our level of union representation in 2014; to the extent a greater portion of our employee base unionizes, it is possible our labor costs could increase materially.

Conifer's future success also depends in part on our ability to attract, hire, integrate and retain key personnel. Competition for the caliber and number of employees we require at Conifer is intense. We may face difficulty identifying and hiring qualified personnel at compensation levels consistent with our existing compensation and salary structure. In addition, we invest significant time and expense in training Conifer's employees, which increases their value to competitors who may seek to recruit them. If we fail to retain our Conifer employees, we could incur significant expenses in hiring, integrating and training their replacements, and the quality of Conifer's services and its ability to serve its customers could diminish, resulting in a material adverse effect on that segment of our business.

Our business and financial results could be harmed by violations of existing regulations or compliance with new or changed regulations.

Our business is subject to extensive federal, state and local regulation relating to, among other things, licensure, conduct of operations, privacy of patient information, ownership of facilities, physician relationships, addition of facilities and services, and reimbursement rates for services. The laws, rules and regulations governing the health care industry are extremely complex and, in certain areas, the industry has little or no regulatory or judicial interpretation for guidance. If a determination is made that we were in violation of such laws, rules or regulations, we could be subject to penalties or liabilities or required to make significant changes to our operations. In addition, Conifer's failure to comply with the laws and regulations applicable to it could result in reduced demand for its services, invalidate all or portions of some of Conifer's services agreements with its customers, or give customers the right to terminate Conifer's services agreements with them, among other things, any of which could have an adverse effect on Conifer's business. Even a public announcement that we are being investigated for possible violations of law could have a material adverse effect on the value of our common stock and our business reputation could suffer. Furthermore, health care, as one of the largest industries in the United States, continues to attract much legislative interest and public attention. We are unable to predict the future course of federal, state and local regulation or legislation, including Medicare and Medicaid statutes and regulations. Further changes in the regulatory framework negatively affecting health care providers could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

We are also required to comply with various federal and state labor laws, rules and regulations governing a variety of workplace wage and hour issues. From time to time, we have been and expect to continue to be subject to regulatory proceedings and private litigation concerning our application of such laws, rules and regulations.

165

Conifer operates in a highly competitive industry, and its current or future competitors may be able to compete more effectively than Conifer does, which could have a material adverse effect on Conifer's business, revenue, growth rate and market share.

We intend to continue expanding Conifer's revenue cycle management, patient communications and engagement services, and management services businesses by marketing these services to non-Tenet hospitals and other healthcare-related entities. However, the market for Conifer's solutions is highly competitive, and we expect competition may intensify in the future. Conifer faces competition from existing participants and new entrants to the revenue cycle management market (including software vendors and other technology-supported revenue cycle management outsourcing companies, traditional consultants and information technology outsourcing firms), as well as from the internal staffs of hospitals and other health care providers who, as described above, handle these processes internally. To be successful, Conifer must respond more quickly and effectively than its competitors to new or changing opportunities, technologies, standards, regulations and customer requirements. Moreover, existing or new competitors may introduce technologies or services that render Conifer's technologies or services obsolete or less marketable. Even if Conifer's technologies and services are more effective than the offerings of its competitors, current or potential customers might prefer competitive technologies or services to Conifer's technologies and services. Furthermore, increased competition may result in pricing pressures, which could negatively impact Conifer's margins, growth rate or market share.

The failure to comply with debt collection and consumer credit reporting regulations could subject Conifer's SOS subsidiary to fines and other liabilities, which could harm Conifer's reputation and business, and could make it more difficult for Conifer to retain existing customers or attract new customers.

The Fair Debt Collection Practices Act regulates persons who regularly collect or attempt to collect, directly or indirectly, consumer debts owed or asserted to be owed to another person. Certain of the accounts receivable handled by SOS, Conifer's debt collection agency subsidiary, are subject to the FDCPA. Many states impose additional requirements on debt collection communications, and some of those requirements may be more stringent than the federal requirements. Moreover, regulations governing debt collection are subject to changing interpretations that may be inconsistent among different jurisdictions. SOS could be subject to fines or other penalties if it is determined to have violated the FDCPA, the Fair Credit Reporting Act or analogous state laws, which could make it more difficult to retain existing customers or attract new customers and could otherwise harm Conifer's business.

Our business could be negatively affected by security threats, catastrophic events and other disruptions affecting our information technology and related systems.

As a provider of health care services, we rely on our information technology in the day-to-day operation of our business to process, transmit and store sensitive or confidential data, including electronic health records, other protected health information, and financial, payment and other personal data of patients, as well as to store our proprietary and confidential business performance data. We utilize a diversified data and voice network, along with technology systems for billing, supply chain, clinical information systems and labor management. Although we have redundancies and other measures designed to protect the security and availability of the data we process, transmit and store, our information technology and infrastructure is vulnerable to computer viruses, attacks by hackers, or breaches due to employee error or malfeasance. Furthermore, our networks and technology systems are subject to disruption due to events such as a major earthquake, fire, telecommunications failure, terrorist attack or other catastrophic event. Any such breach or system interruption could result in the unauthorized disclosure, misuse or loss of confidential, sensitive or proprietary information, could negatively impact our ability to conduct normal business operations (including the collection of revenues), and could result in potential liability and damage to our reputation, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Economic downturns and other economic factors have affected, and may continue to impact, our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We continue to be impacted by a number of industry-wide challenges, including constrained growth in patient volumes and high levels of bad debt expense. We believe factors associated with the recent economic downturn — including higher levels of unemployment, reductions in commercial managed care enrollment, and patient decisions to postpone or cancel elective and non-emergency health care procedures — have affected our volumes and our ability to collect outstanding receivables. The U.S. economy remains volatile. Instability in consumer spending and high unemployment rates continue to pressure many industries.

Table of Contents

If industry trends or general economic conditions worsen, we may not be able to sustain future profitability, and our liquidity and ability to repay our outstanding debt may be harmed.

Furthermore, the availability of liquidity and credit to fund the continuation and expansion of many business operations worldwide has been limited in recent years. Our ability to access the capital markets on acceptable terms may be severely restricted at a time when we would like, or need, to access those markets, which could have a negative impact on our growth plans, our flexibility to react to changing economic and business conditions, and our ability to refinance existing debt. The economic downturn or other economic conditions could also adversely affect the counterparties to our agreements, including the lenders under our senior secured revolving credit facility, causing them to fail to meet their obligations to us.

Trends affecting our actual or anticipated results may require us to record charges that would adversely affect our results of operations.

As a result of factors that have negatively affected our industry generally and our business specifically, we have been required to record various charges in our results of operations. Our impairment tests presume stable, improving or, in some cases, declining operating results in our hospitals, which are based on programs and initiatives being implemented that are designed to achieve the hospitals' most recent projections. If these projections are not met, or negative trends occur that impact our future outlook, future impairments of long-lived assets and goodwill may occur, and we may incur additional restructuring charges. Future restructuring of our operating structure that changes our goodwill reporting units could also result in future impairments of our goodwill. Any such charges could adversely affect our results of operations.

The amount and terms of our current and any future debt could, among other things, adversely affect our ability to raise additional capital to fund our operations and limit our ability to react to changes in the economy or our industry.

As of December 31, 2013, we had approximately \$10.8 billion of total long-term debt, as well as approximately \$189 million in standby letters of credit outstanding under our senior secured revolving credit facility, which is collateralized by patient accounts receivable of all of our wholly owned acute care and specialty hospitals. From time to time, we expect to engage in additional capital market, bank credit and other financing activities depending on our needs and financing alternatives available at that time.

Our substantial indebtedness could have important consequences, including the following:

- Our credit agreement and indentures contain, and any future debt obligations may contain, covenants that, among other things, restrict our ability to pay dividends, incur additional debt and sell assets. Our credit agreement also requires us to maintain a financial ratio relating to our ability to satisfy certain fixed expenses, including interest payments. The indentures contain covenants that, among other things, restrict our ability and the ability of our subsidiaries to incur liens, consummate asset sales, enter into sale and lease-back transactions, or consolidate, merge or sell all or substantially all of our or their assets. If we do not comply with these obligations, it may cause an event of default, which, if not cured or waived, could require us to repay the indebtedness immediately. Under these conditions, we are not certain whether we would have, or be able to obtain, sufficient funds to make accelerated payments.
- We may be more vulnerable in the event of a deterioration in our business, in the health care industry or in the economy generally, or if federal or state governments set further limitations on reimbursement under the Medicare or Medicaid programs.
- We are required to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow to the payment of principal and interest on our indebtedness, which reduces the amount of funds available for our operations, capital expenditures and acquisitions.
- Our substantial indebtedness could limit our ability to obtain additional financing to fund future capital expenditures, working capital, acquisitions or other needs.

We have the ability to incur additional indebtedness in the future, subject to the restrictions contained in our credit agreement and the indentures governing our outstanding senior notes and senior secured notes. If new indebtedness is added to our current debt levels, the related risks that we now face could intensify.

167

We may be unable to successfully integrate Vanguard's business with our business to realize the anticipated benefits of the acquisition or do so within the intended timeframe.

The success of our acquisition of Vanguard will depend, in part, on our ability to integrate Vanguard's business and operations with our business and fully realize the anticipated benefits and synergies from combining our business with Vanguard's business. On October 1, 2013 (the effective date of the acquisition), we acquired 28 hospitals (plus one more under construction), 39 outpatient centers and five health plans with approximately 140,000 members. As such, we are devoting significant management attention and resources to integrating the business practices and operations of Vanguard with ours. Potential difficulties we may encounter as part of the integration process include the following:

- The costs of integration and compliance and the possibility that the full benefits anticipated to result from the acquisition will not be realized;
- Delays in the integration of strategies, operations and services;
- Diversion of the attention of our management as a result of the acquisition;
- Differences in business backgrounds, corporate cultures and management philosophies that may delay successful integration;
- Retaining key executives and other employees;
- Challenges associated with creating and enforcing uniform standards, controls, procedures and policies;
- Complexities associated with managing Vanguard as a subsidiary of Tenet, including the challenge of integrating complex systems, technology, networks and other assets of Vanguard into those of Tenet in a manner that minimizes any adverse impact on patients, suppliers, employees and other constituencies;
- Potential unknown liabilities and unforeseen increased expenses or delays associated with the acquisition, including one-time cash costs to integrate Vanguard beyond current estimates; and
- The disruption of, or the loss of momentum in, our ongoing businesses.

If we are unable to successfully overcome the potential difficulties associated with the integration process and achieve our objectives following the acquisition, the anticipated benefits and synergies of the acquisition may not be realized fully, or at all, or may take longer to realize than expected. Any failure to timely realize these anticipated benefits could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

The utilization of our tax losses could be substantially limited if we experience an ownership change as defined in the Internal Revenue Code.

At December 31, 2013, we had federal net operating loss ("NOL") carryforwards of approximately \$1.7 billion pretax available to offset future taxable income. These NOL carryforwards will expire in the years 2024 to 2033. Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code imposes an annual limitation on the amount of a company's taxable income if it experiences an "ownership change" as defined in Section 382 of the Code. An ownership change occurs when a company's "five-percent shareholders" (as defined in Section 382 of the Code) collectively increase their ownership in the company by more than 50 percentage points (by value) over a rolling three-year period. (This is different from a change in beneficial ownership under applicable securities laws.) These ownership changes include purchases of common stock under share repurchase programs, a company's offering of its stock, the purchase or sale of company stock by five-percent shareholders, or the issuance or exercise of rights to acquire company stock. While we expect to be able to realize our total NOL carryforwards prior to their expiration, if an ownership change occurs, our ability to use the NOL carryforwards to offset future taxable income will be subject to an annual limitation and will depend on the amount of taxable income we generate in future periods. There is no assurance that we will be able to fully utilize the NOL carryforwards. Furthermore, we could be required to record a valuation allowance related to the amount of the NOL carryforwards that may not be realized, which could impact our results of operations.

168

Table of Contents

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

The disclosure required under this Item is included in Item 1, Business, of this report.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

For information regarding material pending legal proceedings in which we are involved, see Note 15 to our Consolidated Financial Statements, which is incorporated by reference.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

169

PART II.

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT’S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Common Stock. Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange (“NYSE”) under the symbol “THC.” On October 11, 2012, our common stock began trading on the NYSE on a split-adjusted basis following a one-for-four reverse stock split we announced on October 1, 2012. Every four shares of our issued and outstanding common stock were exchanged for one issued and outstanding share of common stock, without any change in the par value per share, and our authorized shares of common stock were proportionately decreased from 1,050,000,000 shares to 262,500,000 shares. No fractional shares were issued in connection with the stock split. The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low sales prices per share of our common stock on the NYSE, as adjusted to reflect the reverse stock split:

	<u>High</u>	<u>Low</u>
Year Ended December 31, 2013		
First Quarter	\$ 48.25	\$ 33.00
Second Quarter	49.47	38.17
Third Quarter	47.08	36.87
Fourth Quarter	48.48	38.71
Year Ended December 31, 2012		
First Quarter	\$ 24.20	\$ 18.36
Second Quarter	22.56	17.32
Third Quarter	25.76	17.24
Fourth Quarter	33.86	22.86

On February 14, 2014, the last reported sales price of our common stock on the NYSE composite tape was \$46.56 per share. As of that date, there were 4,356 holders of record of our common stock. Our transfer agent and registrar is Computershare. Shareholders with questions regarding their stock certificates, including inquiries related to exchanging or replacing certificates or changing an address, should contact the transfer agent at (866) 229-8416.

Cash Dividends on Common Stock. We have not paid cash dividends on our common stock since the first quarter of fiscal 1994. We currently intend to retain future earnings, if any, for the operation and development of our business and, accordingly, do not currently intend to pay any cash dividends on our common stock. Our board of directors will evaluate our future earnings, results of operations, financial condition and capital requirements in determining whether to pay any cash dividends in the future. Our senior secured revolving credit agreement contains provisions that limit the payment of cash dividends on our common stock if we do not meet certain financial ratios.

Equity Compensation. Refer to Item 12, Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters, of Part III of this report for information regarding securities authorized for issuance under our equity compensation plans.


Stock Performance Graph. The following graph shows the cumulative, five-year total return for our common stock compared to three indices, each of which includes us. The Standard & Poor’s 500 Stock Index includes 500 companies representing all major industries. The Standard & Poor’s Health Care Composite Index is a group of 55 companies involved in a variety of healthcare-related businesses. Because the Standard & Poor’s Health Care Composite Index is heavily weighted by pharmaceutical and medical device companies, we believe that at times it may be less useful than the Hospital Management Peer Group Index included below. We compiled this Peer Group Index by selecting publicly traded companies that have as their primary business the management of acute care hospitals and that have been in business for all five of the years shown. These companies are: Community Health Systems, Inc. (CYH), Health Management Associates, Inc. (HMA), Tenet Healthcare Corporation (THC) and Universal Health Services, Inc. (UHS).

170

Table of Contents

Performance data assumes that \$100.00 was invested on December 31, 2008 in our common stock and each of the indices. The data assumes the reinvestment of all cash dividends and the cash value of other distributions. Stock price performance shown in the graph is not necessarily indicative of future stock price performance.

COMPARISON OF FIVE YEAR CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN



	12/08	12/09	12/10	12/11	12/12	12/13
Tenet Healthcare Corporation	\$ 100.00	\$ 468.70	\$ 581.74	\$ 446.09	\$ 705.87	\$ 915.65
S&P 500	\$ 100.00	\$ 126.46	\$ 145.51	\$ 148.59	\$ 172.37	\$ 228.19
S&P Health Care	\$ 100.00	\$ 119.70	\$ 123.17	\$ 138.85	\$ 163.69	\$ 231.55
Peer Group	\$ 100.00	\$ 256.65	\$ 319.12	\$ 232.26	\$ 332.07	\$ 481.22

Repurchase of Common Stock. In October 2012, we announced that our board of directors had authorized the repurchase of up to \$500 million of our common stock through a share repurchase program that expired in December 2013. Under the program, shares could be purchased in the open market or through privately negotiated transactions in a manner consistent with applicable securities laws and regulations, including pursuant to a Rule 10b5-1 plan we maintained. Shares were repurchased at times and in amounts based on market conditions and other factors. Purchases during the year ended December 31, 2013 are shown in the table in Note 2 to our Consolidated Financial Statements, which table is incorporated by reference.

171

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

OPERATING RESULTS

The following tables present selected consolidated financial data for Tenet Healthcare Corporation and its wholly owned and majority-owned subsidiaries for the years ended December 31, 2009 through 2013. Because we acquired Vanguard Health Systems, Inc. ("Vanguard") on October 1, 2013, the 2013 columns in the tables below include results of operations for Vanguard and its consolidated subsidiaries for the three months ended December 31, 2013 only. The tables should be read in conjunction with Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and our Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto included in this report.

	Years Ended December 31,				
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
	(In Millions, Except Per-Share Amounts)				
Net operating revenues:					
Net operating revenues before provision for doubtful accounts	\$ 12,074	\$ 9,904	\$ 9,371	\$ 8,992	\$ 8,785
Less: Provision for doubtful accounts	972	785	717	727	684
Net operating revenues	11,102	9,119	8,654	8,265	8,101
Operating expenses:					
Salaries, wages and benefits	5,371	4,257	4,015	3,830	3,781
Supplies	1,784	1,552	1,548	1,542	1,534
Other operating expenses, net	2,701	2,147	2,020	1,857	1,831
Electronic health record incentives	(96)	(40)	(55)	—	—
Depreciation and amortization	545	430	398	380	373
Impairment and restructuring charges, and acquisition-related costs	103	19	20	10	27
Litigation and investigation costs, net of insurance recoveries	31	5	55	12	31
Operating income	663	749	653	634	524
Interest expense	(474)	(412)	(375)	(424)	(445)
Gain (loss) from early extinguishment of debt	(348)	(4)	(117)	(57)	97
Investment earnings	1	1	3	5	—
Net gain on sales of investments	—	—	—	—	15
Income (loss) from continuing operations, before income taxes	(158)	334	164	158	191
Income tax benefit (expense)	65	(125)	(61)	977	23
Income (loss) from continuing operations, before discontinued operations and cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	\$ (93)	\$ 209	\$ 103	\$ 1,135	\$ 214
Basic earnings (loss) per share attributable to Tenet Healthcare Corporation common shareholders from continuing operations	\$ (1.21)	\$ 1.77	\$ 0.58	\$ 9.09	\$ 1.67
Diluted earnings (loss) per share attributable to Tenet Healthcare Corporation common shareholders from continuing operations	\$ (1.21)	\$ 1.70	\$ 0.56	\$ 8.03	\$ 1.63

The operating results data presented above is not necessarily indicative of our future results of operations. Reasons for this include, but are not limited to: overall revenue and cost trends, particularly the timing and magnitude of price changes; fluctuations in contractual allowances and cost report settlements and valuation allowances; managed care contract negotiations, settlements or terminations and payer consolidations; changes in Medicare and Medicaid regulations; Medicaid funding levels set by the states in which we operate; the timing of approval by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services ("CMS") of Medicaid provider fee revenue programs; trends in patient accounts receivable collectability and associated provisions for doubtful accounts; fluctuations in interest rates; levels of malpractice insurance expense and settlement trends; the timing of when we meet the criteria to recognize electronic health record incentives; impairment of long-lived assets and goodwill; restructuring charges; acquisition-related costs; losses, costs and insurance recoveries related to natural disasters; litigation and investigation costs; acquisitions and dispositions of facilities and other assets; income tax rates and deferred tax asset valuation

172

Table of Contents

allowance activity; changes in estimates of accruals for annual incentive compensation; the timing and amounts of stock option and restricted stock unit grants to employees and directors; gains or losses from early extinguishment of debt; and changes in occupancy levels and patient volumes. Factors that affect patient volumes and, thereby, the results of operations at our hospitals and related health care facilities include, but are not limited to: the business environment, economic conditions and demographics of local communities in which we operate; the number of uninsured and underinsured individuals in local communities treated at our hospitals; seasonal cycles of illness; climate and weather conditions; physician recruitment, retention and attrition; advances in technology and treatments that reduce length of stay; local health care competitors; managed care contract negotiations or terminations; the number of patients with high-deductible health insurance plans; any unfavorable publicity about us that impacts our relationships with physicians and patients; changes in health care regulations and the participation of individual states in federal programs; and the timing of elective procedures.

BALANCE SHEET DATA

	December 31,				
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
	(In Millions)				
Working capital (current assets minus current liabilities)	\$ 782	\$ 918	\$ 542	\$ 586	\$ 689
Total assets	16,130	9,044	8,462	8,500	7,953
Long-term debt, net of current portion	10,690	5,158	4,294	3,997	4,272
Total equity	878	1,218	1,492	1,819	697

CASH FLOW DATA

	Years Ended December 31,				
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
	(In Millions)				
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 589	\$ 593	\$ 497	\$ 472	\$ 425
Net cash used in investing activities	(2,164)	(662)	(503)	(420)	(125)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	1,324	320	(286)	(337)	(117)

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

INTRODUCTION TO MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The purpose of this section, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations ("MD&A"), is to provide a narrative explanation of our financial statements that enables investors to better understand our business, to enhance our overall financial disclosures, to provide the context within which our financial information may be analyzed, and to provide information about the quality of, and potential variability of, our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. Our core business is Hospital Operations and other, which is focused on owning and operating acute care hospitals and outpatient facilities. We also operate revenue cycle management, patient communications and engagement services, and management services businesses under our Conifer Health Solutions, LLC ("Conifer") subsidiary, which is a separate reportable business segment. MD&A, which should be read in conjunction with the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements, includes the following sections:

- Management Overview
- Sources of Revenue
- Results of Operations
- Liquidity and Capital Resources
- Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements
- Recently Issued Accounting Standards
- Critical Accounting Estimates

Unless otherwise indicated, all financial and statistical information included in MD&A relates to our continuing operations, with dollar amounts expressed in millions (except per share, per admission, per adjusted admission, per patient day, per adjusted patient day and per visit amounts). Continuing operations information includes the results of (i) our same-hospital operations, as described below, and (ii) Vanguard and its consolidated subsidiaries, which we acquired effective October 1, 2013, but only for the period from the date of acquisition through December 31, 2013. Continuing operations

Table of Contents

information excludes the results of our hospitals and other businesses that have previously been classified as discontinued operations for accounting purposes. Same-hospital information includes the results of our operations for all periods presented, including the same 49 hospitals operated during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 (and any interim periods in those years), but excludes the results of legacy Vanguard operations, as well as our hospitals and other businesses that have previously been classified as discontinued operations for accounting purposes. We present same-hospital data because we believe it provides investors with useful information regarding the performance of our hospitals and other operations that are comparable for the periods presented. All amounts related to shares, share prices and earnings per share for periods ending prior to October 11, 2012 have been restated to give retrospective presentation for the reverse stock split described in Item 5, Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities, of this report. Furthermore, certain prior-year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current-year presentation.

MANAGEMENT OVERVIEW

STRATEGIES AND TRENDS

We are committed to providing the communities our hospitals, outpatient centers and other health care facilities serve with high quality, cost-effective health care while growing our business, increasing our profitability and creating long-term value for our shareholders. We believe that our success in increasing our profitability depends in part on our success in executing the strategies and managing the trends discussed below.

Core Business Strategy—We are focused on providing high quality care to patients through our hospitals and outpatient centers, and offering an array of business process solutions primarily to health care providers through Conifer. With respect to our hospitals and outpatient business, we seek to offer superior quality and patient services to meet community needs, to make capital and other investments in our facilities and technology to remain competitive, to recruit and retain physicians, to increase the number of outpatient centers we own, and to negotiate favorable contracts with managed care and other private payers. With respect to business process services, we provide comprehensive operational management for revenue cycle functions, including patient access, health information management, revenue integrity and patient financial services. We also offer communication and engagement solutions to optimize the relationship between providers and patients. In addition, our management services offerings have expanded to support value-based performance through clinical integration, financial risk management and population health management.

Commitment to Quality—We have made significant investments in the last decade in equipment, technology, education and operational strategies designed to improve clinical quality at our hospitals and outpatient centers. As a result of our efforts, our CMS Hospital Compare Core Measures scores have consistently exceeded the national average since the end of 2005, and major national private payers have also recognized our achievements relative to quality. These designations are expected to become increasingly important as governmental and private payers move to pay-for-performance models, and the commercial market moves to more narrow networks and other methods designed to encourage covered individuals to use certain facilities over others. Through our *Commitment to Quality and Performance Excellence Program* initiatives, we continually collaborate with physicians to implement the most current evidence-based medicine techniques to improve the way we provide care, while using labor management tools and supply chain initiatives to reduce variable costs. We believe the use of these practices will promote the most effective and efficient utilization of resources and result in shorter lengths of stay, as well as reductions in redundant ancillary services and readmissions for hospitalized patients. In general, we believe that quality of care improvements may have the effect of reducing costs, increasing payments from Medicare and certain managed care payers for our services, and increasing physician and patient satisfaction, which may improve our volumes.

Development Strategies—We remain focused on opportunities to increase our hospital and outpatient revenues through organic growth and acquisitions, and to expand our Conifer business.

From time to time, we build new facilities, make strategic acquisitions of health care assets and companies, and enter into joint venture arrangements or affiliations with health care businesses — in each case in markets where we believe our operating strategies can improve performance and create shareholder value. On October 1, 2013, we acquired 28 hospitals (plus one more under construction), 39 outpatient centers and five health plans with approximately 140,000 members, serving communities in Arizona, California, Illinois, Massachusetts, Michigan and Texas, through our acquisition of Vanguard. During 2013, we also purchased: (1) 11 ambulatory surgery centers (in one of which we had previously held a noncontrolling interest); (2) an urgent care center; (3) a provider network based in Southern California that includes contracted independent physicians, ancillary providers and hospitals; (4) a medical office building; and (5) various physician practice entities. In addition, we entered into a partnership with John Muir Health, a not-for-profit integrated system of doctors, hospitals and other health care services in the San Francisco Bay area, through which we will jointly develop and expand outpatient services and physician

Table of Contents

relationships to improve the efficiency and coordination of care in the Tri-Valley area and nearby communities in Northern California. Furthermore, we have signed a definitive agreement to acquire Emmanuel Medical Center, a 209-bed hospital located in Turlock, California.

Historically, our outpatient services have generated significantly higher margins for us than inpatient services. During the year ended December 31, 2013, we derived approximately 36% of our net patient revenues from outpatient services. By expanding our outpatient business, we expect to increase our profitability over time. We believe that growth by strategic acquisitions, when and if opportunities are available, can supplement the growth we believe we can generate organically in our existing markets. We continually evaluate collaboration opportunities with outpatient facilities, health care providers, physician groups and others in our markets to maximize effectiveness, reduce costs and build clinically integrated networks that provide quality service across the care continuum.

We intend to continue expanding Conifer's revenue cycle management, patient communications and engagement services, and management services businesses by marketing these services to non-Tenet hospitals and other healthcare-related entities. Conifer provides services to more than 700 Tenet and non-Tenet hospital and other clients nationwide. We believe this business has the potential over time to generate high margins and improve our results of operations. Conifer's service offerings have also expanded to support value-based performance through clinical integration, financial risk management and population health management, which are integral parts of the health care industry's movement toward accountable care organizations ("ACOs") and similar risk-based or capitated contract models. In addition to hospitals, clients for these services include health plans, self-insured employees and other entities.

Realizing HIT Incentive Payments and Other Benefits—Beginning in the year ended December 31, 2011, we achieved compliance with certain of the health information technology ("HIT") requirements under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 ("ARRA"). In 2013, we recognized approximately \$96 million of Medicare electronic health record ("EHR") and Medicaid ARRA HIT incentives in our Consolidated Statement of Operations. These incentives partially offset the operating expenses we have incurred and continue to incur to invest in HIT systems. We expect to recognize additional incentives in the future. Furthermore, we believe that the operational benefits of HIT, including improved clinical outcomes and increased operating efficiencies, will contribute to our long-term ability to grow our business.

General Economic Conditions—We believe that high unemployment rates and other adverse economic conditions are continuing to have a negative impact on our bad debt expense levels, patient volumes and payer mix. However, as the economy recovers, we expect to experience improvements in these metrics relative to current levels.

Improving Operating Leverage—We believe targeted capital spending on critical growth opportunities for our hospitals, emphasis on higher demand clinical service lines (including outpatient lines), focus on expanding our outpatient business, implementation of new payer contracting strategies, and improved quality metrics at our hospitals will improve our patient volumes. Increases in patient volumes have been constrained by the slow pace of the current economic recovery, increased competition, utilization pressure by managed care organizations, the effects of higher patient co-pays and deductibles, and demographic trends. We continue to pursue integrated contracting models that maximize our system-wide skills and capabilities in conjunction with our strong market positions to accommodate new payment models. In several markets, we have formed clinical integration organizations, which are collaborations with independent physicians and hospitals to develop ongoing clinical initiatives designed to control costs and improve the quality of care delivered to patients. Most recently, in February 2014, we announced that our Abrazo Health network of hospitals in the Phoenix, Arizona area had signed an agreement enabling investment in the funding and expansion of Arizona Care Network. Under the terms of the agreement, Abrazo Health will have a 50% ownership interest in the Arizona Care Network, a clinically integrated network and ACO. Arrangements like these provide a foundation for negotiating with plans under an ACO structure or other risk-sharing model.

Impact of Affordable Care Act—We anticipate that we will benefit over time from the provisions of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 ("Affordable Care Act" or "ACA") that will extend insurance coverage through Medicaid or private insurance to a broader segment of the U.S. population. Although we are unable to predict the ultimate net effect of the Affordable Care Act on our future results of operations, and while there have been and will continue to be some reductions in reimbursement rates by government payers, we anticipate that we will begin to receive reimbursement for caring for previously uninsured and underinsured patients as early as this year. Through collaborative efforts with local community organizations, we have launched a campaign under the banner "Path to Health" to assist our hospitals in educating and enrolling uninsured patients in insurance plans.

Table of Contents

Our ability to execute on these strategies and manage these trends is subject to a number of risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results to be materially different from expectations. In addition, it is critical that we continue to make steady and measurable progress in 2014 in successfully integrating Vanguard's business and operations into our business processes. The benefits that come from our larger scale and combined expertise will be fully realized only when we are operating as one efficient and cohesive organization. For information about risks and uncertainties that could affect our results of operations, see the Forward-Looking Statements and Risk Factors sections in Part I of this report.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS—OVERVIEW

Selected Operating Statistics for All Continuing Operations Hospitals—The following table shows certain selected operating statistics for our continuing operations on a total hospital basis, which includes the statistics from the hospitals included in the Vanguard acquisition only for the three months ended December 31, 2013. We believe this information is useful to investors because it reflects the significant increase to the scale of our operations as a result of our acquisition of Vanguard.

	Total Hospital Continuing Operations		
	Three Months Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	Increase (Decrease)
Total admissions	190,506	125,290	52.1%
Adjusted patient admissions(1)	325,410	199,191	63.4%
Surgeries – inpatient	53,119	34,511	53.9%
Surgeries – outpatient	115,611	63,534	82.0%
Total surgeries	168,730	98,045	72.1%
Patient days – total	880,737	580,426	51.7%
Adjusted patient days(1)	1,481,291	915,231	61.8%
Average length of stay (days)	4.62	4.63	(0.2)%
Average licensed beds	20,294	13,216	53.6%
Utilization of licensed beds(2)	47.2%	47.7%	(0.5)%(3)
Total outpatient visits	1,875,684	1,053,499	78.0%
Net inpatient revenues	\$ 2,372	\$ 1,544	53.6%
Net outpatient revenues	\$ 1,357	\$ 821	65.3%
Net inpatient revenue per admission	\$ 12,451	\$ 12,323	1.0%
Net inpatient revenue per patient day	\$ 2,693	\$ 2,660	1.2%
Net outpatient revenue per visit	\$ 723	\$ 779	(7.2)%
Net patient revenue per adjusted admission	\$ 11,459	\$ 11,873	(3.5)%

- (1) Adjusted patient admissions/days represents actual patient admissions/days adjusted to include outpatient services by multiplying actual patient admissions/days by the sum of gross inpatient revenues and outpatient revenues and dividing the results by gross inpatient revenues.
- (2) Utilization of licensed beds represents patient days divided by number of days in the period divided by average licensed beds.
- (3) The change is the difference between the amounts shown for the three months ended December 31, 2013 compared to the three months ended December 31, 2012.

Operating Statistics on a Same-Hospital Basis—Our results of operations have been and continue to be influenced by industry-wide and company-specific challenges, including constrained volume growth and high levels of bad debt, that have affected our revenue growth and operating expenses. We believe our results of operations for our most recent fiscal quarter best reflect recent trends we are experiencing with respect to volumes, revenues and expenses; therefore, we have provided below information about these metrics for the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 on a same-hospital basis, where noted, excluding the results of the 28 hospitals we acquired from Vanguard on October 1, 2013.

176

Table of Contents

	Same-Hospital Continuing Operations		
	Three Months Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	Increase (Decrease)
Admissions, Patient Days and Surgeries			
Total admissions	122,404	125,290	(2.3)%
Adjusted patient admissions(1)	198,129	199,191	(0.5)%
Paying admissions (excludes charity and uninsured)	113,573	116,611	(2.6)%
Charity and uninsured admissions	8,831	8,679	1.8%
Admissions through emergency department	76,872	77,465	(0.8)%
Paying admissions as a percentage of total admissions	92.8%	93.1%	(0.3)%(2)
Charity and uninsured admissions as a percentage of total admissions	7.2%	6.9%	0.3%(2)
Emergency department admissions as a percentage of total admissions	62.8%	61.8%	1.0%(2)
Surgeries – inpatient	34,198	34,511	(0.9)%
Surgeries – outpatient	84,878	63,534	33.6%
Total surgeries	119,076	98,045	21.5%
Patient days – total	574,796	580,426	(1.0)%
Adjusted patient days(1)	920,975	915,231	0.6%
Average length of stay (days)	4.70	4.63	1.5%
Average licensed beds	13,179	13,216	(0.3)%
Utilization of licensed beds(3)	47.4%	47.7%	(0.3)%(2)

- (1) Adjusted patient admissions/days represents actual patient admissions/days adjusted to include outpatient services by multiplying actual patient admissions/days by the sum of gross inpatient revenues and outpatient revenues and dividing the results by gross inpatient revenues.
- (2) The change is the difference between the amounts shown for the three months ended December 31, 2013 compared to the three months ended December 31, 2012.
- (3) Utilization of licensed beds represents patient days divided by number of days in the period divided by average licensed beds.

Total same-hospital admissions decreased by 2,886, or 2.3%, in the three months ended December 31, 2013 compared to the three months ended December 31, 2012. Total surgeries increased by 21.5% in the three months ended December 31, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012, comprised of a 33.6% increase in outpatient surgeries partially offset by a 0.9% decrease in inpatient surgeries. Our emergency department admissions decreased 0.8% in the three months ended December 31, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year. We believe the current economic conditions continue to have an adverse impact on the level of elective procedures performed at our hospitals, which contributed to the decrease in our total admissions. Charity and uninsured admissions increased 1.8% in the three months ended December 31, 2013 compared to the three months ended December 31, 2012, while paying admissions decreased 2.6%.

	Same-Hospital Continuing Operations		
	Three Months Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	Increase (Decrease)
Outpatient Visits			
Total visits	1,088,194	1,053,499	3.3%
Paying visits (excludes charity and uninsured)	974,396	941,658	3.5%
Charity and uninsured visits	113,798	111,841	1.7%
Emergency department visits	404,950	399,711	1.3%
Surgery visits	84,878	63,534	33.6%
Paying visits as a percentage of total visits	89.5%	89.4%	0.1%(1)
Charity and uninsured visits as a percentage of total visits	10.5%	10.6%	(0.1)%(1)

- (1) The change is the difference between the amounts shown for the three months ended December 31, 2013 compared to the three months ended December 31, 2012.

Total same-hospital outpatient visits increased 34,695, or 3.3%, in the three months ended December 31, 2013 compared to the three months ended December 31, 2012. All four of our same-hospital regions and our Philadelphia market reported increased outpatient visits in the three months ended December 31, 2013, with the strongest growth occurring in our California and Florida regions. Approximately 39% of the growth in outpatient visits was organic.

Table of Contents

Outpatient surgery visits increased by 33.6% in the three months ended December 31, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. Charity and uninsured outpatient visits increased by 1.7% in the three months ended December 31, 2013 compared to the three months ended December 31, 2012.

Revenues	Same-Hospital Continuing Operations		
	Three Months Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	Increase (Decrease)
Net operating revenues	\$ 2,471	\$ 2,331	6.0%
Revenues from the uninsured	\$ 169	\$ 165	2.4%
Net inpatient revenues(1)	\$ 1,521	\$ 1,544	(1.5)%
Net outpatient revenues(1)	\$ 864	\$ 821	5.2%

(1) Net inpatient revenues and net outpatient revenues are components of net operating revenues. Net inpatient revenues include self-pay revenues of \$72 million and \$71 million for the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Net outpatient revenues include self-pay revenues of \$97 million and \$94 million for the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Net operating revenues increased by \$140 million, or 6.0%, on a same-hospital basis in the three months ended December 31, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012, primarily due to an increase in outpatient volumes, improved managed care pricing, and increased revenues from services provided by our Conifer subsidiary to third parties, partially offset by a decrease in inpatient volumes. Net operating revenues in the three months ended December 31, 2013 included \$71 million of Medicaid disproportionate share hospital ("DSH") and other state-funded subsidy revenues compared to \$72 million in the same period in 2012 on a same-hospital basis, which amounts included net revenues related to the California provider fee program of \$19 million and \$12 million, respectively. Net patient revenues increased by 0.8% in the three months ended December 31, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012.

Revenues on a Per Admission, Per Patient Day and Per Visit Basis	Same-Hospital Continuing Operations		
	Three Months Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	Increase (Decrease)
Net inpatient revenue per admission	\$ 12,426	\$ 12,323	0.8%
Net inpatient revenue per patient day	\$ 2,646	\$ 2,660	(0.5)%
Net outpatient revenue per visit	\$ 794	\$ 779	1.9%
Net patient revenue per adjusted patient admission(1)	\$ 12,038	\$ 11,873	1.4%
Net patient revenue per adjusted patient day(1)	\$ 2,590	\$ 2,584	0.2%

(1) Adjusted patient admissions/days represents actual patient admissions/days adjusted to include outpatient services by multiplying actual patient admissions/days by the sum of gross inpatient revenues and outpatient revenues and dividing the results by gross inpatient revenues.

Net inpatient revenue per admission increased 0.8% in the three months ended December 31, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. The increase primarily reflects improved terms in our contracts with commercial managed care payers, partially offset by an adverse shift in payer mix. The 1.9% increase in net outpatient revenue per visit was primarily due to the improved terms of our managed care contracts.

Provision for Doubtful Accounts	Same-Hospital Continuing Operations		
	Three Months Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	Increase (Decrease)
Provision for doubtful accounts	\$ 205	\$ 200	2.5%
Provision for doubtful accounts as a percentage of net operating revenues before provision for doubtful accounts	7.7%	7.9%	(0.2)% ⁽¹⁾
Collection rate on self-pay accounts ⁽²⁾	28.7%	28.9%	(0.2)% ⁽¹⁾
Collection rate on commercial managed care accounts	98.3%	98.0%	0.3% ⁽¹⁾

(1) The change is the difference between the amounts shown for the three months ended December 31, 2013 compared to the three months ended December 31, 2012.

(2) Self-pay accounts receivable are comprised of both uninsured and balance after insurance receivables.

178

Table of Contents

Provision for doubtful accounts increased by \$5 million, or 2.5%, in the three months ended December 31, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. The increase in the absolute amount of provision for doubtful accounts primarily related to an increase in uninsured patient revenues and higher patient co-pays and deductibles in the three months ended December 31, 2013 compared to the three months ended December 31, 2012. Our self-pay collection rate, which is the blended collection rate for uninsured and balance after insurance accounts receivable, was approximately 28.7% at December 31, 2013 and 28.9% at December 31, 2012.

Selected Operating Expenses	Same-Hospital Continuing Operations		
	Three Months Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	Increase (Decrease)
Hospital Operations and other			
Salaries, wages and benefits	\$ 1,050	\$ 1,010	4.0%
Supplies	397	388	2.3%
Other operating expenses	535	506	5.7%
Total	\$ 1,982	\$ 1,904	4.1%
Conifer			
Salaries, wages and benefits	\$ 169	\$ 81	108.6%
Other operating expenses	59	37	59.5%
Total	\$ 228	\$ 118	93.2%
Total			
Salaries, wages and benefits	\$ 1,219	\$ 1,091	11.7%
Supplies	397	388	2.3%
Other operating expenses	594	543	9.4%
Total	\$ 2,210	\$ 2,022	9.3%
Rent/lease expense(1)			
Hospital Operations and other	\$ 35	\$ 39	(10.3)%
Conifer	4	3	33.3%
Total	\$ 39	\$ 42	(7.1)%
Hospital Operations and other(2)			
Salaries, wages and benefits per adjusted patient day	\$ 1,138	\$ 1,103	3.2%
Supplies per adjusted patient day	431	424	1.7%
Other operating expenses per adjusted patient day	562	553	1.6%
Total per adjusted patient day	\$ 2,131	\$ 2,080	2.5%
Salaries, wages and benefits per adjusted patient admission	\$ 5,289	\$ 5,071	4.3%
Supplies per adjusted patient admission	2,004	1,948	2.9%
Other operating expenses per adjusted patient admission	2,615	2,540	3.0%
Total per adjusted patient admission	\$ 9,908	\$ 9,559	3.7%

(1) Included in other operating expenses.

(2) Adjusted patient days/admissions represents actual patient days/admissions adjusted to include outpatient services by multiplying actual patient days/admissions by the sum of gross inpatient revenues and outpatient revenues and dividing the results by gross inpatient revenues. These metrics exclude the expenses related to the provider network based in Southern California that includes contracted independent physicians, ancillary providers and hospitals, which we acquired during the three months ended September 30, 2013.

Total selected operating expenses, which is defined as salaries, wages and benefits, supplies and other operating expenses, increased by 2.5% and 3.7% on a per adjusted patient day and per adjusted patient admission basis, respectively, in the three months ended December 31, 2013 compared to the three months ended December 31, 2012.

Salaries, wages and benefits per adjusted patient admission increased by approximately 4.3% in the three months ended December 31, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. This increase is primarily due to an increase in the number of physicians we employ, annual merit increases for certain of our employees and increased contract labor expense in the three months ended December 31, 2013 compared to the three months ended December 31, 2012.

Supplies expense per adjusted patient admission increased by 2.9% in the three months ended December 31, 2013 compared to the three months ended December 31, 2012. The increase in supplies expense was primarily attributable to increased costs of pharmaceuticals and volume growth in our supply-intensive surgical services.

179

Table of Contents

Other operating expenses per adjusted patient admission increased by 3.0% in the three months ended December 31, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. This change is primarily due to increased medical fees related to employed physicians and increased malpractice expense, partially offset by decreases in legal and consulting costs. Malpractice expense in the 2013 period included a favorable adjustment of approximately \$3 million due to a 43 basis point increase in the interest rate used to estimate the discounted present value of projected future malpractice liabilities compared to an favorable adjustment of \$1 million as a result of a 14 basis point increase in the interest rate in the 2012 period.

Salaries, wages and benefits expense for Conifer increased by \$88 million in the three months ended December 31, 2013 compared to the three months ended December 31, 2012 due to an increase in employee headcount as a result of the growth in Conifer's business primarily attributable to the new CHI partnership, the Vanguard acquisition and Conifer's two acquisitions in the three months ended December 31, 2012.

Other operating expenses for Conifer increased by \$22 million in the three months ended December 31, 2013 compared to the three months ended December 30, 2012 primarily due to additional operating expenses related to the new CHI partnership, the Vanguard acquisition and Conifer's two acquisitions in the three months ended December 31, 2012.

The table below shows the pre-tax and after-tax impact on continuing operations for the three months and years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 of the following items:

	Three Months Ended December 31,		Years Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(Expense) Income			
Impairment and restructuring charges, and acquisition-related costs	\$ (58)	\$ (7)	\$ (103)	\$ (19)
Litigation and investigation costs	(28)	(2)	(31)	(5)
Loss from early extinguishment of debt	—	(4)	(348)	(4)
Pre-tax impact	\$ (86)	\$ (13)	\$ (482)	\$ (28)
Total after-tax impact	\$ (60)	\$ (8)	\$ (315)	\$ (18)
Diluted per-share impact of above items	\$ (0.60)	\$ (0.08)	\$ (3.06)	\$ (0.17)
Diluted earnings (loss) per share, including above items	\$ (0.17)	\$ 0.52	\$ (1.21)	\$ 1.70

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES OVERVIEW

Cash and cash equivalents were \$113 million at December 31, 2013, an increase of \$31 million from \$82 million at September 30, 2013.

Significant cash flow items in the three months ended December 31, 2013 included:

- Capital expenditures of \$293 million;
- Interest payments of \$131 million;
- Payments on reserves for restructuring charges, acquisition-related costs, and litigation costs and settlements of \$78 million;
- \$195 million of net proceeds from borrowings under our revolving credit facility;
- \$1.373 billion of payments to acquire Vanguard and various outpatient, physician practice and other healthcare-related businesses, net of cash acquired;
- \$100 million of payments to repurchase common stock;
- \$3.125 billion of payments to retire Vanguard long-term debt; and
- \$4.600 billion of proceeds from the issuance of our 6% senior secured notes due 2020 (\$1.800 billion) and 8 1/8% senior notes due 2020 (\$2.800 billion).

180

Table of Contents

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$589 million in the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to \$593 million in the year ended December 31, 2012. Key negative and positive factors contributing to the change between the 2013 and 2012 periods include the following:

- Increased income from continuing operations before income taxes of \$139 million, excluding net gain on sales of investments, investment earnings (loss), gain (loss) from early extinguishment of debt, interest expense, litigation and investigation costs, impairment and restructuring charges, acquisition-related costs, and depreciation and amortization in the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to the year ended December 31, 2012;
- The unfavorable impact of increased DSH receivables of \$30 million primarily related to the Texas uncompensated care 1115 waiver program;
- \$20 million less cash used in operating activities from discontinued operations;
- An increase of \$51 million in payments on reserves for restructuring charges, acquisition-related costs, and litigation costs and settlements;
- \$50 million of additional interest payments primarily due to \$37 million of interest payments related to the Vanguard debt refinanced in connection with the acquisition on October 1, 2013; and
- Income tax payments of \$6 million in the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to \$13 million in the year ended December 31, 2012.

SOURCES OF REVENUE

We receive revenues for patient services from a variety of sources, primarily managed care payers and the federal Medicare program, as well as state Medicaid programs, indemnity-based health insurance companies and self-pay patients (that is, patients who do not have health insurance and are not covered by some other form of third-party arrangement).

The table below shows the sources of net patient revenues before provision for doubtful accounts for our general hospitals, expressed as percentages of net patient revenues before provision for doubtful accounts from all sources:

Net Patient Revenues from:	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Medicare	21.8%	23.4%	23.1%
Medicaid	9.0%	8.4%	9.0%
Managed care	58.1%	57.4%	57.2%
Indemnity, self-pay and other	11.1%	10.8%	10.7%

Our payer mix on an admissions basis for our general hospitals, expressed as a percentage of total admissions from all sources, is shown below:

Admissions from:	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Medicare	28.0%	28.9%	29.6%
Medicaid	11.7%	12.2%	12.8%
Managed care	50.0%	48.8%	47.9%
Indemnity, self-pay and other	10.3%	10.1%	9.7%

181

GOVERNMENT PROGRAMS

The Medicare program, the nation's largest health insurance program, is administered by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services ("HHS"). Medicare is a health insurance program primarily for individuals 65 years of age and older, certain younger people with disabilities, and people with end-stage renal disease, and is provided without regard to income or assets. Medicaid is a program that pays for medical assistance for certain individuals and families with low incomes and resources, and is jointly funded by the federal government and state governments. Medicaid is the largest source of funding for medical and health-related services for the nation's poor and most vulnerable individuals.

The Affordable Care Act is changing how health care services in the United States are covered, delivered and reimbursed. One key provision of the Affordable Care Act is the individual mandate, which requires most Americans to maintain "minimum essential" health insurance coverage. For individuals who are not exempt from the individual mandate, and who do not receive health insurance through an employer or government program, the means of satisfying the requirement is to purchase insurance from a private company or a health insurance exchange. Beginning in 2014, individuals who are enrolled in a health benefits plan purchased through an exchange may be eligible for a premium credit or cost-sharing subsidy. Also beginning in 2014, those who do not comply with the individual mandate must make a "shared responsibility payment" to the federal government in the form of a tax penalty. The "employer mandate" provision of the Affordable Care Act requires the imposition of penalties on employers having 50 or more employees who do not offer affordable health insurance coverage to those working 30 or more hours per week. On July 2, 2013, the U.S. Treasury Department announced a one-year delay (to January 1, 2015) in the imposition of penalties and the reporting requirements of the employer mandate. On February 10, 2014, the requirements of the employer mandate were further delayed until January 1, 2016. Based on the Congressional Budget Office's most recent estimates, we do not believe that the delays in the employer mandate will have a discernible effect on insurance coverage. Another key provision of the Affordable Care Act is the expansion of Medicaid coverage. Prior to the passage of the ACA, the Medicaid program offered federal funding to states to assist only limited categories of low-income individuals (including children, pregnant women, the blind and the disabled) in obtaining medical care. The ACA expanded eligibility under existing Medicaid programs to virtually all individuals under 65 years old with incomes up to 138% of the federal poverty level beginning in 2014. The expansion of the Medicaid program (which will be substantially funded by the federal government) in each state will require state legislative or regulatory action and the approval by CMS of a state Medicaid plan amendment. As of December 31, 2013, 25 states and the District of Columbia have taken action to expand Medicaid and four others are considering action. We currently operate hospitals in five of the states that are expanding and two of the states that are considering expansion. We cannot provide any assurances as to whether or when the other states in which we operate might choose to expand their Medicaid programs. We anticipate that health care providers will generally benefit over time from insurance coverage provisions of the Affordable Care Act; however, the ACA also contains a number of provisions designed to significantly reduce Medicare and Medicaid program spending, including: (1) negative adjustments to the annual market basket updates for Medicare inpatient, outpatient, long-term acute and inpatient rehabilitation prospective payment systems, which began in 2010, as well as additional "productivity adjustments" that began in 2011; and (2) reductions to Medicare and Medicaid DSH payments beginning, with respect to Medicare payments, in federal fiscal year ("FFY") 2014 and, with respect to Medicaid payments, in FFY 2016, as the number of uninsured individuals declines. We are unable to predict the ultimate net effect of the Affordable Care Act on our future revenues and operations at this time due to uncertainty regarding the ultimate number of uninsured patients who will obtain insurance coverage, uncertainty regarding future negotiations with payers, uncertainty regarding Medicaid expansion, and gradual and, in some cases, delayed implementation. Furthermore, we are unable to predict what action, if any, Congress might take with respect to the Affordable Care Act or the actions individual states might take with respect to expanding Medicaid coverage.

The Medicare and Medicaid programs are also subject to statutory and regulatory changes, administrative and judicial rulings, interpretations and determinations, requirements for utilization review, and federal and state funding restrictions, all of which could materially increase or decrease payments from these government programs in the future, as well as affect the cost of providing services to our patients and the timing of payments to our facilities. We are unable to predict the effect of future government health care funding policy changes on our operations. If the rates paid by governmental payers are reduced, if the scope of services covered by governmental payers is limited, or if we or one or more of our subsidiaries' hospitals are excluded from participation in the Medicare or Medicaid program or any other government health care program, there could be a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Medicare

Medicare offers its beneficiaries different ways to obtain their medical benefits. One option, the Original Medicare Plan (which includes "Part A" and "Part B"), is a fee-for-service payment system. The other option, called Medicare Advantage

182

Table of Contents

(sometimes called “Part C” or “MA Plans”), includes health maintenance organizations (“HMOs”), preferred provider organizations (“PPOs”), private fee-for-service Medicare special needs plans and Medicare medical savings account plans. The major components of our net patient revenues, including our general hospitals and other operations, for services provided to patients enrolled in the Original Medicare Plan for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 are set forth in the following table:

Revenue Descriptions	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Medicare severity-adjusted diagnosis-related group – operating	\$ 1,201	\$ 1,109	\$ 1,126
Medicare severity-adjusted diagnosis-related group – capital	107	98	100
Outliers	53	51	44
Outpatient	632	522	462
Disproportionate share	250	217	214
Direct Graduate and Indirect Medical Education(1)	138	96	97
Other(2)	42	66	70
Adjustments for prior-year cost reports and related valuation allowances	32	109	—
Total Medicare net patient revenues	\$ 2,455	\$ 2,268	\$ 2,113

- (1) Includes Indirect Medical Education revenue earned by our children’s hospitals under the Children’s Hospitals Graduate Medical Education Payment Program administered by the Health Resources and Services Administration of HHS.
- (2) The other revenue category includes inpatient psychiatric units, inpatient rehabilitation units, one long-term acute care hospital, other revenue adjustments, and adjustments related to the estimates for current-year cost reports and related valuation allowances.

A general description of the types of payments we receive for services provided to patients enrolled in the Original Medicare Plan is provided below. Recent regulatory and legislative updates to the terms of these payment systems and their estimated effect on our revenues can be found below under “Regulatory and Legislative Changes.”

Acute Care Hospital Inpatient Prospective Payment System

Medicare Severity-Adjusted Diagnosis-Related Group Payments—Sections 1886(d) and 1886(g) of the Social Security Act (the “Act”) set forth a system of payments for the operating and capital costs of inpatient acute care hospital admissions based on a prospective payment system (“PPS”). Under the inpatient prospective payment systems (“IPPS”), Medicare payments for hospital inpatient operating services are made at predetermined rates for each hospital discharge. Discharges are classified according to a system of Medicare severity-adjusted diagnosis-related groups (“MS-DRGs”), which categorize patients with similar clinical characteristics that are expected to require similar amounts of hospital resources. CMS assigns to each MS-DRG a relative weight that represents the average resources required to treat cases in that particular MS-DRG, relative to the average resources used to treat cases in all MS-DRGs.

The base payment amount for the operating component of the MS-DRG payment is comprised of an average standardized amount that is divided into a labor-related share and a nonlabor-related share. Both the labor-related share of operating base payments and the base payment amount for capital costs are adjusted for geographic variations in labor and capital costs, respectively. Using diagnosis and procedure information submitted by the hospital, CMS assigns to each discharge an MS-DRG, and the base payments are multiplied by the relative weight of the MS-DRG assigned. The MS-DRG operating and capital base rates, relative weights and geographic adjustment factors are updated annually, with consideration given to: the increased cost of goods and services purchased by hospitals; the relative costs associated with each MS-DRG; and changes in labor data by geographic area. Although these payments are adjusted for area labor and capital cost differentials, the adjustments do not take into consideration an individual hospital’s operating and capital costs.

Outlier Payments— Outlier payments are additional payments made to hospitals on individual claims for treating Medicare patients whose medical conditions are costlier to treat than those of the average patient in the same MS-DRG. To qualify for a cost outlier payment, a hospital’s billed charges, adjusted to cost, must exceed the payment rate for the MS-DRG by a fixed threshold established annually by CMS. A Medicare administrative contractor (“MAC”) calculates the cost of a claim by multiplying the billed charges by a cost-to-charge ratio that is typically based on the hospital’s most recently filed cost report. Generally, if the computed cost exceeds the sum of the MS-DRG payment plus the fixed threshold, the hospital receives 80% of the difference as an outlier payment.

Under the Act, CMS must project aggregate annual outlier payments to all PPS hospitals to be not less than 5% or more than 6% of total MS-DRG payments (“Outlier Percentage”). The Outlier Percentage is determined by dividing total outlier

183

Table of Contents

payments by the sum of MS-DRG and outlier payments. CMS annually adjusts the fixed threshold to bring projected outlier payments within the mandated limit. A change to the fixed threshold affects total outlier payments by changing: (1) the number of cases that qualify for outlier payments; and (2) the dollar amount hospitals receive for those cases that still qualify for outlier payments.

Disproportionate Share Hospital Payments— In addition to making payments for services provided directly to beneficiaries, Medicare makes additional payments to hospitals that treat a disproportionately high share of low-income patients. Prior to October 1, 2013, DSH payments were determined annually based on certain statistical information defined by CMS and calculated as a percentage add-on to the MS-DRG payments. Section 3133 of the Affordable Care Act revises the Medicare DSH adjustment effective for discharges occurring on or after October 1, 2014. Under the revised methodology, hospitals will receive 25% of the amount they previously would have received under the pre-ACA formula. This amount is referred to as the “Empirically Justified Amount.”

Hospitals qualifying for the Empirically Justified Amount of DSH payments are also eligible to receive an additional payment for uncompensated care (the “UC DSH Amount”). The UC DSH Amount is a hospital’s share of a pool of funds that equal 75% of what otherwise would have been paid as Medicare DSH, adjusted for changes in the percentage of individuals that are uninsured. For FFY 2014, each Medicare DSH hospital’s share of the UC DSH Amount pool is based on its share of insured low income days reported by all Medicare DSH hospitals.

During 2013, 68 of our hospitals in continuing operations qualified for DSH payments. One of the variables used in the pre-ACA DSH formula is the number Medicare inpatient days attributable to patients receiving Supplemental Security Income (“SSI”) who are also eligible for Medicare Part A benefits divided by total Medicare inpatient days (the “SSI Ratio”). In an earlier rulemaking, CMS established a policy of including not only days attributable to Medicare Traditional patients, but also Medicare Advantage patients in the SSI ratio. During the three months ended March 31, 2012, CMS released revised SSI ratios for FFYs 2006 and 2007, and SSI ratios for FFYs 2008 and 2009, which, according to CMS, include the Medicare Advantage days; the SSI ratios for subsequent periods also include the Medicare Advantage days. Beginning in 2009, we established reserves for the estimated impact of including the Medicare Advantage days in the SSI ratio, and during 2013, cost report settlements for substantially all of the periods for which we established reserves were settled.

The Medicare DSH statutes and regulations have been the subject of various administrative appeals and lawsuits, and our hospitals have been participating in these appeals, including challenges to the inclusion of Medicare Advantage days in the SSI ratios. These types of appeals generally take several years to resolve, particularly for multi-hospital organizations, because of CMS’ administrative appeal rules. During the three months ended December 31, 2012, the federal district court in the District of Columbia ruled in *Allina Health Services v. Sebelius* (“*Allina*”) that the Secretary of HHS failed to follow the Administrative Procedures Act when promulgating the regulation requiring the inclusion of the Medicare Advantage days in the SSI ratios. The court remanded the matter to the Secretary and vacated the regulation it found to be improperly promulgated. Subsequently, the Secretary appealed the district court’s order. Oral arguments in *Allina* were heard at the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit Court in February 2014. Our DSH SSI appeals are pending; however, the outcome of the aforementioned case could influence the disposition of our appeals. We cannot predict the timing or outcome of our DSH appeals; however, a favorable outcome of our appeals could have a material impact on our future revenues and cash flows. We are also not able to predict what additional action the Secretary might take with respect to the regulation vacated by the district court.

Direct Graduate and Indirect Medical Education Payments—The Medicare program provides additional reimbursement to approved teaching hospitals for additional expenses incurred by such institutions. This additional reimbursement, which is subject to certain limits, including intern and resident full-time equivalent (“FTE”) limits, is made in the form of Direct Graduate Medical Education (“DGME”) and Indirect Medical Education (“IME”) payments. During 2013, 29 of our hospitals in continuing operations were affiliated with academic institutions and were eligible to receive such payments. Medicare rules permit teaching hospitals to enter into Medicare Graduate Medical Education Affiliation Agreements for the purpose of applying the FTE limits on an aggregate basis, and some of our teaching hospitals have entered into such agreements.

Hospital Outpatient Prospective Payment System

Under the outpatient prospective payment system, hospital outpatient services, except for certain services that are reimbursed on a separate fee schedule, are classified into groups called ambulatory payment classifications (“APCs”). Services in each APC are similar clinically and in terms of the resources they require, and a payment rate is established for each APC.

Table of Contents

Depending on the services provided, hospitals may be paid for more than one APC for an encounter. CMS periodically updates the APCs and annually adjusts the rates paid for each APC.

Inpatient Psychiatric Facility Prospective Payment System

The inpatient psychiatric facility prospective payment system ("IPF-PPS") applies to psychiatric hospitals and psychiatric units located within acute care hospitals that have been designated as exempt from the hospital inpatient prospective payment system. The IPF-PPS is based on prospectively determined per-diem rates and includes an outlier policy that authorizes additional payments for extraordinarily costly cases.

Inpatient Rehabilitation Prospective Payment System

Rehabilitation hospitals and rehabilitation units in acute care hospitals meeting certain criteria established by CMS are eligible to be paid as an inpatient rehabilitation facility ("IRF") under the IRF prospective payment system ("IRF-PPS"). Payments under the IRF-PPS are made on a per-discharge basis. The IRF-PPS uses federal prospective payment rates across distinct case-mix groups established by a patient classification system.

To be paid under the IRF-PPS, each hospital or unit must demonstrate on an annual basis that at least 60% of its total population had either a principal or secondary diagnosis that fell within one of 13 diagnosis categories or have qualifying conditions designated in the Medicare regulations governing IRFs. As of December 31, 2013, all of our rehabilitation units were in compliance with the required 60% threshold.

Physician Services Payment System

Medicare pays for physician and other professional services based on a list of services and their payment rates, called the Medicare Physician Fee Schedule ("MPFS"). In determining payment rates for each service on the fee schedule, CMS considers the amount of work required to provide a service, expenses related to maintaining a practice, and liability insurance costs. The values given to these three types of resources are adjusted by variations in the input prices in different markets, and then a total is multiplied by a standard dollar amount, called the fee schedule's conversion factor, to arrive at the payment amount. Medicare's payment rates may be adjusted based on provider characteristics, additional geographic designations and other factors. The conversion factor updates payments for physician services every year according to a formula called the sustainable growth rate ("SGR") system. This formula is intended to keep spending growth (a function of service volume growth) consistent with growth in the national economy. However, in the last several years, Congress has specified an update outside of the SGR formula. Because of budget neutrality requirements, these payment updates have largely been funded by payment reductions to other providers, including hospitals.

Cost Reports

The final determination of certain Medicare payments to our hospitals, such as DSH, DGME, IME and bad debt expense, are retrospectively determined based on our hospitals' cost reports. The final determination of these payments often takes many years to resolve because of audits by the program representatives, providers' rights of appeal, and the application of numerous technical reimbursement provisions.

For filed cost reports, we adjust the accrual for estimated cost report settlements based on those cost reports and subsequent activity, and record a valuation allowance against those cost reports based on historical settlement trends. The accrual for estimated cost report settlements for periods for which a cost report is yet to be filed is recorded based on estimates of what we expect to report on the filed cost reports and a corresponding valuation allowance is recorded as previously described. Cost reports must generally be filed within five months after the end of the annual cost report reporting period. After the cost report is filed, the accrual and corresponding valuation allowance may need to be adjusted.

Medicaid

Medicaid programs and the corresponding reimbursement methodologies are administered by the states and vary from state to state and from year to year. Estimated revenues under various state Medicaid programs, excluding state-funded managed care Medicaid programs, constituted approximately 9.0%, 8.4% and 9.0% of net patient revenues before provision for doubtful accounts at our continuing general hospitals for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. We also receive DSH payments under various state Medicaid programs. For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, our revenues attributable to DSH payments and other state-funded subsidy payments were approximately \$428 million, \$283 million

185

Table of Contents

and \$255 million, respectively. The 2013 amount includes three months of revenues related to the 28 hospitals we acquired from Vanguard on October 1, 2013.

Several states in which we operate continue to face budgetary challenges due to the economic downturn and other factors that have resulted, and likely will continue to result, in reduced Medicaid funding levels to hospitals and other providers. Because most states must operate with balanced budgets, and the Medicaid program is generally a significant portion of a state's budget, states can be expected to adopt or consider adopting future legislation designed to reduce their Medicaid expenditures. In addition, some states are implementing delays in issuing Medicaid payments to providers. As an alternative means of funding provider payments, many of the states in which we operate have adopted broad-based provider taxes to fund the non-federal share of Medicaid programs. Continuing pressure on state budgets and other factors could result in future reductions to Medicaid payments, payment delays or additional taxes on hospitals.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, we recorded net revenues of \$115 million related to California Hospital Quality Assurance Fee ("HQAF") program. The Governor of California signed the HQAF renewal bill into law in October 2013, extending California's provider fee program for three years (with a framework to renew the program for at least three additional years beyond 2016) and reversing Medi-Cal cuts for some hospital skilled-nursing facilities, among other things. Based on the most recent estimates from the California Hospital Association, the extension of the HQAF program authorized by the legislation will result in additional revenues for our hospitals, net of provider fees and other expenses, of approximately \$475 million over the three-year period ending December 31, 2016.

During the three months ended December 31, 2012, certain of our Texas hospitals began to participate in the Texas 1115 demonstration waiver approved by CMS in December 2011 to replace the state's Upper Payment Limit program. The waiver term covers state fiscal years September 1, 2012 through August 31, 2016, is funded by intergovernmental transfer payments from local government entities, and includes two funding pools — Uncompensated Care and Delivery System Reform Payment. We recognized \$15 million of revenues associated with this 1115 waiver program during the three months ended December 31, 2012. Separately, during the same period we incurred \$13 million of expenses related to funding indigent care services by certain of our Texas hospitals. In 2013, we recognized \$94 million of revenues from the Texas 1115 waiver programs, and we incurred \$55 million of expense related to funding indigent care services by certain of our Texas hospitals. We cannot provide any assurances as to the ultimate amount of revenues that our hospitals may receive from this program in 2014.

Because we cannot predict what actions the federal government or the states may take under existing legislation and future legislation to address budget gaps or deficits, we are unable to assess the effect that any such legislation might have on our business, but the impact on our future financial position, results of operations or cash flows could be material.

Medicaid-related patient revenues recognized by our continuing general hospitals from Medicaid-related programs in the states in which they are located, as well as from Medicaid programs in neighboring states, for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 are set forth in the table below:

Hospital Location	Years Ended December 31,					
	2013		2012		2011	
	Medicaid	Managed Medicaid	Medicaid	Managed Medicaid	Medicaid	Managed Medicaid
California	\$ 242	\$ 164	\$ 198	\$ 148	\$ 221	\$ 127
Florida	178	65	178	61	184	60
Texas	151	151	67	123	64	114
Georgia	77	35	85	38	88	40
Pennsylvania	74	200	72	209	91	195
Michigan	64	96	—	—	—	—
Missouri	64	6	70	5	52	5
North Carolina	34	5	40	—	23	—
Illinois	33	6	—	—	—	—
South Carolina	22	25	34	25	40	22
Alabama	13	—	31	—	29	—
Arizona	9	21	—	—	—	—
Massachusetts	9	8	—	—	—	—
Tennessee	6	27	8	29	10	30
	<u>\$ 976</u>	<u>\$ 809</u>	<u>\$ 783</u>	<u>\$ 638</u>	<u>\$ 802</u>	<u>\$ 593</u>

186.

Regulatory and Legislative Changes

Recent regulatory and legislative updates to the Medicare and Medicaid payment systems are provided below.

Payment and Policy Changes to the Medicare Inpatient Prospective Payment Systems

Under Medicare law, CMS is required to annually update certain rules governing the IPPS. The updates generally become effective October 1, the beginning of the federal fiscal year. On August 2, 2013, CMS issued Changes to the Hospital Inpatient Prospective Payment Systems for Acute Care Hospitals and Fiscal Year 2014 Rates ("Final IPPS Rule"). The Final IPPS Rule includes the following payment and policy changes:

- A market basket increase of 2.5% for MS-DRG operating payments for hospitals reporting specified quality measure data (hospitals that do not report specified quality measure data would receive an increase of 0.5%); CMS is also making certain adjustments to the 2.5% market basket increase that result in a net market basket update of 0.7%, including:
 - Market basket index and multifactor productivity reductions required by the Affordable Care Act of 0.3% and 0.5%, respectively;
 - A documentation and coding recoupment reduction of 0.8% as part of the recoupment required by the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012; and
 - A 0.2% reduction to offset the cost of a policy on admission and medical review criteria;
- A methodology to implement Medicare DSH reductions required by the Affordable Care Act;
- A 0.9% net increase in the capital federal MS-DRG rate; and
- A decrease in the cost outlier threshold from \$21,821 to \$21,748.

The aforementioned admission and medical review criteria for which CMS imposed a 0.2% reduction to the market basket establishes a new policy (referred to as "the two-midnight rule") with respect to how short hospital stays will be paid. Generally, under the two-midnight rule, claims for inpatient admissions spanning two or more midnights may continue to be billed as inpatient care under the IPPS. The new policy requires, with a few limited exceptions, hospital stays that are shorter than "two midnights" to be categorized as outpatient care and billed under the OPSS. In September 2013, CMS instructed its contractors to delay enforcement of the two-midnight rule for 90 days; CMS subsequently extended the enforcement delay through September 30, 2014. Our hospitals, along with many other hospitals nationally, are participating in a challenge to CMS's calculation of the 0.2% reduction to the IPPS market basket associated with the two-midnight rule.

CMS projects that the combined impact of the payment and policy changes in the Final IPPS Rule will yield an average 1.0% increase in payments for hospitals in large urban areas (populations over one million). Using the impact percentages in the Final IPPS Rule as applied to our IPPS payments for the 12 months ended September 30, 2013, the estimated annual impact for all changes in the Final IPPS Rule on our hospitals is an increase in our Medicare inpatient revenues of approximately \$23 million. Because of the uncertainty associated with the other factors that may influence our future IPPS payments by individual hospital, including legislative action, regulatory and policy changes, admission volumes, length of stay, case mix, the redistributive effects of the Medicare DSH reductions and the two-midnight rule, we cannot provide any assurances regarding our estimate.

Payment Changes to the Medicare Inpatient Psychiatric Facility Prospective Payment System

On July 29, 2013, CMS issued a notice updating the prospective payment rates for the Medicare inpatient psychiatric facility ("IPF") prospective payment system ("IPF-PPS") for FFY 2014 ("IPF-PPS Notice"). The IPF-PPS Notice includes the following payment and policy changes:

- A net payment increase for IPFs of 2.0%, which reflects a market basket index increase of 2.6%, reduced by a productivity adjustment of 0.5% and an additional 0.1%, both as required by the Affordable Care Act, as well as other adjustments, including a budget neutrality reduction; and

187

Table of Contents

- A decrease in the outlier threshold from \$11,600 to \$10,245, which CMS estimates will yield an additional 0.3% increase total IPF-PPS payments.

At December 31, 2013, 18 of our general hospitals operated inpatient psychiatric units reimbursed under the IPF-PPS. CMS projects that the combined impact of the payment and policy changes included in the IPF-PPS Notice will yield an average 2.3% increase in payments for all IPFs (including psychiatric units in acute care hospitals) and an average 2.5% increase in payments for psychiatric units of acute care hospitals located in urban areas for FFY 2014. Using the urban psychiatric unit impact percentage as applied to our IPF-PPS payments for the 12 months ended September 30, 2013, the annual impact of all payment and policy changes in the IPF-PPS Notice on our IPF-PPS psychiatric units may result in an estimated increase in our Medicare revenues of approximately \$1 million. Because of the uncertainty associated with various factors that may influence our future IPF-PPS payments, including legislative action, admission volumes, length of stay and case mix, we cannot provide any assurances regarding our estimate of the impact of the aforementioned changes.

Payment and Policy Changes to the Medicare Inpatient Rehabilitation Facility Prospective Payment System

On July 31, 2013, CMS issued final changes to the Medicare inpatient rehabilitation facility ("IRF") prospective payment system ("IRF-PPS") for FFY 2014 ("IRF-PPS Final Rule"). The IRF-PPS Final Rule includes the following payment and policy changes:

- A net update to IRF-PPS payments equal to 1.8% resulting from the estimated market basket of 2.6%, minus an estimated productivity adjustment of 0.5% and a market basket reduction of 0.3%, both of which are required under certain provisions of the Affordable Care Act; and
- A reduction in the number of diagnosis codes from the list used to determine an IRF's presumptive compliance with the "60 percent rule."

At December 31, 2013, 16 of our general hospitals operated inpatient rehabilitation units. CMS projects that the payment changes in the IRF-PPS Final Rule will result in an estimated total increase in aggregate IRF payments of 2.3%. This estimated increase includes an average 2.8% increase for rehabilitation units in hospitals located in urban areas for FFY 2014. Using the urban rehabilitation unit impact percentage as applied to our Medicare IRF payments for the 12 months ended September 30, 2013, the annual impact of the payment and policy changes in the IRF-PPS Final Rule on the inpatient rehabilitation units we operated on that date may result in an estimated increase in our Medicare revenues of less than \$1 million. Because of the uncertainty associated with various factors that may influence our future IRF payments, including legislative action, admission volumes, length of stay and case mix, and the impact of compliance with admission criteria, we cannot provide any assurances regarding our estimate of the impact of these changes.

Payment and Policy Changes to the Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System

On November 27, 2013, CMS released the Hospital Outpatient Prospective Payment and Ambulatory Surgical Center Payment Systems final rules for the calendar year 2014 ("Final OPSS Rule"). The Final OPSS Rule includes the following payment and policy changes:

- A net update to OPSS payments equal to 1.7% resulting from the estimated market basket of 2.5%, minus an estimated productivity adjustment of 0.5% and a market basket reduction of 0.3%, both of which are required under certain provisions of the Affordable Care Act;
- The discontinuation of multiple codes for hospital clinic departments and conversion to single codes for those services; and
- An increase in the number of items and services that are packaged into the OPSS Ambulatory Payment Classification payments.

CMS projects that the combined impact of the payment and policy changes in the Final OPSS Rule will yield an average 1.9% increase in payments for all hospitals and an average 2.0% increase in payments for hospitals in large urban areas (populations over one million). According to CMS' estimates, the projected annual impact of the payment and policy changes in the Final OPSS Rule on the hospitals we owned on December 31, 2013 is an \$27 million increase in Medicare outpatient revenues. Because of the uncertainty associated with the proposals, and other factors that may influence our future

Table of Contents

OPPS payments by individual hospital, including legislative action, patient volumes and case mix, we cannot provide any assurances regarding this estimate.

Payment and Policy Changes to the Medicare Physician Fee Schedule

On November 27, 2013, CMS released the final update to the Medicare Physician Fee Schedule ("MPFS") for calendar year 2014. The MPFS is the schedule of rates Medicare pays for physician and other professional services and is updated annually. The MPFS update is determined by the "sustainable growth rate" ("SGR") formula in accordance with the Balanced Budget Act of 1997. CMS estimates that the calendar year 2014 update to the MPFS would result in a reduction to payments of approximately 20.1%. For the past 10 years, negative adjustments to the MPFS resulting from the SGR formula have been overridden by Congress. Because of budget neutrality requirements, these overrides have been funded in part with reductions to hospital and other provider payments. On December 26, 2013, the President signed into law House Joint Resolution 59, also known as the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2013 and the Pathway for SGR Reform Act of 2013. The resolution averts the 20.1% reduction and replaces it with a 0.5% increase to the conversion factor for the MPFS through March 31, 2014.

Although the historical pattern suggests that Congress will override the SGR formula reduction for the remainder of 2014, we cannot provide any assurances in that regard. Because a temporary or permanent change to the SGR formula would likely involve payment reductions to other providers (including hospitals), we cannot predict what impact such changes would have on our future net revenues or cash flows.

Medicare Claims Reviews

HHS estimates that approximately 10.1% of all Medicare Fee-For-Service ("FFS") claim payments in FFY 2013 were improper. The Improper Payments Information Act of 2002, amended by the Improper Payments Elimination and Recovery Act of 2010, requires the heads of federal agencies, including HHS, to annually review programs it administers to:

- Identify programs that may be susceptible to significant improper payments;
- Estimate the amount of improper payments in those programs;
- Submit those estimates to Congress; and
- Describe the actions the agency is taking to reduce improper payments in those programs.

CMS has identified the FFS program as a program at risk for significant erroneous payments. One of CMS' stated key goals is to pay claims properly the first time. This means paying the right amount, to legitimate providers, for covered, reasonable and necessary services provided to eligible beneficiaries. According to CMS, paying correctly the first time saves resources required to recover improper payments and ensures the proper expenditure of Medicare Trust Fund dollars. As a result, in addition to the Recovery Audit Contractor ("RAC") program, which currently performs post-payment claims reviews, CMS has recently established initiatives to prevent improper payments before a claim is processed. These initiatives include a significant increase in the number of prepayment claims reviews performed by MACs.

Claims selected for prepayment review are not subject to the normal Medicare FFS payment timeframe. Furthermore, prepayment claims denials are subject to administrative and judicial review. We have established robust protocols to respond to claims reviews and payment denials. Payment recoveries resulting from MAC reviews can be appealed through administrative and judicial processes, and we intend to pursue the reversal of adverse determinations where appropriate. In addition to overpayments that are not reversed on appeal, we will incur additional costs to respond to requests for records and pursue the reversal of payment denials. The degree to which our Medicare FFS claims are subjected to prepayment reviews, the extent to which payments are denied, and our success in overturning denials could have a material adverse effect on our cash flows and results of operations.

Affordable Care Act

The ACA is changing how health care services in the United States are covered, delivered and reimbursed through expanded coverage of uninsured individuals, reduced growth and other reductions in Medicare program spending, and the establishment of programs where reimbursement is tied to quality and integration. In addition, the law reforms certain aspects of

Table of Contents

health insurance, expands existing efforts to tie Medicare and Medicaid payments to performance and quality, and contains provisions intended to strengthen fraud and abuse enforcement. The expansion of health insurance coverage under the ACA may result in a material increase in the number of patients using our facilities who have either private or public program coverage. On the other hand, the ACA provides for significant reductions in Medicare market basket updates and decreases in Medicare and Medicaid DSH payments. Given that approximately 31% of our net patient revenues before provision for doubtful accounts in 2013 were from Medicare and Medicaid, reductions to these programs may significantly impact us and could offset any positive effects of the ACA.

In addition to increasing funding for the CMS Medicaid Integrity Program, which employs Medicaid Integrity Contractors to audit Medicaid claims, the ACA expanded the RAC program's scope to include Medicare Advantage plans and Medicaid claims beginning in 2012. We cannot predict with certainty the impact of these programs on our future results of operations or cash flows.

As described in greater detail under Item 1, Business — Health Care Regulation and Licensing, of Part I of this report, there is significant uncertainty with respect to the positive and negative effects the ACA may have on reimbursement, utilization and the future design of provider networks and insurance plans (including pricing, provider participation, coverage, co-pays and deductibles), and the multiple models that attempt to predict those effects may differ materially from our expectations. Because of the many variables involved, we are unable to predict the ultimate net effect on our future revenues and operations of the expected decreases in uninsured individuals using our facilities, the reductions in Medicare spending and Medicare and Medicaid DSH funding, and numerous other provisions in the Affordable Care Act that may affect us.

The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009

The ARRA was enacted to stimulate the U.S. economy. One provision of ARRA provides financial incentives to hospitals and physicians to become "meaningful users" of electronic health records. The Medicare incentive payments to individual hospitals are made over a four-year, front-weighted transition period. The Medicaid incentive payments, which are administered by the states, are subject to more flexible payment and compliance standards than Medicare incentive payments; hospitals that achieve compliance between 2014 and 2015 will receive reduced incentive payments during the transition period.

We anticipate that we will incur selected operating expenses related to our overall HIT program of approximately \$145 million in 2014 compared to approximately \$105 million of Medicare and Medicaid EHR incentive payments we expect to recognize. In addition to the expenditures we incur to qualify for these incentive payments, our operating expenses have increased and we anticipate will increase in the future as a result of these information system investments. Hospitals that fail to become meaningful users of EHRs or fail to submit quality data by 2015 will be subject to penalties in the form of a reduction to Medicare payments. This reduction, which will be based on the market basket update, will be phased in over three years and will continue until a hospital achieves compliance. Should all of our hospitals, including those acquired as part of the Vanguard acquisition, fail to become meaningful users of EHRs and fail to submit quality data, the penalties would result in reductions to our annual Medicare traditional inpatient net revenues of approximately \$15 million, \$35 million and \$55 million in 2015, 2016, and 2017 and subsequent years, respectively.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, we recognized approximately \$96 million of EHR incentives related to the Medicare and Medicaid EHR incentive programs as a result of 54 of our hospitals and certain of our employed physicians demonstrating meaningful use of certified EHR technology. These incentives partially offset approximately \$117 million of selected operating expenses we incurred in 2013 related to our overall HIT program. The final Medicare EHR incentive payments are determined when the cost report that begins in the federal fiscal year during which the hospital achieved meaningful use is settled. Medicare and Medicaid incentive payment amounts to which a provider is entitled are subject to post-payment audits.

The complexity of the changes required to our hospitals' systems and the time required to complete the changes will likely result in some or all of our hospitals not being fully compliant in time to be eligible for the maximum HIT funding permitted under ARRA. Because of the uncertainties regarding the implementation of HIT, including CMS' future EHR implementation regulations, the ability of our hospitals to achieve compliance and the associated costs, we cannot provide any assurances regarding the aforementioned estimates.

Table of Contents

The American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012

The American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012 delayed by two months the effective date of the automatic reductions (referred to as “sequestration”) in federal spending, including a 2% reduction in Medicare payments, mandated by the Budget Control Act of 2011 that was originally scheduled to take effect on February 1, 2013. On March 1, 2013, the President signed an order to begin the sequestration. Effective April 1, 2013, all Medicare payments to providers began to be reduced by 2% and will continue to be paid at the reduced rate as long as the sequestration is in effect. As of December 31, 2013, Congress had not taken any action to reduce or eliminate the sequestration adjustment. Any such action would likely require other payments reductions in order to maintain budget neutrality. We cannot predict how long the sequestration will be in effect, nor can we predict what Medicare payment, eligibility and coverage changes, if any, will be enacted in lieu of the sequestration.

The Continuing Appropriations and Consolidated Appropriations Acts of 2014

On October 17, 2013, the President signed the Continuing Appropriations Act, 2014 into law, which provided FFY 2014 appropriations for projects and activities of the federal government at sequestration levels through January 15, 2014 and extended the U.S. debt limit through February 7, 2014. The law also included provisions intended to strengthen eligibility verification of those who apply for insurance subsidies under the Affordable Care Act. On January 17, 2014, the President signed the Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2014 into law, which continues appropriations for FFY 2014, but does not provide any new funding for the ACA. We cannot predict what further actions Congress or the President may take with respect to appropriations or the debt limit or the effect, if any, of such actions on our net revenues or cash flows.

The Bipartisan Budget Act of 2013

As described above, the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2013 averted a 20.1% reduction to payments under the MPFS and replaced it with a 0.5% increase for the period January 1, 2014 through March 31, 2014. Other significant Medicare and Medicaid provisions of the Bipartisan Budget Act included:

- A delay in the reductions to Medicaid DSH allotments to states required under the ACA until FFY 2016 (the reductions were originally scheduled to begin in FFY 2014);
- A continuation of the Medicare sequestration reductions through the remainder of FFY 2014; and
- An extension of the sequestration for mandatory programs, including Medicare, for another two years through 2023.

MedPAC FFY 2014 Recommendations

Each year, the Medicare Payment Advisory Commission (“MedPAC”), an independent agency that advises Congress on issues affecting Medicare, makes payment policy recommendations to Congress for a variety of Medicare payment systems. Generally, the MedPAC opposes sequestration as a way to reduce payments, particularly below the base rate, because the MedPAC favors a more targeted approach to achieve savings. In January 2014, the MedPAC voted in favor of three recommendations for hospital inpatient and outpatient services, two of which affect acute care hospitals. Specifically, the MedPAC voted that Congress should direct HHS to:

- Reduce or eliminate the differences in payment rates between outpatient departments and physicians’ offices for selected APCs; and
- Increase payment rates for the IPPS and OPPI in FFY 2015 by 3.25%.

We expect these recommendations to be included in the forthcoming MedPAC Annual Report to Congress. Congress is not obligated to adopt the MedPAC recommendations and, based on outcomes in previous years, there can be no assurance Congress will adopt such recommendations in a given year. We cannot predict what actions, if any, Congress, HHS or CMS will take with respect to the MedPAC recommendations or the effect, if any, of such actions on our net revenues or cash flows.

Table of Contents

Extension of Medicare Sequestration

In February 2014, the President signed into law legislation that includes the restoration of certain military pension benefits and extension of the Medicare sequestration payment reductions for an additional year through FFY 2014.

PRIVATE INSURANCE

Managed Care

We currently have thousands of managed care contracts with various HMOs and PPOs. HMOs generally maintain a full-service health care delivery network comprised of physician, hospital, pharmacy and ancillary service providers that HMO members must access through an assigned "primary care" physician. The member's care is then managed by his or her primary care physician and other network providers in accordance with the HMO's quality assurance and utilization review guidelines so that appropriate health care can be efficiently delivered in the most cost-effective manner. HMOs typically provide reduced benefits or reimbursement (or none at all) to their members who use non-contracted health care providers for non-emergency care.

PPOs generally offer limited benefits to members who use non-contracted health care providers. PPO members who use contracted health care providers receive a preferred benefit, typically in the form of lower co-pays, co-insurance or deductibles. As employers and employees have demanded more choice, managed care plans have developed hybrid products that combine elements of both HMO and PPO plans, including high-deductible health care plans that may have limited benefits, but cost the employee less in premiums.

The amount of our managed care net patient revenues during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 was \$6.3 billion, \$5.4 billion and \$5.1 billion, respectively. Approximately 59% of our managed care net patient revenues for the year ended December 31, 2013 was derived from our top ten managed care payers. Approximately 44% of the total net managed care revenues of our same-hospitals owned for at least one year was generated from national payers. The remainder comes from regional or local payers. At December 31, 2013 and 2012 approximately 58% and 52%, respectively, of our net accounts receivable related to continuing operations were due from managed care payers.

Revenues under managed care plans are based primarily on payment terms involving predetermined rates per diagnosis, per-diem rates, discounted fee-for-service rates and other similar contractual arrangements. These revenues are also subject to review and possible audit by the payers, which can take several years before they are completely resolved. The payers are billed for patient services on an individual patient basis. An individual patient's bill is subject to adjustment on a patient-by-patient basis in the ordinary course of business by the payers following their review and adjudication of each particular bill. We estimate the discounts for contractual allowances at the individual hospital level utilizing billing data on an individual patient basis. At the end of each month, on an individual hospital basis, we estimate our expected reimbursement for patients of managed care plans based on the applicable contract terms. We believe it is reasonably likely for there to be an approximately 3% increase or decrease in the estimated contractual allowances related to managed care plans. Based on reserves as of December 31, 2013, a 3% increase or decrease in the estimated contractual allowance would impact the estimated reserves by approximately \$13 million. Some of the factors that can contribute to changes in the contractual allowance estimates include: (1) changes in reimbursement levels for procedures, supplies and drugs when threshold levels are triggered; (2) changes in reimbursement levels when stop-loss or outlier limits are reached; (3) changes in the admission status of a patient due to physician orders subsequent to initial diagnosis or testing; (4) final coding of in-house and discharged-not-final-billed patients that change reimbursement levels; (5) secondary benefits determined after primary insurance payments; and (6) reclassification of patients among insurance plans with different coverage levels. Contractual allowance estimates are periodically reviewed for accuracy by taking into consideration known contract terms, as well as payment history. Although we do not separately accumulate and disclose the aggregate amount of adjustments to the estimated reimbursement for every patient bill, we believe our estimation and review process enables us to identify instances on a timely basis where such estimates need to be revised. We do not believe there were any adjustments to estimates of patient bills that were material to our operating income. In addition, on a corporate-wide basis, we do not record any general provision for adjustments to estimated contractual allowances for managed care plans.

We expect managed care governmental admissions to continue to increase as a percentage of total managed care admissions over the near term. However, the managed Medicare and Medicaid insurance plans typically generate lower yields than commercial managed care plans, which have been experiencing an improved pricing trend. Although we have had improved year-over-year managed care pricing, we expect some moderation in the pricing percentage increases in future years. It is not clear what impact, if any, the increased obligations on managed care and other payers imposed by the Affordable Care

192

Table of Contents

Act will have on our commercial managed care volumes and payment rates. In the year ended December 31, 2013, our commercial managed care net inpatient revenue per admission from our acute care hospitals was approximately 76% higher than our aggregate yield on a per admission basis from government payers, including managed Medicare and Medicaid insurance plans.

Indemnity

An indemnity-based agreement generally requires the insurer to reimburse an insured patient for health care expenses after those expenses have been incurred by the patient, subject to policy conditions and exclusions. Unlike an HMO member, a patient with indemnity insurance is free to control his or her utilization of health care and selection of health care providers.

SELF-PAY PATIENTS

Self-pay patients are patients who do not qualify for government programs payments, such as Medicare and Medicaid, do not have some form of private insurance and, therefore, are responsible for their own medical bills. A significant portion of our self-pay patients is admitted through our hospitals' emergency departments and often requires high-acuity treatment that is more costly to provide and, therefore, results in higher billings, which are the least collectible of all accounts. We believe that our level of self-pay patients has been higher in the last several years than previous periods due to a combination of broad economic factors, including increased unemployment rates, reductions in state Medicaid budgets, increasing numbers of individuals and employers who choose not to purchase insurance, and an increased burden of co-pays and deductibles to be made by patients instead of insurers.

Self-pay accounts pose significant collectability problems. At both December 31, 2013 and 2012, approximately 7% of our net accounts receivable related to continuing operations were due from self-pay patients. Further, a significant portion of our provision for doubtful accounts relates to self-pay patients, as well as co-pays and deductibles owed to us by patients with insurance. We provide revenue cycle management services through our Conifer subsidiary, which has performed systematic analyses to focus our attention on the drivers of bad debt for each hospital. While emergency department use is the primary contributor to our provision for doubtful accounts in the aggregate, this is not the case at all hospitals. As a result, we have been increasing our focus on targeted initiatives that concentrate on non-emergency department patients as well. These initiatives are intended to promote process efficiencies in collecting self-pay accounts, as well as co-pay and deductible amounts owed to us by patients with insurance, that we deem highly collectible. We are dedicated to modifying and refining our processes as needed, enhancing our technology and improving staff training throughout the revenue cycle process in an effort to increase collections and reduce accounts receivable.

Over the longer term, several other initiatives we have previously announced should also help address this challenge. For example, our *Compact with Uninsured Patients* ("Compact") is designed to offer managed care-style discounts to certain uninsured patients, which enables us to offer lower rates to those patients who historically have been charged standard gross charges. A significant portion of those charges had previously been written down in our provision for doubtful accounts. Under the Compact, the discount offered to uninsured patients is recognized as a contractual allowance, which reduces net operating revenues at the time the self-pay accounts are recorded. The uninsured patient accounts, net of contractual allowances recorded, are further reduced to their net realizable value through provision for doubtful accounts based on historical collection trends for self-pay accounts and other factors that affect the estimation process.

Under the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Dodd-Frank Act"), a new Consumer Financial Protection Bureau ("CFPB") was formed within the U.S. Federal Reserve to promote transparency, simplicity, fairness, accountability and equal access in the market for consumer financial products or services, including debt collection services. The Dodd-Frank Act gives significant discretion to the CFPB in establishing regulatory requirements and enforcement priorities. We believe that the CFPB regulatory and enforcement processes will have a significant impact on Conifer's operations. For additional information, see Item 1, Business — Regulations Affecting Conifer, of Part I of this report.

Our estimated costs (based on selected operating expenses, which include salaries, wages and benefits, supplies and other operating expenses) of caring for our self-pay patients for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 were approximately \$545 million, \$430 million and \$379 million, respectively. We also provide charity care to patients who are financially unable to pay for the health care services they receive. Most patients who qualify for charity care are charged a per-diem amount for services received, subject to a cap. Except for the per-diem amounts, our policy is not to pursue collection of amounts determined to qualify as charity care; therefore, we do not report these amounts in net operating revenues. Most states include an estimate of the cost of charity care in the determination of a hospital's eligibility for Medicaid DSH payments. Revenues attributable to DSH payments and other state-funded subsidy payments for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012

Table of Contents

and 2011 were approximately \$428 million, \$283 million and \$255 million, respectively. These payments are intended to mitigate our cost of uncompensated care, as well as reduced Medicaid funding levels. Our estimated costs (based on the selected operating expenses described above) of caring for charity care patients for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 were \$158 million, \$136 million and \$113 million, respectively. Generally, our method of measuring the estimated costs uses adjusted self-pay/charity patient days multiplied by selected operating expenses per adjusted patient day. The adjusted self-pay/charity patient days represents actual self-pay/charity patient days adjusted to include self-pay/charity outpatient services by multiplying actual self-pay/charity patient days by the sum of gross self-pay/charity inpatient revenues and gross self-pay/charity outpatient revenues and dividing the results by gross self-pay/charity inpatient revenues.

The expansion of health insurance coverage under the Affordable Care Act may result in a material increase in the number of patients using our facilities who have either health insurance exchange or government health care insurance program coverage. However, because of the many variables involved, we are unable to predict with certainty the net impact on us of the expected increase in revenues and expected decrease in bad debt expense from providing care to previously uninsured and underinsured individuals, and numerous other provisions in the law that may affect us. In addition, even after implementation of the Affordable Care Act, we may continue to experience a high level of bad debt expense and have to provide uninsured discounts and charity care due to the failure of states to expand Medicaid coverage under the Affordable Care Act and for undocumented aliens who will not be permitted to enroll in a health insurance exchange or government health care insurance program.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013 COMPARED TO THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012

The following two tables summarize our net operating revenues, operating expenses and operating income from continuing operations, both in dollar amounts and as percentages of net operating revenues, for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	Increase (Decrease)
Net operating revenues:			
General hospitals	\$ 10,888	\$ 9,436	\$ 1,452
Other operations	1,186	468	718
Net operating revenues before provision for doubtful accounts	12,074	9,904	2,170
Less provision for doubtful accounts	972	785	187
Net operating revenues	11,102	9,119	1,983
Operating expenses:			
Salaries, wages and benefits	5,371	4,257	1,114
Supplies	1,784	1,552	232
Other operating expenses, net	2,701	2,147	554
Electronic health record incentives	(96)	(40)	(56)
Depreciation and amortization	545	430	115
Impairment of long-lived assets and goodwill, and restructuring charges	103	19	84
Litigation and investigation costs	31	5	26
Operating income	\$ 663	\$ 749	\$ (86)

194

Table of Contents

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	Increase (Decrease)
Net operating revenues	100.0%	100.0%	—%
Operating expenses:			
Salaries, wages and benefits	48.4%	46.7%	1.7%
Supplies	16.1%	17.0%	(0.9)%
Other operating expenses, net	24.3%	23.5%	0.8%
Electronic health record incentives	(0.9)%	(0.4)%	(0.5)%
Depreciation and amortization	4.9%	4.7%	0.2%
Impairment of long-lived assets and goodwill, and restructuring charges	0.9%	0.2%	0.7%
Litigation and investigation costs	0.3%	0.1%	0.2%
Operating income	6.0%	8.2%	(2.2)%

Net operating revenues of our general hospitals include inpatient and outpatient revenues, as well as nonpatient revenues (rental income, management fee revenue, and income from services such as cafeterias, gift shops and parking) and other miscellaneous revenue. Net operating revenues of other operations primarily consist of revenues from (1) physician practices, (2) a long-term acute care hospital, (3) services provided by our Conifer subsidiary to third parties and (4) our recently acquired health plans. Revenues from our general hospitals represented approximately 90% and 95% of our total net operating revenues before provision for doubtful accounts for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Net operating revenues from our other operations were \$1.186 billion and \$468 million in the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The increase in net operating revenues from other operations during 2013 primarily relates to revenue cycle services provided by our Conifer subsidiary, as well as revenues from our recently acquired health plans and additional physician practices. Equity earnings for unconsolidated affiliates included in our net operating revenues from other operations were \$15 million and \$8 million for each of the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Included in 2013 equity earnings of unconsolidated affiliates is \$10 million of earnings associated with stepping up our basis in a previously held investment in an ambulatory surgery center in which we acquired a controlling interest and are now consolidating.

Selected Operating Statistics for All Continuing Operations Hospitals—The following table shows certain selected operating statistics for our continuing operations on a total hospital basis, which includes the statistics from the 28 hospitals we acquired from Vanguard on October 1, 2013.

	Total Hospital Continuing Operations		
	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	Increase (Decrease)
Total admissions	558,726	506,485	10.3%
Adjusted patient admissions(1)	915,276	796,520	14.9%
Surgeries – inpatient	155,634	141,288	9.9%
Surgeries – outpatient	334,233	239,667	39.5%
Total surgeries	489,867	380,955	28.6%
Patient days – total	2,621,245	2,368,916	10.7%
Adjusted patient days(1)	4,243,334	3,693,218	14.9%
Average length of stay (days)	4.69	4.68	0.2%
Average licensed beds	14,963	13,187	13.5%
Utilization of licensed beds(3)	48.0%	49.1%	(1.1)%(2)
Total outpatient visits	5,074,606	4,167,114	21.8%
Net inpatient revenues	\$ 6,952	\$ 6,200	12.1%
Net outpatient revenues	\$ 3,859	\$ 3,167	21.9%
Net inpatient revenue per admission	\$ 12,443	\$ 12,241	1.7%
Net inpatient revenue per patient day	\$ 2,652	\$ 2,617	1.3%
Net outpatient revenue per visit	\$ 760	\$ 760	—%
Net patient revenue per adjusted admission	\$ 11,812	\$ 11,760	0.4%

195

Table of Contents

The tables below show certain selected historical operating statistics of our continuing hospitals on a same-hospital basis, where noted, and exclude the results of our acquisition of Vanguard effective October 1, 2013 because it has not been owned for more than one calendar year.

	Same-Hospital Continuing Operations		
	Years Ended December 31,		
<u>Admissions, Patient Days and Surgeries</u>	2013	2012	Increase (Decrease)
Total admissions	490,624	506,485	(3.1)%
Adjusted patient admissions(1)	787,995	796,520	(1.1)%
Paying admissions (excludes charity and uninsured)	455,550	470,756	(3.2)%
Charity and uninsured admissions	35,074	35,729	(1.8)%
Admissions through emergency department	308,200	312,902	(1.5)%
Paying admissions as a percentage of total admissions	92.9%	92.9%	—%(2)
Charity and uninsured admissions as a percentage of total admissions	7.1%	7.1%	—%(2)
Emergency department admissions as a percentage of total admissions	62.8%	61.8%	1.0%(2)
Surgeries – inpatient	136,713	141,288	(3.2)%
Surgeries – outpatient	303,500	239,667	26.6%
Total surgeries	440,213	380,955	15.6%
Patient days – total	2,315,304	2,368,916	(2.3)%
Adjusted patient days(1)	3,683,018	3,693,218	(0.3)%
Average length of stay (days)	4.72	4.68	0.9%
Number of hospitals (at end of period)	49	49	—(2)
Licensed beds (at end of period)	13,178	13,216	(0.3)%
Average licensed beds	13,180	13,187	(0.1)%
Utilization of licensed beds(3)	48.1%	49.1%	(1.0)%(2)

- (1) Adjusted patient admissions/days represents actual patient admissions/days adjusted to include outpatient services by multiplying actual patient admissions/days by the sum of gross inpatient revenues and outpatient revenues and dividing the results by gross inpatient revenues.
- (2) The change is the difference between the 2013 and 2012 amounts shown.
- (3) Utilization of licensed beds represents patient days divided by number of days in the period divided by average licensed beds.

	Same-Hospital Continuing Operations		
	Years Ended December 31,		
<u>Outpatient Visits</u>	2013	2012	Increase (Decrease)
Total visits	4,287,116	4,167,114	2.9%
Paying visits (excludes charity and uninsured)	3,834,195	3,728,402	2.8%
Charity and uninsured visits	452,921	438,712	3.2%
Emergency department visits	1,607,075	1,555,102	3.3%
Surgery visits	303,500	239,667	26.6%
Paying visits as a percentage of total visits	89.4%	89.5%	(0.1)%(1)
Charity and uninsured visits as a percentage of total visits	10.6%	10.5%	0.1%(1)

- (1) The change is the difference between the 2013 and 2012 amounts shown.

	Same-Hospital Continuing Operations		
	Years Ended December 31,		
<u>Revenues</u>	2013	2012	Increase (Decrease)
Net operating revenues	\$ 9,688	\$ 9,119	6.2%
Revenues from the uninsured	\$ 671	\$ 636	5.5%
Net inpatient revenues(1)	\$ 6,101	\$ 6,200	(1.6)%
Net outpatient revenues(1)	\$ 3,366	\$ 3,167	6.3%

- (1) Net inpatient revenues and net outpatient revenues are components of net operating revenues. Net inpatient revenues include self-pay revenues of \$279 million and \$269 million for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Net outpatient revenues include self-pay revenues of \$392 million and \$367 million for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

196

Table of Contents

	Same-Hospital Continuing Operations		
	Years Ended December 31,		
Revenues on a Per Admission, Per Patient Day and Per Visit Basis	2013	2012	Increase (Decrease)
Net inpatient revenue per admission	\$ 12,435	\$ 12,241	1.6%
Net inpatient revenue per patient day	\$ 2,635	\$ 2,617	0.7%
Net outpatient revenue per visit	\$ 785	\$ 760	3.3%
Net patient revenue per adjusted patient admission(1)	\$ 12,014	\$ 11,760	2.2%
Net patient revenue per adjusted patient day(1)	\$ 2,570	\$ 2,536	1.3%

(1) Adjusted patient admissions/days represents actual patient admissions/days adjusted to include outpatient services by multiplying actual patient admissions/days by the sum of gross inpatient revenues and outpatient revenues and dividing the results by gross inpatient revenues.

	Same-Hospital Continuing Operations		
	Years Ended December 31,		
Provision for Doubtful Accounts	2013	2012	Increase (Decrease)
Provision for doubtful accounts	\$ 829	\$ 785	5.6%
Provision for doubtful accounts as a percentage of net operating revenues before provision for doubtful accounts	7.9%	7.9%	—%(1)
Collection rate on self-pay accounts(2)	28.7%	28.9%	(0.2)%(1)
Collection rate on commercial managed care accounts	98.3%	98.0%	0.3%(1)

(1) The change is the difference between the 2013 and 2012 amounts shown.

(2) Self-pay accounts receivable are comprised of both uninsured and balance after insurance receivables.

Selected Operating Expenses	Same-Hospital Continuing Operations		
	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	Increase (Decrease)
Hospital Operations and other			
Salaries, wages and benefits	\$ 4,142	\$ 3,983	4.0%
Supplies	1,555	1,552	0.2%
Other operating expenses	2,093	2,040	2.6%
Total	\$ 7,790	\$ 7,575	2.8%
Conifer			
Salaries, wages and benefits	\$ 576	\$ 274	110.2%
Other operating expenses	211	107	97.2%
Total	\$ 787	\$ 381	106.6%
Total			
Salaries, wages and benefits	\$ 4,718	\$ 4,257	10.8%
Supplies	1,555	1,552	0.2%
Other operating expenses	2,304	2,147	7.3%
Total	\$ 8,577	\$ 7,956	7.8%
Rent/lease expense(1)			
Hospital Operations and other	\$ 153	\$ 144	6.3%
Conifer	14	12	16.7%
Total	\$ 167	\$ 156	7.1%
Hospital Operations and other(2)			
Salaries, wages and benefits per adjusted patient day	\$ 1,124	\$ 1,078	4.3%
Supplies per adjusted patient day	422	420	0.5%
Other operating expenses per adjusted patient day	561	553	1.4%
Total per adjusted patient day	\$ 2,107	\$ 2,051	2.7%
Salaries, wages and benefits per adjusted patient admission	\$ 5,253	\$ 5,001	5.0%
Supplies per adjusted patient admission	1,973	1,948	1.3%
Other operating expenses per adjusted patient admission	2,622	2,561	2.4%
Total per adjusted patient admission	\$ 9,848	\$ 9,510	3.6%

(1) Included in other operating expenses.

(2) Adjusted patient admissions/days represents actual patient admissions/days adjusted to include outpatient services by multiplying actual patient admissions/days by the sum of gross inpatient revenues and outpatient revenues and dividing the results by gross inpatient revenues. These metrics exclude the expenses related to the provider network based in Southern California that includes contracted independent physicians, ancillary providers and hospitals, which we acquired during the three months ended September 30, 2013.

REVENUES

During the year ended December 31, 2013, same-hospital net operating revenues before provision for doubtful accounts increased 6.2%, compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, primarily due to improved terms of our managed care contracts, an increase in outpatient volumes and an increase in our other operations revenues, partially offset by a decrease in inpatient volumes and the impact of a \$81 million favorable adjustment in the 2012 period from the industry-wide settlement (the "Medicare Budget Neutrality settlement") that corrected Medicare payments made to providers for inpatient hospital services for a number of prior periods.

Our same-hospital net outpatient revenues and total outpatient visits increased 6.3% and 2.9%, respectively, during the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to the year ended December 31, 2012. Outpatient revenues and volume growth was primarily driven by improved terms of our managed care contracts, increased outpatient volume levels and our outpatient acquisition program. Net outpatient revenue per visit increased 3.3% primarily due to the improved terms of our managed care contracts.

Our Conifer subsidiary generated net operating revenues of \$919 million and \$488 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, a portion of which was eliminated in consolidation as described in Note 20 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. The increase in the portion that was not eliminated in consolidation is primarily due to the 10-year CHI agreement entered into in May 2012, expanded service offerings and two acquisitions in the three months ended December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

Same-hospital patient days decreased by 2.3% during the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to the year ended December 31, 2012. We believe the following factors contributed to the changes in our inpatient volume levels: (1) the current weak economic conditions, which we believe have adversely impacted the level of elective procedures performed at our hospitals; (2) loss of patients to competing health care providers; (3) an increase in patients with high-deductible health insurance plans; and (4) industry trends reflecting the shift of certain clinical procedures being performed in an outpatient setting rather than in an inpatient setting.

PROVISION FOR DOUBTFUL ACCOUNTS

The provision for doubtful accounts as a percentage of net operating revenues before provision for doubtful accounts was 8.1% for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to 7.9% for the year ended December 31, 2012. The 5.6% increase in the absolute amount of provision for doubtful accounts in the 2013 period compared to the 2012 period was primarily due to a 5.5% increase in uninsured patient revenues, as well as higher patient co-pays and deductibles for our same-hospitals. The table below shows the net accounts receivable and allowance for doubtful accounts by payer at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

	December 31, 2013			December 31, 2012		
	Accounts Receivable Before Allowance for Doubtful Accounts	Allowance for Doubtful Accounts	Net	Accounts Receivable Before Allowance for Doubtful Accounts	Allowance for Doubtful Accounts	Net
Medicare	\$ 309	\$ —	\$ 309	\$ 172	\$ —	\$ 172
Medicaid	141	—	141	116	—	116
Net cost report settlements payable and valuation allowances	(4)	—	(4)	(24)	—	(24)
Managed care	1,240	69	1,171	769	72	697
Self-pay uninsured	344	290	54	204	178	26
Self-pay balance after insurance	224	141	83	143	78	65
Estimated future recoveries from accounts assigned to our Conifer subsidiary	91	—	91	88	—	88
Other payers	279	89	190	264	68	196
Total continuing operations	2,624	589	2,035	1,732	396	1,336
Total discontinued operations	3	—	3	14	5	9
	\$ 2,627	\$ 589	\$ 2,038	\$ 1,746	\$ 401	\$ 1,345

We provide revenue cycle management and patient communications services, among others, through our Conifer subsidiary, which has performed systematic analyses to focus our attention on the drivers of bad debt for each hospital. While emergency department use is the primary contributor to our provision for doubtful accounts in the aggregate, this is not the case at all hospitals. As a result, we have increased our focus on targeted initiatives that concentrate on non-emergency department patients as well. These initiatives are intended to promote process efficiencies in collecting self-pay accounts, as well as co-pay and deductible amounts owed to us by patients with insurance, that we deem highly collectible. We are dedicated to modifying and refining our processes as needed, enhancing our technology, and improving staff training throughout the revenue cycle process in an effort to increase collections and reduce accounts receivable.

A significant portion of our provision for doubtful accounts relates to self-pay patients, as well as co-pays and deductibles owed to us by patients with insurance. Collection of accounts receivable has been a key area of focus, particularly over the past several years, as we have experienced adverse changes in our business mix. At December 31, 2013, our same-hospital collection rate on self-pay accounts was approximately 28.7%. Our recent same-hospital self-pay collection rates were as follows: 27.9% at March 31, 2012; 28.5% at June 30, 2012; 28.8% at September 30, 2012; 28.9% at December 31, 2012; 28.8% at March 31, 2013; 28.7% at June 30, 2013; and 28.8% at September 30, 2013. These self-pay collection rates include payments made by patients, including co-pays and deductibles paid by patients with insurance. Based on our accounts receivable from self-pay patients and co-pays and deductibles owed to us by patients with insurance at December 31, 2013, a 10% decrease or increase in our self-pay collection rate, or approximately 3%, which we believe could be a reasonably likely change, would result in an unfavorable or favorable adjustment to provision for doubtful accounts of approximately \$9 million.

199

Table of Contents

Payment pressure from managed care payers also affects our provision for doubtful accounts. We typically experience ongoing managed care payment delays and disputes; however, we continue to work with these payers to obtain adequate and timely reimbursement for our services. Our estimated same-hospital collection rate from managed care payers was approximately 98.3% at December 31, 2013 and 98.0% at December 31, 2012.

Conifer continues to focus on revenue cycle initiatives to improve our cash flow. These initiatives are focused on standardizing and improving patient access processes, including pre-registration, registration, verification of eligibility and benefits, liability identification and collection at point-of-service, and financial counseling. The goals of the effort are focused on reducing denials, improving service levels to patients and increasing the quality of accounts that end up in accounts receivable. Although we continue to focus on improving our methodology for evaluating the collectability of our accounts receivable, we may incur future charges if there are unfavorable changes in the trends affecting the net realizable value of our accounts receivable.

We manage our provision for doubtful accounts using hospital-specific goals and benchmarks such as (1) total cash collections, (2) point-of-service cash collections, (3) accounts receivable days outstanding (“AR Days”), and (4) accounts receivable by aging category. The following tables present the approximate aging by payer of our net accounts receivable from continuing operations of \$2.039 billion and \$1.360 billion at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, excluding cost report settlements payable and valuation allowances of \$4 million and \$24 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively:

December 31, 2013					
	Medicare	Medicaid	Managed Care	Indemnity, Self-Pay and Other	Total
0-60 days	76%	58%	73%	32%	65%
61-120 days	9%	21%	13%	17%	14%
121-180 days	4%	9%	5%	7%	6%
Over 180 days	11%	12%	9%	44%	15%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

December 31, 2012					
	Medicare	Medicaid	Managed Care	Indemnity, Self-Pay and Other	Total
0-60 days	92%	62%	78%	29%	67%
61-120 days	2%	19%	11%	17%	12%
121-180 days	1%	8%	4%	9%	5%
Over 180 days	5%	11%	7%	45%	16%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Our AR Days from continuing operations were 48.3 days at December 31, 2013 and 52.7 days at December 31, 2012, respectively, within our target of less than 55 days. AR Days are calculated as our accounts receivable from continuing operations on the last date in the quarter divided by our net operating revenues from continuing operations for the quarter ended on that date divided by the number of days in the quarter.

As of December 31, 2013, we had a cumulative total of patient account assignments to our Conifer subsidiary dating back at least three years or older of approximately \$3.3 billion related to our continuing operations, but excluding our newly acquired hospitals. These accounts have already been written off and are not included in our receivables or in the allowance for doubtful accounts; however, an estimate of future recoveries from all the accounts assigned to our Conifer subsidiary is determined based on our historical experience and recorded in accounts receivable.

Patient advocates from Conifer’s Medical Eligibility Program (“MEP”) screen patients in the hospital to determine whether those patients meet eligibility requirements for financial assistance programs. They also expedite the process of applying for these government programs. Receivables from patients who are potentially eligible for Medicaid are classified as Medicaid pending, under the MEP, with appropriate contractual allowances recorded. At the present time our new acquisitions are not part of this program. Based on recent trends, approximately 93% of all accounts in the MEP are ultimately approved for benefits under a government program, such as Medicaid. The following table shows the approximate amount of accounts

200

Table of Contents

receivable in the MEP still awaiting determination of eligibility under a government program at December 31, 2013 and 2012 by aging category:

	December 31,	
	2013	2012
0-60 days	\$ 132	\$ 99
61-120 days	28	22
121-180 days	8	5
Over 180 days	18	16
Total	\$ 186	\$ 142

SALARIES, WAGES AND BENEFITS

Salaries, wages and benefits expense as a percentage of net operating revenues increased 1.7% for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to the year ended December 31, 2012. Same-hospital salaries, wages and benefits per adjusted patient admission for our hospital operations and other segment increased by approximately 5.0% in the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. This increase is primarily due to an increase in the number of physicians we employ, annual merit increases for certain of our employees, increased health benefits costs and increased contract labor, partially offset by a decrease in incentive compensation expense. Salaries, wages and benefits expense for the year ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 included stock-based compensation expense of \$37 million and \$32 million, respectively.

Salaries, wages and benefits expense for Conifer increased by \$302 million in the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to the year ended December 31, 2012 due to an increase in employee headcount as a result of the growth in Conifer's business primarily attributable to the new CHI partnership, the Vanguard acquisition and Conifer's two acquisitions in the three months ended December 31, 2012.

As of December 31, 2013, approximately 21% of our employees were represented by various labor unions. These employees — primarily registered nurses and service and maintenance workers — were located at 39 of our hospitals, the majority of which are in California, Florida, Massachusetts and Michigan. We currently have two expired contracts and are negotiating renewals under extension agreements. We are also negotiating an initial contract at one of our hospitals where employees recently chose union representation. At this time, we are unable to predict the outcome of the negotiations, but increases in salaries, wages and benefits could result from these agreements. Furthermore, there is a possibility that strikes could occur during the negotiation process, which could increase our labor costs and have an adverse effect on our patient admissions and net operating revenues. Future organizing activities by labor unions could increase our level of union representation in 2014.

SUPPLIES

Supplies expense as a percentage of net operating revenues decreased 0.9% for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to the year ended December 31, 2012. Same-hospital supplies expense per adjusted patient admission for our hospital operations and other segment increased by 1.3% in the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. Supplies expense was favorably impacted by lower implant costs, orthopedic supply costs and cardiology supply costs due to renegotiated prices, partially offset by increased costs of pharmaceuticals and increased surgical supply costs as a result of higher surgical volumes.

We strive to control supplies expense through product standardization, contract compliance, improved utilization, bulk purchases and operational improvements. The items of current cost reduction focus continue to be cardiac stents and pacemakers, orthopedics and implants, and high-cost pharmaceuticals. We also utilize group-purchasing strategies and supplies-management services in an effort to reduce costs.

201

OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES, NET

Other operating expenses as a percentage of net operating revenues was 24.3% in the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to 23.5% in the year ended December 31, 2012. Same-hospital other operating expenses per adjusted patient admission for our hospital operations and other segment increased by 2.4% in the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. The 2.6% increase in same-hospital other operating expenses in the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to the year ended December 31, 2012 is primarily due to:

- increased costs of contracted services (\$92 million) primarily related to Conifer's new clients and business acquisitions;
- increased medical fees primarily related to employed physicians (\$42 million);
- increased rent and lease expenses (\$6 million); and
- increased malpractice expense (\$6 million).

These increases were partially offset by lower consulting and legal expenses (\$25 million) in part due to the aforementioned Medicare Budget Neutrality settlement in 2012.

Malpractice expense in the year ended December 31, 2013 included favorable adjustments totaling approximately \$11 million due to a 127 basis point increase in the interest rate used to estimate the discounted present value of projected future malpractice liabilities compared to an unfavorable adjustment of \$2 million due to a 17 basis point decrease in the interest rate in the 2012 period.

IMPAIRMENT AND RESTRUCTURING CHARGES, AND ACQUISITION-RELATED COSTS

During the year ended December 31, 2013, we recorded impairment and restructuring charges and acquisition-related costs of \$103 million. This amount included a \$12 million impairment charge for the write-down of buildings and equipment and other long-lived assets, primarily capitalized software costs classified in other intangible assets, of one of our hospitals to their estimated fair values, primarily due to a decline in the fair value of real estate in the market in which the hospital operates and a decline in the estimated fair value of equipment. Material adverse trends in our most recent estimates of future undiscounted cash flows of the hospital indicated the carrying value of the hospital's long-lived assets was not recoverable from the estimated future cash flows. We believe the most significant factors contributing to the adverse financial trends include reductions in volumes of insured patients, shifts in payer mix from commercial to governmental payers combined with reductions in reimbursement rates from governmental payers, and high levels of uninsured patients. As a result, we updated the estimate of the fair value of the hospital's long-lived assets and compared the fair value estimate to the carrying value of the hospital's long-lived assets. Because the fair value estimate was lower than the carrying value of the hospital's long-lived assets, an impairment charge was recorded for the difference in the amounts. Unless the anticipated future financial trends of this hospital improve to the extent that the estimated future undiscounted cash flows exceed the carrying value of the long-lived assets, this hospital is at risk of future impairments, particularly if we spend significant amounts of capital at the hospital without generating a corresponding increase in the hospital's fair value or if the fair value of the hospital's real estate or equipment continues to decline. The aggregate carrying value of assets held and used of the hospital for which an impairment charge was recorded was \$44 million as of December 31, 2013 after recording the impairment charge. We also recorded \$16 million of restructuring costs, \$14 million of employee severance costs, \$2 million of lease termination costs, and \$59 million in acquisition-related costs, which includes both transaction costs and acquisition integration charges.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we recorded net impairment and restructuring charges of \$19 million, consisting of \$3 million relating to the impairment of obsolete assets, \$2 million relating to other impairment charges, \$8 million of employee severance costs and \$6 million of other related costs.

Our impairment tests presume stable, improving or, in some cases, declining operating results in our hospitals, which are based on programs and initiatives being implemented that are designed to achieve the hospital's most recent projections. If these projections are not met, or if in the future negative trends occur that impact our future outlook, future impairments of long-lived assets and goodwill may occur, and we may incur additional restructuring charges.

LITIGATION AND INVESTIGATION COSTS

Litigation and investigation costs for the year ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 were \$31 million and \$5 million, respectively, primarily related to costs associated with various legal proceedings and governmental reviews.

INTEREST EXPENSE

Interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$474 million compared to \$412 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, primarily due to increased borrowings partially offset by a lower average interest rate on our outstanding debt.

LOSS FROM EARLY EXTINGUISHMENT OF DEBT

During the year ended December 31, 2013, we recorded a loss from early extinguishment of debt of \$348 million consisting of \$177 million related to the difference between the purchase prices and the par values of the \$714 million aggregate principal amount of our 10% senior secured notes due 2018 that we purchased and called during the period, as well as the write-off of unamortized note discounts and issuance costs, and \$171 million related to the difference between the purchase prices and the par values of the \$925 million aggregate principal amount of our 8 7/8% senior secured notes due 2019 that we purchased and called during the period, as well as the write-off of unamortized note discounts and issuance costs.

INCOME TAX EXPENSE

During the year ended December 31, 2013, we recorded an income tax benefit of \$65 million, primarily related to the loss from early extinguishment of debt, compared to an expense of \$125 million during the year ended December 31, 2012.

DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS: IMPAIRMENT OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS AND GOODWILL, AND RESTRUCTURING CHARGES

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we recorded an impairment charge in discontinued operations of \$100 million related to the sale of Creighton University Medical Center, consisting of \$98 million for the write-down of long-lived assets to their estimated fair values and a \$2 million charge for the write-down of goodwill.

ADDITIONAL SUPPLEMENTAL NON-GAAP DISCLOSURES

The financial information provided throughout this report, including our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and the notes thereto, has been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). However, we use certain non-GAAP financial measures defined below in communications with investors, analysts, rating agencies, banks and others to assist such parties in understanding the impact of various items on our financial statements, some of which are recurring or involve cash payments. In addition, from time to time we use these measures to define certain performance targets under our compensation programs.

"Adjusted EBITDA" is a non-GAAP measure that we use in our analysis of the performance of our business, which we define as net income (loss) attributable to our common shareholders before: (1) the cumulative effect of changes in accounting principle, net of tax; (2) net loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests; (3) preferred stock dividends; (4) income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax; (5) income tax benefit (expense); (6) investment earnings (loss); (7) gain (loss) from early extinguishment of debt; (8) net gain (loss) on sales of investments; (9) interest expense; (10) litigation and investigation benefit (costs), net of insurance recoveries; (11) hurricane insurance recoveries, net of costs; (12) impairment and restructuring charges and acquisition-related costs; and (13) depreciation and amortization. As is the case with all non-GAAP measures, investors should consider the limitations associated with this metric, including the potential lack of comparability of this measure from one company to another, and should recognize that Adjusted EBITDA does not provide a complete measure of our operating performance because it excludes many items that are included in our financial statements. Accordingly, investors are encouraged to use GAAP measures when evaluating our financial performance.

Table of Contents

The table below shows the reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDA to net income attributable to our common shareholders (the most comparable GAAP term) for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Net income (loss) attributable to Tenet Healthcare Corporation common shareholders	\$ (134)	\$ 141
Less: Net loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests	(30)	19
Preferred stock dividends	—	(11)
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax	(11)	(76)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(93)	209
Income tax benefit (expense)	65	(125)
Investment earnings	1	1
Loss from early extinguishment of debt	(348)	(4)
Interest expense	(474)	(412)
Operating income	663	749
Litigation and investigation costs	(31)	(5)
Impairment and restructuring charges, and acquisition-related costs	(103)	(19)
Depreciation and amortization	(545)	(430)
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 1,342	\$ 1,203
Net operating revenues	\$ 11,102	\$ 9,119
Adjusted EBITDA as % of net operating revenues (Adjusted EBITDA margin)	12.1%	13.2%

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012 COMPARED TO THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011

The following two tables summarize our net operating revenues, operating expenses and operating income from continuing operations, both in dollar amounts and as percentages of net operating revenues, for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	Increase (Decrease)
Net operating revenues:			
General hospitals	\$ 9,436	\$ 9,061	\$ 375
Other operations	468	310	158
Net operating revenues before provision for doubtful accounts	9,904	9,371	533
Less provision for doubtful accounts	785	717	68
Net operating revenues	9,119	8,654	465
Operating expenses:			
Salaries, wages and benefits	4,257	4,015	242
Supplies	1,552	1,548	4
Other operating expenses, net	2,147	2,020	127
Electronic health record incentives	(40)	(55)	15
Depreciation and amortization	430	398	32
Impairment of long-lived assets and goodwill, and restructuring charges	19	20	(1)
Litigation and investigation costs	5	55	(50)
Operating income	\$ 749	\$ 653	\$ 96

204

Table of Contents

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	Increase (Decrease)
Net operating revenues	100.0%	100.0%	—%
Operating expenses:			
Salaries, wages and benefits	46.7%	46.4%	0.3%
Supplies	17.0%	17.9%	(0.9)%
Other operating expenses, net	23.5%	23.4%	0.1%
Electronic health record incentives	(0.4)%	(0.6)%	0.2%
Depreciation and amortization	4.7%	4.6%	0.1%
Impairment of long-lived assets and goodwill, and restructuring charges	0.2%	0.2%	—%
Litigation and investigation costs	0.1%	0.6%	(0.5)%
Operating income	8.2%	7.5%	0.7%

Revenues from our general hospitals represented approximately 95% and 97% of our total net operating revenues before provision for doubtful accounts for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Net operating revenues from our other operations were \$468 million and \$310 million in the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The increase in net operating revenues from other operations during 2012 primarily relates to our additional owned physician practices and revenue cycle services provided by our Conifer subsidiary. Equity earnings for unconsolidated affiliates included in our net operating revenues from other operations were \$8 million for each of the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

The tables below show certain selected historical operating statistics of our continuing hospitals on a same-hospital basis. We have excluded statistics related to hospitals previously owned by Vanguard from the same-hospital statistics for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 because we did not own those hospitals during those years.

	Same-Hospital Continuing Operations		
	Years Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	Increase (Decrease)
Admissions, Patient Days and Surgeries			
Total admissions	506,485	507,834	(0.3)%
Adjusted patient admissions(1)	796,520	779,833	2.1%
Paying admissions (excludes charity and uninsured)	470,756	473,943	(0.7)%
Charity and uninsured admissions	35,729	33,891	5.4%
Admissions through emergency department	312,902	306,424	2.1%
Paying admissions as a percentage of total admissions	92.9%	93.3%	(0.4)%(2)
Charity and uninsured admissions as a percentage of total admissions	7.1%	6.7%	0.4%(2)
Emergency department admissions as a percentage of total admissions	61.8%	60.3%	1.5%(2)
Surgeries – inpatient	141,288	144,665	(2.3)%
Surgeries – outpatient	239,667	217,621	10.1%
Total surgeries	380,955	362,286	5.2%
Patient days – total	2,368,916	2,413,245	(1.8)%
Adjusted patient days(1)	3,693,218	3,673,441	0.5%
Average length of stay (days)	4.68	4.75	(1.5)%
Number of hospitals (at end of period)	49	49	—(2)
Licensed beds (at end of period)	13,216	13,119	0.7%
Average licensed beds	13,187	13,115	0.5%
Utilization of licensed beds(3)	49.1%	50.4%	(1.3)%(2)

(1) Adjusted patient admissions/days represents actual patient admissions/days adjusted to include outpatient services by multiplying actual patient admissions/days by the sum of gross inpatient revenues and outpatient revenues and dividing the results by gross inpatient revenues.

(2) The change is the difference between the 2012 and 2011 amounts shown.

(3) Utilization of licensed beds represents patient days divided by number of days in the period divided by average licensed beds.

Table of Contents

Outpatient Visits	Same-Hospital Continuing Operations		
	Years Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	Increase (Decrease)
Total visits	4,167,114	3,954,016	5.4%
Paying visits (excludes charity and uninsured)	3,728,402	3,554,231	4.9%
Charity and uninsured visits	438,712	399,785	9.7%
Emergency department visits	1,555,102	1,457,250	6.7%
Surgery visits	239,667	217,621	10.1%
Paying visits as a percentage of total visits	89.5%	89.9%	(0.4%)(1)
Charity and uninsured visits as a percentage of total visits	10.5%	10.1%	0.4%(1)

(2) The change is the difference between the 2012 and 2011 amounts shown.

Revenues	Same-Hospital Continuing Operations		
	Years Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	Increase (Decrease)
Net operating revenues	\$ 9,119	\$ 8,654	5.4%
Revenues from the uninsured	\$ 636	\$ 607	4.8%
Net inpatient revenues(1)	\$ 6,200	\$ 6,028	2.9%
Net outpatient revenues(1)	\$ 3,167	\$ 2,928	8.2%

(2) Net inpatient revenues and net outpatient revenues are components of net operating revenues. Net inpatient revenues include self-pay revenues of \$269 million and \$271 million for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Net outpatient revenues include self-pay revenues of \$367 million and \$336 million for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Revenues on a Per Admission, Per Patient Day and Per Visit Basis	Same-Hospital Continuing Operations		
	Years Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	Increase (Decrease)
Net inpatient revenue per admission	\$ 12,241	\$ 11,870	3.1%
Net inpatient revenue per patient day	\$ 2,617	\$ 2,498	4.8%
Net outpatient revenue per visit	\$ 760	\$ 741	2.6%
Net patient revenue per adjusted patient admission(1)	\$ 11,760	\$ 11,485	2.4%
Net patient revenue per adjusted patient day(1)	\$ 2,536	\$ 2,438	4.0%

(2) Adjusted patient admissions/days represents actual patient admissions/days adjusted to include outpatient services by multiplying actual patient admissions/days by the sum of gross inpatient revenues and outpatient revenues and dividing the results by gross inpatient revenues.

Provision for Doubtful Accounts	Same-Hospital Continuing Operations		
	Years Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	Increase (Decrease)
Provision for doubtful accounts	\$ 785	\$ 717	9.5%
Provision for doubtful accounts as a percentage of net operating revenues before provision for doubtful accounts	7.9%	7.7%	0.2%(1)
Collection rate on self-pay accounts(2)	28.9%	27.7%	1.2%(1)
Collection rate on commercial managed care accounts	98.0%	98.2%	(0.2%)(1)

(1) The change is the difference between the 2012 and 2011 amounts shown.

(2) Self-pay accounts receivable are comprised of both uninsured and balance after insurance receivables.

206

Table of Contents

Selected Operating Expenses	Same-Hospital Continuing Operations		
	Years Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	Increase (Decrease)
Hospital Operations and other			
Salaries, wages and benefits	\$ 3,983	\$ 3,792	5.0%
Supplies	1,552	1,548	0.3%
Other operating expenses	2,040	1,946	4.8%
Total	\$ 7,575	\$ 7,286	4.0%
Conifer			
Salaries, wages and benefits	\$ 274	\$ 223	22.9%
Other operating expenses	107	74	44.6%
Total	\$ 381	\$ 297	28.3%
Total			
Salaries, wages and benefits	\$ 4,257	\$ 4,015	6.0%
Supplies	1,552	1,548	0.3%
Other operating expenses	2,147	2,020	6.3%
Total	\$ 7,956	\$ 7,583	4.9%
Rent/lease expense(1)			
Hospital Operations and other	\$ 144	\$ 132	9.1%
Conifer	12	11	9.1%
Total	\$ 156	\$ 143	9.1%
Hospital Operations and other(2)			
Salaries, wages and benefits per adjusted patient day	\$ 1,078	\$ 1,032	4.5%
Supplies per adjusted patient day	420	421	(0.2)%
Other operating expenses per adjusted patient day	553	530	4.3%
Total per adjusted patient day	\$ 2,051	\$ 1,983	3.4%
Salaries, wages and benefits per adjusted patient admission	\$ 5,001	\$ 4,863	2.8%
Supplies per adjusted patient admission	1,948	1,985	(1.9)%
Other operating expenses per adjusted patient admission	2,561	2,495	2.6%
Total per adjusted patient admission	\$ 9,510	\$ 9,343	1.8%

(1) Included in other operating expenses.

(2) Adjusted patient days/admissions represents actual patient days/admissions adjusted to include outpatient services by multiplying actual patient days/admissions by the sum of gross inpatient revenues and outpatient revenues and dividing the results by gross inpatient revenues.

REVENUES

During the year ended December 31, 2012, net operating revenues before provision for doubtful accounts increased 5.7%, which included a 4.6% increase in net patient revenues, compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. Increases in pricing were the largest contributing factors, resulting in a 4.0% increase in net patient revenues, while increases in our overall volumes resulted in a 0.6% increase in net patient revenues.

Our same-hospital net inpatient revenues for the year ended December 31, 2012 increased by 2.9% compared to the year ended December, 31, 2011. Several factors impacted our net inpatient revenues in the 2012 period compared to the 2011 period, including:

- Improved managed care pricing as a result of renegotiated contracts;
- Medicaid DSH and other state-funded subsidy revenues of \$283 million in the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$255 million in the year ended December 31, 2011;
- Favorable adjustments of approximately \$81 million in the year ended December 31, 2012 related to the aforementioned Medicare Budget Neutrality settlement; and
- An unfavorable shift in our total payer mix.

Table of Contents

Patient days decreased by 1.8% and total admissions decreased by 0.3% during the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. We believe the following factors contributed to the changes in our inpatient volume levels: (1) weak economic conditions, which we believe adversely impacted the level of elective procedures performed at our hospitals; (2) loss of patients to competing health care providers; and (3) industry trends reflecting the shift of certain clinical procedures being performed in an outpatient setting rather than in an inpatient setting.

Same-hospital net outpatient revenues and total outpatient visits increased 8.2% and 5.4%, respectively, during the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. The growth in our outpatient revenues and volumes was related to both organic growth and growth from acquisitions. Net outpatient revenue per visit increased 2.6% primarily due to the improved terms of our managed care contracts, partially offset by the provision of lower acuity services by outpatient centers we acquired in the past several years, as well as an unfavorable shift in our total outpatient payer mix.

Our Conifer subsidiary generated net operating revenues of \$488 million and \$340 million for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, a portion of which was eliminated in consolidation as described in Note 20 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. The increase in the portion that was not eliminated in consolidation is primarily due to new clients, expanded service offerings and acquisitions.

PROVISION FOR DOUBTFUL ACCOUNTS

The provision for doubtful accounts as a percentage of net operating revenues before provision for doubtful accounts was 7.9% for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to 7.7% for the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase in provision for doubtful accounts primarily related to increased uninsured patient volumes, partially offset by the impact of a 120 basis point improvement in our collection rate on self-pay accounts.

The table below shows the net accounts receivable and allowance for doubtful accounts by payer at December 31, 2012 and 2011:

	December 31, 2012			December 31, 2011		
	Accounts Receivable Before Allowance for Doubtful Accounts	Allowance for Doubtful Accounts	Net	Accounts Receivable Before Allowance for Doubtful Accounts	Allowance for Doubtful Accounts	Net
Medicare	\$ 172	\$ —	\$ 172	\$ 166	\$ —	\$ 166
Medicaid	116	—	116	118	—	118
Net cost report settlements payable and valuation allowances	(24)	—	(24)	(39)	—	(39)
Managed care	769	72	697	760	67	693
Self-pay uninsured	204	178	26	215	190	25
Self-pay balance after insurance	143	78	65	134	77	57
Estimated future recoveries from accounts assigned to our Conifer subsidiary	88	—	88	62	—	62
Other payers	264	68	196	212	48	164
Total continuing operations	1,732	396	1,336	1,628	382	1,246
Total discontinued operations	14	5	9	47	15	32
	\$ 1,746	\$ 401	\$ 1,345	\$ 1,675	\$ 397	\$ 1,278

At December 31, 2012, our collection rate on self-pay accounts was approximately 28.9%. We experienced a relatively stable self-pay collection rate during 2011 and 2012 as follows: 27.8% at March 31, 2011; 27.9% at June 30, 2011; 27.7% at both September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2011; 27.9% at March 31, 2012; 28.5% at June 30, 2012; and 28.8% at September 30, 2012. These self-pay collection rates include payments made by patients, including co-pays and deductibles paid by patients with insurance. Based on our accounts receivable from self-pay patients and co-pays and deductibles owed to us by patients with insurance at December 31, 2012, a 10% decrease or increase in our self-pay collection rate, or approximately 3%, which we believe could be a reasonably likely change, would result in an unfavorable or favorable adjustment to provision for doubtful accounts of approximately \$7 million.

Table of Contents

Our estimated collection rate from managed care payers was approximately 98.0% at December 31, 2012 and 98.2% at December 31, 2011.

The following tables present the approximate aging by payer of our net accounts receivable from continuing operations of \$1.360 billion and \$1.285 billion at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, excluding cost report settlements payable and valuation allowances of \$24 million and \$39 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively:

	December 31, 2012				Total
	Medicare	Medicaid	Managed Care	Indemnity, Self-Pay and Other	
0-60 days	92%	62%	78%	29%	67%
61-120 days	2%	19%	11%	17%	12%
121-180 days	1%	8%	4%	9%	5%
Over 180 days	5%	11%	7%	45%	16%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

	December 31, 2011				Total
	Medicare	Medicaid	Managed Care	Indemnity, Self-Pay and Other	
0-60 days	93%	63%	75%	31%	68%
61-120 days	3%	18%	12%	17%	12%
121-180 days	2%	9%	5%	10%	6%
Over 180 days	2%	10%	8%	42%	14%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Our AR Days from continuing operations were 53 days at both December 31, 2012 and 2011, within our target of less than 55 days. AR Days are calculated as our accounts receivable from continuing operations on the last date in the quarter divided by our net operating revenues from continuing operations for the quarter ended on that date divided by the number of days in the quarter.

As of December 31, 2012, we had a cumulative total of patient account assignments to our Conifer subsidiary dating back at least three years or older of approximately \$3.2 billion related to our continuing operations. These accounts have already been written off and are not included in our receivables or in the allowance for doubtful accounts; however, an estimate of future recoveries from all the accounts assigned to our Conifer subsidiary is determined based on our historical experience and recorded in accounts receivable.

The following table shows the approximate amount of accounts receivable in the MEP still awaiting determination of eligibility under a government program at December 31, 2012 and 2011 by aging category:

	December 31,	
	2012	2011
0-60 days	\$ 99	\$ 82
61-120 days	22	18
121-180 days	5	7
Over 180 days	16	15
Total	\$ 142	\$ 122

SALARIES, WAGES AND BENEFITS

Salaries, wages and benefits expense as a percentage of net operating revenues increased 0.3% for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. Salaries, wages and benefits per adjusted patient admission increased 2.8% in the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. This increase is primarily due to an increase in the number of physicians we employ, annual merit and contractual wage increases for our employees, increased contract labor costs, increased annual incentive compensation expense, increased workers' compensation expense, increased health benefits costs and increased employee-related costs associated with our HIT implementation program,

Table of Contents

partially offset by decreased overtime expense. Included in salaries, wages and benefits expense in 2012 is \$1 million of expense due to a 17 basis point decrease in the interest rate used to estimate the discounted present value of projected future workers' compensation liabilities, compared to a \$4 million unfavorable adjustment as a result of a 136 basis point decrease in the interest rate in the year ended December 31, 2011. Salaries, wages and benefits expense for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 also included stock-based compensation expense of \$32 million and \$24 million, respectively.

Salaries, wages and benefits expense for our Conifer segment increased by 22.9% in the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011 due to an increase in employee headcount as a result of the growth in Conifer's business primarily attributable to the new CHI partnership and Conifer's two acquisitions in 2012.

SUPPLIES

Supplies expense as a percentage of net operating revenues decreased 0.9% for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. Supplies expense per adjusted patient admission decreased 1.9% in the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. Supplies expense was favorably impacted by lower pharmaceutical costs and a decline in orthopedic and cardiology-related costs due to renegotiated prices, partially offset by increased costs of implants and surgical supplies. In general, supplies expense changes are primarily attributable to changes in our patient volume levels and the mix of procedures performed.

OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES, NET

Other operating expenses as a percentage of net operating revenues was 23.5% in the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to 23.4% in the year ended December 31, 2011. Other operating expenses per adjusted patient admission increased by 2.6% in the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. The increase in other operating expenses for our Hospital Operations and other segment is primarily due to:

- increased costs of contracted services (\$33 million), primarily due to additional physician practices we acquired;
- higher consulting and legal costs of \$23 million, which includes costs related to the aforementioned Medicare Budget Neutrality settlement and various managed care payer settlements;
- increased systems implementation costs (\$17 million), primarily related to our HIT implementation program;
- increased rent and lease expenses (\$14 million);
- \$13 million of costs associated with funding indigent care services by certain of our Texas hospitals beginning in the three months ended December 31, 2012; and
- gains totaling \$4 million from the sale of land and buildings in the 2012 period compared to gains of \$8 million from the sale of a building at the former campus of one of our hospitals and a medical office building in the 2011 period.

These increases were partially offset by decreased physician relocation expenses (\$9 million).

Malpractice expense was \$92 million in the year ended December 31, 2012, which included an unfavorable adjustment of approximately \$2 million due to a 17 basis point decrease in the interest rate used to estimate the discounted present value of projected future malpractice liabilities, compared to malpractice expense of \$108 million in the year ended December 31, 2011, which included an unfavorable adjustment of approximately \$17 million due to a 136 basis point decrease in the interest rate. The amount of malpractice expense in the year ended December 31, 2012 may not necessarily be indicative of malpractice expense amounts in future years due to changes in loss experience and interest rates used to estimate the discounted present value of projected future malpractice liabilities.

Other operating expenses for our Conifer segment increased by 44.6% in the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011 primarily due to additional operating expenses related to the new CHI partnership and Conifer's two acquisitions in 2012.

IMPAIRMENT OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS AND GOODWILL, AND RESTRUCTURING CHARGES

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we recorded net impairment and restructuring charges of \$19 million, consisting of \$3 million relating to the impairment of obsolete assets, \$2 million relating to other impairment charges, \$8 million of employee severance costs and \$6 million of other related costs.

During the year ended December 31, 2011, we recorded net impairment and restructuring charges of \$20 million. This amount included a \$6 million impairment charge for the write-down of buildings and equipment of one of our previously impaired hospitals to their estimated fair values, primarily due to a decline in the fair value of real estate in the market in which the hospital operates and a decline in the estimated fair value of equipment. Material adverse trends in our estimates of future undiscounted cash flows of the hospital at that time, consistent with our previous estimates in prior years when impairment charges were recorded at this hospital, indicated the carrying value of the hospital's long-lived assets was not recoverable from the estimated future cash flows. We believed the most significant factors contributing to the adverse financial trends at that time included reductions in volumes of insured patients, shifts in payer mix from commercial to governmental payers combined with reductions in reimbursement rates from governmental payers, and high levels of uninsured patients. As a result, we updated the estimate of the fair value of the hospital's long-lived assets and compared the fair value estimate to the carrying value of the hospital's long-lived assets. Because the fair value estimate was lower than the carrying value of the hospital's long-lived assets, an impairment charge was recorded for the difference in the amounts. The aggregate carrying value of assets held and used of the hospital for which an impairment charge was recorded was \$20 million as of December 31, 2011 after recording the impairment charge. In addition, we recorded impairment charges of \$1 million in connection with the sale of seven medical office buildings in Texas, \$1 million related to a cost basis investment, \$7 million in employee severance costs, \$3 million in lease termination costs, \$1 million of acceleration of stock-based compensation costs and \$1 million of other related costs.

LITIGATION AND INVESTIGATION COSTS

Litigation and investigation costs for the year ended December 31, 2012 were \$5 million compared to \$55 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The 2012 amount primarily related to costs associated with various legal proceedings and governmental reviews. The 2011 amount primarily related to costs associated with our evaluation of an unsolicited acquisition proposal received in November 2010 (which was subsequently withdrawn), changes in reserve estimates established in connection with certain governmental reviews, accruals for a physician privileges case and certain hospital-related tort claims, the settlement of a union arbitration claim and costs to defend various matters.

INTEREST EXPENSE

Interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$412 million compared to \$375 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase primarily related to a \$30 million favorable impact from an interest rate swap agreement we terminated in August 2011. During the year ended December 31, 2011, the interest rate swap agreement generated approximately \$8 million of cash interest savings and a \$22 million gain on the settlement of the agreement. See Note 6 to the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information about this agreement.

LOSS FROM EARLY EXTINGUISHMENT OF DEBT

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we recorded a loss from early extinguishment of debt of approximately \$4 million, primarily related to the difference between the purchase prices and the par values of the \$161 million aggregate principal amount outstanding of our 7 3/8% senior notes due 2013 that we purchased during the period. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we recorded a loss from early extinguishment of debt of approximately \$117 million, primarily related to the difference between the purchase prices and the par values of the \$713 million aggregate principal amount of 9% senior secured notes due 2015 that we purchased during the period, as well as the write-off of unamortized note discounts and issuance costs.

INCOME TAX EXPENSE

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we recorded income tax expense of \$125 million compared to \$61 million during the year ended December 31, 2011. See Note 16 to the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information about income taxes.

211

Table of Contents

- Following our acquisition of Vanguard, in accordance with the terms of our Credit Agreement, on October 15, 2013, we increased the maximum aggregate principal amount of our revolving credit facility from \$800 million to \$1 billion, subject to borrowing availability.
- We entered into non-cancellable capital leases of approximately \$341 million, primarily for equipment and three hospitals we previously leased under operating lease agreements.

As part of our long-term objective to manage our capital structure, we may from time to time seek to retire, purchase, redeem or refinance some of our outstanding debt or equity securities subject to prevailing market conditions, our liquidity requirements, contractual restrictions and other factors. These actions are part of our strategy to manage our leverage and capital structure over time, which is dependent on our total amount of debt, our cash and our operating results. At December 31, 2013, using the last 12 months of Adjusted EBITDA, including Vanguard's last 12 months of Adjusted EBITDA, our ratio of total long-term debt, net of cash and cash equivalent balances, to Adjusted EBITDA was 6.0x. We anticipate this ratio will fluctuate from quarter to quarter based on earnings performance and other factors, including acquisitions that involve the assumption of long-term debt. We intend to manage this ratio by following our business plan, managing our cost structure and through other changes in our capital structure, including, if appropriate, the issuance of equity or convertible securities. Our ability to achieve our leverage and capital structure objectives is subject to numerous risks and uncertainties, many of which are described in the Forward-Looking Statements and Risk Factors sections in Part I of this report.

Our capital expenditures primarily relate to the expansion and renovation of existing facilities (including amounts to comply with applicable laws and regulations), equipment and information systems additions and replacements (including those required to achieve compliance with the HIT requirements under ARRA), introduction of new medical technologies, design and construction of new buildings, and various other capital improvements. Capital expenditures were \$691 million, \$508 million and \$475 million in the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, which included \$2 million and \$8 million in the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, related to discontinued operations. We anticipate that our capital expenditures for continuing operations for the year ending December 31, 2014 will total approximately \$900 million to \$1 billion, including \$193 million that was accrued as a liability at December 31, 2013. Our budgeted 2014 capital expenditures include approximately \$18 million to improve disability access at certain of our facilities pursuant to the terms of a negotiated consent decree. We expect to spend approximately \$13 million more on such improvements over the next two years.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, we acquired Vanguard for approximately \$4.3 billion, or \$21.00 per share of Vanguard stock, including the assumption of \$2.5 billion of net Vanguard debt. We also purchased the following businesses: (1) 11 ambulatory surgery centers (in one of which we had previously held a noncontrolling interest); (2) an urgent care center; (3) a provider network based in Southern California that includes contracted independent physicians, ancillary providers and hospitals; (4) a medical office building; and (5) various physician practice entities. The fair value of the consideration conveyed in the acquisitions was \$1.515 billion.

Interest payments, net of capitalized interest, were \$426 million, \$376 million and \$347 million in the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

From time to time, we use interest rate swap agreements to manage our exposure to future changes in interest rates. We were party to an interest rate swap agreement for an aggregate notional amount of \$600 million from February 14, 2011 through August 2, 2011. The interest rate swap agreement was designated as a fair value hedge. It had the effect of converting our 10% senior secured notes due 2018 from a fixed interest rate paid semi-annually to a variable interest rate paid semi-annually based on the six-month London Interbank Offered Rate plus a floating rate spread of 6.60%. During the term of the interest rate swap agreement, changes in the fair value of the interest rate swap agreement and changes in the fair value of the 10% senior secured notes were recorded in interest expense. During the year ended December 31, 2011, our interest rate swap agreement generated \$8 million of cash interest savings and a \$22 million gain on the settlement of the agreement.

Table of Contents

Income tax payments, net of tax refunds, were approximately \$6 million in the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to approximately \$13 million in the year ended December 31, 2012. At December 31, 2013, our carryforwards available to offset future taxable income consisted of (1) federal net operating loss ("NOL") carryforwards of approximately \$1.6 billion pretax expiring in 2024 to 2033, (2) approximately \$19 million in alternative minimum tax credits with no expiration, (3) general business credit carryforwards of approximately \$14 million expiring in 2023 to 2031, and (4) state NOL carryforwards of \$3.8 billion expiring in 2014 to 2033 for which the associated deferred tax benefit, net of valuation allowance and federal tax impact, is \$34 million. Our ability to utilize NOL carryforwards to reduce future taxable income may be limited under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code if our "five-percent shareholders" (as defined in Section 382 of the Code) collectively increase their ownership by more than 50 percentage points (by value) over a rolling three-year period. These ownership changes include purchases of common stock under share repurchase programs, our offering of stock, the purchase or sale of our stock by five-percent shareholders, or the issuance or exercise of rights to acquire our stock. While we expect to be able to realize our total NOL carryforwards prior to their expiration, if an ownership change occurs, our ability to use the NOL carryforwards to offset future taxable income will be subject to an annual limitation and will depend on the amount of taxable income we generate in future periods.

Periodic examinations of our tax returns by the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") or other taxing authorities could result in the payment of additional taxes. The IRS has completed audits of our tax returns for all tax years ended on or before December 31, 2007. All disputed issues with respect to these audits have been resolved, and all related tax assessments (including interest) have been paid. Our tax returns for years ended after December 31, 2007 and Vanguard's tax returns for fiscal years after June 30, 2004 are subject to examination by the IRS.

SOURCES AND USES OF CASH

Our liquidity for the year ended December 31, 2013 was primarily derived from net cash provided by operating activities, cash on hand and borrowings under our revolving credit facility. We had approximately \$113 million of cash and cash equivalents on hand at December 31, 2013 to fund our operations and capital expenditures, and our borrowing availability under our credit facility was \$406 million based on our borrowing base calculation as of December 31, 2013.

Our primary source of operating cash is the collection of accounts receivable. As such, our operating cash flow is negatively impacted by lower levels of cash collections and higher levels of bad debt due to unfavorable shifts in payer mix, growth in admissions of uninsured and underinsured patients, and other factors.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$589 million in the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to \$593 million in the year ended December 31, 2012. Key negative and positive factors contributing to the change between the 2013 and 2012 periods include the following:

- Increased income from continuing operations before income taxes of \$139 million, excluding net gain on sales of investments, investment earnings (loss), gain (loss) from early extinguishment of debt, interest expense, litigation and investigation costs, impairment and restructuring charges, acquisition-related costs, and depreciation and amortization in the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to the year ended December 31, 2012;
- The unfavorable impact of increased DSH receivables of \$30 million primarily related to the Texas uncompensated care 1115 waiver program;
- \$20 million less cash used in operating activities from discontinued operations;
- An increase of \$51 million in payments on reserves for restructuring charges, acquisition-related costs, and litigation costs and settlements;
- \$50 million of additional interest payments primarily due to \$37 million of interest payments related to the Vanguard debt refinanced in connection with the acquisition on October 1, 2013; and
- Income tax payments of \$6 million in the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to \$13 million in the year ended December 31, 2012.

We continue to seek further initiatives to increase the efficiency of our balance sheet by generating incremental cash. These initiatives may include the sale of excess land, buildings or other underutilized or inefficient assets.

Table of Contents

Capital expenditures were \$691 million and \$508 million in the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

In October 2012, we announced that our board of directors had authorized the repurchase of up to \$500 million of our common stock through a share repurchase program that expired in December 2013. Under the program, shares could be purchased in the open market or through privately negotiated transactions in a manner consistent with applicable securities laws and regulations, including pursuant to a Rule 10b5-1 plan we maintained. Shares were repurchased at times and in amounts based on market conditions and other factors. Pursuant to the share repurchase program, we paid approximately \$100 million to repurchase a total of 3,406,324 shares during the period from the commencement of the program through December 31, 2012, and we paid approximately \$400 million to repurchase a total of 9,484,974 shares during the period from January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2013.

We record our investments that are available-for-sale at fair market value. As shown in Note 13 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, the majority of our investments are valued based on quoted market prices or other observable inputs. We have no investments that we expect will be negatively affected by the current economic downturn that will materially impact our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

DEBT INSTRUMENTS, GUARANTEES AND RELATED COVENANTS

We have a senior secured revolving credit facility (as amended, the "Credit Agreement"), that provides, subject to borrowing availability, for revolving loans in an aggregate principal amount of up to \$1 billion, with a \$300 million subfacility for standby letters of credit. The Credit Agreement has a scheduled maturity date of November 29, 2016, subject to our repayment or refinancing on or before November 3, 2014 of approximately \$238 million of the aggregate outstanding principal amount of our 9 1/4% senior notes due 2015 (approximately \$474 million of which was outstanding at December 31, 2013). If such repayment or refinancing does not occur, borrowings under the Credit Agreement will be due November 3, 2014. We are in compliance with all covenants and conditions in our Credit Agreement. There were \$405 million of cash borrowings outstanding under the revolving credit facility at December 30, 2013, and we had approximately \$189 million of standby letters of credit outstanding. Our borrowing availability under the Credit Agreement was \$406 million based on our borrowing base calculation as of December 31, 2013.

In October 2013, we sold \$2.8 billion aggregate principal amount of 8 1/8% senior notes, which will mature on April 1, 2022, and \$1.8 billion aggregate principal amount of 6% senior secured notes, which will mature on October 1, 2020. We will pay interest on the 8 1/8% senior notes and 6% senior secured notes semi-annually in arrears on April 1 and October 1 of each year, commencing on April 1, 2014. The proceeds from the sale of the notes were used to finance the acquisition of Vanguard. In addition, in accordance with the terms of the Credit Agreement, on October 15, 2013, we increased the maximum aggregate principal amount of our revolving credit facility from \$800 million to \$1 billion, subject to borrowing availability.

In May 2013, we sold \$1.050 billion aggregate principal amount of 4 3/8% senior secured notes, which will mature on October 1, 2021. We will pay interest on the 4 3/8% senior secured notes semi-annually in arrears on January 1 and July 1 of each year, commencing on January 1, 2014. We used a portion of the proceeds from the sale of the notes to purchase approximately \$767 million aggregate principal amount outstanding of our 8 7/8% senior secured notes due 2019 in a tender offer and to call approximately \$158 million of the remaining aggregate principal amount outstanding of those notes. In connection with the purchase, we recorded a loss from early extinguishment of debt of \$171 million, primarily related to the difference between the purchase prices and the par values of the purchased notes, as well as the write-off of unamortized note discounts and issuance costs.

In February 2013, we sold \$850 million aggregate principal amount of 4 1/2% senior secured notes, which will mature on April 1, 2021. We will pay interest on the 4 1/2% senior secured notes semi-annually in arrears on April 1 and October 1 of each year, which payments commenced on October 1, 2013. We used a portion of the proceeds from the sale of the notes to purchase approximately \$645 million aggregate principal amount outstanding of our 10% senior secured notes due 2018 in a tender offer and to call approximately \$69 million of the remaining aggregate principal amount outstanding of those notes. In connection with the purchase, we recorded a loss from early extinguishment of debt of \$177 million, primarily related to the difference between the purchase prices and the par values of the purchased notes, as well as the write-off of unamortized note discounts and issuance costs.

215

Table of Contents

For additional information regarding our long-term debt, see Note 6 to the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements.

LIQUIDITY

From time to time, we expect to engage in additional capital markets, bank credit and other financing activities depending on our needs and financing alternatives available at that time. We believe our existing debt agreements, the significant recent changes to which are described above, provide significant flexibility for future secured or unsecured borrowings.

Our cash on hand fluctuates day-to-day throughout the year based on the timing and levels of routine cash receipts and disbursements, including our book overdrafts, and required cash disbursements, such as interest and income tax payments. These fluctuations result in material intra-quarter net operating and investing uses of cash that has caused, and in the future could cause, us to use our senior secured revolving credit facility as a source of liquidity. We believe that existing cash and cash equivalents on hand, availability under our revolving credit facility, anticipated future cash provided by operating activities, and our investments in marketable securities of our captive insurance companies classified as noncurrent investments on our balance sheet should be adequate to meet our current cash needs. These sources of liquidity should also be adequate to finance planned capital expenditures, payments on the current portion of our long-term debt and other presently known operating needs.

Long-term liquidity for debt service will be dependent on improved cash provided by operating activities and, given favorable market conditions, future borrowings or refinancings. However, our cash requirements could be materially affected by the use of cash in acquisitions of businesses and repurchases of securities, and also by a deterioration in our results of operations, as well as the various uncertainties discussed in this and other sections of this report, which could require us to pursue any number of financing options, including, but not limited to, additional borrowings, debt refinancings, asset sales or other financing alternatives. The level, if any, of these financing sources cannot be assured.

We do not rely on commercial paper or other short-term financing arrangements nor do we enter into repurchase agreements or other short-term financing arrangements not otherwise reported in our period-end balance sheets. We do not have any significant European sovereign debt exposure.

We continue to aggressively identify and implement further actions to control costs and enhance our operating performance, including cash flow. Among the areas being addressed are volume growth, including the acquisition of outpatient businesses, physician recruitment and alignment strategies, expansion of our services businesses within Conifer, managed care payer contracting, procurement efficiencies, cost standardization, bad debt expense reduction initiatives, underperforming hospitals, and certain hospital and overhead costs not related to patient care. Although these initiatives may result in improved performance, our performance may remain somewhat below our hospital management peers because of geographic and other differences in hospital portfolios.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

Our consolidated operating results for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 include \$392 million, \$953 million and \$908 million, respectively, of net operating revenues and \$72 million, \$132 million and \$115 million, respectively, of operating income generated from general hospitals operated by us under operating lease arrangements (one hospital as of December 31, 2013 and four hospitals of December 31, 2012 and 2011). In accordance with GAAP, the applicable buildings and the future lease obligations under these arrangements are not recorded on our consolidated balance sheet. The one remaining operating lease is currently scheduled to expire in 2027. If we are unable to extend this lease or purchase the hospital, we would no longer generate revenues or expenses from the hospital.

We have no other off-balance sheet arrangements that may have a current or future material effect on our financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources, except for \$315 million of standby letters of credit outstanding and guarantees as of December 31, 2013.

RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

See Note 21 to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in this report for a discussion of recently issued accounting standards.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

In preparing our Consolidated Financial Statements in conformity with GAAP, we must use estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in our Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying notes. We regularly evaluate the accounting policies and estimates we use. In general, we base the estimates on historical experience and on assumptions that we believe to be reasonable, given the particular circumstances in which we operate. Actual results may vary from those estimates.

We consider our critical accounting estimates to be those that (1) involve significant judgments and uncertainties, (2) require estimates that are more difficult for management to determine, and (3) may produce materially different outcomes under different conditions or when using different assumptions.

Our critical accounting estimates cover the following areas:

- Recognition of net operating revenues, including contractual allowances and provision for doubtful accounts;
- Electronic health record incentives;
- Accruals for general and professional liability risks;
- Accruals for defined benefit plans;
- Impairment of long-lived assets;
- Impairment of goodwill, and
- Accounting for income taxes.

REVENUE RECOGNITION

We recognize net operating revenues before provision for doubtful accounts in the period in which our services are performed. Net operating revenues before provision for doubtful accounts primarily consist of net patient service revenues that are recorded based on established billing rates (i.e., gross charges), less estimated discounts for contractual and other allowances, principally for patients covered by Medicare, Medicaid, and managed care and other health plans, as well as certain uninsured patients under the Compact.

Revenues under the traditional fee-for-service Medicare and Medicaid programs are based primarily on prospective payment systems. Retrospectively determined cost-based revenues under these programs, which were more prevalent in earlier periods, and certain other payments, such as DSH, DGME, IME and bad debt expense, which are based on our hospitals' cost reports, are estimated using historical trends and current factors. Cost report settlements under these programs are subject to audit by Medicare and Medicaid auditors and administrative and judicial review, and it can take several years until final settlement of such matters is determined and completely resolved. Because the laws, regulations, instructions and rule interpretations governing Medicare and Medicaid reimbursement are complex and change frequently, the estimates recorded by us could change by material amounts.

We have a system and estimation process for recording Medicare net patient revenue and estimated cost report settlements. This results in us recording accruals to reflect the expected final settlements on our cost reports. For filed cost reports, we record the accrual based on those cost reports and subsequent activity, and record a valuation allowance against those cost reports based on historical settlement trends. The accrual for periods for which a cost report is yet to be filed is recorded based on estimates of what we expect to report on the filed cost reports, and a corresponding valuation allowance is recorded as previously described. Cost reports must generally be filed within five months after the end of the annual cost report reporting period. After the cost report is filed, the accrual and corresponding valuation allowance may need to be adjusted.

Revenues under managed care plans are based primarily on payment terms involving predetermined rates per diagnosis, per-diem rates, discounted fee-for-service rates and other similar contractual arrangements. These revenues are also subject to review and possible audit by the payers, which can take several years before they are completely resolved. The payers are billed for patient services on an individual patient basis. An individual patient's bill is subject to adjustment on a patient-by-patient basis in the ordinary course of business by the payers following their review and adjudication of each particular bill. We estimate the discounts for contractual allowances at the individual hospital level utilizing billing data on an individual patient basis. At the end of each month, on an individual hospital basis, we estimate our expected reimbursement for patients of managed care plans based on the applicable contract terms. We believe it is reasonably likely for there to be an approximately 3% increase or decrease in the estimated contractual allowances related to managed care plans. Based on reserves as of December 31, 2013, a 3% increase or decrease in the estimated contractual allowance would impact the estimated reserves by approximately \$13 million. Some of the factors that can contribute to changes in the contractual allowance estimates include: (1) changes in reimbursement levels for procedures, supplies and drugs when threshold levels are triggered; (2) changes in

Table of Contents

reimbursement levels when stop-loss or outlier limits are reached; (3) changes in the admission status of a patient due to physician orders subsequent to initial diagnosis or testing; (4) final coding of in-house and discharged-not-final-billed patients that change reimbursement levels; (5) secondary benefits determined after primary insurance payments; and (6) reclassification of patients among insurance plans with different coverage levels. Contractual allowance estimates are periodically reviewed for accuracy by taking into consideration known contract terms, as well as payment history. Although we do not separately accumulate and disclose the aggregate amount of adjustments to the estimated reimbursement for every patient bill, we believe our estimation and review process enables us to identify instances on a timely basis where such estimates need to be revised. We do not believe there were any adjustments to estimates of patient bills that were material to our revenues. In addition, on a corporate-wide basis, we do not record any general provision for adjustments to estimated contractual allowances for managed care plans.

Revenues related to self-pay patients may qualify for a discount under the Compact, whereby the gross charges based on established billing rates would be reduced by an estimated discount for contractual allowance.

We believe that adequate provision has been made for any adjustments that may result from final determination of amounts earned under all the above arrangements. We know of no material claims, disputes or unsettled matters with any payers that would affect our revenues for which we have not adequately provided for in our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Although outcomes vary, our policy is to attempt to collect amounts due from patients, including co-pays and deductibles due from patients with insurance, at the time of service while complying with all federal and state laws and regulations, including, but not limited to, the Emergency Medical Treatment and Active Labor Act ("EMTALA"). Generally, as required by EMTALA, patients may not be denied emergency treatment due to inability to pay. Therefore, services, including the legally required medical screening examination and stabilization of the patient, are performed without delaying to obtain insurance information. In non-emergency circumstances or for elective procedures and services, it is our policy to verify insurance prior to a patient being treated; however, there are various exceptions that can occur. Such exceptions can include, for example, instances where (1) we are unable to obtain verification because the patient's insurance company was unable to be reached or contacted, (2) a determination is made that a patient may be eligible for benefits under various government programs, such as Medicaid or Victims of Crime, and it takes several days or weeks before qualification for such benefits is confirmed or denied, and (3) under physician orders we provide services to patients that require immediate treatment.

We provide for an allowance against accounts receivable that could become uncollectible by establishing an allowance to reduce the carrying value of such receivables to their estimated net realizable value. Generally, we estimate this allowance based on the aging of our accounts receivable by hospital, our historical collection experience by hospital and for each type of payer over a look-back period, and other relevant factors. Based on our accounts receivable from self-pay patients and co-pays and deductibles owed to us by patients with insurance as of December 31, 2012, a 10% decrease or increase in our self-pay collection rate, or approximately 3%, which we believe could be a reasonable likely change, would result in an unfavorable or favorable adjustment to provision for doubtful accounts of approximately \$9 million. There are various factors that can impact collection trends, such as changes in the economy, which in turn have an impact on unemployment rates and the number of uninsured and underinsured patients, the volume of patients through our emergency departments, the increased burden of co-pays and deductibles to be made by patients with insurance, and business practices related to collection efforts. These factors continuously change and can have an impact on collection trends and our estimation process.

Our practice is to reduce the net carrying value of self-pay accounts receivable, including accounts related to the co-pays and deductibles due from patients with insurance, to their estimated net realizable value at the time of billing. Generally, uncollected balances are assigned to Conifer between 90 to 180 days, once patient responsibility has been identified. When accounts are assigned to Conifer by the hospital, the accounts are completely written off the hospital's books through the provision for doubtful accounts, and an estimated future recovery amount is calculated and recorded as a receivable on the hospital's books at the same time. The estimated future recovery amount is adjusted based on the aging of the accounts and changes to actual recovery rates. The estimated future recovery amount for self-pay accounts is written down whereby it is fully reserved if the amount is not paid within two years after the account is assigned to Conifer. At the present time, our new acquisitions have not been fully integrated into our Conifer collections processes.

Managed care accounts are collected through the regional business offices of Conifer, whereby the account balances remain in the related hospital's patient accounting system and on the hospital's books, and are adjusted based on an analysis of the net realizable value as they age. Generally, managed care accounts collected by Conifer are gradually written down whereby they are fully reserved if the accounts are not paid within two years.

Table of Contents

Changes in the collectability of aged managed care accounts receivable are ongoing and impact our provision for doubtful accounts. We continue to experience payment pressure from managed care companies concerning amounts of past billings. We aggressively pursue collection of these accounts receivable using all means at our disposal, including arbitration and litigation, but we may not be successful.

ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORD INCENTIVES

Under certain provisions of ARRA, federal incentive payments are available to hospitals, physicians and certain other professionals when they adopt, implement or upgrade ("AIU") certified EHR technology or become "meaningful users," as defined under ARRA, of EHR technology in ways that demonstrate improved quality, safety and effectiveness of care. Providers can become eligible for annual Medicare incentive payments by demonstrating meaningful use of EHR technology in each period over four periods. Medicaid providers can receive their initial incentive payment by satisfying AIU criteria, but must demonstrate meaningful use of EHR technology in subsequent years in order to qualify for additional payments. Hospitals may be eligible for both Medicare and Medicaid EHR incentive payments; however, physicians and other professionals may be eligible for either Medicare or Medicaid incentive payments, but not both. Hospitals that are meaningful users under the Medicare EHR incentive payment program are deemed meaningful users under the Medicaid EHR incentive payment program and do not need to meet additional criteria imposed by a state. Medicaid EHR incentive payments to providers are 100% federally funded and administered by the states. CMS established calendar year 2011 as the first year states could offer EHR incentive payments. Before a state may offer EHR incentive payments, the state must submit and CMS must approve the state's incentive plan.

We recognize Medicaid EHR incentive payments in our consolidated statements of operations for the first payment year when: (1) CMS approves a state's EHR incentive plan; and (2) our hospital or employed physician acquires certified EHR technology (i.e., when AIU criteria are met). Medicaid EHR incentive payments for subsequent payment years are recognized in the period during which the specified meaningful use criteria are met. We recognize Medicare EHR incentive payments when: (1) the specified meaningful use criteria are met; and (2) contingencies in estimating the amount of the incentive payments to be received are resolved.

The meaningful use information submitted to CMS is subject to review, verification and audit. Additionally, the final Medicare and Medicaid EHR incentive payments under ARRA are based on financial and statistical data, which may be estimated using historical trends and current factors, in the settled Medicare cost report for the cost reporting period that begins in the federal fiscal year in which the criteria are met. We have acquired, developed and implemented systems to accumulate the information necessary to demonstrate meaningful use of EHR technology. We also have a system and estimation process for recording the financial and statistical data utilized as part of the cost reporting process. Cost reports must generally be filed within five months after the end of the annual cost report reporting period. Cost report settlements are subject to audit by Medicare and Medicaid auditors and administrative and judicial review, and it can take several years until final settlement of such matters is determined and completely resolved. Because the laws, regulations, instructions and rule interpretations governing Medicare and Medicaid reimbursement are complex and change frequently, the estimates recorded by us could change by material amounts. Final settlement of cost reports, which could impact the financial and statistical data on which EHR incentives are based, or a determination that meaningful use was not attained could result in adjustment to previously recognized EHR incentive payments or retrospective recoupment of incentive payments.

ACCRUALS FOR GENERAL AND PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY RISKS

We accrue for estimated professional and general liability claims, to the extent not covered by insurance, when they are probable and can be reasonably estimated. We maintain reserves, which are based on actuarial estimates for the portion of our professional liability risks, including incurred but not reported claims, to the extent we do not have insurance coverage. Our liability consists of estimates established based upon discounted actuarial calculations using several factors, including the number of expected claims, estimates of losses for these claims based on recent and historical settlement amounts, estimates of incurred but not reported claims based on historical experience, the timing of historical payments, and risk free discount rates used to determine the present value of projected payments. We consider the number of expected claims, average cost per claim and discount rate to be the most significant assumptions in estimating accruals for general and professional liabilities. Our liabilities are adjusted for new claims information in the period such information becomes known. Malpractice expense is recorded within other operating expenses in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Our estimated reserves for professional and general liability claims will change significantly if future claims differ from expected trends. We believe it is reasonably likely for there to be a 5% increase or decrease in the number of expected claims or average cost per claim. Based on our reserves and other information as of December 31, 2013, a 5% increase in the number of

Table of Contents

expected claims would increase the estimated reserves by \$37 million, and a 5% decrease in the number of expected claims would decrease the estimated reserves by \$33 million. A 5% increase in the average cost per claim would increase the estimated reserves by \$52 million, and a 5% decrease in the average cost per claim would decrease the estimated reserves by \$46 million. Because our estimated reserves for future claim payments are discounted to present value, a change in our discount rate assumption could also have a significant impact on our estimated reserves. Our discount rate was 2.45%, 1.18% and 1.35% at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. A 100 basis point increase or decrease in the discount rate would change the estimated reserves by \$17 million. In addition, because of the complexity of the claims, the extended period of time to settle the claims and the wide range of potential outcomes, our ultimate liability for professional and general liability claims could change materially from our current estimates.

The table below shows the case reserves and incurred but not reported and loss development reserves as of December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

	December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Case reserves	\$ 175	\$ 97	\$ 111
Incurred but not reported and loss development reserves	575	272	319
Total undiscounted reserves	\$ 750	\$ 369	\$ 430

Several actuarial methods, including the incurred, paid loss development and Bornhuetter-Ferguson methods, are applied to our historical loss data to produce estimates of ultimate expected losses and the resulting incurred but not reported and loss development reserves. These methods use our specific historical claims data related to paid losses and loss adjustment expenses, historical and current case reserves, reported and closed claim counts, and a variety of hospital census information. Based on these analyses, we determine our estimate of the professional liability claims, including the incurred but not reported and loss development reserve estimates. The determination of our estimates involves subjective judgment and could result in material changes to our estimates in future periods if our actual experience is materially different than our assumptions.

Malpractice claims generally take 4 to 5 years to settle from the time of the initial reporting of the occurrence to the settlement payment. Accordingly, the percentage of undiscounted reserves as of both December 31, 2013 and 2012 representing unsettled claims is approximately 99%.

The following table, which includes both our continuing and discontinued operations, presents the amount of our accruals for professional and general liability claims and the corresponding activity therein:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Accrual for professional and general liability claims, beginning of the year	\$ 356	\$ 412	\$ 467
Assumed from acquisition	361	0	0
Expense (income) related to:(1)			
Current year	102	86	107
Prior years	13	(2)	10
Expense (income) from discounting	(13)	4	22
Total incurred loss and loss expense	102	88	139
Paid claims and expenses related to:			
Current year	(3)	(2)	(2)
Prior years	(117)	(142)	(192)
Total paid claims and expenses	(120)	(144)	(194)
Accrual for professional and general liability claims, end of year	\$ 699	\$ 356	\$ 412

(1) Total malpractice expense for continuing operations, including premiums for insured coverage, was \$112 million, \$92 million and \$108 million in the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

ACCRUALS FOR DEFINED BENEFIT PLANS

Our defined benefit plan obligations and related costs are calculated using actuarial concepts. The discount rate is a critical assumption in determining the elements of expense and liability measurement. We evaluate this critical assumption

220

Table of Contents

annually. Other assumptions include employee demographic factors such as retirement patterns, mortality, turnover and rate of compensation increase.

The discount rate enables us to state expected future cash payments for benefits as a present value on the measurement date. The guideline for setting these rates is a high-quality long-term corporate bond rate. A lower discount rate increases the present value of benefit obligations and increases pension expense. Our discount rates for 2013 ranged from 5.00% to 5.18% and our discount rate for 2012 was 4.00%. The assumed discount rate for pension plans reflects the market rates for high-quality corporate bonds currently available. A 100 basis point decrease in the assumed discount rate would increase total net periodic pension expense for 2013 by approximately \$7 million and would increase the projected benefit obligation at December 31, 2013 by approximately \$157 million. A 100 basis point increase in the assumed discount rate would decrease net periodic pension expense for 2014 by approximately \$5 million and decrease the projected benefit obligation at December 31, 2013 by approximately \$131 million.

IMPAIRMENT OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS

We evaluate our long-lived assets for possible impairment annually or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the asset, or related group of assets, may not be recoverable from estimated future undiscounted cash flows. If the estimated future undiscounted cash flows are less than the carrying value of the assets, we calculate the amount of an impairment charge if the carrying value of the long-lived assets exceeds the fair value of the assets. The fair value of the assets is estimated based on appraisals, established market values of comparable assets or internal estimates of future net cash flows expected to result from the use and ultimate disposition of the asset. The estimates of these future cash flows are based on assumptions and projections we believe to be reasonable and supportable. They require our subjective judgments and take into account assumptions about revenue and expense growth rates. These assumptions may vary by type of facility and presume stable, improving or, in some cases, declining results at our hospitals, depending on their circumstances. If the presumed level of performance does not occur as expected, impairment may result.

We report long-lived assets to be disposed of at the lower of their carrying amounts or fair values less costs to sell. In such circumstances, our estimates of fair value are based on appraisals, established market prices for comparable assets or internal estimates of future net cash flows.

Fair value estimates can change by material amounts in subsequent periods. Many factors and assumptions can impact the estimates, including the following risks:

- future financial results of our hospitals, which can be impacted by volumes of insured patients and declines in commercial managed care patients, terms of managed care payer arrangements, our ability to collect accounts due from uninsured and managed care payers, loss of volumes as a result of competition, and our ability to manage costs such as labor costs, which can be adversely impacted by union activity and the shortage of experienced nurses;
- changes in payments from governmental health care programs and in government regulations such as reductions to Medicare and Medicaid payment rates resulting from government legislation or rule-making or from budgetary challenges of states in which we operate;
- how the hospitals are operated in the future; and
- the nature of the ultimate disposition of the assets.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, we recorded impairment and restructuring charges and acquisition-related costs of \$103 million. This amount included a \$12 million impairment charge for the write-down of buildings and equipment and other long-lived assets, primarily capitalized software costs classified in other intangible assets, of one of our hospitals to their estimated fair values, primarily due to a decline in the fair value of real estate in the market in which the hospital operates and a decline in the estimated fair value of equipment. Material adverse trends in our most recent estimates of future undiscounted cash flows of the hospital indicated the carrying value of the hospital's long-lived assets was not recoverable from the estimated future cash flows. We believe the most significant factors contributing to the adverse financial trends include reductions in volumes of insured patients, shifts in payer mix from commercial to governmental payers combined with reductions in reimbursement rates from governmental payers, and high levels of uninsured patients. As a result, we updated the estimate of the fair value of the hospital's long-lived assets and compared the fair value estimate to the carrying value of the

Table of Contents

hospital's long-lived assets. Because the fair value estimate was lower than the carrying value of the hospital's long-lived assets, an impairment charge was recorded for the difference in the amounts. Unless the anticipated future financial trends of this hospital improve to the extent that the estimated future undiscounted cash flows exceed the carrying value of the long-lived assets, this hospital is at risk of future impairments, particularly if we spend significant amounts of capital at the hospital without generating a corresponding increase in the hospital's fair value or if the fair value of the hospital's real estate or equipment continues to decline. The aggregate carrying value of assets held and used of the hospital for which an impairment charge was recorded was \$44 million as of December 31, 2013 after recording the impairment charge. We also recorded \$16 million of restructuring costs, \$14 million of employee severance costs, \$2 million of lease termination costs, and \$59 million in acquisition-related costs. Additionally, in our most recent impairment analysis as of December 31, 2013, we had two hospitals with an aggregate carrying value of long-lived assets of approximately \$227 million whose estimated future undiscounted cash flows exceeded the carrying value of long-lived assets by an aggregate amount of approximately \$150 million. These two hospitals had the smallest excess of future undiscounted cash flows on an annual basis necessary to recover the carrying value of their assets. We also had one hospital whose estimated future undiscounted cash flows did not exceed the carrying value of long-lived assets. However, the fair value of those assets, based on an independent appraisal, exceeded the carrying value by \$23 million, so no impairment was recorded. Future adverse trends that result in necessary changes in the assumptions underlying these estimates of future undiscounted cash flows could result in the hospitals' estimated cash flows being less than the carrying value of the assets, which would require a fair value assessment of the long-lived assets and, if the fair value amount is less than the carrying value of the assets, impairment charges would occur and could be material.

IMPAIRMENT OF GOODWILL

Goodwill represents the excess of costs over the fair value of assets of businesses acquired. Goodwill and other intangible assets acquired in purchase business combinations and determined to have indefinite useful lives are not amortized, but instead are subject to impairment tests performed at least annually. For goodwill, we perform the test at the reporting unit level, as defined by applicable accounting standards, when events occur that require an evaluation to be performed or at least annually. If we determine the carrying value of goodwill is impaired, or if the carrying value of a business that is to be sold or otherwise disposed of exceeds its fair value, then we reduce the carrying value, including any allocated goodwill, to fair value. Estimates of fair value are based on appraisals, established market prices for comparable assets or internal estimates of future net cash flows and presume stable, improving or, in some cases, declining results at our hospitals, depending on their circumstances. If the presumed level of performance does not occur as expected, impairment may result.

As of December 31, 2013, our continuing operations consisted of two operating segments, our Conifer subsidiary and our hospital and other operations. In the three months ended December 31, 2013, we acquired 28 hospitals (plus one more under construction), 39 outpatient centers and five health plans, serving communities in Arizona, California, Illinois, Massachusetts, Michigan and Texas, through our acquisition of Vanguard, and we moved our hospitals in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania from our Southern States region into our Northeast region. Our hospital and other operations segment was structured as follows as of December 31, 2013:

- Our California region included all of our hospitals and other operations in California;
- Our Central region included all of our hospitals and other operations in Missouri, New Mexico, Tennessee and Texas, except for those in the San Antonio or South Texas markets;
- Our Florida region included all of our hospitals and other operations in Florida;
- Our Northeast region included all of our hospitals and other operations in Illinois, Massachusetts and Pennsylvania;
- Our Southern States region included all of our hospitals and other operations in Alabama, Georgia, North Carolina and South Carolina;
- Our Detroit market region included all of our hospitals and other operations in the Detroit, Michigan area;
- Our Phoenix market included all of our hospitals and other operations in the Phoenix, Arizona area;
- Our San Antonio market included all of our hospitals and other operations in the San Antonio, Texas area; and

Table of Contents

- Our South Texas market included all of our hospitals and other operations in the Brownsville, Texas and Harlingen, Texas areas.

These regions and markets are reporting units used to perform our goodwill impairment analysis and are one level below our hospital operations reportable business segment level.

The goodwill balance related to our acquisition of Vanguard has not yet been allocated by reporting unit. Our allocated goodwill balance is primarily related to our Southern States region, which totals approximately \$388 million, and our Central region, which totals approximately \$370 million. In our latest impairment analysis as of December 31, 2013, the estimated fair value of these regions exceeded the carrying value of long-lived assets, including goodwill, by approximately 18% and 116%, respectively.

ACCOUNTING FOR INCOME TAXES

We account for income taxes using the asset and liability method. This approach requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the carrying amounts and the tax bases of assets and liabilities. Income tax receivables and liabilities and deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized based on the amounts that more likely than not will be sustained upon ultimate settlement with taxing authorities.

Developing our provision for income taxes and analysis of uncertain tax positions items requires significant judgment and knowledge of federal and state income tax laws, regulations and strategies, including the determination of deferred tax assets and liabilities and, if necessary, any valuation allowances that may be required for deferred tax assets.

We assess the realization of our deferred tax assets to determine whether an income tax valuation allowance is required. Based on all available evidence, both positive and negative, and the weight of that evidence to the extent such evidence can be objectively verified, we determine whether it is more likely than not that all or a portion of the deferred tax assets will be realized. The main factors that we consider include:

- Cumulative profits/losses in recent years, adjusted for certain nonrecurring items;
- Income/losses expected in future years;
- Unsettled circumstances that, if unfavorably resolved, would adversely affect future operations and profit levels;
- The availability, or lack thereof, of taxable income in prior carryback periods that would limit realization of tax benefits; and
- The carryforward period associated with the deferred tax assets and liabilities.

During the year ended December 31, 2011, we reduced our valuation allowance by \$5 million based on 2011 profits and projected profits for 2012. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we reduced the valuation allowance by an additional \$5 million based on 2012 profits and projected profits for 2013. During the year ended December 31, 2013, the valuation allowance increased by \$51 million, \$28 million due to the acquisition of Vanguard and \$23 million primarily due to the recording of deferred tax assets for state net operating loss carryforwards that have a full valuation allowance. The remaining \$107 million balance in the valuation allowance as of December 31, 2013 is primarily attributable to certain state net operating loss carryovers that, more likely than not, will expire unutilized.

We consider many factors when evaluating our uncertain tax positions, and such judgments are subject to periodic review. Tax benefits associated with uncertain tax positions are recognized in the period in which one of the following conditions is satisfied: (1) the more likely than not recognition threshold is satisfied; (2) the position is ultimately settled through negotiation or litigation; or (3) the statute of limitations for the taxing authority to examine and challenge the position has expired. Tax benefits associated with an uncertain tax position are derecognized in the period in which the more likely than not recognition threshold is no longer satisfied.

While we believe we have adequately provided for our income tax receivables or liabilities and our deferred tax assets or liabilities, adverse determinations by taxing authorities or changes in tax laws and regulations could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The table below presents information about certain of our market-sensitive financial instruments as of December 31, 2013. The fair values were determined based on quoted market prices for the same or similar instruments. The average effective interest rates presented are based on the rate in effect at the reporting date. The effects of unamortized premiums and discounts are excluded from the table.

	Maturity Date, Years Ending December 31,					Thereafter	Total	Fair Value
	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018			
	(Dollars in Millions)							
Fixed rate long-term debt	\$ 149	\$ 539	\$ 25	\$ 49	\$ 1,047	\$ 8,653	\$ 10,462	\$ 10,833
Average effective interest rates	7.0%	8.9%	5.3%	8.7%	6.6%	7.0%	7.0%	
Variable rate long-term debt	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 405	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 405	\$ 405
Average effective interest rates	—	—	2.38%	—	—	—	2.38%	

At December 31, 2013, the potential reduction of annual pretax earnings due to a one percentage point (100 basis point) increase in variable interest rates on long-term debt would be approximately \$4 million.

At December 31, 2013, we had long-term, market-sensitive investments held by our captive insurance subsidiaries. Our market risk associated with our investments in debt securities classified as non-current assets is substantially mitigated by the long-term nature and type of the investments in the portfolio.

We have no affiliation with partnerships, trusts or other entities (sometimes referred to as “special-purpose” or “variable-interest” entities) whose purpose is to facilitate off-balance sheet financial transactions or similar arrangements by us. Thus, we have no exposure to the financing, liquidity, market or credit risks associated with such entities.

We do not hold or issue derivative instruments for trading purposes and are not a party to any instruments with leverage or prepayment features.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

To Our Shareholders:

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Management assessed the effectiveness of Tenet's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013. This assessment was performed under the supervision of and with the participation of management, including the chief executive officer and chief financial officer.

In making this assessment, management used criteria based on the framework in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework (1992)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO"). Based on the assessment using the COSO framework, management concluded that Tenet's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2013.

As more fully described under the heading "Basis of Presentation" in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8, we acquired Vanguard Health Systems, Inc. ("Vanguard") on October 1, 2013. We excluded Vanguard from our 2013 assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. Vanguard accounted for approximately 12% and 39% of net and total assets, respectively, and 13% of net operating revenues of our consolidated financial statement amounts as of and for the year ended December 31, 2013. We expect that our internal control system will be fully implemented at Vanguard during 2014 and correspondingly evaluated by us for effectiveness.

Tenet's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013 has been audited by Deloitte & Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report, which is included herein. Deloitte & Touche LLP has also audited Tenet's Consolidated Financial Statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2013, and that firm's audit report on such Consolidated Financial Statements is also included herein.

Internal control over financial reporting cannot provide absolute assurance of achieving financial reporting objectives because of its inherent limitations. Internal control over financial reporting is a process that involves human diligence and compliance and is subject to lapses in judgment and breakdowns resulting from human failures. Internal control over financial reporting also can be circumvented by collusion or improper management override. Because of such limitations, there is a risk that material misstatements may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by internal control over financial reporting. However, these inherent limitations are known features of the financial reporting process. Therefore, it is possible to design into the process safeguards to reduce, though not eliminate, this risk.

/s/ TREVOR FETTER

Trevor Fetter
President and Chief Executive Officer
February 24, 2014

/s/ DANIEL J. CANCELMI

Daniel J. Cancelmi
Chief Financial Officer
February 24, 2014

225

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Tenet Healthcare Corporation
Dallas, Texas

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Tenet Healthcare Corporation and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework (1992)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. As described in Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting, management excluded from its assessment the internal control over financial reporting at Vanguard Health Systems, Inc., which was acquired on October 1, 2013 and whose financial statements constitute 12% and 39% of net and total assets, respectively, and 13% of net operating revenues of the consolidated financial statement amounts as of and for the year ended December 31, 2013. Accordingly, our audit did not include the internal control over financial reporting at Vanguard Health Systems, Inc. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework (1992)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule as of and for the year ended December 31, 2013 of the Company and our report dated February 24, 2014 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements and financial statement schedule.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP
Dallas, Texas
February 24, 2014

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Tenet Healthcare Corporation
Dallas, Texas

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Tenet Healthcare Corporation and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations, other comprehensive income (loss), changes in equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15. These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Tenet Healthcare Corporation and subsidiaries at December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework* (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 24, 2014 expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP
Dallas, Texas
February 24, 2014

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
Dollars in Millions

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 113	\$ 364
Accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts (\$589 at December 31, 2013 and \$401 at December 31, 2012)	2,038	1,345
Inventories of supplies, at cost	262	153
Income tax receivable	0	7
Current portion of deferred income taxes	581	354
Other current assets	716	458
Total current assets	3,710	2,681
Investments and other assets	405	162
Deferred income taxes, net of current portion	90	342
Property and equipment, at cost, less accumulated depreciation and amortization (\$3,898 at December 31, 2013 and \$3,494 at December 31, 2012)	7,691	4,293
Goodwill	3,042	916
Other intangible assets, at cost, less accumulated amortization (\$523 at December 31, 2013 and \$426 at December 31, 2012)	1,192	650
Total assets	\$ 16,130	\$ 9,044
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 149	\$ 94
Accounts payable	1,075	722
Accrued compensation and benefits	631	415
Professional and general liability reserves	156	64
Accrued interest payable	198	125
Other current liabilities	719	343
Total current liabilities	2,928	1,763
Long-term debt, net of current portion	10,690	5,158
Professional and general liability reserves	543	292
Defined benefit plan obligations	398	292
Other long-term liabilities	446	305
Total liabilities	15,005	7,810
Commitments and contingencies		
Redeemable noncontrolling interests in equity of consolidated subsidiaries	247	16
Equity:		
Shareholders' equity:		
Common stock, \$0.05 par value; authorized 262,500,000 shares; 144,057,351 shares issued at December 31, 2013 and 142,363,915 shares issued at December 31, 2012	7	7
Additional paid-in capital	4,572	4,471
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(24)	(68)
Accumulated deficit	(1,422)	(1,288)
Common stock in treasury, at cost, 47,197,722 shares at December 31, 2013 and 37,730,431 shares at December 31, 2012	(2,378)	(1,979)
Total shareholders' equity	755	1,143
Noncontrolling interests	123	75
Total equity	878	1,218
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 16,130	\$ 9,044

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

228

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
Dollars in Millions, Except Per-Share Amounts

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Net operating revenues:			
Net operating revenues before provision for doubtful accounts	\$ 12,074	\$ 9,904	\$ 9,371
Less: Provision for doubtful accounts	972	785	717
Net operating revenues	11,102	9,119	8,654
Operating expenses:			
Salaries, wages and benefits	5,371	4,257	4,015
Supplies	1,784	1,552	1,548
Other operating expenses, net	2,701	2,147	2,020
Electronic health record incentives	(96)	(40)	(55)
Depreciation and amortization	545	430	398
Impairment and restructuring charges, and acquisition-related costs	103	19	20
Litigation and investigation costs	31	5	55
Operating income	663	749	653
Interest expense	(474)	(412)	(375)
Loss from early extinguishment of debt	(348)	(4)	(117)
Investment earnings	1	1	3
Income (loss) from continuing operations, before income taxes	(158)	334	164
Income tax benefit (expense)	65	(125)	(61)
Income (loss) from continuing operations, before discontinued operations	(93)	209	103
Discontinued operations:			
Loss from operations	(5)	(2)	(18)
Impairment of long-lived assets and goodwill, and restructuring charges, net	(0)	(100)	(6)
Litigation and investigation costs	(2)	0	(17)
Net gains on sales of facilities	0	1	0
Income tax benefit (expense)	(4)	25	32
Loss from discontinued operations	(11)	(76)	(9)
Net income (loss)	(104)	133	94
Less: Preferred stock dividends	0	11	24
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests			
Continuing operations	30	13	11
Discontinued operations	(0)	(32)	1
Net income (loss) attributable to Tenet Healthcare Corporation common shareholders	\$ (134)	\$ 141	\$ 58
Amounts attributable to Tenet Healthcare Corporation common shareholders			
Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax	\$ (123)	\$ 185	\$ 68
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax	(11)	(44)	(10)
Net income (loss) attributable to Tenet Healthcare Corporation common shareholders	\$ (134)	\$ 141	\$ 58
Earnings (loss) per share attributable to Tenet Healthcare Corporation common shareholders:			
Basic			
Continuing operations	\$ (1.21)	\$ 1.77	\$ 0.58
Discontinued operations	(0.11)	(0.42)	(0.09)
	\$ (1.32)	\$ 1.35	\$ 0.49
Diluted			
Continuing operations	\$ (1.21)	\$ 1.70	\$ 0.56
Discontinued operations	(0.11)	(0.40)	(0.08)
	\$ (1.32)	\$ 1.30	\$ 0.48
Weighted average shares and dilutive securities outstanding (in thousands):			
Basic	101,648	104,200	117,182
Diluted	101,648	108,926	121,295

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)
Dollars in Millions

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Net income (loss)	\$ (104)	\$ 133	\$ 94
Other comprehensive income (loss):			
Adjustments for defined benefit plans	68	(25)	(15)
Unrealized gains on securities held as available-for-sale	1	0	0
Reclassification adjustments for realized losses included in net income	0	0	0
Other comprehensive income (loss) before income taxes	69	(25)	(15)
Income tax benefit (expense) related to items of other comprehensive loss	(25)	9	6
Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	44	(16)	(9)
Comprehensive income (loss)	(60)	117	85
Less: Preferred stock dividends	0	11	24
Less: Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	30	(19)	12
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to Tenet Healthcare Corporation common shareholders	\$ (90)	\$ 125	\$ 49

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY
Dollars in Millions,
Share Amounts in Thousands

	Tenet Healthcare Corporation Shareholders' Equity											
	Preferred Stock		Common Stock			Accumulated					Noncontrolling Interests	Total Equity
	Shares Outstanding	Issued Amount	Shares Outstanding	Issued Par Amount	Additional Paid-in Capital	Other Comprehensive Loss	Accumulated Deficit	Treasury Stock				
Balances at												
December 31, 2010	345	\$ 334	121,446	\$ 7	4,469	\$ (43)	(1,522)	\$ (1,479)	\$ 53	\$ 1,819		
Net income	0	0	0	0	0	0	82	0	12	94		
Distributions paid to noncontrolling interests	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(10)	(10)		
Other comprehensive loss	0	0	0	0	0	(9)	0	0	0	(9)		
Purchases of businesses or joint venture interests	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	14		
Preferred stock dividends	0	0	0	0	(24)	0	0	0	0	(24)		
Repurchases of common stock	0	0	(18,942)	0	0	0	0	(374)	0	(374)		
Stock-based compensation expense and issuance of common stock	0	0	1,252	0	(18)	0	0	0	0	(18)		
Balances at												
December 31, 2011	345	\$ 334	103,756	\$ 7	4,427	\$ (52)	(1,440)	\$ (1,853)	\$ 69	\$ 1,492		
Net income (loss)	0	0	0	0	0	0	152	0	(22)	130		
Distributions paid to noncontrolling interests	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(12)	(12)		
Contributions from noncontrolling interests	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3		
Other comprehensive loss	0	0	0	0	0	(16)	0	0	0	(16)		
Purchases of businesses or joint venture interests	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	37	37		
Preferred stock dividends	0	0	0	0	(11)	0	0	0	0	(11)		
Repurchases of common stock	0	0	(4,733)	0	0	0	0	(126)	0	(126)		
Repurchases of preferred stock	(299)	(289)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(289)		
Conversion of preferred stock to common stock	(46)	(45)	1,979	0	45	0	0	0	0	0		
Stock-based compensation expense and issuance of common stock	0	0	3,631	0	10	0	0	0	0	10		
Balances at												
December 31, 2012	0	\$ 0	104,633	\$ 7	4,471	\$ (68)	(1,288)	\$ (1,979)	\$ 75	\$ 1,218		
Net income (loss)	0	0	0	0	0	0	(134)	0	21	(113)		
Distributions paid to noncontrolling interests	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(22)	(22)		
Other comprehensive income	0	0	0	0	0	44	0	0	0	44		
Contributions from noncontrolling interests	0	0	0	0	56	0	0	0	49	105		
Repurchases of common stock	0	0	(9,485)	0	0	0	0	(400)	0	(400)		
Stock-based compensation expense and issuance of common stock	0	0	1,712	0	45	0	0	1	0	46		
Balances at												
December 31, 2013	0	\$ 0	96,860	\$ 7	4,572	\$ (24)	(1,422)	\$ (2,378)	\$ 123	\$ 878		

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
Dollars in Millions

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Net income (loss)	\$ (104)	\$ 133	\$ 94
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	545	430	398
Provision for doubtful accounts	972	785	717
Deferred income tax expense (benefit)	(67)	92	81
Stock-based compensation expense	36	32	24
Impairment and restructuring charges, and acquisition-related costs	103	19	20
Litigation and investigation costs	31	5	55
Loss from early extinguishment of debt	348	4	117
Amortization of debt discount and debt issuance costs	19	22	30
Pre-tax loss (gain) from discontinued operations	7	101	41
Other items, net	(33)	(12)	(13)
Changes in cash from operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	(1,060)	(868)	(850)
Inventories and other current assets	(130)	(59)	(35)
Income taxes	0	(5)	(63)
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities	38	9	(32)
Other long-term liabilities	13	3	(5)
Payments for restructuring charges, acquisition-related costs, and litigation costs and settlements	(114)	(63)	(44)
Net cash used in operating activities from discontinued operations, excluding income taxes	(15)	(35)	(38)
Net cash provided by operating activities	589	593	497
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Purchases of property and equipment — continuing operations	(691)	(506)	(467)
Purchases of property and equipment — discontinued operations	0	(2)	(8)
Purchases of businesses or joint venture interests, net of cash acquired	(1,515)	(211)	(84)
Proceeds from sales of facilities and other assets — discontinued operations	16	45	0
Proceeds from sales of marketable securities, long-term investments and other assets	15	17	59
Other long-term assets	8	(9)	(2)
Other items, net	3	4	(1)
Net cash used in investing activities	(2,164)	(662)	(503)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Repayments of borrowings under credit facility	(1,286)	(1,773)	(365)
Proceeds from borrowings under credit facility	1,691	1,693	445
Repayments of other borrowings	(5,133)	(248)	(843)
Proceeds from other borrowings	6,507	1,092	900
Repurchases of preferred stock	0	(292)	0
Deferred debt issuance costs	(154)	(17)	(21)
Repurchases of common stock	(400)	(126)	(374)
Cash dividends on preferred stock	0	(14)	(24)
Distributions paid to noncontrolling interests	(27)	(15)	(10)
Contributions from noncontrolling interests	99	3	0
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	22	11	3
Other items, net	5	6	3
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	1,324	320	(286)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(251)	251	(292)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	364	113	405
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 113	\$ 364	\$ 113
Supplemental disclosures:			
Interest paid, net of capitalized interest	\$ (426)	\$ (376)	\$ (347)
Proceeds from interest rate swap agreement	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 30
Income tax payments, net	\$ (6)	\$ (13)	\$ (10)

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Description of Business

Tenet Healthcare Corporation (together with our subsidiaries, referred to herein as “Tenet,” “we” or “us”) is an investor-owned health care services company whose subsidiaries and affiliates as of December 31, 2013 primarily operated 77 hospitals with a total of 20,293 licensed beds, 183 outpatient centers, six health plans, six accountable care networks and Conifer Health Solutions, LLC (“Conifer”), which provides business process solutions to more than 700 hospital and other clients nationwide.

Basis of Presentation

Our Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of Tenet and its wholly owned and majority-owned subsidiaries. We eliminate intercompany accounts and transactions in consolidation, and we include the results of operations of businesses that are newly acquired in purchase transactions from their dates of acquisition. We account for significant investments in other affiliated companies using the equity method. Unless otherwise indicated, all financial and statistical data included in these notes to our Consolidated Financial Statements relate to our continuing operations, with dollar amounts expressed in millions (except per-share amounts). Certain balances in the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements and these notes have been reclassified to give retrospective presentation for the discontinued operations described in Note 4. Furthermore, all amounts related to shares, share prices and earnings per share have been restated to give retrospective presentation for the reverse stock split described in Note 2.

Effective October 1, 2013, we acquired the common stock of Vanguard Health Systems, Inc. (“Vanguard”) for \$21 per share in an all cash transaction. Vanguard owned and operated 28 hospitals (plus one more under construction), 39 outpatient centers and five health plans with approximately 140,000 members, serving communities in Arizona, California, Illinois, Massachusetts, Michigan and Texas. We paid approximately \$4.3 billion to acquire Vanguard, including the assumption of \$2.5 billion of Vanguard’s net debt.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”), requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in our Consolidated Financial Statements and these accompanying notes. We regularly evaluate the accounting policies and estimates we use. In general, we base the estimates on historical experience and on assumptions that we believe to be reasonable given the particular circumstances in which we operate. Although we believe all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included, actual results may vary from those estimates. Financial and statistical information we report to other regulatory agencies may be prepared on a basis other than GAAP or using different assumptions or reporting periods and, therefore, may vary from amounts presented herein. Although we make every effort to ensure that the information we report to those agencies is accurate, complete and consistent with applicable reporting guidelines, we cannot be responsible for the accuracy of the information they make available to the public.

Net Operating Revenues Before Provision for Doubtful Accounts

We recognize net operating revenues before provision for doubtful accounts in the period in which our services are performed. Net operating revenues before provision for doubtful accounts primarily consist of net patient service revenues that are recorded based on established billing rates (i.e., gross charges), less estimated discounts for contractual and other allowances, principally for patients covered by Medicare, Medicaid, managed care and other health plans, as well as certain uninsured patients under our *Compact with Uninsured Patients* (“Compact”) and other uninsured discount and charity programs.

Gross charges are retail charges. They are not the same as actual pricing, and they generally do not reflect what a hospital is ultimately paid and, therefore, are not displayed in our consolidated statements of operations. Hospitals are typically paid amounts that are negotiated with insurance companies or are set by the government. Gross charges are used to calculate Medicare outlier payments and to determine certain elements of payment under managed care contracts (such as stop-loss payments). Because Medicare requires that a hospital’s gross charges be the same for all patients (regardless of payer category), gross charges are also what hospitals charge all other patients prior to the application of discounts and allowances.

Table of Contents

Revenues under the traditional fee-for-service Medicare and Medicaid programs are based primarily on prospective payment systems. Retrospectively determined cost-based revenues under these programs, which were more prevalent in earlier periods, and certain other payments, such as Indirect Medical Education, Direct Graduate Medical Education, disproportionate share hospital and bad debt expense, which are based on our hospitals' cost reports, are estimated using historical trends and current factors. Cost report settlements under these programs are subject to audit by Medicare and Medicaid auditors and administrative and judicial review, and it can take several years until final settlement of such matters is determined and completely resolved. Because the laws, regulations, instructions and rule interpretations governing Medicare and Medicaid reimbursement are complex and change frequently, the estimates recorded by us could change by material amounts.

We have a system and estimation process for recording Medicare net patient revenue and estimated cost report settlements. This results in us recording accruals to reflect the expected final settlements on our cost reports. For filed cost reports, we record the accrual based on those cost reports and subsequent activity, and record a valuation allowance against those cost reports based on historical settlement trends. The accrual for periods for which a cost report is yet to be filed is recorded based on estimates of what we expect to report on the filed cost reports, and a corresponding valuation allowance is recorded as previously described. Cost reports generally must be filed within five months after the end of the annual cost reporting period. After the cost report is filed, the accrual and corresponding valuation allowance may need to be adjusted. Adjustments for prior-year cost reports and related valuation allowances, principally related to Medicare and Medicaid, increased revenues in the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 by \$38 million, \$114 million (\$81 million related to the industry-wide Medicare Budget Neutrality settlement), and \$1 million, respectively. Estimated cost report settlements and valuation allowances are included in accounts receivable in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets (see Note 3). We believe that we have made adequate provision for any adjustments that may result from final determination of amounts earned under all the above arrangements with Medicare and Medicaid.

Revenues under managed care plans are based primarily on payment terms involving predetermined rates per diagnosis, per-diem rates, discounted fee-for-service rates and/or other similar contractual arrangements. These revenues are also subject to review and possible audit by the payers, which can take several years before they are completely resolved. The payers are billed for patient services on an individual patient basis. An individual patient's bill is subject to adjustment on a patient-by-patient basis in the ordinary course of business by the payers following their review and adjudication of each particular bill. We estimate the discounts for contractual allowances at the individual hospital level utilizing billing data on an individual patient basis. At the end of each month, on an individual hospital basis, we estimate our expected reimbursement for patients of managed care plans based on the applicable contract terms. Contractual allowance estimates are periodically reviewed for accuracy by taking into consideration known contract terms as well as payment history. Although we do not separately accumulate and disclose the aggregate amount of adjustments to the estimated reimbursement for every patient bill, we believe our estimation and review process enables us to identify instances on a timely basis where such estimates need to be revised. We do not believe there were any adjustments to estimates of patient bills that were material to our revenues. In addition, on a corporate-wide basis, we do not record any general provision for adjustments to estimated contractual allowances for managed care plans. Managed care accounts, net of contractual allowances recorded, are further reduced to their net realizable value through provision for doubtful accounts based on historical collection trends for these payers and other factors that affect the estimation process.

We know of no material claims, disputes or unsettled matters with any payer that would affect our revenues for which we have not adequately provided for in the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements.

Under our Compact or other uninsured discount programs, the discount offered to certain uninsured patients is recognized as a contractual allowance, which reduces net operating revenues at the time the self-pay accounts are recorded. The uninsured patient accounts, net of contractual allowances recorded, are further reduced to their net realizable value through provision for doubtful accounts based on historical collection trends for self-pay accounts and other factors that affect the estimation process.

We also provide charity care to patients who are financially unable to pay for the health care services they receive. Most patients who qualify for charity care are charged a per-diem amount for services received, subject to a cap. Except for the per-diem amounts, our policy is not to pursue collection of amounts determined to qualify as charity care; therefore, we do not report these amounts in net operating revenues or in provision for doubtful accounts. Patient advocates from Conifer's Medical Eligibility Program screen patients in the hospital to determine whether those patients meet eligibility requirements for financial assistance programs. They also expedite the process of applying for these government programs.

234

Table of Contents

The table below shows the sources of net operating revenues before provision for doubtful accounts from continuing operations:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
General Hospitals:			
Medicare	\$ 2,357	\$ 2,195	\$ 2,068
Medicaid	975	783	802
Managed care	6,277	5,382	5,128
Indemnity, self-pay and other	1,201	1,007	958
Acute care hospitals — other revenue	78	69	105
Other:			
Other operations	1,186	468	310
Net operating revenues before provision for doubtful accounts	\$ 12,074	\$ 9,904	\$ 9,371

Provision for Doubtful Accounts

Although outcomes vary, our policy is to attempt to collect amounts due from patients, including co-pays and deductibles due from patients with insurance, at the time of service while complying with all federal and state laws and regulations, including, but not limited to, the Emergency Medical Treatment and Active Labor Act (“EMTALA”). Generally, as required by EMTALA, patients may not be denied emergency treatment due to inability to pay. Therefore, services, including the legally required medical screening examination and stabilization of the patient, are performed without delaying to obtain insurance information. In non-emergency circumstances or for elective procedures and services, it is our policy to verify insurance prior to a patient being treated; however, there are various exceptions that can occur. Such exceptions can include, for example, instances where (1) we are unable to obtain verification because the patient’s insurance company was unable to be reached or contacted, (2) a determination is made that a patient may be eligible for benefits under various government programs, such as Medicaid or Victims of Crime, and it takes several days or weeks before qualification for such benefits is confirmed or denied, and (3) under physician orders we provide services to patients that require immediate treatment.

We provide for an allowance against accounts receivable that could become uncollectible by establishing an allowance to reduce the carrying value of such receivables to their estimated net realizable value. Generally, we estimate this allowance based on the aging of our accounts receivable by hospital, our historical collection experience by hospital and for each type of payer over a look-back period, and other relevant factors. A significant portion of our provision for doubtful accounts relates to self-pay patients, as well as co-pays and deductibles owed to us by patients with insurance. Payment pressure from managed care payers also affects our provision for doubtful accounts. We typically experience ongoing managed care payment delays and disputes; however, we continue to work with these payers to obtain adequate and timely reimbursement for our services. There are various factors that can impact collection trends, such as changes in the economy, which in turn have an impact on unemployment rates and the number of uninsured and underinsured patients, the volume of patients through our emergency departments, the increased burden of co-pays and deductibles to be made by patients with insurance, and business practices related to collection efforts. These factors continuously change and can have an impact on collection trends and our estimation process.

Electronic Health Record Incentives

Under certain provisions of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (“ARRA”), federal incentive payments are available to hospitals, physicians and certain other professionals (“Providers”) when they adopt, implement or upgrade (“AIU”) certified electronic health record (“EHR”) technology or become “meaningful users,” as defined under ARRA, of EHR technology in ways that demonstrate improved quality, safety and effectiveness of care. Providers can become eligible for annual Medicare incentive payments by demonstrating meaningful use of EHR technology in each period over four periods. Medicaid providers can receive their initial incentive payment by satisfying AIU criteria, but must demonstrate meaningful use of EHR technology in subsequent years in order to qualify for additional payments. Hospitals may be eligible for both Medicare and Medicaid EHR incentive payments; however, physicians and other professionals may be eligible for either Medicare or Medicaid incentive payments, but not both. Hospitals that are meaningful users under the Medicare EHR incentive payment program are deemed meaningful users under the Medicaid EHR incentive payment program and do not need to meet additional criteria imposed by a state. Medicaid EHR incentive payments to Providers are 100% federally funded and administered by the states. The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (“CMS”) established calendar year 2011 as the first year states could offer EHR incentive payments. Before a state may offer EHR incentive payments, the state must submit and CMS must approve the state’s incentive plan.

Table of Contents

We recognize Medicaid EHR incentive payments in our consolidated statements of operations for the first payment year when: (1) CMS approves a state's EHR incentive plan; and (2) our hospital or employed physician acquires certified EHR technology (i.e., when AIU criteria are met). Medicaid EHR incentive payments for subsequent payment years are recognized in the period during which the specified meaningful use criteria are met. We recognize Medicare EHR incentive payments when: (1) the specified meaningful use criteria are met; and (2) contingencies in estimating the amount of the incentive payments to be received are resolved. During the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, certain of our hospitals and physicians satisfied the CMS AIU and/or meaningful use criteria. As a result, we recognized approximately \$96 million, \$40 million and \$55 million of Medicare and Medicaid EHR incentive payments as a reduction to expense in our Consolidated Statement of Operations for years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Cash Equivalents

We treat highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less as cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents were approximately \$113 million and \$364 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, our book overdrafts were approximately \$245 million and \$232 million, respectively, which were classified as accounts payable.

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, approximately \$62 million and \$65 million, respectively, of total cash and cash equivalents in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets were intended for the operations of our captive insurance subsidiaries.

Also at December 31, 2013 and 2012, we had \$193 million and \$98 million, respectively, of property and equipment purchases accrued for items received but not yet paid. Of these amounts, \$138 million and \$93 million, respectively, were included in accounts payable.

During the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, we entered into non-cancellable capital leases of approximately \$341 million and \$88 million, respectively, primarily for buildings and equipment.

Investments in Debt and Equity Securities

We classify investments in debt and equity securities as either available-for-sale, held-to-maturity or as part of a trading portfolio. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, we had no significant investments in securities classified as either held-to-maturity or trading. We carry securities classified as available-for-sale at fair value. We report their unrealized gains and losses, net of taxes, as accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) unless we determine that a loss is other-than-temporary, at which point we would record a loss in our consolidated statements of operations. We include realized gains or losses in our consolidated statements of operations based on the specific identification method.

Property and Equipment

Additions and improvements to property and equipment exceeding established minimum amounts with a useful life greater than one year are capitalized at cost. Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred. We use the straight-line method of depreciation for buildings, building improvements and equipment. The estimated useful life for buildings and improvements is primarily 15 to 40 years and, for equipment, three to 15 years. Newly constructed hospitals are usually depreciated over 50 years. We record capital leases at the beginning of the lease term as assets and liabilities. The value recorded is the lower of either the present value of the minimum lease payments or the fair value of the asset. Such assets, including improvements, are generally amortized over the shorter of either the lease term or their estimated useful life. Interest costs related to construction projects are capitalized. In the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, capitalized interest was \$14 million, \$6 million and \$8 million, respectively.

We evaluate our long-lived assets for possible impairment annually or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the asset, or related group of assets, may not be recoverable from estimated future undiscounted cash flows. If the estimated future undiscounted cash flows are less than the carrying value of the assets, we calculate the amount of an impairment if the carrying value of the long-lived assets exceeds the fair value of the assets. The fair value of the assets is estimated based on appraisals, established market values of comparable assets or internal estimates of future net cash flows expected to result from the use and ultimate disposition of the asset. The estimates of these future cash flows are based on assumptions and projections we believe to be reasonable and supportable. They require our subjective judgments and take into account assumptions about revenue and expense growth rates. These assumptions may vary by type of facility and presume stable, improving or, in some cases, declining results at our hospitals, depending on their circumstances.

Table of Contents

We report long-lived assets to be disposed of at the lower of their carrying amounts or fair values less costs to sell. In such circumstances, our estimates of fair value are based on appraisals, established market prices for comparable assets or internal estimates of future net cash flows.

Asset Retirement Obligations

We recognize the fair value of a liability for legal obligations associated with asset retirements, primarily related to asbestos abatement and costs associated with underground storage tanks, in the period in which it is incurred if a reasonable estimate of the fair value of the obligation can be made. When the liability is initially recorded, we capitalize the cost of the asset retirement obligation by increasing the carrying amount of the related long-lived asset. Over time, the liability is accreted to its present value each period, and the capitalized cost associated with the retirement obligation is depreciated over the useful life of the related asset. Upon settlement of the obligation, any difference between the cost to settle the asset retirement obligation and the liability recorded is recognized as a gain or loss in our consolidated statements of operations.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill represents the excess of costs over the fair value of assets of businesses acquired. Goodwill and other intangible assets acquired in purchase business combinations and determined to have indefinite useful lives are not amortized, but instead are subject to impairment tests performed at least annually. For goodwill, we perform the test at the reporting unit level when events occur that require an evaluation to be performed or at least annually. If we determine the carrying value of goodwill is impaired, or if the carrying value of a business that is to be sold or otherwise disposed of exceeds its fair value, we reduce the carrying value, including any allocated goodwill, to fair value. Estimates of fair value are based on appraisals, established market prices for comparable assets or internal estimates of future net cash flows and presume stable, improving or, in some cases, declining results at our hospitals, depending on their circumstances.

Other intangible assets primarily consist of capitalized software costs, which are amortized on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful life of the software, which ranges from three to 15 years. Also included in intangible assets are costs associated with the issuance of our long-term debt, which are primarily being amortized under the effective interest method based on the terms of the specific notes, and miscellaneous intangible assets related to our acquisition of Vanguard.

Accruals for General and Professional Liability Risks

We accrue for estimated professional and general liability claims, when they are probable and can be reasonably estimated. The accrual, which includes an estimate for incurred but not reported claims, is updated each quarter based on an actuarial calculation of projected payments using case-specific facts and circumstances and our historical loss reporting, development and settlement patterns and is discounted to its net present value using a risk-free discount rate (2.45% at December 31, 2013 and 1.18% at December 31, 2012). To the extent that subsequent claims information varies from our estimates, the liability is adjusted in the period such information becomes available. Malpractice expense is presented within other operating expenses in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Income Taxes

We account for income taxes using the asset and liability method. This approach requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the carrying amounts and the tax bases of assets and liabilities. Income tax receivables and liabilities and deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized based on the amounts that more likely than not will be sustained upon ultimate settlement with taxing authorities.

Developing our provision for income taxes and analysis of uncertain tax positions items requires significant judgment and knowledge of federal and state income tax laws, regulations and strategies, including the determination of deferred tax assets and liabilities and, if necessary, any valuation allowances that may be required for deferred tax assets.

We assess the realization of our deferred tax assets to determine whether an income tax valuation allowance is required. Based on all available evidence, both positive and negative, and the weight of that evidence to the extent such evidence can be objectively verified, we determine whether it is more likely than not that all or a portion of the deferred tax assets will be realized. The main factors that we consider include:

- Cumulative profits/losses in recent years, adjusted for certain nonrecurring items;
- Income/losses expected in future years;

Table of Contents

- Unsettled circumstances that, if unfavorably resolved, would adversely affect future operations and profit levels;
- The availability, or lack thereof, of taxable income in prior carryback periods that would limit realization of tax benefits; and
- The carryforward period associated with the deferred tax assets and liabilities.

We consider many factors when evaluating our uncertain tax positions, and such judgments are subject to periodic review. Tax benefits associated with uncertain tax positions are recognized in the period in which one of the following conditions is satisfied: (1) the more likely than not recognition threshold is satisfied; (2) the position is ultimately settled through negotiation or litigation; or (3) the statute of limitations for the taxing authority to examine and challenge the position has expired. Tax benefits associated with an uncertain tax position are derecognized in the period in which the more likely than not recognition threshold is no longer satisfied.

Segment Reporting

We primarily operate acute care hospitals and related health care facilities. Our general hospitals generated 90.2%, 95.3% and 96.7% of our net operating revenues before provision for doubtful accounts in the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Each of our operating regions and markets reports directly to our president of hospital operations. Major decisions, including capital resource allocations, are made at the consolidated level, not at the regional, market or hospital level.

Historically, our business has consisted of one reportable segment, Hospital Operations and other. However, during 2012, our Hospital Operations and other segment and our Conifer subsidiary entered into formal agreements, pursuant to which it was agreed that services provided by both parties to each other would be billed based on estimated third-party pricing terms. As a result, we have presented Conifer as a separate reportable business segment for all periods presented. The factors for determining the reportable segments include the manner in which management evaluates operating performance combined with the nature of the individual business activities.

Costs Associated With Exit or Disposal Activities

We recognize costs associated with exit (including restructuring) or disposal activities when they are incurred and can be measured at fair value, rather than at the date of a commitment to an exit or disposal plan.

NOTE 2. EQUITY

Reverse Stock Split

On October 11, 2012, our common stock began trading on the New York Stock Exchange on a split-adjusted basis following a one-for-four reverse stock split we announced on October 1, 2012. Every four shares of our issued and outstanding common stock were exchanged for one issued and outstanding share of common stock, without any change in the par value per share, and our authorized shares of common stock were proportionately decreased from 1,050,000,000 shares to 262,500,000 shares. No fractional shares were issued in connection with the stock split. All current and prior period amounts in the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements and these notes related to shares, share prices and earnings per share have been restated to give retrospective presentation for the reverse stock split.

Share Repurchase Programs

In October 2012, we announced that our board of directors had authorized the repurchase of up to \$500 million of our common stock through a share repurchase program that expired in December 2013. Under the program, shares could be purchased in the open market or through privately negotiated transactions in a manner consistent with applicable securities laws and regulations, including pursuant to a Rule 10b5-1 plan we maintained. Shares were repurchased at times and in amounts based on market conditions and other factors. Pursuant to the share repurchase program, we paid approximately \$500 million to repurchase a total of 12,891,298 shares during the period from the commencement of the program through December 31, 2013.

239

ATTACHMENT 36

240

Table of Contents

<u>Period</u>	<u>Total Number of Shares Purchased (In Thousands)</u>	<u>Average Price Paid Per Share</u>	<u>Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Program (In Thousands)</u>	<u>Maximum Dollar Value of Shares That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Program (In Millions)</u>
November 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012	3,406	\$ 29.36	3,406	\$ 400
January 1, 2013 through January 31, 2013	531	37.13	531	380
February 1, 2013 through February 28, 2013	914	39.30	914	344
March 1, 2013 through March 31, 2013	1,010	43.95	1,010	300
Three Months Ended March 31, 2013	2,455	40.74	2,455	300
May 1, 2013 through May 31, 2013	933	46.78	933	256
June 1, 2013 through June 30, 2013	1,065	45.71	1,065	208
Three Months Ended June 30, 2013	1,998	46.21	1,998	208
July 1, 2013 through July 31, 2013	166	46.08	166	200
August 1, 2013 through August 31, 2013	1,045	40.43	1,045	158
September 1, 2013 through September 30, 2013	1,431	40.35	1,431	100
Three Months Ended September 30, 2013	2,642	40.75	2,642	100
November 1, 2013 through November 30, 2013	796	42.28	796	66
December 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013	1,594	41.62	1,594	0
Three Months Ended December 31, 2013	2,390	41.84	2,390	0
Total	12,891	\$ 38.79	12,891	\$ 0

Repurchased shares are recorded based on settlement date and are held as treasury stock.

Mandatory Convertible Preferred Stock

In April 2012, we repurchased and subsequently retired 298,700 shares of our 7% mandatory convertible preferred stock with a carrying value of \$289 million. In a related private financing, we issued an additional \$141 million aggregate principal amount of our 6 1/4% senior secured notes due 2018 at a premium for \$142 million of cash proceeds and an additional \$150 million aggregate principal amount of our 8% senior notes due 2020. We recorded the difference between the carrying value and the amount paid to redeem the preferred stock in April 2012 as preferred stock dividends in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. On October 1, 2012, the remaining 46,300 shares outstanding of our mandatory convertible preferred stock automatically converted to 1,978,633 shares of our common stock. We accrued approximately \$6 million, or \$17.50 per share, for dividends on the preferred stock in the three months ended March 31, 2012 and \$1 million in each of the three months ended June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2012, and paid the dividends in April, July and October 2012, respectively.

241

Table of Contents

Changes in Redeemable Noncontrolling Interests in Equity of Consolidated Subsidiaries

The following table shows the changes in redeemable noncontrolling interests in equity of consolidated subsidiaries during the year ended December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Balances at beginning of period	\$ 16	\$ 16
Net income	9	0
Distributions paid to noncontrolling interests	(5)	0
Sales of joint venture interests	52	0
Purchases of businesses	175	0
Balances at end of period	\$ 247	\$ 16

As part of the acquisition of Vanguard, we obtained a 51% controlling interest in a partnership that held the assets and liabilities of Valley Baptist Health System ("Valley Baptist"). The remaining 49% non-controlling interest is held by the former owner of Valley Baptist (the "seller"). The partnership operating agreement includes a put option that the seller may exercise on its 49% non-controlling interest upon either the third or fifth anniversary, September 1, 2014 and September 1, 2016, respectively, of the transaction date. The redemption value is calculated based upon the operating results and the debt of the partnership, but is subject to a floor value. We also have the option to call a stated percentage of the seller's non-controlling interest in the event the seller does not exercise its put option on either of the anniversary dates. The carrying value of the redeemable noncontrolling interest in Valley Baptist has been determined based upon the calculated acquisition date fair value of the seller's interest in the partnership, such fair value based upon Level 3 (consistent with the value methodologies for Level 3 described in Note 18) estimates of future operating results of the partnership, plus the seller's portion of the partnership earnings during the three months ended December 31, 2013. If the seller exercises its put option, we may purchase the non-controlling interest with cash or by issuing stock.

NOTE 3. ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE AND ALLOWANCE FOR DOUBTFUL ACCOUNTS

The principal components of accounts receivable are shown in the table below:

	December 31,	
	2013	2012
Continuing operations:		
Patient accounts receivable	\$ 2,537	\$ 1,668
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(589)	(396)
Estimated future recoveries from accounts assigned to our Conifer subsidiary	91	88
Net cost report settlements payable and valuation allowances	(4)	(24)
	<u>2,035</u>	<u>1,346</u>
Discontinued operations:		
Patient accounts receivable	1	11
Allowance for doubtful accounts	0	(5)
Estimated future recoveries from accounts assigned to our Conifer subsidiary	0	2
Net cost report settlements receivable and valuation allowances	2	1
	<u>3</u>	<u>9</u>
Accounts receivable, net	\$ 2,038	\$ 1,345

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, our allowance for doubtful accounts was 23.2% and 23.7%, respectively, of our patient accounts receivable. Accounts that are pursued for collection through the regional business offices of Conifer are maintained on our hospitals' books and reflected in patient accounts receivable with an allowance for doubtful accounts established to reduce the carrying value of such receivables to their estimated net realizable value. Generally, we estimate this allowance based on the aging of our accounts receivable by hospital, our historical collection experience by hospital and for each type of payer, and other relevant factors. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, our allowance for doubtful accounts for self-pay was 75.9% and 73.8%, respectively, of our self-pay patient accounts receivable, including co-pays and deductibles owed by patients with insurance. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, our allowance for doubtful accounts for managed care was 5.6% and 9.4%, respectively, of our managed care patient accounts receivable. During the year ended December 31, 2013, we experienced a significant change in the overall composition of our patient accounts receivable due to the acquisition of Vanguard in October 2013.

242

Table of Contents

Accounts assigned to our Conifer subsidiary are written off and excluded from patient accounts receivable and allowance for doubtful accounts; however, an estimate of future recoveries from all accounts at our Conifer subsidiary is determined based on historical experience and recorded on our hospitals' books as a component of accounts receivable in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. At the present time, our new acquisitions have not been fully integrated into our Conifer collections processes.

The estimated costs (based on selected operating expenses, which include salaries, wages and benefits, supplies and other operating expenses) of caring for our self-pay patients for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 were approximately \$545 million, \$430 million and \$379 million, respectively. Our estimated costs (based on the selected operating expenses described above) of caring for charity care patients for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 were approximately \$158 million, \$136 million, and \$113 million, respectively. Most states include an estimate of the cost of charity care in the determination of a hospital's eligibility for Medicaid disproportionate share hospital ("DSH") payments. Revenues attributable to DSH payments and other state-funded subsidy payments for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 were approximately \$428 million, \$283 million and \$255 million, respectively. These payments are intended to mitigate our cost of uncompensated care, as well as reduced Medicaid funding levels. Generally, our method of measuring the estimated costs uses adjusted self-pay/charity patient days multiplied by selected operating expenses per adjusted patient day. The adjusted self-pay/charity patient days represents actual self-pay/charity patient days adjusted to include self-pay/charity outpatient services by multiplying actual self-pay/charity patient days by the sum of gross self-pay/charity inpatient revenues and gross self-pay/charity outpatient revenues and dividing the results by gross self-pay/charity inpatient revenues.

NOTE 4. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

In the year ended December 31, 2013, we recognized a \$12 million gain in discontinued operations related to the sale of land.

In the three months ended June 30, 2012, our Creighton University Medical Center hospital ("CUMC") in Nebraska was reclassified into discontinued operations based on the guidance in the Financial Accounting Standards Board's Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 360, "Property, Plant and Equipment," as a result of our plan to sell CUMC. We recorded an impairment charge in discontinued operations of \$100 million, consisting of \$98 million for the write-down of CUMC's long-lived assets to their estimated fair values, less estimated costs to sell, and a \$2 million charge for the write-down of goodwill related to CUMC in the three months ended June 30, 2012. We completed the sale of CUMC on August 31, 2012 at a transaction price of \$40 million, excluding working capital, and recognized a loss on sale of approximately \$1 million in discontinued operations. Because we did not sell the accounts receivable of CUMC, net receivables of approximately \$9 million are included in our accounts receivable in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2012.

In May 2012, we completed the sale of Diagnostic Imaging Services, Inc. ("DIS"), our former diagnostic imaging center business in Louisiana, for net proceeds of approximately \$10 million. As a result of the sale, DIS was reclassified into discontinued operations in the three months ended June 30, 2012, and a gain on sale of approximately \$2 million was recognized in discontinued operations.

We recorded a \$6 million impairment charge in discontinued operations during the year ended December 31, 2011 for the write-down of goodwill related to DIS. Material adverse trends in our estimates of future operating results of the centers at that time, primarily due to our limited market presence, indicated that the carrying value of the goodwill exceeded its fair value. As a result, we reduced the carrying value of the goodwill to its fair value as determined based on an appraisal.

Net operating revenues and loss before income taxes reported in discontinued operations are as follows:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Net operating revenues	\$ 7	\$ 154	\$ 216
Loss before income taxes	(7)	(101)	(41)

Included in loss before income taxes from discontinued operations in the year ended December 31, 2011 is approximately \$14 million of expense related to the settlement of two Hurricane Katrina-related class action lawsuits, which amount is net of approximately \$10 million of recoveries from our reinsurance carriers in connection with the settlement. We had previously recorded a \$5 million reserve for this matter as of December 31, 2010. Also included in loss before income taxes from discontinued operations in the year ended December 31, 2011 is approximately \$17 million of expense recorded in litigation and investigation costs allocable to certain of our previously divested hospitals related to changes in the reserve estimate established in connection with a governmental review and an accrual for a hospital-related tort claim.

243

Table of Contents

Should we dispose of additional hospitals or other assets in the future, we may incur additional asset impairment and restructuring charges in future periods.

NOTE 5. IMPAIRMENT AND RESTRUCTURING CHARGES, AND ACQUISITION-RELATED COSTS

We recognized impairment charges on long-lived assets in 2013, 2012 and 2011 because the fair values of those assets or groups of assets indicated that the carrying amount was not recoverable. The fair value estimates were derived from appraisals, established market values of comparable assets, or internal estimates of future net cash flows. These fair value estimates can change by material amounts in subsequent periods. Many factors and assumptions can impact the estimates, including the future financial results of the hospitals, how the hospitals are operated in the future, changes in health care industry trends and regulations, and the nature of the ultimate disposition of the assets. In certain cases, these fair value estimates assume the highest and best use of hospital assets in the future to a market place participant is other than as a hospital. In these cases, the estimates are based on the fair value of the real property and equipment if utilized other than as a hospital. The impairment recognized does not include the costs of closing the hospitals or other future operating costs, which could be substantial. Accordingly, the ultimate net cash realized from the hospitals, should we choose to sell them, could be significantly less than their impaired value.

Our impairment tests presume stable, improving or, in some cases, declining operating results in our hospitals, which are based on programs and initiatives being implemented that are designed to achieve the hospital's most recent projections. If these projections are not met, or if in the future negative trends occur that impact our future outlook, impairments of long-lived assets and goodwill may occur, and we may incur additional restructuring charges, which could be material.

As of December 31, 2013, our continuing operations consisted of two operating segments, our hospital and other operations and our Conifer subsidiary. In the three months ended December 31, 2013, we acquired 28 hospitals (plus one more under construction), 39 outpatient centers and five health plans, serving communities in Arizona, California, Illinois, Massachusetts, Michigan and Texas, through our acquisition of Vanguard, and we moved our hospitals in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania from our Southern States region into our Northeast region. Our hospital and other operations segment was structured as follows as of December 31, 2013:

- Our California region included all of our hospitals and other operations in California;
- Our Central region included all of our hospitals and other operations in Missouri, New Mexico, Tennessee and Texas, except for those in the San Antonio or South Texas markets;
- Our Florida region included all of our hospitals and other operations in Florida;
- Our Northeast region included all of our hospitals and other operations in Illinois, Massachusetts and Pennsylvania;
- Our Southern States region included all of our hospitals and other operations in Alabama, Georgia, North Carolina and South Carolina;
- Our Detroit market region included all of our hospitals and other operations in the Detroit, Michigan area;
- Our Phoenix market included all of our hospitals and other operations in the Phoenix, Arizona area;
- Our San Antonio market included all of our hospitals and other operations in the San Antonio, Texas area; and
- Our South Texas market included all of our hospitals and other operations in the Brownsville, Texas and Harlingen, Texas areas.

We periodically incur costs to implement restructuring efforts for specific operations, which are recorded in our statement of operations as they are incurred. Our restructuring plans focus on various aspects of operations, including aligning our operations in the most strategic and cost-effective structure. Certain restructuring and acquisition-related costs are based upon estimates. Changes in estimates are recognized as they occur.

Year Ended December 31, 2013

During the year ended December 31, 2013, we recorded impairment and restructuring charges and acquisition-related costs of \$103 million. This amount included a \$12 million impairment charge for the write-down of buildings and equipment and

Table of Contents

other long-lived assets, primarily capitalized software costs classified in other intangible assets, of one of our hospitals to their estimated fair values, primarily due to a decline in the fair value of real estate in the market in which the hospital operates and a decline in the estimated fair value of equipment. Material adverse trends in our most recent estimates of future undiscounted cash flows of the hospital indicated the carrying value of the hospital's long-lived assets was not recoverable from the estimated future cash flows. We believe the most significant factors contributing to the adverse financial trends include reductions in volumes of insured patients, shifts in payer mix from commercial to governmental payers combined with reductions in reimbursement rates from governmental payers, and high levels of uninsured patients. As a result, we updated the estimate of the fair value of the hospital's long-lived assets and compared the fair value estimate to the carrying value of the hospital's long-lived assets. Because the fair value estimate was lower than the carrying value of the hospital's long-lived assets, an impairment charge was recorded for the difference in the amounts. Unless the anticipated future financial trends of this hospital improve to the extent that the estimated future undiscounted cash flows exceed the carrying value of the long-lived assets, this hospital is at risk of future impairments, particularly if we spend significant amounts of capital at the hospital without generating a corresponding increase in the hospital's fair value or if the fair value of the hospital's real estate or equipment continues to decline. The aggregate carrying value of assets held and used of the hospital for which an impairment charge was recorded was \$44 million as of December 31, 2013 after recording the impairment charge. We also recorded \$16 million of restructuring costs, \$14 million of employee severance costs, \$2 million of lease termination costs, and \$59 million in acquisition-related costs, which includes both transaction costs and acquisition integration charges.

Year Ended December 31, 2012

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we recorded net impairment and restructuring charges of \$19 million, consisting of \$3 million relating to the impairment of obsolete assets, \$2 million relating to other impairment charges, \$8 million of employee severance costs and \$6 million of other related costs.

Year Ended December 31, 2011

During the year ended December 31, 2011, we recorded net impairment and restructuring charges of \$20 million. This amount included a \$6 million impairment charge for the write-down of buildings and equipment of one of our previously impaired hospitals to their estimated fair values, primarily due to a decline in the fair value of real estate in the market in which the hospital operates and a decline in the estimated fair value of equipment. Material adverse trends in our estimates of future undiscounted cash flows of the hospital at that time, consistent with our previous estimates in prior years when impairment charges were recorded at this hospital, indicated the carrying value of the hospital's long-lived assets was not recoverable from the estimated future cash flows. We believed the most significant factors contributing to the adverse financial trends at that time included reductions in volumes of insured patients, shifts in payer mix from commercial to governmental payers combined with reductions in reimbursement rates from governmental payers, and high levels of uninsured patients. As a result, we updated the estimate of the fair value of the hospital's long-lived assets and compared the fair value estimate to the carrying value of the hospital's long-lived assets. Because the fair value estimate was lower than the carrying value of the hospital's long-lived assets, an impairment charge was recorded for the difference in the amounts. The aggregate carrying value of assets held and used of the hospital for which an impairment charge was recorded was \$20 million as of December 31, 2011 after recording the impairment charge. In addition, we also recorded impairment charges of \$1 million in connection with the sale of seven medical office buildings in Texas, \$1 million related to a cost basis investment, \$7 million in employee severance costs, \$3 million in lease termination costs, \$1 million of acceleration of stock-based compensation costs and \$1 million of other related costs.

NOTE 6. LONG-TERM DEBT AND LEASE OBLIGATIONS

The table below shows our long-term debt as of December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Senior notes:		
7 ³ / ₈ %, due 2013	\$ 0	\$ 55
9 ⁷ / ₈ %, due 2014	60	60
9 ¹ / ₄ %, due 2015	474	474
6 ³ / ₄ %, due 2020	300	300
8%, due 2020	750	750
8 ¹ / ₈ %, due 2022	2,800	0
6 ⁷ / ₈ %, due 2031	430	430
Senior secured notes:		
6 ¹ / ₄ %, due 2018	1,041	1,041
10%, due 2018	0	714
8 ⁷ / ₈ %, due 2019	0	925
4 ³ / ₄ %, due 2020	500	500
6%, due 2020	1,800	0
4 ¹ / ₂ %, due 2021	850	0
4 ³ / ₈ %, due 2021	1,050	0
Credit facility due 2016	405	0
Capital leases and mortgage notes	407	119
Unamortized note discounts and premium	(28)	(116)
Total long-term debt	10,839	5,252
Less current portion	149	94
Long-term debt, net of current portion	\$ 10,690	\$ 5,158

248

ATTACHMENT 36

246

Credit Agreement

We have a senior secured revolving credit facility (as amended, "Credit Agreement"), that provides, subject to borrowing availability, for revolving loans in an aggregate principal amount of up to \$1 billion, with a \$300 million subfacility for standby letters of credit. The Credit Agreement has a scheduled maturity date of November 29, 2016, subject to our repayment or refinancing on or before November 3, 2014 of approximately \$238 million of the aggregate outstanding principal amount of our 9 1/4% senior notes due 2015 (approximately \$474 million of which was outstanding at December 31, 2013). If such repayment or refinancing does not occur, borrowings under the Credit Agreement will be due November 3, 2014. The revolving credit facility is collateralized by patient accounts receivable of all of our wholly owned acute care and specialty hospitals. In addition, borrowings under the Credit Agreement are guaranteed by our wholly owned hospital subsidiaries. Outstanding revolving loans accrued interest during a six-month initial period that ended in May 2012 at the rate of either (i) a base rate plus a margin of 1.25% or (ii) the London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") plus a margin of 2.25% per annum. Outstanding revolving loans now accrue interest at a base rate plus a margin ranging from 1.00% to 1.50% or LIBOR plus a margin ranging from 2.00% to 2.50% per annum based on available credit. An unused commitment fee was payable on the undrawn portion of the revolving loans at a six-month initial rate that ended in May 2012 of 0.438% per annum. The unused commitment fee now ranges from 0.375% to 0.500% per annum based on available credit. Our borrowing availability is based on a specified percentage of eligible accounts receivable, including self-pay accounts. At December 31, 2013, we had \$405 million of cash borrowings outstanding under the revolving credit facility subject to an interest rate of 2.38%, and we had approximately \$189 million of standby letters of credit outstanding. Based on our eligible receivables, approximately \$406 million was available for borrowing under the revolving credit facility at December 31, 2013.

Senior Notes and Senior Secured Notes

In October 2013, we sold \$2.8 billion aggregate principal amount of 8 1/8% senior notes, which will mature on April 1, 2022, and \$1.8 billion aggregate principal amount of 6% senior secured notes, which will mature on October 1, 2020. We will pay interest on the 8 1/8% senior notes and 6% senior secured notes semi-annually in arrears on April 1 and October 1 of each year, commencing on April 1, 2014. The proceeds from the sale of the notes were used to finance the acquisition of Vanguard.

In May 2013, we sold \$1.050 billion aggregate principal amount of 4 3/8% senior secured notes, which will mature on October 1, 2021. We will pay interest on the 4 3/8% senior secured notes semi-annually in arrears on January 1 and July 1 of each year, commencing on January 1, 2014. We used a portion of the proceeds from the sale of the notes to purchase approximately \$767 million aggregate principal amount outstanding of our 8 7/8% senior secured notes due 2019 in a tender offer and to call approximately \$158 million of the remaining aggregate principal amount outstanding of those notes. In connection with the purchase, we recorded a loss from early extinguishment of debt of \$171 million, primarily related to the difference between the purchase prices and the par values of the purchased notes, as well as the write-off of unamortized note discounts and issuance costs.

In February 2013, we sold \$850 million aggregate principal amount of 4 1/2% senior secured notes, which will mature on April 1, 2021. We will pay interest on the 4 1/2% senior secured notes semi-annually in arrears on April 1 and October 1 of each year, which payments commenced on October 1, 2013. We used a portion of the proceeds from the sale of the notes to purchase approximately \$645 million aggregate principal amount outstanding of our 10% senior secured notes due 2018 in a tender offer and to call approximately \$69 million of the remaining aggregate principal amount outstanding of those notes. In connection with the purchase, we recorded a loss from early extinguishment of debt of \$177 million, primarily related to the difference between the purchase prices and the par values of the purchased notes, as well as the write-off of unamortized note discounts and issuance costs. The remaining net proceeds were used for general corporate purposes, including the repayment of borrowings under our senior secured revolving credit facility.

247

Table of Contents

In October 2012, we sold \$500 million aggregate principal amount of 4 ³/₄% senior secured notes due 2020 and \$300 million aggregate principal amount of 6 ³/₄% senior notes due 2020. The 4 ³/₄% senior secured notes will mature on June 1, 2020, and the 6 ³/₄% senior notes will mature on February 1, 2020. We will pay interest on the 4 ³/₄% senior secured notes semi-annually in arrears on June 1 and December 1 of each year, commencing on June 1, 2013. We will pay interest on the 6 ³/₄% senior notes semi-annually in arrears on February 1 and August 1 of each year; payments commenced on February 1, 2013. We used a portion of the proceeds from the sale of the notes to purchase \$161 million aggregate principal amount outstanding of our 7 ³/₈% senior notes due 2013 in a tender offer. In connection with the purchase, we recorded a loss from early extinguishment of debt of approximately \$4 million primarily related to the difference between the purchase prices and the par values of the purchased notes.

In April 2012, we issued an additional \$141 million aggregate principal amount of our 6 ¹/₄% senior secured notes due 2018 at a premium for \$142 million of cash proceeds and an additional \$150 million aggregate principal amount of our 8% senior notes due 2020 in a private financing related to our repurchase and subsequent retirement of 298,700 shares of our 7% mandatory convertible preferred stock.

All of our senior notes are general unsecured senior debt obligations that rank equally in right of payment with all of our other unsecured senior indebtedness, but are effectively subordinated to our senior secured notes described below, the obligations of our subsidiaries and any obligations under our Credit Agreement to the extent of the collateral. We may redeem any series of our senior notes, in whole or in part, at any time at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes redeemed, plus a make-whole premium specified in the applicable indenture, together with accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date.

All of our senior secured notes are guaranteed by our wholly owned hospital company subsidiaries and secured by a first-priority pledge of the capital stock and other ownership interests of those subsidiaries. All of our senior secured notes and the related subsidiary guarantees are our and the subsidiary guarantors' senior secured obligations. All of our senior secured notes rank equally in right of payment with all of our other senior secured indebtedness. Our senior secured notes rank senior to any subordinated indebtedness that we or such subsidiary guarantors may incur; they are effectively senior to our and such subsidiary guarantors' existing and future unsecured indebtedness and other liabilities to the extent of the value of the collateral securing the notes and the subsidiary guarantees; they are effectively subordinated to our and such subsidiary guarantors' obligations under our Credit Agreement to the extent of the value of the collateral securing borrowings thereunder; and they are structurally subordinated to all obligations of our non-guarantor subsidiaries.

The indentures setting forth the terms of our senior secured notes contain provisions governing our ability to redeem the notes and the terms by which we may do so. At our option, we may redeem our 4 ³/₄% senior secured notes and our 6 ¹/₄% senior secured notes, in whole or in part, at any time at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes redeemed plus the make-whole premium set forth in the related indenture, together with accrued and unpaid interest thereon, if any, to the redemption date. In addition, we, at our option, may redeem our 8 ⁷/₈% and 10% senior secured notes, in whole or in part, on or prior to July 1, 2014 in the case of the 8 ⁷/₈% senior secured notes and May 1, 2014 in the case of the 10% senior secured notes, at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes redeemed plus the applicable make-whole premium set forth in the applicable indenture, together with accrued and unpaid interest thereon, if any, to the redemption date. At any time or from time to time after July 1, 2014 in the case of the 8 ⁷/₈% senior secured notes and May 1, 2014 in the case of the 10% senior secured notes, we, at our option, may redeem the notes, in whole or in part, at the redemption prices set forth in the applicable indenture, together with accrued and unpaid interest thereon, if any, to the redemption date.

In addition, we may be required to purchase for cash all or any part of each series of our senior secured notes upon the occurrence of a change of control (as defined in the applicable indentures) for a cash purchase price of 101% of the aggregate principal amount of the notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest.

Covenants

Our Credit Agreement contains customary covenants for an asset-backed facility, including a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio to be met when the available credit under the revolving credit facility falls below \$80 million, as well as limits on debt, asset sales and prepayments of senior debt. The Credit Agreement also includes a provision, which we believe is customary in receivables-backed credit facilities, that gives our banks the right to require that proceeds of collections of substantially all of our consolidated accounts receivable be applied directly to repay outstanding loans and other amounts that are due and payable under the Credit Agreement at any time that unused borrowing availability under the revolving credit facility is less than \$100 million or if an event of default has occurred and is continuing thereunder. In that event, we would seek to re-borrow under

Table of Contents

the Credit Agreement to satisfy our operating cash requirements. Our ability to borrow under the Credit Agreement is subject to conditions that we believe are customary in revolving credit facilities, including that no events of default then exist.

The indentures governing our senior notes contain covenants and conditions that have, among other requirements, limitations on (1) liens on principal properties and (2) sale and lease-back transactions with respect to principal properties. A principal property is defined in the indentures as a hospital that has an asset value on our books in excess of 5% of our consolidated net tangible assets, as defined. The above limitations do not apply, however, to (1) debt that is not secured by principal properties or (2) debt that is secured by principal properties if the aggregate of such secured debt does not exceed 15% of our consolidated net tangible assets, as further described in the indentures. The indentures also prohibit the consolidation, merger or sale of all or substantially all assets unless no event of default would result after giving effect to such transaction.

The indentures governing our senior secured notes contain covenants that, among other things, restrict our ability and the ability of our subsidiaries to incur liens, consummate asset sales, enter into sale and lease-back transactions or consolidate, merge or sell all or substantially all of our or their assets, other than in certain transactions between one or more of our wholly owned subsidiaries. These restrictions, however, are subject to a number of important exceptions and qualifications. In particular, there are no restrictions on our ability or the ability of our subsidiaries to incur additional indebtedness, make restricted payments, pay dividends or make distributions in respect of capital stock, purchase or redeem capital stock, enter into transactions with affiliates or make advances to, or invest in, other entities (including unaffiliated entities). In addition, the indentures governing our senior secured notes contain a covenant that neither we nor any of our subsidiaries will incur secured debt, unless at the time of and after giving effect to the incurrence of such debt, the aggregate amount of all such secured debt (including the aggregate principal amount of senior secured notes outstanding at such time) does not exceed the greater of (i) \$3.2 billion or (ii) the amount that would cause the secured debt ratio (as defined in the indentures) to exceed 4.0 to 1.0; provided that the aggregate amount of all such debt secured by a lien on par to the lien securing the senior secured notes may not exceed the greater of (a) \$2.6 billion or (b) the amount that would cause the secured debt ratio to exceed 3.0 to 1.0.

Future Maturities

Future long-term debt maturities and minimum operating lease payments as of December 31, 2013 are as follows:

	Total	Years Ending December 31,					Later Years
		2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	
Long-term debt, including capital lease obligations	\$ 10,867	\$ 149	\$ 539	\$ 430	\$ 49	\$ 1,047	\$ 8,653
Long-term non-cancelable operating leases	\$ 663	\$ 137	\$ 117	\$ 102	\$ 80	\$ 56	\$ 171

Rental expense under operating leases, including short-term leases, was \$186 million, \$156 million and \$143 million in the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Included in rental expense for each of these periods was sublease income of \$8 million, which were recorded as a reduction to rental expense.

NOTE 7. GUARANTEES

Consistent with our policy on physician relocation and recruitment, we provide income guarantee agreements to certain physicians who agree to relocate to fill a community need in the service area of one of our hospitals and commit to remain in practice in the area for a specified period of time. Under such agreements, we are required to make payments to the physicians in excess of the amounts they earn in their practices up to the amount of the income guarantee. The income guarantee periods are typically 12 months. If a physician does not fulfill his or her commitment period to the community, which is typically three years subsequent to the guarantee period, we seek recovery of the income guarantee payments from the physician on a prorated basis. We also provide revenue collection guarantees to hospital-based physician groups providing certain services at our hospitals with terms generally ranging from one to three years.

At December 31, 2013, the maximum potential amount of future payments under our income guarantees to certain physicians who agree to relocate and revenue collection guarantees to hospital-based physician groups providing certain services at our hospitals was \$108 million. We had a liability of \$78 million recorded for these guarantees included in other current liabilities at December 31, 2013.

We have also guaranteed minimum rent revenue to certain landlords who built medical office buildings on or near our hospital campuses. The maximum potential amount of future payments under these guarantees at December 31, 2013 was \$16 million. We had a liability of \$2 million recorded for these guarantees at December 31, 2013, of which \$1 million was included in other current liabilities and \$1 million was included in other long-term liabilities.

249

NOTE 8. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

Share-Based Compensation Plans

We currently grant stock-based awards to our directors and key employees pursuant to our 2008 Stock Incentive Plan, which was approved by our shareholders at their 2008 annual meeting. At December 31, 2013, approximately 2.1 million shares of common stock were available under our 2008 Stock Incentive Plan for future stock option grants and other incentive awards, including restricted stock units. Options have an exercise price equal to the fair market value of the shares on the date of grant and generally expire 10 years from the date of grant. A restricted stock unit is a contractual right to receive one share of our common stock or the equivalent value in cash in the future. Options and restricted stock units typically vest one-third on each of the first three anniversary dates of the grant; however, from time to time, we grant performance-based options and restricted stock units that vest subject to the achievement of specified performance goals within a specified timeframe.

Our income from continuing operations for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 includes \$39 million, \$33 million and \$25 million, respectively, of pretax compensation costs related to our stock-based compensation arrangements (\$24 million, \$21 million and \$15 million, respectively, after-tax, excluding the impact of the deferred tax valuation allowance). The table below shows certain stock option and restricted stock unit grants and other awards that comprise the \$37 million of stock-based compensation expense recorded in salaries, wages and benefits in the year ended December 31, 2013. Compensation cost is measured by the fair value of the awards on their grant dates and is recognized over the requisite service period of the awards, whether or not the awards had any intrinsic value during the period.

Grant Date	Awards (In Thousands)	Exercise Price Per Share	Fair Value Per Share at Grant Date	Stock-Based Compensation Expense for Year Ended December 31, 2013 (In Millions)
Stock Options:				
February 28, 2013	266	\$ 39.31	\$ 14.46	\$ 1
February 29, 2012	355	\$ 22.60	11.96	2
Restricted Stock Units:				
October 31, 2013	178		47.19	1
June 13, 2013	318		47.13	1
May 6, 2013	30		47.00(1)	1
February 28, 2013	841		39.31	7
February 29, 2012	987		22.60	6
January 31, 2012	64		21.16	2
November 4, 2011	60		19.44(1)	1
February 23, 2011	890		27.60	9
Other grants				6
				\$ 37

(1) End of month fair market value was used for this grant to calculate compensation expense.

Prior to our shareholders approving the 2008 Stock Incentive Plan, we granted stock-based awards to our directors and employees pursuant to other plans. Stock options remain outstanding under those other plans, but no additional stock-based awards will be granted under them.

Pursuant to the terms of our stock-based compensation plans, awards granted under the plans vest and may be exercised as determined by the compensation committee of our board of directors. In the event of a change in control, the compensation committee may, at its sole discretion without obtaining shareholder approval, accelerate the vesting or performance periods of the awards.

250

Stock Options

The following table summarizes stock option activity during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

	Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (In Millions)	Weighted Average Remaining Life
Outstanding as of December 31, 2010	10,788,887	39.88		
Granted	0			
Exercised	(629,021)	5.24		
Forfeited/Expired	(1,661,473)	128.92		
Outstanding as of December 31, 2011	8,498,393	25.04		
Granted	477,500	22.79		
Exercised	(3,657,127)	5.77		
Forfeited/Expired	(1,029,574)	69.72		
Outstanding as of December 31, 2012	4,289,192	30.49		
Granted	295,639	39.41		
Exercised	(946,086)	23.34		
Forfeited/Expired	(330,634)	55.79		
Outstanding as of December 31, 2013	3,308,111	\$ 30.79	\$ 41	3.3 years
Vested and expected to vest at December 31, 2013	3,294,282	\$ 30.76	\$ 41	3.3 years
Exercisable as of December 31, 2013	2,776,320	\$ 30.66	\$ 36	2.8 years

There were 946,086 stock options exercised during the year ended December 31, 2013 with a \$18 million aggregate intrinsic value, and 3,657,127 stock options exercised in 2012 with a \$71 million aggregate intrinsic value.

As of December 31, 2013, there were \$4 million of total unrecognized compensation costs related to stock options. These costs are expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.7 years.

In the year ended December 31, 2013, we granted an aggregate of 295,639 stock options under our 2008 Stock Incentive Plan to certain of our senior officers. These stock options will all vest on the third anniversary of the grant date, subject to the terms of the plan, and will expire on the fifth anniversary of the grant date. In the year ended December 31, 2012, we granted an aggregate of 477,500 stock options under our 2008 Stock Incentive Plan to certain of our senior officers; 257,500 of these stock options are subject to time-vesting and 220,000 of these stock options were granted subject to performance-based vesting. Because all conditions were met, the performance-based options will vest and be settled ratably over a three-year period from the grant date.

The weighted average estimated fair value of stock options we granted in the year ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 was \$14.46 and \$12.05 per share, respectively. These fair values were calculated based on each grant date, using a binomial lattice model with the following assumptions:

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Expected volatility	50%	52%
Expected dividend yield	0%	0%
Expected life	3.6 years	6.9 years
Expected forfeiture rate	6%	2%
Risk-free interest rate	0.48%	1.06%-1.41%
Early exercise threshold	100% gain	70% gain
Early exercise rate	50% per year	20% per year

The expected volatility used in the binomial lattice model incorporated historical and implied share-price volatility and was based on an analysis of historical prices of our stock and open-market exchanged options. The expected volatility reflects the historical volatility for a duration consistent with the contractual life of the options, and the volatility implied by the trading of options to purchase our stock on open-market exchanges. The historical share-price volatility excludes the movements in our stock price on two dates (one in 2010 and one in 2011) with unusual volatility due to an unsolicited acquisition proposal. The expected life of options granted is derived from the output of the binomial lattice model and represents the period of time that the options

251

Table of Contents

are expected to be outstanding. This model incorporates an early exercise assumption in the event of a significant increase in stock price. The risk-free interest rates are based on zero-coupon United States Treasury yields in effect at the date of grant consistent with the expected exercise timeframes.

The following table summarizes information about our outstanding stock options at December 31, 2013:

Range of Exercise Prices	Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable	
	Number of Options	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$0.00 to \$4.569	324,542	5.1 years	\$ 4.56	324,542	\$ 4.56
\$4.57 to \$25.089	1,027,963	5.9 years	20.83	774,623	20.17
\$25.09 to \$32.569	518,804	2.5 years	29.64	518,804	29.64
\$32.57 to \$42.529	798,781	2.2 years	41.17	520,330	42.17
\$42.53 to \$55.129	638,021	0.2 years	48.11	638,021	48.11
	3,308,111	3.3 years	\$ 30.79	2,776,320	\$ 30.66

As of December 31, 2013, approximately 78.9% of our outstanding options were held by current employees and approximately 21.1% were held by former employees. Approximately 77.2% of our outstanding options were in-the-money, that is, they had an exercise price less than the \$42.12 market price of our common stock on December 31, 2013, and approximately 22.8% were out-of-the-money, that is, they had an exercise price of more than \$42.12 as shown in the table below:

	In-the-Money Options		Out-of-the-Money Options		All Options	
	Outstanding	% of Total	Outstanding	% of Total	Outstanding	% of Total
Current employees	2,177,530	85.3%	433,841	57.4%	2,611,371	78.9%
Former employees	375,227	14.7%	321,513	42.6%	696,740	21.1%
Totals	2,552,757	100.0%	755,354	100.0%	3,308,111	100.0%
% of all outstanding options	77.2%		22.8%		100.0%	

Restricted Stock Units

The following table summarizes restricted stock unit activity during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

	Restricted Stock Units	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value Per Unit
Unvested as of December 31, 2010	1,580,318	20.56
Granted	1,138,350	27.04
Vested	(722,471)	19.92
Forfeited	(68,890)	23.72
Unvested as of December 31, 2011	1,927,307	24.52
Granted	1,654,337	22.18
Vested	(1,033,632)	23.51
Forfeited	(252,070)	23.39
Unvested as of December 31, 2012	2,295,942	23.40
Granted	1,564,224	41.20
Vested	(966,838)	24.20
Forfeited	(186,106)	29.69
Unvested as of December 31, 2013	2,707,222	\$ 33.34

In the year ended December 31, 2013, we granted 1,122,811 restricted stock units subject to time-vesting, of which 1,023,112 will vest and be settled ratably over a three-year period from the date of the grant, 80,133 will vest 100% on the fifth anniversary of the grant date and 19,566 will vest 100% on the third anniversary of the grant date. In addition, we granted 206,058 performance-based restricted stock units to certain of our senior officers. If all conditions are met, the performance-based restricted stock units will vest and be settled ratably over a three-year period from the grant date. We also awarded a grant of 23,175 performance-based restricted stock units to one of our senior executives. If target conditions are met, 100% of this grant will vest and be settled three years from the grant date. We also awarded a grant of 212,180 restricted stock units to our chief executive officer, of which 106,090 are subject to time-vesting and 106,090 are performance-based. If target conditions are met, 50% of this grant will vest three years from the grant date and the remaining 50% will vest six years from the grant date. The award also allows for an additional 106,090 shares to be issued if higher performance criteria are met. In the year ended

252

Table of Contents

December 31, 2012, we granted 1,538,082 restricted units subject to time-vesting. In addition, we granted 116,255 performance-based restricted stock units to certain of our senior officers. Because all conditions were met, the performance-based restricted stock units will vest and be settled ratably over a three-year period from the grant date.

As of December 31, 2013, there were \$63 million of total unrecognized compensation costs related to restricted stock units. These costs are expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.9 years.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

We have an employee stock purchase plan under which we are currently authorized to issue up to 5,062,500 shares of common stock to our eligible employees. As of December 31, 2013, there were approximately 405,381 shares available for issuance under our employee stock purchase plan. Under the terms of the plan, eligible employees may elect to have between 1% and 10% of their base earnings withheld each quarter to purchase shares of our common stock. Shares are purchased at a price equal to 95% of the closing price on the last day of the quarter. The plan requires a one-year holding period for all shares issued. The holding period does not apply upon termination of employment. Under the plan, no individual may purchase, in any year, shares with a fair market value in excess of \$25,000. The plan is currently not considered to be compensatory.

We sold the following numbers of shares under our employee stock purchase plan in the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Number of shares	100,217	144,021	187,409
Weighted average price	\$ 42.88	\$ 22.81	\$ 21.44

Employee Retirement Plans

Substantially all of our employees, upon qualification, are eligible to participate in one of our defined contribution 401(k) plans. Under the plans, employees may contribute a portion of their eligible compensation, and we match such contributions annually up to a maximum percentage for participants actively employed, as defined by the plan documents. Employer matching contributions will vary by plan. Plan expenses, primarily related to our contributions to the plan, were approximately \$35 million, \$32 million and \$32 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Such amounts are reflected in salaries, wages and benefits in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

We maintain one active and two frozen non-qualified defined benefit pension plans ("SERPs") that provide supplemental retirement benefits to certain of our current and former executives. These plans are not funded, and plan obligations for these plans are paid from our working capital. Pension benefits are generally based on years of service and compensation. Upon completing the acquisition of Vanguard on October 1, 2013, we assumed a frozen qualified defined benefit plan ("DMC Pension Plan") covering substantially all of the employees of our Detroit market that were hired prior to June 1, 2003. The benefits paid under the DMC Pension Plan are primarily based on years of service and final average earnings. The following tables summarize the balance sheet impact, as well as the benefit obligations, funded status and rate assumptions associated with the SERPs and the DMC Pension Plan based on actuarial valuations prepared as of December 31, 2013 and 2012:

253

Table of Contents

	December 31,	
	2013	2012
Reconciliation of funded status of plans and the amounts included in the Consolidated Balance Sheets:		
Projected benefit obligations(1)		
Beginning obligations	\$ (312)	\$ (285)
Assumed from acquisition	(1,037)	(0)
Service cost	(2)	(2)
Interest cost	(25)	(14)
Actuarial gain(loss)	44	(30)
Plan changes	(2)	(0)
Benefits paid/employer contributions	31	19
Ending obligations	(1,303)	(312)
Fair value of plans assets		
Beginning obligations	(0)	(0)
Assumed from acquisition	863	(0)
Gain on plan assets	34	(0)
Benefits paid	(11)	(0)
Ending plan assets	886	(0)
Funded status of plans	\$ (417)	\$ (312)
Amounts recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheets consist of:		
Other current liability	\$ (19)	\$ (20)
Other long-term liability	(398)	(292)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	22	90
	\$ (395)	\$ (222)
SERP Assumptions:		
Discount rate	5.00%	4.00%
Compensation increase rate	3.00%	3.00%
Measurement date	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
DMC Pension Plan Assumptions:		
Discount rate	5.18%	n/a
Compensation increase rate	Frozen	n/a
Measurement date	December 31, 2013	n/a

(1) The accumulated benefit obligation at December 31, 2013 and 2012 was approximately \$1,297 million and \$308 million, respectively.

The components of net periodic benefit costs and related assumptions are as follows:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Service costs	\$ 2	\$ 2	\$ 2
Interest costs	25	14	14
Amortization of prior-year service costs	(15)	0	0
Amortization of net actuarial loss	7	5	3
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 19	\$ 21	\$ 19
SERP Assumptions:			
Discount rate	4.00%	5.00%	5.50%
Long-term rate of return on assets	n/a	n/a	n/a
Compensation increase rate	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%
Measurement date	January 1, 2013	January 1, 2012	January 1, 2011
Census date	January 1, 2013	January 1, 2012	January 1, 2011
DMC Pension Plan Assumptions:			
Discount rate	5.01%	n/a	n/a
Long-term rate of return on assets	7.00%	n/a	n/a
Compensation increase rate	Frozen	n/a	n/a
Measurement date	October 1, 2013	n/a	n/a
Census date	January 1, 2013	n/a	n/a

Net periodic benefit costs for the current year are based on assumptions determined at the valuation date of the prior year for the SERPs and at the date of acquisition for the DMC Pension Plan.

254

Table of Contents

We recorded gain/(loss) adjustments of \$68 million, (\$25) million and (\$15) million in other comprehensive income (loss) in the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, to recognize changes in the funded status of our SERPs and the DMC Pension Plan. Changes in the funded status are recorded as a direct increase or decrease to shareholders' equity through accumulated other comprehensive loss. Net actuarial gains/(losses) of \$63 million, (\$30) million and (\$19) million during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and the amortization of net prior service costs of less than \$1 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 were recognized in other comprehensive income (loss). Cumulative net actuarial losses of \$21 million, \$90 million and \$65 million as of December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and unrecognized prior service costs of less than \$1 million as of each of the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, have not yet been recognized as components of net periodic benefit costs.

To develop the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets assumption, the DMC Pension Plan considers the current level of expected returns on risk-free investments (primarily government bonds), the historical level of risk premium associated with the other asset classes in which the portfolio is invested and the expectations for future returns on each asset class. The expected return for each asset class is then weighted based on the target asset allocation to develop the expected long-term rate of return on assets assumption for the portfolio. The weighted-average asset allocations by asset category as of December 31, 2013, were as follows:

Asset Category	Target	Actual
Cash and cash equivalents	6%	6%
United States government obligations	1%	1%
Equity securities	45%	51%
Debt Securities	48%	42%

The DMC Pension Plan assets are invested in separately managed portfolios using investment management firms. The objective for all asset categories is to maximize total return without assuming undue risk exposure. The DMC Pension Plan maintains a well-diversified asset allocation that best meets these objectives. The DMC Pension Plan assets are largely comprised of equity securities, which include companies with various market capitalization sizes in addition to international and convertible securities. Cash and cash equivalents are comprised of money market funds. Debt securities include domestic and foreign government obligations, corporate bonds, and mortgage-backed securities. Under the investment policy of the DMC Pension Plan, investments in derivative securities are not permitted for the sole purpose of speculating on the direction of market interest rates. Included in this prohibition are leveraging, shorting, swaps, futures, options, forwards, and similar strategies.

In each investment account, the DMC Pension Plan investment managers are responsible to monitor and react to economic indicators, such as gross domestic product, consumer price index and U.S. monetary policy that may affect the performance of their account. The performance of all managers and the aggregate asset allocation are formally reviewed on a quarterly basis, with a rebalancing of the asset allocation occurring at least once a year. The current asset allocation objective is to maintain a certain percentage with each class allowing for a 10% deviation from the target.

The following tables summarize the DMC Pension Plan assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2013, aggregated by the level in the fair value hierarchy within which those measurements are determined. Fair value methodologies for Level 1, Level 2 and Level 3 are consistent with the inputs described in Note 18.

	December 31, 2013	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 53	\$ 53	\$ —	\$ —
United States government obligations	5	5	—	—
Corporate bonds	376	376	—	—
Equity securities	452	452	—	—
	\$ 886	\$ 886	\$ —	\$ —

ZFR

Table of Contents

The following table presents the estimated future benefit payments to be made from the SERPs and the DMC Pension Plan, a portion of which will be funded from plan assets, for the next five years and in the aggregate for the five years thereafter:

	Total	Years Ending December 31,					Five Years Thereafter
		2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	
Estimated benefit payments	\$ 841	\$ 88	\$ 74	\$ 76	\$ 80	\$ 82	\$ 441

The SERP and DMC Pension Plan obligations of \$417 million at December 31, 2013 are classified in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet as an other current liability (\$19 million) and defined benefit plan obligations (\$398 million) based on an estimate of the expected payment patterns. We expect to make total contributions to the plans of approximately \$28 million for the year ending December 31, 2014.

NOTE 9. CAPITAL COMMITMENTS

In connection with Vanguard's acquisition of Detroit Medical Center, certain capital commitments were agreed upon to be satisfied at particular dates. If these commitments are not met by these required dates, we are required to escrow cash for the purpose of funding certain capital projects. There was no required escrow balance as of December 31, 2013.

NOTE 10. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

The principal components of property and equipment are shown in the table below:

	December 31,	
	2013	2012
Land	\$ 589	\$ 341
Buildings and improvements	6,369	4,087
Construction in progress	593	140
Equipment	4,038	3,219
	11,589	7,787
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(3,898)	(3,494)
Net property and equipment	\$ 7,691	\$ 4,293

Property and equipment is stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation and amortization and impairment write-downs related to assets held and used.

NOTE 11. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The following table provides information on changes in the carrying amount of goodwill, which is included in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	2013	2012
Hospital Operations and other		
As of January 1:		
Goodwill	\$ 3,268	\$ 3,166
Accumulated impairment losses	(2,430)	(2,430)
Total	838	736
Goodwill acquired during the year and purchase price allocation adjustments	2,121	104
Goodwill allocated to hospital sold	(0)	(2)
Impairment of goodwill	0	0
Total	\$ 2,959	\$ 838
As of December 31:		
Goodwill	\$ 5,389	\$ 3,268
Accumulated impairment losses	(2,430)	(2,430)
Total	\$ 2,959	\$ 838

25

Table of Contents

	2013	2012
Conifer		
As of January 1:		
Goodwill	\$ 78	\$ 0
Accumulated impairment losses	0	0
Total	<u>78</u>	<u>0</u>
Goodwill acquired during the year and purchase price allocation adjustments	5	78
Total	<u>\$ 83</u>	<u>\$ 78</u>
As of December 31:		
Goodwill	\$ 83	\$ 78
Accumulated impairment losses	0	0
Total	<u>\$ 83</u>	<u>\$ 78</u>

The following table provides information regarding other intangible assets, which are included in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Book Value
As of December 31, 2013:			
Capitalized software costs	\$ 1,260	\$ (475)	\$ 785
Long-term debt issuance costs	230	(31)	199
Trade Names	81	0	81
Contracts	64	(2)	62
Other	80	(15)	65
Total	<u>\$ 1,715</u>	<u>\$ (523)</u>	<u>\$ 1,192</u>
As of December 31, 2012:			
Capitalized software costs	\$ 927	\$ (399)	\$ 528
Long-term debt issuance costs	106	(25)	81
Other	43	(2)	41
Total	<u>\$ 1,076</u>	<u>\$ (426)</u>	<u>\$ 650</u>

Estimated future amortization of intangibles with finite useful lives as of December 31, 2013 is as follows:

	Total	Years Ending December 31,					Later Years
		2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	
Amortization of intangible assets	\$ 1,102	\$ 224	\$ 206	\$ 126	\$ 82	\$ 77	\$ 387

NOTE 12. INVESTMENTS AND OTHER ASSETS

The principal components of investments and other assets in our accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets are as follows:

	December 31,	
	2013	2012
Marketable debt securities	\$ 62	\$ 15
Equity investments in unconsolidated health care entities(1)	56	22
Total investments	<u>118</u>	<u>37</u>
Cash surrender value of life insurance policies	25	21
Long-term deposits	35	16
Land held for expansion, long-term receivables and other assets	227	88
Investments and other assets	<u>\$ 405</u>	<u>\$ 162</u>

(1) Equity earnings of unconsolidated affiliates are included in net operating revenues in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations and were \$15 million and \$8 million in of the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Our policy is to classify investments that may be needed for cash requirements as "available-for-sale." In doing so, the carrying values of the shares and debt instruments are adjusted at the end of each accounting period to their market values through a credit or charge to other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes. At both December 31, 2013 and 2012, there were less than \$1 million of accumulated unrealized gains on these investments.

NOTE 13. ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

Our accumulated other comprehensive loss is comprised of the following:

257

ATTACHMENT 36

ATTACHMENT 36

258

Table of Contents

	December 31,	
	2013	2012
Unamortized realized losses from interest rate lock derivatives	\$ —	\$ (1)
Adjustments for defined benefit plans	(24)	(67)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	\$ (24)	\$ (68)

There was a tax effect allocated to the adjustments for our defined benefit plans for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 of \$(25) million and \$9 million, respectively.

NOTE 14. PROPERTY AND PROFESSIONAL AND GENERAL LIABILITY INSURANCE

Property Insurance

We have property, business interruption and related insurance coverage to mitigate the financial impact of catastrophic events or perils that is subject to deductible provisions based on the terms of the policies. These policies are on an occurrence basis.

Professional and General Liability Insurance

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the aggregate current and long-term professional and general liability reserves in our accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets were approximately \$699 million and \$356 million, respectively. These reserves include the reserves recorded by our captive insurance subsidiaries and our self-insured retention reserves recorded based on actuarial estimates for the portion of our professional and general liability risks, including incurred but not reported claims, for which we do not have insurance coverage. We estimated the reserves for losses and related expenses using expected loss-reporting patterns discounted to their present value under a risk-free rate approach using a Federal Reserve seven-year maturity rate of 2.45%, 1.18% and 1.35% at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

If the aggregate limit of any of our professional and general liability policies is exhausted, in whole or in part, it could deplete or reduce the limits available to pay any other material claims applicable to that policy period.

Included in other operating expenses, net, in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations is malpractice expense of \$112 million, \$92 million and \$108 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

NOTE 15. CLAIMS AND LAWSUITS

We operate in a highly regulated and litigious industry. As a result, we commonly become involved in disputes, litigation and regulatory matters incidental to our operations, including governmental investigations, personal injury lawsuits, employment claims and other matters arising out of the normal conduct of our business.

We record accruals for estimated losses relating to claims and lawsuits when available information indicates that a loss is probable and we can reasonably estimate the amount of the loss or a range of loss. If a loss on a material matter is reasonably possible and estimable, we disclose an estimate of the loss or a range of loss. In cases where we have not disclosed an estimate, we have concluded that the loss is either not reasonably possible or the loss, or a range of loss, is not reasonably estimable, based on available information.

Governmental Reviews

Health care companies are subject to numerous investigations by various governmental agencies. Further, private parties have the right to bring qui tam or "whistleblower" lawsuits against companies that allegedly submit false claims for payments to, or improperly retain overpayments from, the government and, in some states, private payers. Certain of our individual facilities have received inquiries from government agencies, and our facilities may receive such inquiries in future periods. The following material governmental reviews, which have been previously reported, are currently pending.

- *Kyphoplasty*—From March 2009 through July 2010, seven of our hospitals became the subject of a review by the U.S. Department of Justice ("DOJ") and certain other federal agencies regarding the appropriateness of inpatient treatment for Medicare patients receiving kyphoplasty, which is a surgical procedure used to treat certain spinal conditions. We believe this review is part of a national investigation and is related to a qui tam settlement between the government and the manufacturer and distributor of Kyphon, the product used in performing kyphoplasty procedures. In January 2013, we paid \$900,000 to settle potential Medicare reimbursement claims against one of our hospitals subject to this review. Management has established a reserve, as described below, to reflect the current

259

Table of Contents

estimate of probable liability for two of the remaining hospitals under review. We are unable to calculate an estimate of loss or a range of loss with respect to the four other hospitals because (i) our external clinical expert has not completed its report on the billing practices of two of those hospitals, and (ii) we have not reached agreement with the DOJ on the appropriate review methodology with respect to the remaining two hospitals. We are engaged in potential settlement discussions with the DOJ to resolve this matter, but it is impossible at this time to predict the outcome of those discussions or the amount of any potential resolution.

- *Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillators (“ICDs”)*—At this time, 52 of our hospitals are part of a nationwide investigation to determine if ICD procedures from 2002 to 2010 complied with Medicare coverage requirements. (The number of our hospitals under review may increase or decrease depending on the timeframe of the government’s examination, which commenced in March 2010.) In August 2012, the DOJ released its “Medical Review Guidelines/Resolution Model,” which sets out, for purposes of this investigation, the patient conditions and criteria for the medical necessity of the implantation of ICDs in Medicare beneficiaries and how the DOJ will enforce the repayment obligations of hospitals. Management has established a reserve, as described below, to reflect the current estimate of probable liability for 21 of the hospitals under review. We are unable to calculate an estimate of loss or a range of loss with respect to the 31 other hospitals because our external clinical expert has not completed its report on the billing practices of those hospitals. We are engaged in potential settlement discussions with the DOJ to resolve this matter, but it is impossible at this time to predict the outcome of those discussions or the amount of any potential resolution.
- *Clinica de la Mama Investigations and Qui Tam Action*—As previously reported, we received a subpoena in May 2012 from the Office of Inspector General (“OIG”) of U.S. Department of Health and Human Services in Atlanta seeking documents from January 2004 through May 2012 related to the relationship that certain of our Georgia and South Carolina hospitals had with Hispanic Medical Management, Inc. (“HMM”). HMM is an unaffiliated entity that owns and operates clinics that provide, among other things, prenatal care predominantly to uninsured patients. The hospitals contracted with HMM for translation, marketing, management and Medicaid eligibility determination services. The civil investigation is being conducted by the Civil Division of the DOJ, the U.S. Attorney’s Office for the Middle District of Georgia and the Georgia Attorney General’s Office, while the parallel criminal investigation is being conducted by the Criminal Division of the DOJ and the U.S. Attorney’s Office for the Northern District of Georgia.

The investigations arose out of a qui tam action captioned *United States of America, ex. rel. Ralph D. Williams v. Health Management Associates, Inc., et al.* filed in the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Georgia. Tenet and four of its hospital subsidiaries are defendants in the qui tam action, which alleges that the arrangements the hospitals had with HMM violated the federal and state anti-kickback statutes and false claims acts. The Georgia Attorney General’s Office, on behalf of the State of Georgia, has intervened in the qui tam action and, on February 18, 2014, the Civil Division of the DOJ and the U.S. Attorney’s Office for the Middle District of Georgia filed a motion seeking leave of court to intervene in the action on behalf of the United States. Our motion to dismiss, which was filed on November 8, 2013, is pending.

If we or our subsidiaries were determined to have violated the anti-kickback statutes, the government could require us to reimburse related government program payments received during the subject period, assess civil monetary penalties including treble damages, exclude individuals or subsidiaries from participation in federal health care programs, or seek criminal sanctions against current or former employees of our hospital subsidiary companies or the hospital companies themselves. Management has established a reserve, as described below, to reflect the current estimate of probable liability for these matters, but it is impossible at this time to predict the amount and terms of any potential resolution. We will continue to vigorously defend against the government’s allegations.

Except with respect to the matter settled in January 2013 involving one hospital, as discussed above, our analysis of each of these pending reviews is still ongoing, and we are unable to predict with any certainty the progress or final outcome of any discussions with government agencies at this time. Based on currently available information, as of December 31, 2013, we had recorded reserves of approximately \$27 million in the aggregate for our potential reimbursement obligations with respect to 23 hospitals under review for their billing practices for kyphoplasty and cardiac defibrillator implantation procedures, as well as the Clinica de la Mama matters. Changes in the reserves may be required in the future as additional information becomes available. We cannot predict the ultimate resolution of any governmental review, and the final amounts paid in settlement or otherwise, if any, could differ materially from our currently recorded reserves.

Settlement of Previously Reported Litigation

- *Hospital-Related Tort Claim*—In 2013, we settled for \$8 million a previously disclosed lawsuit — which was captioned *Rosenberg v. Encino-Tarzana Regional Medical Center and Tenet Healthcare Corporation* — filed in January 2007 in connection with an alleged April 2006 assault at Tarzana Regional Medical Center (a hospital we divested in 2008).
- *Class Action Lawsuits Relating to Vanguard Acquisition*—In August 2013, Vanguard entered into a proposed settlement agreement with respect to two class action lawsuits filed in June 2013 on behalf of Vanguard stockholders in the Chancery Court for Davidson County, Tennessee, captioned *James A. Kaurich v. Vanguard Health Systems, Inc., et al.*, and *Marion Edinburgh TTEE FBO Marion Edinburgh Trust U/T/D/ 7/8/1991 v. Vanguard Health Systems, Inc., et al.* In January 2014, the court issued a preliminary order approving the proposed settlement. The final hearing to approve the settlement is scheduled to be held in April 2014. Under the terms of the settlement, Vanguard made certain supplemental disclosures related to the acquisition, extended the period for its stockholders to exercise their appraisal rights (which has since expired), and agreed to pay the fees and expenses of the plaintiffs' counsel. The settlement will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Ordinary Course Matters

We are also subject to other claims and lawsuits arising in the ordinary course of business, including potential claims related to, among other things, the care and treatment provided at our hospitals and outpatient facilities, the application of various federal and state labor laws, tax audits and other matters. Although the results of these claims and lawsuits cannot be predicted with certainty, we believe that the ultimate resolution of these ordinary course claims and lawsuits will not have a material effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

In addition to the proceedings described above, we are defendants in a class action lawsuit in which the plaintiffs claim that in April 1996 patient identifying records from a psychiatric hospital that we closed in 1995 were temporarily placed in an unsecure location while the hospital was undergoing renovations. The lawsuit, *Doe, et al. v. Jo Ellen Smith Medical Foundation*, was filed in the Civil District Court for the Parish of Orleans in Louisiana in March 1997. The plaintiffs allege tortious invasion of privacy and negligent infliction of emotional distress. The plaintiffs contend that the class consists of over 5,000 persons; however, only eight individuals have been identified to date in the class certification process. The plaintiffs have asserted each member of the class is entitled to common damages under a theory of presumed "common damage" regardless of whether or not any members of the class were actually harmed or even aware of the incident. We believe there is no authority for an award of common damages under Louisiana law. In addition, we believe that there is no basis for the certification of this proceeding as a class action under applicable federal and Louisiana law precedents. The lawsuit is expected to be tried in June 2014. We are not able to estimate the reasonably possible loss or a reasonably possible range of loss given: the small number of class members that have been identified or otherwise responded to the class certification process; the novel theories asserted by plaintiffs, including their assertion that a theory of presumed common damage exists under Louisiana law; and the failure of the plaintiffs to provide any evidence of damages. We intend to vigorously contest the plaintiffs' claims.

New claims or inquiries may be initiated against us from time to time. These matters could (1) require us to pay substantial damages or amounts in judgments or settlements, which individually or in the aggregate could exceed amounts, if any, that may be recovered under our insurance policies where coverage applies and is available, (2) cause us to incur substantial expenses, (3) require significant time and attention from our management, and (4) cause us to close or sell hospitals or otherwise modify the way we conduct business.

Table of Contents

The table below presents reconciliations of the beginning and ending liability balances in connection with legal settlements and related costs recorded during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

	Balances at Beginning of Period	Litigation and Investigation Costs	Cash Payments	Other	Balances at End of Period
Year Ended December 31, 2013					
Continuing operations	\$ 5	\$ 31	\$ (10)	\$ 14	\$ 40
Discontinued operations	5	2	(1)	0	6
	<u>\$ 10</u>	<u>\$ 33</u>	<u>\$ (11)</u>	<u>\$ 14</u>	<u>\$ 46</u>
Year Ended December 31, 2012					
Continuing operations	\$ 49	\$ 5	\$ (49)	\$ 0	\$ 5
Discontinued operations	17	0	(12)	0	5
	<u>\$ 66</u>	<u>\$ 5</u>	<u>\$ (61)</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 10</u>
Year Ended December 31, 2011					
Continuing operations	\$ 30	\$ 55	\$ (36)	\$ 0	\$ 49
Discontinued operations	0	17	0	0	17
	<u>\$ 30</u>	<u>\$ 72</u>	<u>\$ (36)</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 66</u>

For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, we recorded net costs of \$33 million, \$5 million and \$72 million, respectively, in connection with significant legal proceedings and investigations. The 2013 and 2012 amounts primarily related to costs associated with various legal proceedings and governmental reviews. The 2011 amount primarily related to costs associated with our evaluation of an unsolicited acquisition proposal received in November 2010 (which was subsequently withdrawn), changes in reserve estimates established in connection with certain governmental reviews described above, accruals for a physician privileges case and certain hospital-related tort claims, the settlement of a union arbitration claim, and costs to defend various matters. The amount for 2013 in the column entitled "Other" above relates to the reserves assumed as part of our acquisition of Vanguard in October 2013.

NOTE 16. INCOME TAXES

The provision for income taxes for continuing operations for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 consists of the following:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Current tax expense (benefit):			
Federal	\$ 2	\$ (3)	\$ 0
State	4	11	(6)
	<u>6</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>(6)</u>
Deferred tax expense (benefit):			
Federal	(56)	117	62
State	(15)	0	5
	<u>(71)</u>	<u>117</u>	<u>67</u>
	<u>\$ (65)</u>	<u>\$ 125</u>	<u>\$ 61</u>

262

Table of Contents

A reconciliation between the amount of reported income tax expense (benefit) and the amount computed by multiplying income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes by the statutory federal income tax rate is shown below:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Tax expense at statutory federal rate of 35%	\$ (55)	\$ 117	\$ 57
State income taxes, net of federal income tax benefit	1	13	10
Tax attributable to noncontrolling interests	(10)	(4)	(4)
Nondeductible transaction costs	6	0	0
Other changes in valuation allowance	(2)	(5)	(2)
Change in tax contingency reserves, including interest	(7)	(1)	(12)
Prior-year provision to return adjustment and other changes in deferred taxes, net of valuation allowance	3	3	7
Other items	(1)	2	5
	<u>\$ (65)</u>	<u>\$ 125</u>	<u>\$ 61</u>

Deferred income taxes reflect the tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amount of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amount used for income tax purposes. The following table discloses those significant components of our deferred tax assets and liabilities, including any valuation allowance:

	December 31, 2013		December 31, 2012	
	Assets	Liabilities	Assets	Liabilities
Depreciation and fixed-asset differences	\$ 0	\$ 678	\$ 0	\$ 375
Reserves related to discontinued operations and restructuring charges	20	0	5	0
Receivables (doubtful accounts and adjustments)	209	0	173	0
Deferred gain on debt exchanges	0	53	0	53
Accruals for retained insurance risks	288	0	182	0
Intangible assets	0	163	0	122
Other long-term liabilities	76	0	55	0
Benefit plans	299	0	214	0
Other accrued liabilities	60	0	11	0
Investments and other assets	0	45	6	0
Net operating loss carryforwards	708	0	588	0
Stock-based compensation	28	0	32	0
Other items	29	0	36	0
	<u>1,717</u>	<u>939</u>	<u>1,302</u>	<u>550</u>
Valuation allowance	(107)	0	(56)	0
	<u>\$ 1,610</u>	<u>\$ 939</u>	<u>\$ 1,246</u>	<u>\$ 550</u>

Below is a reconciliation of the deferred tax assets and liabilities and the corresponding amounts reported in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets.

	December 31,	
	2013	2012
Current portion of deferred income tax asset	\$ 581	\$ 354
Deferred income tax asset, net of current portion	90	342
Noncurrent deferred income tax liability	0	0
Net deferred tax asset	<u>\$ 671</u>	<u>\$ 696</u>

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the valuation allowance increased by \$51 million, \$34 million due to the acquisition of Vanguard and \$17 million primarily due to the adjustment of deferred tax assets for state net operating loss carryforwards that have a full valuation allowance. The \$107 million balance in the valuation allowance as of December 31, 2013 is primarily attributable to certain state net operating loss carryovers that, more likely than not, will expire unutilized. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we reduced the valuation allowance by an additional \$5 million based on 2012 profits and projected profits for 2013. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we reduced the valuation allowance for our deferred tax assets by \$5 million based on 2011 profits and projected profits for 2012.

We account for uncertain tax positions in accordance with ASC 740-10-25, which prescribes a comprehensive model for the financial statement recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure of uncertain tax positions taken or expected to be

Table of Contents

taken in income tax returns. The table below summarizes the total changes in unrecognized tax benefits during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011. The additions and reductions for tax positions include the impact of items for which the ultimate deductibility is highly certain, but for which there is uncertainty about the timing of such deductions. Such amounts include unrecognized tax benefits that have impacted deferred tax assets and liabilities at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

	Continuing Operations	Discontinued Operations	Total
Balance at December 31, 2010	34	1	35
Additions for prior-year tax positions	15	0	15
Reductions for tax positions of prior years	(2)	0	(2)
Additions for current-year tax positions	3	0	3
Reductions for current-year tax positions	0	0	0
Reductions due to settlements with taxing authorities	(12)	0	(12)
Reductions due to a lapse of statute of limitations	(4)	0	(4)
Balance at December 31, 2011	34	1	35
Additions for prior-year tax positions	0	0	0
Reductions for tax positions of prior years	(2)	0	(2)
Additions for current-year tax positions	2	0	2
Reductions for current-year tax positions	0	0	0
Reductions due to settlements with taxing authorities	(3)	0	(3)
Reductions due to a lapse of statute of limitations	(0)	0	(0)
Balance at December 31, 2012	31	1	32
Additions for prior-year tax positions	15	0	15
Reductions for tax positions of prior years	(0)	0	(0)
Additions for current-year tax positions	3	0	3
Reductions for current-year tax positions	0	0	0
Reductions due to settlements with taxing authorities	(0)	0	(0)
Reductions due to a lapse of statute of limitations	(6)	(1)	(7)
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$ 43	\$ 0	\$ 43

The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits as of December 31, 2013 was \$43 million, of which \$34 million, if recognized, would affect our effective tax rate and income tax expense (benefit) from continuing operations. Income tax expense in the year ended December 31, 2013 includes a benefit of \$1 million in continuing operations attributable to a decrease in our estimated liabilities for uncertain tax positions, net of related deferred tax effects. The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits as of December 31, 2012 was \$32 million, which, if recognized, would impact our effective tax rate and income tax expense (benefit) from continuing and discontinued operations. Income tax expense in the year ended December 31, 2012 includes expense of \$3 million in continuing operations attributable to an increase in our estimated liabilities for uncertain tax positions, net of related deferred tax effects. The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits as of December 31, 2011 was \$35 million which, if recognized, would affect our effective tax rate and income tax expense (benefit) from continuing and discontinued operations. Income tax expense in the year ended December 31, 2011 includes a benefit of \$21 million (\$2 million related to continuing operations and \$19 million related to discontinued operations) attributable to a reduction in our estimated liabilities for uncertain tax positions, net of related deferred tax effects, primarily as a result of audit settlements and the expiration of statutes of limitation.

Our practice is to recognize interest and/or penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense in our consolidated statements of operations. Approximately \$1 million of interest and penalties related to accrued liabilities for uncertain tax positions related to continuing operations are included in the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2013. Total accrued interest and penalties on unrecognized tax benefits as of December 31, 2013 were \$5 million, all of which related to continuing operations.

The Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") has completed the audits of our tax returns for all tax years ending on or before December 31, 2007. All disputed issues with respect to these audits have been resolved and all related tax assessments (including interest) have been paid. Tax returns for years ended after December 31, 2007 are not currently under examination by the IRS. During 2011, the resolution of tax and interest computations by the IRS resulted in a net refund of tax and interest of \$18 million with respect to the tax years ended May 31, 1998 through December 31, 2003, and payment of \$15 million of tax and interest with respect to the tax years ended December 31, 2006 and 2007.

264

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2013, approximately \$1 million of unrecognized federal and state tax benefits, as well as reserves for interest and penalties, may decrease in the next 12 months as a result of the settlement of audits, the filing of amended tax returns or the expiration of statutes of limitations.

At December 31, 2013, our carryforwards available to offset future taxable income consisted of (1) federal net operating loss ("NOL") carryforwards of approximately \$1.7 billion pretax expiring in 2024 to 2033, (2) approximately \$19 million in alternative minimum tax credits with no expiration, (3) general business credit carryforwards of approximately \$14 million expiring in 2023 through 2031, and (4) state NOL carryforwards of \$3.8 billion expiring in 2014 through 2033 for which the associated deferred tax benefit, net of valuation allowance and federal tax impact, is \$34 million. Our ability to utilize NOL carryforwards to reduce future taxable income may be limited under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code if certain ownership changes in our company occur during a rolling three-year period. These ownership changes include purchases of common stock under share repurchase programs (see Note 2), the offering of stock by us, the purchase or sale of our stock by 5% shareholders, as defined in the Treasury regulations, or the issuance or exercise of rights to acquire our stock. If such ownership changes by 5% shareholders result in aggregate increases that exceed 50 percentage points during the three-year period, then Section 382 imposes an annual limitation on the amount of our taxable income that may be offset by the NOL carryforwards or tax credit carryforwards at the time of ownership change.

NOTE 17. EARNINGS (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE

The table below is a reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of our basic and diluted earnings (loss) per common share calculations for income (loss) from continuing operations for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011. Income (loss) is expressed in millions and weighted average shares are expressed in thousands.

	Income (Numerator)	Weighted Average Shares (Denominator)	Per-Share Amount
Year Ended December 31, 2013			
Loss attributable to Tenet Healthcare Corporation common shareholders for basic earnings per share	\$ (123)	101,648	\$ (1.21)
Effect of dilutive stock options and restricted stock units	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0.00</u>
Loss attributable to Tenet Healthcare Corporation common shareholders for diluted earnings per share	<u>\$ (123)</u>	<u>101,648</u>	<u>\$ (1.21)</u>
Year Ended December 31, 2012			
Income available to Tenet Healthcare Corporation common shareholders for basic earnings per share	\$ 185	104,200	\$ 1.77
Effect of dilutive stock options and restricted stock units	<u>0</u>	<u>4,726</u>	<u>(0.07)</u>
Income available to Tenet Healthcare Corporation common shareholders for diluted earnings per share	<u>\$ 185</u>	<u>108,926</u>	<u>\$ 1.70</u>
Year Ended December 31, 2011			
Income available to Tenet Healthcare Corporation common shareholders for basic earnings per share	\$ 68	117,182	\$ 0.58
Effect of dilutive stock options and restricted stock units	<u>0</u>	<u>4,113</u>	<u>(0.02)</u>
Income available to Tenet Healthcare Corporation common shareholders for diluted earnings per share	<u>\$ 68</u>	<u>121,295</u>	<u>\$ 0.56</u>

All potentially dilutive securities were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings (loss) per share for the year ended December 31, 2013 because we did not report income from continuing operations in the period. In circumstances where we do not have income from continuing operations, the effect of stock options and other potentially dilutive securities is anti-dilutive, that is, a loss from continuing operations has the effect of making the diluted loss per share less than the basic loss per share. Had we generated income from continuing operations in that period, the effect (in thousands) of employee stock options, restricted stock units and deferred compensation units on the diluted shares calculation would have been an increase of 2,310. Stock options (in thousands) whose exercise price exceeded the average market price of our common stock and, therefore, were not included in the computation of diluted shares for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 were 755, 2,876 and 3,421 shares, respectively.

265

NOTE 18. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Our financial assets and liabilities recorded at fair value on a recurring basis primarily relate to investments in available-for-sale securities held by our captive insurance subsidiaries. The following tables present information about our assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2013 and 2012. The following tables also indicate the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques we utilized to determine such fair values. In general, fair values determined by Level 1 inputs utilize quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. We consider a security that trades at least weekly to have an active market. Fair values determined by Level 2 inputs utilize data points that are observable, such as quoted prices, interest rates and yield curves. Fair values determined by Level 3 inputs are unobservable data points for the asset or liability, and include situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability.

	December 31, 2013	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Investments:				
Marketable securities – current	\$ 1	\$ 1	\$ 0	\$ 0
Investments in Reserve Yield Plus Fund	2	0	2	0
Marketable debt securities – noncurrent	62	23	38	1
	<u>\$ 65</u>	<u>\$ 24</u>	<u>\$ 40</u>	<u>\$ 1</u>

	December 31, 2012	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Investments:				
Marketable securities – current	\$ 4	\$ 4	\$ 0	\$ 0
Investments in Reserve Yield Plus Fund	2	0	2	0
Marketable debt securities – noncurrent	14	2	11	1
	<u>\$ 20</u>	<u>\$ 6</u>	<u>\$ 13</u>	<u>\$ 1</u>

There was no change in the fair value of our auction rate securities valued using significant unobservable inputs during the years ended December 31, 2013 or 2012.

At December 31, 2013, one of our captive insurance subsidiaries held \$1 million of preferred stock and other securities that were distributed from auction rate securities whose auctions have failed due to sell orders exceeding buy orders. We were not required to record an other-than-temporary impairment of these securities during the years ended December 31, 2013 or 2012.

Our non-financial assets and liabilities not permitted or required to be measured at fair value on a recurring basis typically relate to long-lived assets held and used, long-lived assets held for sale and goodwill. We are required to provide additional disclosures about fair value measurements as part of our financial statements for each major category of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis. The following table presents this information and indicates the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques we utilized to determine such fair values. In general, fair values determined by Level 1 inputs utilize quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities, which generally are not applicable to non-financial assets and liabilities. Fair values determined by Level 2 inputs utilize data points that are observable, such as definitive sales agreements, appraisals or established market values of comparable assets. Fair values determined by Level 3 inputs are unobservable data points for the asset or liability and include situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability, such as internal estimates of future cash flows.

	December 31, 2013	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Long-lived assets held and used	\$ 44	\$ —	\$ 44	\$ —

As described in Note 5, we recorded a \$12 million impairment charge in continuing operations in the year ended December 31, 2013 for the write-down of buildings, equipment and other long-lived assets, primarily capitalized software costs classified in other intangible assets, of one of our hospitals to their estimated fair values primarily due to a decline in the fair value of real estate in the market in which the hospital operates and a decline in the estimated fair value of equipment.

244

Table of Contents

The fair value of our long-term debt is based on quoted market prices (Level 1). At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the estimated fair value of our long-term debt was approximately 103.5% and 108.2%, respectively, of the carrying value of the debt.

NOTE 19. ACQUISITIONS

During the year ended December 31, 2013, we acquired 28 hospitals (plus one more under construction), 39 outpatient centers and five health plans, serving communities in Arizona, California, Illinois, Massachusetts, Michigan and Texas, through our acquisition of Vanguard. We also purchased the following businesses: (1) 11 ambulatory surgery centers (in one of which we had previously held a noncontrolling interest); (2) an urgent care center; (3) a provider network based in Southern California that includes contracted independent physicians, ancillary providers and hospitals; (4) a medical office building; and (5) various physician practice entities. The fair value of the consideration conveyed in the acquisitions (the "purchase price") was \$1.515 billion.

We are required to allocate the purchase prices of the acquired businesses to assets acquired or liabilities assumed and, if applicable, noncontrolling interests based on their fair values. The excess of the purchase price allocation over those fair values is recorded as goodwill. We are in process of finalizing the purchase price allocations, including valuations of the acquired property and equipment primarily for Vanguard and several recent acquisitions; therefore, those purchase price allocations are subject to adjustment once the valuations are completed. During the year ended December 31, 2013, we made adjustments to purchase price allocations for businesses acquired in 2012 that increased goodwill by approximately \$5 million.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we acquired a diagnostic imaging center, an oncology center, an urgent care center, a health plan, a cyberknife center in which we previously held a noncontrolling interest, a majority interest in nine ambulatory surgery centers (in one of which we had previously held a noncontrolling interest), as well as 20 physician practice entities and a physician practice management company in which we had previously held a noncontrolling interest as part of our Hospital Operations and other segment. Also during the year ended December 31, 2012, our Conifer segment acquired an information management and services company and a hospital revenue cycle management business. The purchase price was \$211 million.

Preliminary purchase price allocations for all the acquisitions made during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
Current assets	\$ 1,058	\$ 19
Property and equipment	3,134	24
Other intangible assets	166	53
Goodwill	2,121	182
Investments and other assets	83	0
Other long-term assets	126	0
Current liabilities	(1,024)	(23)
Deferred tax liabilities	(174)	0
Long-term liabilities	(3,741)	(7)
Redeemable noncontrolling interests in equity of consolidated subsidiaries	(175)	0
Noncontrolling interests	(49)	(37)
Net cash paid	\$ 1,515	\$ 211
Gain on business combination	\$ 10	\$ 0

The goodwill generated from these transactions, the majority of which will not be deductible for income tax purposes, can be attributed to the benefits that we expect to realize from operating efficiencies and increased reimbursement. Approximately \$52 million and \$6 million in transaction costs related to prospective and closed acquisitions were expensed during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and are included in impairment and restructuring charges, and acquisition-related costs in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Included in equity earnings of unconsolidated affiliates is \$10 million of earnings associated with stepping up our basis in a previously held investment in an ambulatory surgery center in which we acquired a controlling interest and are now consolidating for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

Acquisition of Vanguard Health Systems

Effective October 1, 2013, we acquired the common stock of Vanguard for \$21 per share in an all cash transaction. Vanguard owned and operated 28 hospitals (plus one more under construction), 39 outpatient centers and five health plans with approximately 140,000 members, serving communities in Arizona, California, Illinois, Massachusetts, Michigan and Texas. We paid approximately \$4.3 billion to acquire Vanguard, including the assumption of \$2.5 billion of Vanguard's net debt. We have not yet finalized the analysis required to complete the purchase price allocation for this acquisition and the related disclosures.

The preliminary purchase price allocation for our Vanguard acquisition is as follows:

Current assets	\$	1,054
Investments and other assets		82
Property and equipment		3,074
Other long term assets		118
Other intangible assets		108
Goodwill		1,936
Current liabilities		(1,012)
Deferred taxes long term		(161)
Long-term liabilities		(3,726)
Redeemable noncontrolling interests in equity of consolidated subsidiaries		(165)
Noncontrolling interests		(7)
Net cash paid	\$	1,301

Pro Forma Information - Unaudited

The following table provides certain pro forma financial information for Tenet as if the Vanguard Health Systems acquisition had occurred at the beginning of the year ended December 31, 2012.

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Net operating revenues	\$ 15,650	\$ 15,140
Income (loss) from continuing operations , before income taxes	\$ (294)	\$ 294

NOTE 20. SEGMENT INFORMATION

In the three months ended June 30, 2012, we began reporting Conifer as a separate reportable business segment. Our other segment is Hospital Operations and other. Historically, our business has consisted of one reportable segment. However, during the three months ended June 30, 2012, our Hospital Operations and other segment and our Conifer subsidiary entered into formal agreements, pursuant to which it was agreed that services provided by both parties to each other would be billed based on estimated third-party pricing terms. The factors for determining the reportable segments include the manner in which management evaluates operating performance combined with the nature of the individual business activities.

Our core business is Hospital Operations and other, which is focused on owning and operating acute care hospitals and outpatient facilities. We also own various related health care businesses. At December 31, 2013, our subsidiaries operated 77 hospitals with a total of 20,293 licensed beds, primarily serving urban and suburban communities, as well as 183 outpatient centers, six health plans and six accountable care networks.

We operate revenue cycle management and patient communications and engagement services businesses under our Conifer subsidiary. In addition, Conifer operates a management services business that supports value-based performance through clinical integration, financial risk management and population health management. At December 31, 2013, Conifer provided services to more than 700 Tenet and non-Tenet hospital and other clients nationwide.

As mentioned above, in 2012, our Conifer subsidiary and our Hospital Operations and other segment entered into formal agreements documenting terms and conditions of various services provided by Conifer to Tenet hospitals, as well as certain administrative services provided by our Hospital Operations and other segment to Conifer. The services provided by both parties under these agreements are charged to the other party based on estimated third-party pricing terms. In 2011, the services provided by both parties were charged to the other party based on an estimate of the internal costs to provide such

218

Table of Contents

services. The amounts in the tables directly below reflect the services being charged based on estimated third-party terms in 2013 and 2012, but not in 2011.

The following table includes amounts for each of our reportable segments and the reconciling items necessary to agree to amounts reported in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets and Consolidated Statements of Operations:

	December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Assets:			
Hospital Operations and other	\$ 15,874	\$ 8,825	\$ 8,389
Conifer	256	219	73
Total	\$ 16,130	\$ 9,044	\$ 8,462
	Year Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Capital expenditures:			
Hospital Operations and other Core Services	\$ 670	\$ 495	\$ 461
Conifer	21	13	14
Total	\$ 691	\$ 508	\$ 475
Net operating revenues:			
Hospital Operations and other	\$ 10,587	\$ 9,002	\$ 8,575
Conifer			
Tenet	404	371	261
Other customers	515	117	79
	11,506	9,490	8,915
Intercompany eliminations	(404)	(371)	(261)
Total	\$ 11,102	\$ 9,119	\$ 8,654
Adjusted EBITDA:			
Hospital Operations and other Core Services	\$ 1,210	\$ 1,098	\$ 1,083
Conifer	132	105	43
Total	\$ 1,342	\$ 1,203	\$ 1,126
Depreciation and amortization:			
Hospital Operations and other Core Services	\$ 526	\$ 420	\$ 389
Conifer	19	10	9
Total	\$ 545	\$ 430	\$ 398
Adjusted EBITDA			
Depreciation and amortization	(545)	(430)	(398)
Impairment and restructuring charges, and acquisition-related costs	(103)	(19)	(20)
Litigation and investigation costs	(31)	(5)	(55)
Interest expense	(474)	(412)	(375)
Loss from early extinguishment of debt	(348)	(4)	(117)
Investment earnings	1	1	3
Income (loss) before income taxes	\$ (158)	\$ 334	\$ 164

NOTE 21. RECENT ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

Changes in Accounting Principle

Effective January 1, 2011, we adopted ASU 2010-24, "Health Care Entities (Topic 954): Presentation of Insurance Claims and Related Insurance Recoveries," which clarifies that a health care entity should not net insurance recoveries against a related claim liability. The adoption had no impact on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Table of Contents

Effective January 1, 2011, we adopted ASU 2010-23, "Health Care Entities (Topic 954): Measuring Charity Care for Disclosure," which prescribes a specific measurement basis of charity care for disclosure. The adoption had no impact on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Effective December 31, 2011, we adopted ASU 2011-07, "Health Care Entities (Topic 954): Presentation and Disclosure of Patient Service Revenue, Provision for Bad Debts, and the Allowance for Doubtful Accounts for Certain Health Care Entities," which requires health care entities to present the provision for doubtful accounts relating to patient service revenue as a deduction from patient service revenue in the statement of operations rather than as an operating expense. Additional disclosures relating to sources of patient revenue and the allowance for doubtful accounts related to patient accounts receivable are also required. Such additional disclosures are included in Notes 1 and 3. The adoption of this ASU had no impact on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Effective December 31, 2012, we adopted ASU 2012-02, "Intangibles—Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment," which permits an entity to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that an indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired as a basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform the quantitative impairment test as described in Topic 350. The adoption of this standard had no impact on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In July 2013, the FASB issued, ASU No. 2013-11 "Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists" ("ASU 2013-11"). ASU 2013-11 addresses the diversity in practice that exists for the balance sheet presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists. ASU 2013-11 requires that an unrecognized tax benefit, or a portion of an unrecognized tax benefit, should be presented in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward. ASU No. 2013-11 is effective for our fiscal quarter ending March 31, 2014. ASU 2013-11 impacts balance sheet presentation only. We do not expect the adoption of this standard to impact our balance sheet.

SUPPLEMENTAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION

SELECTED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA
(UNAUDITED)

	Year Ended December 31, 2013			
	First	Second	Third	Fourth
Net operating revenues	\$ 2,387	\$ 2,422	\$ 2,408	\$ 3,885
Net income (loss) attributable to Tenet Healthcare Corporation common shareholders	\$ (88)	\$ (50)	\$ 28	\$ (24)
Net income (loss)	\$ (83)	\$ (43)	\$ 36	\$ (14)
Earnings (loss) per share attributable to Tenet Healthcare Corporation common shareholders:				
Basic	\$ (0.85)	\$ (0.49)	\$ 0.28	\$ (0.24)
Diluted	\$ (0.85)	\$ (0.49)	\$ 0.27	\$ (0.24)

	Year Ended December 31, 2012			
	First	Second	Third	Fourth
Net operating revenues	\$ 2,302	\$ 2,265	\$ 2,221	\$ 2,331
Net income (loss) attributable to Tenet Healthcare Corporation common shareholders	\$ 58	\$ (6)	\$ 40	\$ 49
Net income (loss)	\$ 67	\$ (20)	\$ 32	\$ 54
Earnings (loss) per share attributable to Tenet Healthcare Corporation common shareholders:				
Basic	\$ 0.56	\$ (0.06)	\$ 0.38	\$ 0.46
Diluted	\$ 0.53	\$ (0.06)	\$ 0.37	\$ 0.45

Quarterly operating results are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the full year. Reasons for this include, but are not limited to: overall revenue and cost trends, particularly the timing and magnitude of price changes; fluctuations in contractual allowances and cost report settlements and valuation allowances; managed care contract negotiations, settlements or terminations and payer consolidations; changes in Medicare and Medicaid regulations; Medicaid funding levels set by the states in which we operate; the timing of approval by CMS of Medicaid provider fee revenue programs; trends in patient accounts receivable collectability and associated provisions for doubtful accounts; fluctuations in interest rates; levels of malpractice insurance expense and settlement trends; the timing of when we meet the criteria to recognize electronic health record incentives; impairment of long-lived assets and goodwill; restructuring charges; losses, costs and insurance recoveries related to natural disasters; litigation and investigation costs; acquisitions and dispositions of facilities and other assets; income tax rates and deferred tax asset valuation allowance activity; changes in estimates of accruals for annual incentive compensation; the timing and amounts of stock option and restricted stock unit grants to employees and directors; gains or losses from early extinguishment of debt; and changes in occupancy levels and patient volumes. Factors that affect patient volumes and, thereby, the results of operations at our hospitals and related health care facilities include, but are not limited to: the business environment, economic conditions and demographics of local communities in which we operate; the number of uninsured and underinsured individuals in local communities treated at our hospitals; seasonal cycles of illness; climate and weather conditions; physician recruitment, retention and attrition; advances in technology and treatments that reduce length of stay; local health care competitors; managed care contract negotiations or terminations; any unfavorable publicity about us, which impacts our relationships with physicians and patients; changes in health care regulations and the participation of individual states in federal programs; and the timing of elective procedures. These considerations apply to year-to-year comparisons as well.

All amounts related to shares, share prices and earnings per share have been restated to give retrospective presentation for the reverse stock split described in Note 2.

271

Table of Contents

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We completed our acquisition of Vanguard effective October 1, 2013. The facilities acquired as part of the Vanguard acquisition utilize different information technology systems than our other facilities. We have excluded all of the Vanguard operations from our assessment of and conclusion on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. The rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") require us to include acquired entities in our assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting no later than the annual management report following the first anniversary of the acquisition. We will complete the evaluation and integration of the Vanguard operations within the required timeframe and report management's assessment of our internal control over financial reporting, including the acquired hospitals and other operations, in our first annual report in which such assessment is required. Other than the Vanguard acquisition, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended December 31, 2013 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

We carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as defined by Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), as of the end of the period covered by this report with respect to our operations that existed prior to the Vanguard acquisition. The evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer. Based upon that evaluation, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that material information is recorded, processed, summarized and reported by management on a timely basis in order to comply with our disclosure obligations under the Exchange Act and the SEC rules thereunder.

Management's report on internal control over financial reporting is set forth on page 88 and is incorporated herein by reference. The independent registered public accounting firm that audited the financial statements included in this report has issued an attestation report on our internal control over financial reporting as set forth on page 89 herein.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III.

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Certain information required by this Item is hereby incorporated by reference to our definitive proxy statement in accordance with General Instruction G(3) to Form 10-K. Information concerning our *Standards to Conduct*, by which all of our employees, including our chief executive officer, chief financial officer and principal accounting officer, are required to abide appears under Item I, Business — Compliance and Ethics, of Part I of this report.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Information required by this Item is hereby incorporated by reference to our definitive proxy statement in accordance with General Instruction G(3) to Form 10-K.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Information required by this Item is hereby incorporated by reference to our definitive proxy statement in accordance with General Instruction G(3) to Form 10-K.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Information required by this Item is hereby incorporated by reference to our definitive proxy statement in accordance with General Instruction G(3) to Form 10-K.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

Information required by this Item is hereby incorporated by reference to our definitive proxy statement in accordance with General Instruction G(3) to Form 10-K.

PART IV.

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto can be found on pages 91 through 129.

FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

Schedule II—Valuation and Qualifying Accounts (included on page 140).

All other schedules and financial statements of the Registrant are omitted because they are not applicable or not required or because the required information is included in the Consolidated Financial Statements or notes thereto.

EXHIBITS

- (2) Plan of Acquisition, Reorganization, Arrangement, Liquidation or Succession
 - (a) Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of June 24, 2013, by and among the Registrant, Orange Merger Sub, Inc. and Vanguard Health Systems, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated and filed June 24, 2013)
- (3) Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws
 - (a) Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant, as amended and restated May 8, 2008 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(a) to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2008, filed August 5, 2008)
 - (b) Certificate of Designation, Preferences, and Rights of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock, par value \$0.15 per share, dated January 7, 2011 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated and filed January 7, 2011)
 - (c) Certificate of Change Pursuant to NRS 78.209, filed with the Nevada Secretary of State effective October 10, 2012 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated October 10, 2012 and filed October 11, 2012)
 - (d) Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Registrant, as amended and restated effective January 7, 2011 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated and filed January 7, 2011)
- (4) Instruments Defining the Rights of Security Holders, Including Indentures
 - (a) Indenture, dated as of November 6, 2001, between the Registrant and The Bank of New York, as trustee (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated November 6, 2001 and filed November 9, 2001)
 - (b) Third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 6, 2001, between the Registrant and The Bank of New York, as trustee, relating to 6⁷/₈% Senior Notes due 2031 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated November 6, 2001 and filed November 9, 2001)
 - (c) Seventh Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 18, 2004, between the Registrant and The Bank of New York, as trustee, relating to 9⁷/₈% Senior Notes due 2014 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(a) to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2004, filed August 3, 2004)
 - (d) Eighth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of January 28, 2005, between the Registrant and The Bank of New York, as trustee, relating to 9¹/₄% Senior Notes due 2015 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(g) to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004, filed March 8, 2005)

274

Table of Contents

- (e) Twelfth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 17, 2010, between the Registrant and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as successor trustee to The Bank of New York, relating to 8% Senior Notes due 2020 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated and filed August 17, 2010)
 - (f) Fourteenth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 21, 2011, by and among the Registrant, The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as successor trustee to The Bank of New York, and the guarantors party thereto, relating to 6¼% Senior Secured Notes due 2018 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated November 21, 2011 and filed November 22, 2011)
 - (g) Fifteenth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of October 16, 2012, by and among the Registrant, The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as successor trustee to The Bank of New York, and the guarantors party thereto, relating to 4¾% Senior Secured Notes due 2020 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated and filed October 16, 2012)
 - (h) Sixteenth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of October 16, 2012, between the Registrant and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as successor trustee to The Bank of New York, relating to 6¾% Senior Notes due 2020 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated and filed October 16, 2012)
 - (i) Seventeenth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of February 5, 2013, by and among the Registrant, The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as successor trustee to The Bank of New York, and the guarantors party thereto, relating to 4½% Senior Secured Notes due 2021 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated and filed February 5, 2013)
 - (j) Twentieth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of May 30, 2013, by and among the Registrant, The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as successor trustee to The Bank of New York, and the guarantors party thereto, relating to 4¾% Senior Secured Notes due 2021 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated May 30, 2013 and filed May 31, 2013)
 - (k) Indenture, dated as of September 27, 2013, among THC Escrow Corporation and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as trustee, relating to 6% Senior Secured Notes due 2020 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated and filed October 1, 2013)
 - (l) Supplemental Indenture, dated as of October 1, 2013, among the Registrant, certain of its subsidiaries and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as trustee, relating to 6% Senior Secured Notes due 2020 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated and filed October 1, 2013)
 - (m) Indenture, dated as of September 27, 2013, among THC Escrow Corporation and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as trustee, relating to 8⅛% Senior Notes due 2022 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated and filed October 1, 2013)
 - (n) Supplemental Indenture, dated as of October 1, 2013, among the Registrant, certain of its subsidiaries and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as trustee, relating to 8⅛% Senior Notes due 2022 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated and filed October 1, 2013)
- (10) Material Contracts
- (a) Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of October 19, 2010, among the Registrant, the lenders and issuers party thereto, Citicorp USA, Inc., as administrative agent, Bank of America, N.A., as syndication agent, Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and Banc of America Securities LLC, as joint lead arrangers, and the joint bookrunners and co-documentation agents named therein (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated October 19, 2010 and filed October 20, 2010)
 - (b) Amendment No. 1, dated as of November 29, 2011, to that certain Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of October 19, 2010, among the Registrant, the lenders and issuers party thereto, Citicorp USA, Inc.,

Table of Contents

as administrative agent, Bank of America, N.A., as syndication agent, Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and Banc of America Securities LLC, as joint lead arrangers, and the joint bookrunners and co-documentation agents named therein (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated November 29, 2011 and filed December 1, 2011)

- (c) Amendment No. 2, dated as of January 23, 2014, to that certain Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of October 19, 2010, among the Registrant, the lenders and issuers party thereto, Citicorp USA, Inc., as administrative agent, Bank of America, N.A., as syndication agent, Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and Banc of America Securities LLC, as joint lead arrangers, and the joint bookrunners and co-documentation agents named therein†
- (d) Stock Pledge Agreement, dated as of March 3, 2009, by and among the Registrant, as pledgor, The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as collateral trustee, and the other pledgors party thereto (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated March 3, 2009 and filed March 5, 2009)
- (e) Second Amendment to Stock Pledge Agreement, dated as of June 15, 2009, by and among the Registrant, as pledgor, The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as collateral trustee, and the other pledgors party thereto (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated June 15, 2009 and filed June 16, 2009)
- (f) Collateral Trust Agreement, dated as of March 3, 2009, by and among the Registrant, as pledgor, The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as collateral trustee, and the other pledgors party thereto (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated March 3, 2009 and filed March 5, 2009)
- (g) Exchange and Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of October 1, 2013, by and among the Registrant, certain of its subsidiaries, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, Barclays Capital Inc., Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and Wells Fargo Securities, LLC (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated and filed October 1, 2013)
- (h) Letter from the Registrant to Trevor Fetter, dated November 7, 2002 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(k) to Registrant's Transition Report on Form 10-K for the seven-month transition period ended December 31, 2002, filed May 15, 2003)*
- (i) Letter from the Registrant to Trevor Fetter dated September 15, 2003 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(l) to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2003, filed November 10, 2003)*
- (j) Letter from the Registrant to Keith B. Pitts dated June 21, 2013 *†
- (k) Letter from the Registrant to Britt T. Reynolds, dated December 15, 2011 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(j) to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, filed February 28, 2012)*
- (l) Letter from the Registrant to Daniel J. Cancelmi, dated September 6, 2012 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(c) to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2012, filed November 7, 2012) *
- (m) Letter from the Registrant to Audrey Andrews, accepted January 24, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(m) to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, filed February 26, 2013)*
- (n) Letter from the Registrant to Cathy Fraser, dated August 29, 2006 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(k) to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, filed February 26, 2008)*

Table of Contents

- (o) Letter from the Registrant to R. Scott Ramsey, dated September 10, 2012 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(d) to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2012, filed November 7, 2012)*
- (p) Tenet Second Amended and Restated Executive Severance Plan, as amended and restated effective December 31, 2008 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(e) to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2012, filed November 7, 2012)*
- (q) Board of Directors Retirement Plan, effective January 1, 1985, as amended August 18, 1993, April 25, 1994 and July 30, 1997 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(q) to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2003, filed November 10, 2003)*
- (r) Tenet Healthcare Corporation Seventh Amended and Restated Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, as amended and restated effective December 31, 2008 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(f) to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2012, filed November 7, 2012)*
- (s) Ninth Amended and Restated Tenet 2001 Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended and restated effective December 31, 2008 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(g) to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2012, filed November 7, 2012)*
- (t) Second Amended and Restated Tenet 2006 Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended and restated effective December 31, 2008 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(h) to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2012, filed November 7, 2012)*
- (u) Tenet Healthcare Corporation Second Amended and Restated 1994 Directors Stock Option Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(u) to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006, filed February 27, 2007)*
- (v) First Amended and Restated 1991 Stock Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(v) to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006, filed February 27, 2007)*
- (w) Second Amended and Restated 1995 Stock Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(w) to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006, filed February 27, 2007)*
- (x) Second Amended and Restated Tenet Healthcare Corporation 1999 Broad-Based Stock Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(x) to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006, filed February 27, 2007)*
- (y) Fifth Amended and Restated Tenet Healthcare Corporation 2001 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended and restated effective December 31, 2008 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(i) to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2012, filed November 7, 2012)*
- (z) Form of Stock Award used to evidence grants of stock options and/or restricted units under the Fourth Amended and Restated Tenet Healthcare Corporation 2001 Stock Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated February 14, 2006 and filed February 17, 2006)*
- (aa) Third Amended and Restated Tenet Healthcare 2008 Stock Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(j) to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2012, filed November 7, 2012)*
- (bb) Forms of Award used to evidence (i) initial grants of restricted stock units to directors, (ii) annual grants of restricted stock units to directors, (iii) grants of stock options to executives, and (iv) grants of restricted stock units to executives, all under the Amended and Restated Tenet Healthcare 2008 Stock Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(aa) to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, filed February 24, 2009)*
- (cc) Award Agreement, dated June 13, 2013, used to evidence grant of performance-based restricted stock units to Trevor Fetter under the Amended and Restated Tenet Healthcare 2008 Stock Incentive Plan (Incorporated by

Table of Contents

reference to Exhibit 10 to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2013, filed August 6, 2013)*

- (dd) Form of Award used to evidence grants of performance cash awards under the Fourth Amended and Restated Tenet Healthcare Corporation 2001 Stock Incentive Plan and the Amended and Restated Tenet Healthcare 2008 Stock Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, filed February 23, 2010)*
- (ee) Tenet Special RSU Deferral Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(d) to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2009, filed May 5, 2009)*
- (ff) Second Amended Tenet Healthcare Corporation Annual Incentive Plan, as amended and restated effective December 31, 2008 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(k) to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2012, filed November 7, 2012)*
- (gg) Form of Indemnification Agreement entered into with each of the Registrant's directors (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(a) to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2005, filed November 1, 2005)

(21) Subsidiaries of the Registrant†

(23) Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP†

(31) Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications

(a) Certification of Trevor Fetter, President and Chief Executive Officer†

(b) Certification of Daniel J. Cancelmi, Chief Financial Officer†

(32) Section 1350 Certifications of Trevor Fetter, President and Chief Executive Officer, and Daniel J. Cancelmi, Chief Financial Officer†

(101 INS) XBRL Instance Document

(101 SCH) XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document

(101 CAL) XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document

(101 DEF) XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document

(101 LAB) XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document

(101 PRE) XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

* Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

† Filed herewith.

SCHEDULE II—VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS
(In Millions)

	Balance at Beginning of Period	Additions Charged To:			Deductions(3)	Other Items(4)	Balance at End of Period
		Costs and Expenses(1)(2)	Other Accounts				
Allowance for doubtful accounts:							
Year ended December 31, 2013	\$ 401	\$ 975	\$ —	\$ (787)	\$ —	\$ 589	
Year ended December 31, 2012	\$ 397	\$ 789	\$ —	\$ (785)	\$ —	\$ 401	
Year ended December 31, 2011	\$ 352	\$ 721	\$ —	\$ (676)	\$ —	\$ 397	
Valuation allowance for deferred tax assets							
Year ended December 31, 2013	\$ 56	\$ 23	\$ (1)	\$ —	\$ 29	\$ 107	
Year ended December 31, 2012	\$ 61	\$ (5)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 56	
Year ended December 31, 2011	\$ 66	\$ (5)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 61	

- (1) Includes amounts recorded in discontinued operations.
- (2) Before considering recoveries on accounts or notes previously written off.
- (3) Accounts written off.
- (4) Vanguard acquisition.

281

AMENDMENT NO. 2

AMENDMENT NO. 2, dated as of January 23, 2014 (this “*Amendment*”), by and among Tenet Healthcare Corporation, a Nevada corporation (the “*Borrower*”), Citicorp USA, Inc., as Administrative Agent (in such capacity, the “*Administrative Agent*”) under the Credit Agreement (as defined below), the Loan Parties and the Lenders party hereto.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS:

WHEREAS, reference is hereby made to the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of October 19, 2010 (as amended, supplemented, amended and restated or otherwise modified from time to time, the “*Credit Agreement*”), among the Borrower, the Administrative Agent and each Lender and Issuer from time to time party thereto (capitalized terms used but not defined herein having the meaning provided in the Credit Agreement);

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 11.1 of the Credit Agreement, the Borrower has requested that the Administrative Agent and the Requisite Lenders consent to the amendment to the Credit Agreement set forth herein;

WHEREAS, each Lender party hereto has agreed to consent to the amendment set forth herein, in each case, on the terms and conditions set forth herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the premises and agreements, provisions and covenants herein contained, the parties hereto agree as follows:

1. **Amendment to the Credit Agreement.** Effective as of the Amendment Effective Date (as defined below) and subject to the satisfaction of the terms and conditions set forth herein, the definition of “*Excluded Subsidiary*” is hereby amended and restated in its entirety to read as follows:

“*Excluded Subsidiary*” means, (a) each Subsidiary of the Borrower designated from time to time by the Borrower as such, unless the Borrower shall have subsequently revoked such designation by written notice of such revocation to the Administrative Agent and such Subsidiary shall have complied with the requirements of *Section 7.10(a) (Additional Collateral and Guaranties)*; *provided, however*, that (i) the aggregate total assets of all Excluded Subsidiaries on the last day of the most recent fiscal period for which financial statements have been delivered pursuant to *Section 6.1 (Financial Statements)* shall be less than 30% of the Consolidated total assets of the Borrower and its Subsidiaries as of such date and (ii) the aggregate gross revenues of all such Subsidiaries for any Fiscal Quarter shall be less than 30% of the Consolidated gross revenues of the Borrower and its Subsidiaries for such Fiscal Quarter, in each case determined in accordance with GAAP, (b) any Subsidiary of the Borrower of which less than 60% of the outstanding Voting Stock is, at the time, directly or indirectly, owned or controlled by the Borrower or one or more Guarantors and (c) as of the Effective Date, each of the Subsidiaries of the Borrower listed on *Schedule 1.1(a) (“Excluded Subsidiaries”)*.

2. **Conditions to Effectiveness.** This Amendment shall become effective on the date when each of the following conditions precedent have first been satisfied (the “*Amendment Effective Date*”):

ATTACHMENT 36

282

- (a) this Amendment shall have been executed and delivered by the Borrower, the Loan Parties, the Requisite Lenders and the Administrative Agent;
- (b) there shall have been paid to the Administrative Agent, for the account of itself and the Lenders, as applicable, all fees and expenses (including, to the extent invoiced, the reasonable fees and expenses of Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP) due and payable on or before the Amendment Effective Date;
- (c) each of the representations set forth in Section 3 hereof shall be true and correct as of the Amendment Effective Date; and
- (d) no Default or Event of Default shall have occurred and be continuing as of the Amendment Effective Date.

3. **Representations and Warranties.** By its execution of this Amendment, the Borrower hereby certifies that:

- (a) (i) each Loan Party has taken all necessary action to authorize the execution, delivery and performance of this Amendment, (ii) this Amendment has been duly executed and delivered by each Loan Party, (iii) this Amendment is the legal, valid and binding obligation of the each Loan Party, enforceable against it in accordance with its terms, except as enforceability may be limited by applicable bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, moratorium or similar laws affecting the enforcement of creditors' rights generally and by general equitable principles and (iv) such execution, delivery and performance will not (A) contravene or violate any Loan Party's Constituent Documents, (B) violate any other Requirement of Law applicable to any Loan Party or any order or decree of any Governmental Authority or arbitrator applicable to the Borrower or (C) conflict with or result in the breach of, or constitute a default under, or result in or permit the termination or acceleration of, any Related Document or any other material Contractual Obligation of any Loan Party;
- (b) each of the representations and warranties made by any Loan Party in the Credit Agreement, as amended hereby, and the other Loan Documents to which it respectively is a party or by which it is bound, is true and correct in all material respects on and as of the Amendment Effective Date (other than representations and warranties in any such Loan Document which expressly speak as of a specific date, which shall have been true and correct in all material respects as of such specific date); and
- (c) no Default or Event of Default has occurred and is continuing.

4. **Acknowledgments; Liens Unimpaired.** Each Loan Party hereby acknowledges that it has read this Amendment and consents to the terms hereof, and further hereby affirms, confirms, represents, warrants and agrees that (a) notwithstanding the effectiveness of this Amendment, the obligations of such Loan Party under each of the Loan Documents to which it is a party shall not be impaired and each of the Loan Documents to which such Loan Party is a party is, and shall continue to be, in full force and effect and is hereby confirmed and ratified in all respects; (b) after giving effect to this Amendment, (i) the execution, delivery, performance or effectiveness of this Amendment shall not impair the validity, effectiveness or priority of the Liens granted pursuant to the Loan Documents and such Liens shall continue unimpaired with the same priority to secure repayment of all Obligations, whether heretofore or hereafter incurred and (ii) in the case of any Guarantor, its Guaranty, as and to the extent provided in the Loan Documents, shall

283

continue in full force and effect in respect of the Obligations under the Credit Agreement and the other Loan Documents; (c) the execution, delivery, performance or effectiveness of this Amendment does not require that any new filings be made or other action taken to perfect or maintain the perfection of such Liens; and (d) the position of the Lenders with respect to such Liens, the Collateral (as defined in the applicable Loan Documents) in which a security interest was granted pursuant to the Loan Documents, and the ability of the Collateral Agent to realize upon such Liens pursuant to the terms of the Loan Documents have not been adversely affected in any material respect by the execution, delivery, performance or effectiveness of this Amendment.

5. **Amendment, Modification and Waiver.** This Amendment may not be amended, modified or waived except in accordance with Section 11.1 of the Credit Agreement.
6. **Entire Agreement.** This Amendment, the Credit Agreement and the other Loan Documents constitute the entire agreement among the parties hereto with respect to the subject matter hereof and thereof and supersede all other prior agreements and understandings, both written and verbal, among the parties hereto with respect to the subject matter hereof. Except as expressly set forth herein, this Amendment shall not by implication or otherwise limit, impair, constitute a waiver of, or otherwise affect the rights and remedies of any party under, the Credit Agreement or other Loan Documents, nor alter, modify, amend or in any way affect any of the terms, conditions, obligations, covenants or agreements contained in the Credit Agreement or other Loan Documents, all of which are ratified and affirmed in all respects and shall continue in full force and effect. It is understood and agreed that each reference in each Loan Document to the Credit Agreement, whether direct or indirect, shall hereafter be deemed to be a reference to the Credit Agreement as amended hereby and that this Amendment is a Loan Document.
7. **GOVERNING LAW AND SUBMISSION TO JURISDICTION. SECTIONS 11.11 AND 11.12 OF THE CREDIT AGREEMENT ARE HEREBY INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE INTO THIS AMENDMENT AND SHALL APPLY HERETO MUTATIS MUTANDIS.**
8. **Waiver of Jury Trial.** Section 11.13 of the Credit Agreement is hereby incorporated by reference into this Amendment and shall apply hereto *mutatis mutandis*.
9. **Counterparts.** Section 11.16 of the Credit Agreement is hereby incorporated by reference into this Amendment and shall apply hereto *mutatis mutandis*.
10. **Entire Agreement.** Section 11.17 of the Credit Agreement is hereby incorporated by reference into this Amendment and shall apply hereto *mutatis mutandis*.

[SIGNATURE PAGES FOLLOW]

284

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, each of the undersigned has caused its duly authorized officer to execute and deliver this Amendment as of the date first written above.

CITICORP USA, INC.
as the Administrative Agent

By: /s/ Justin McMahan
Name: Justin McMahan
Title: Vice President

TENET HEALTHCARE CORPORATION,
as the Borrower

By: /s/ Tyler C. Murphy
Name: Tyler C. Murphy
Title: Treasurer

AMISUB (SFH), INC.
AMISUB OF NORTH CAROLINA, INC.
AMISUB OF SOUTH CAROLINA, INC.
BROOKWOOD HEALTH SERVICES, INC.
COASTAL CAROLINA MEDICAL CENTER, INC.
DELRAY MEDICAL CENTER, INC.
DOCTORS HOSPITAL OF MANTECA, INC.
DOCTORS MEDICAL CENTER OF MODESTO, INC.
EAST COOPER COMMUNITY HOSPITAL, INC.
FOUNTAIN VALLEY REGIONAL HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER
FRYE REGIONAL MEDICAL CENTER, INC.
HOUSTON SPECIALTY HOSPITAL, INC.
JFK MEMORIAL HOSPITAL, INC.
LAKEWOOD REGIONAL MEDICAL CENTER, INC.
LIFEMARK HOSPITALS OF FLORIDA, INC.
LOS ALAMITOS MEDICAL CENTER, INC.
NORTH FULTON MEDICAL CENTER, INC.
PALM BEACH GARDENS COMMUNITY HOSPITAL, INC.
PLACENTIA-LINDA HOSPITAL, INC.
SIERRA VISTA HOSPITAL, INC.
TENET GOOD SAMARITAN, INC.
TENET HEALTHSYSTEM BARTLETT, INC.
TENET HEALTHSYSTEM DESERT, INC.
TENET HEALTHSYSTEM DI, INC.
TENET HEALTHSYSTEM GB, INC.
TENET HEALTHSYSTEM HOSPITALS, INC.
TENET HEALTHSYSTEM NORTH SHORE, INC.
TENET HEALTHSYSTEM SGH, INC.
TENET HEALTHSYSTEM SL, INC.

[SIGNATURE PAGE TO AMENDMENT]

ATTACHMENT 36

2FR

TENET HEALTHSYSTEM SPALDING, INC.
TENET HIALEAH HEALTHSYSTEM, INC.
TENET ST. MARY'S, INC.
TWIN CITIES COMMUNITY HOSPITAL, INC.
WEST BOCA MEDICAL CENTER, INC.

By: /s/ Tyler C. Murphy
Name: Tyler C. Murphy
Title: Treasurer

CGH HOSPITAL, LTD.

By: Coral Gables Hospital, Inc., as General Partner

HILTON HEAD HEALTH SYSTEM, L.P.

By: Tenet Physician Services — Hilton Head, Inc., as General Partner
NEW MEDICAL HORIZONS II, LTD.

By: Cypress Fairbanks Medical Center Inc., as General Partner

TENET FRISCO, LTD.

By: Tenet Healthsystem Hospitals, Inc., as General Partner
TENET HEALTHSYSTEM HAHNEMANN, L.L.C.

By: Tenet HealthSystem Philadelphia, Inc., as Sole Member
TENET HEALTHSYSTEM ST. CHRISTOPHER'S HOSPITAL FOR
CHILDREN, L.L.C.

By: Tenet HealthSystem Philadelphia, Inc., as Sole Member
TENET HOSPITALS LIMITED

By: Tenet Texas, Inc., as General Partner

TH HEALTHCARE, LTD.

By: Lifemark Hospitals, Inc., as General Partner

By: /s/ Tyler C. Murphy
Name: Tyler C. Murphy
Title: Treasurer

VHS SAN ANTONIO PARTNERS, LLC

By: VHS Acquisition Subsidiary Number 5, Inc., its Managing Member, and
VHS Holding Company, Inc.

By: /s/ Tyler C. Murphy
Name: Tyler C. Murphy
Title: Treasurer

HOSPITAL DEVELOPMENT OF WEST PHOENIX, INC.
VHS ACQUISITION CORPORATION
VHS ACQUISITION SUBSIDIARY NUMBER 1, INC.
VHS ACQUISITION SUBSIDIARY NUMBER 7, INC.
VHS ACQUISITION SUBSIDIARY NUMBER 9, INC.

[SIGNATURE PAGE TO AMENDMENT]

ATTACHMENT 36

286

VHS CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL OF MICHIGAN, INC.
VHS DETROIT RECEIVING HOSPITAL, INC.
VHS HARPER-HUTZEL HOSPITAL, INC.
VHS HURON VALLEY-SINAI HOSPITAL, INC.
VHS OF ARROWHEAD, INC.
VHS OF ILLINOIS, INC.
VHS REHABILITATION INSTITUTE OF MICHIGAN, INC.
VHS SINAI-GRACE HOSPITAL, INC.
VHS WEST SUBURBAN MEDICAL CENTER, INC.
VHS WESTLAKE HOSPITAL, INC.
VHS OF PHOENIX, INC.

By: /s/ Tyler C. Murphy
Name: Tyler C. Murphy
Title: Treasurer

CITIBANK, N.A.,
as a Lender

By: /s/ Justin McMahan
Name: Justin McMahan
Title: Vice President

WELLS FARGO CAPITAL FINANCE LLC,
as a Lender

By: /s/ David Klages
Name: David Klages
Title: Duly Authorized Signor

Siemens Financial Services, Inc.,
as a Lender

By: /s/ John Finore
Name: John Finore
Title: Vice President

By: /s/ Uri Sky
Name: Uri Sky
Title: Vice President

[SIGNATURE PAGE TO AMENDMENT]

ATTACHMENT 36

287

CIT HEALTHCARE LLC,
as a Lender

By: /s/ Barbara Perich
Name: Barbara Perich
Title: Director

Goldman Sachs Bank USA,
as a Lender

By: /s/ Michelle Latzoni
Name: Michelle Latzoni
Title: Authorized Signatory

ROYAL BANK OF CANADA,
as a Lender

By: /s/ Mustafa Topiwalla
Name: Mustafa Topiwalla
Title: Authorized Signatory

Bank of America, N.A.
as a Lender

By: /s/ Laura Weiland
Name: Laura Weiland
Title: Vice President

COMPASS BANK,
as a Lender

By: /s/ Marla Cannon
Name: Marla Cannon
Title: Vice President

[SIGNATURE PAGE TO AMENDMENT]

ATTACHMENT 36

2PF

[TENET LETTERHEAD]

June 21, 2013

Mr. Keith B. Pitts
Vice Chairman
Vanguard Health Systems, Inc.

Dear Keith:

I am pleased to offer you supplemental compensation and benefits in consideration of your transition to Tenet Healthcare Corporation in connection with Tenet's acquisition of Vanguard Health Systems, Inc. ("Acquisition"). By accepting these terms, you agree to waive any occurrence of "good reason" under your employment agreement with Vanguard that results from Vanguard no longer being a publicly-traded company as a result of the Acquisition or your acceptance of your role described herein. Except as modified below, other terms of your employment agreement will remain in effect. The terms included in this letter are contingent on the successful closing of the Acquisition and become effective at that time.

1. Role: Vice Chairman of Tenet, reporting to Tenet's President and Chief Executive Officer. Your position will be located at Tenet's headquarters in Dallas after a reasonable transition period from Nashville to be mutually agreed upon. (See Addendum for additional detail.)
2. Compensation and Benefits: You will be entitled to compensation and benefits as follows:
 - a. Base Compensation: Your base compensation will continue to be an annual exempt rate of \$700,000.00, payable bi-weekly.
 - b. Benefits: You are eligible to receive all standard employee benefits in accordance with Tenet plans.
 - c. Annual Incentive Plan: Your position is eligible to participate in Tenet's Annual Incentive Plan (AIP) according to the terms of the Plan. Your target award will continue to be 100% of your base salary. Participating in the AIP does not guarantee that an award will be made.
 - d. Manager's Paid Time Off Plan: You are eligible to participate in the company's paid time off plan (the "MTO Plan") according to your tenure with the company (4 weeks per year).
 - e. Long Term Incentives. As Vice Chairman, you will be eligible for future long-term incentive grants, which are typically awarded annually and based on guidelines established by Tenet's Compensation Committee. In your role, the current guideline for such an award has an annual value of between \$2,000,000 and \$2,500,000. In addition, you will receive an up-front grant of restricted stock units ("RSUs") valued at \$2,500,000, which will be granted upon closing of the Acquisition. The RSUs will vest in the same manner as standard annual Tenet RSU grants, over three years in one-third annual increments.
3. Relocation: Tenet will provide relocation benefits related to your move to Dallas in accordance with its relocation policies for key executives. The details of these benefits will be provided in a separate communication.

ATTACHMENT 36

289

4. Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan: You will be eligible to participate in Tenet's supplemental executive retirement plan (SERP), which provides enhanced retirement, disability and life insurance benefits, effective as of the close of the Acquisition. Upon completion of five years of service with Tenet following the closing of the Acquisition, the SERP will credit your pre-closing service with Vanguard for purposes of calculating SERP benefits. Details of that plan (e.g., benefit accrual levels, vesting terms) will be provided in a separate communication. Should Tenet's Compensation Committee determine to freeze or terminate the SERP plan following the Acquisition, you will be provided with a substitute of similar value.
5. Executive Severance Plan: At the time specified in the next paragraph, you will be eligible to participate in the Executive Severance Plan, which provides you with certain severance benefits in the event of a Qualifying Termination (i.e., Not for Cause or Good Reason) as defined in the plan. These benefits include severance benefits of two and one-half years of base salary and target annual bonus for a Qualifying Termination, and three years base salary and target annual bonus for Qualifying Termination related to a Change of Control.

Participation will require execution of a Tenet Executive Severance Plan Agreement. Upon execution of this agreement, which must be completed within one year of the closing of the Acquisition, both parties agree to terminate your existing employment agreement with Vanguard.

You will receive a separate communication containing more details about the plan, your participation in the plan and an agreement which you will need to sign, from the Executive Compensation Department following your employment date with Tenet.

6. Use of Company Aircraft: You will have priority access to private aircraft for business travel in accordance with Tenet's policies.

All payments to you are subject to applicable tax withholding, and nothing in this letter constitutes a contract to employ you for any specified time. This letter may be modified only by a writing signed by both you and a designated senior officer of Tenet.

If you accept these supplemental items and benefits, please sign and date this letter and return it to me. We are very excited about the Acquisition and look forward to you joining our team.

Sincerely,

/s/ Trevor Fetter

Trevor Fetter
President and CEO

cc: Cathy Fraser, SVP Human Resources
Paul Slavin, VP Executive and Corporate HR Services

Acknowledged and accepted:

/s/ Keith B. Pitts

Signature

Date: 6/24, 2013

290

Addendum

Responsibilities of Vice-Chairman:

A. Primary responsibilities:

1. Responsible for sourcing, evaluating, and executing material mergers, acquisitions, divestitures, investments, joint ventures and similar corporate development activities across Tenet's business lines
2. Management responsibility for Tenet's current acquisitions and development department
3. Member of Tenet's Senior Operating Committee (top corporate officers)
4. Advisor on strategies to Tenet's CEO
5. Member of the board of managers (equivalent to board of directors) of Conifer
6. Represent Tenet as a director of the Federation of American Hospitals and other national-level industry or business organizations
7. Participate in all Tenet board meetings
8. Co-Chair of Tenet's Capital Expenditure Review Committee ("CERC")(1)

B. Extensive involvement in the following areas, in coordination with functional leaders:

1. Investor relations (i.e., represent Tenet at selected investor conferences or road shows, in coordination with CFO and SVP of IR)
2. Government relations (in coordination with SVP Public Affairs)
3. Industry relations (i.e., represent Tenet at industry conferences, C-level industry meetings, or other venues, in coordination with SVP Public Affairs)

(1) This management committee approves key investments/expenditures greater than \$5 million.

Tenet Subsidiaries List
(As of 12/31/13)

All of the subsidiaries listed below are 100% owned by **Tenet Healthcare Corporation** unless otherwise indicated.

Conifer Holdings, Inc.

- (a) Conifer Ethics and Compliance, Inc.
- (a) Conifer Health Solutions, LLC — *ownership* — *Conifer Holdings, Inc., managing member (98%); Catholic Health Initiatives (2%)*
- (b) Conifer Patient Communications, LLC
- (b) Conifer Revenue Cycle Solutions, LLC
 - (c) Conifer HIM & Revenue Integrity Services, LLC
 - (c) Syndicated Office Systems, LLC
 - (c) Hospital RCM Services, LLC
 - (c) United Patient Financing, Inc.
- (b) Conifer Value-Based Care, LLC
 - (c) InforMed Medical Management Services, LLC
 - (c) InforMed Insurance Services, LLC

DigitalMed, Inc.

National Imaging Center Holdings, Inc.

- (a) DMC Imaging, L.L.C.

National Surgery Center Holdings, Inc.

- (a) Bluffton Okatie Surgery Center, L.L.C.
- (a) Coral Ridge Outpatient Center, LLC — *ownership* — *National Surgery Center Holdings, Inc., managing member (51%); other outside members (49%)*
- (a) El Paso Day Surgery, LLC — *ownership* — *National Surgery Center Holdings, Inc., managing member (61%); other outside members (39%)*
- (a) GCSA Ambulatory Surgery Center, LLC — *ownership* — *National Surgery Center Holdings, Inc., managing member (51%); other outside members (49%)*
- (a) Murdock Ambulatory Surgical Center, LLC — *ownership* — *National Surgery Center Holdings, Inc., managing member (51%); other outside members (49%)*
- (a) NSCH/USP Desert Surgery Centers, LLC — *ownership* — *National Surgery Center Holdings, Inc., managing member (50.1%); Surgical Health Partners, Inc. (49.9%)*
- (b) El Mirador Surgery Center, L.L.C. — *ownership* — *NSCH/USP Desert Surgery Centers, LLC, managing member (77.93%); other outside members (22.07%)*
- (a) Pacific Endoscopy and Surgery Center, LLC — *ownership* — *National Surgery Center Holdings, Inc., managing member (55%); other outside members (45%)*
- (a) Pediatric Surgery Center - Odessa, LLC — *ownership* — *National Surgery Center Holdings, Inc., managing member (60%); other outside members (40%)*
- (a) Pediatric Surgery Centers, LLC — *ownership* — *National Surgery Center Holdings, Inc., managing member (60%); other outside members (40%)*
- (a) South Florida Ambulatory Surgical Center, LLC — *ownership* — *National Surgery Center Holdings, Inc. (50.65%); other outside physician partners (49.35%)*
- (a) Surgery Center of Okeechobee, LLC — *ownership* — *National Surgery Center Holdings, Inc., managing member (51%); other outside members (49%)*
- (a) Surgery Center of Pembroke Pines, L.L.C. — *ownership* — *National Surgery Center Holdings, Inc., managing member (67.5%); other outside members (32.5%)*
- (a) The Surgery Center at Jensen Beach, LLC — *ownership* — *National Surgery Center Holdings, Inc., managing member (53.5%); other outside members (46.5%)*
- (a) Theda Oaks Gastroenterology & Endoscopy Center, LLC — *ownership* — *National Surgery Center Holdings, Inc., managing member (51%); other outside members (49%)*
- (a) Winter Haven Ambulatory Surgical Center, L.L.C. — *ownership* — *National Surgery Center Holdings, Inc., managing member (51%); other outside members (49%)*

ATTACHMENT 36

292

National Urgent Care Holdings, Inc.

- (a) AMC/North Fulton Urgent Care #1, L.L.C.
- (a) AMC/North Fulton Urgent Care #2, L.L.C.
- (a) AMC/North Fulton Urgent Care #3, L.L.C.
- (a) AMC/North Fulton Urgent Care #4, L.L.C.
- (a) AMC/North Fulton Urgent Care #5, L.L.C.
- (a) AMC/North Fulton Urgent Care #6, L.L.C.
- (a) Camp Creek Urgent Care, L.L.C.
- (a) Des Peres Urgent Care, L.L.C.
- (a) Memphis Urgent Care #1, L.L.C.
- (a) Memphis Urgent Care #2, L.L.C.
- (a) NUCH of Georgia, L.L.C.
- (a) NUCH of Texas
- (a) Olive Branch Urgent Care #1, LLC
- (a) Selma Carlson, Inc.
- (a) St. Louis Urgent Care #2, L.L.C.
- (a) St. Louis Urgent Care #3, L.L.C.
- (a) Walker Street Imaging Care, Inc.
- (a) West Boynton Urgent Care, L.L.C.

NME Headquarters, Inc.

NME Properties Corp.

- (a) NME Properties, Inc.
 - (b) Lake Health Care Facilities, Inc.
- (a) NME Property Holding Co., Inc.
- (a) Tenet HealthSystem SNF-LA, Inc.

NME Psychiatric Hospitals, Inc.

- (a) The Huron Corporation

NME Rehabilitation Properties, Inc.

- (a) R.H.S.C. El Paso, Inc.

TenetCare, Inc.

- (a) National Diagnostic Imaging Centers, Inc.
- (a) TenetCare Frisco, Inc.
 - (b) Centennial ASC, L.P. (*1% GP: TenetCare Frisco, Inc.; 99% LP: Tenet Hospitals Limited*)
- (a) TenetCare Tennessee, Inc.

Tenet Healthcare Foundation

Tenet HealthSystem Holdings, Inc.

- (a) Tenet HealthSystem Medical, Inc.
 - (b) 601 N 30th Street III, Inc.
 - (c) 601 N 30th Street I, L.L.C. — *ownership — 601 N 30th Street III, Inc. (74.06%)
Tenet HealthSystem Medical, Inc. (25.94%)*
 - (d) 601 N 30th Street II, L.L.C.
 - (b) American Medical (Central), Inc.
 - (c) Amisub (Heights), Inc.
 - (c) Amisub (Twelve Oaks), Inc.
 - (c) Lifemark Hospitals, Inc.
 - (d) Amisub of Texas, Inc.
 - (d) Houston Network, Inc.
 - (d) Houston Specialty Hospital, Inc.
 - (d) Lifemark Hospitals of Florida, Inc.
 - (e) Surgicare of Miramar, L.L.C. — *ownership — Lifemark Hospitals of Florida, Inc.,
managing member (50.97%); other outside members (49.03%)*

- (d) Lifemark Hospitals of Louisiana, Inc.
- (d) TH Healthcare, Ltd. — *ownership* — GP: Lifemark Hospitals, Inc. (1%);
LP: Amisub of Texas, Inc. (70.1%); LP: Amisub (Heights), Inc. (10.3%);
LP: Amisub (Twelve Oaks), Inc. (18.6%)
- (e) Park Plaza Hospital Billing Center, L.L.C.
- (c) Tenet Employment, Inc.
- (b) AMI Diagnostic Services, Inc.
- (b) AMI Information Systems Group, Inc.
- (b) AMI/HTI Tarzana Encino Joint Venture — *ownership* — Tenet HealthSystem Medical, Inc. (30%)
Amisub of California, Inc. (26%); New H Acute, Inc. (12%)
AMI Information Systems Group, Inc. (7%)
- (b) Amisub (Hilton Head), Inc.
- (c) Hilton Head Health System, L.P. — *ownership* — Amisub (Hilton Head), Inc. (79%)
Tenet Physician Services - Hilton Head, Inc. (21%)
- (b) Amisub (North Ridge Hospital), Inc.
- (c) NRMCM Physician Services, L.L.C.
- (b) Amisub (SFH), Inc.
- (c) Saint Francis Hospital Billing Center, L.L.C.
- (c) Saint Francis Surgery Center, L.L.C. (60.6061% member interest)
- (c) Tenet HealthSystem SF-SNF, Inc.
- (b) Amisub of California, Inc.
- (b) Amisub of North Carolina, Inc.
- (c) Central Carolina Ambulatory Surgery Center, LLC
- (b) Amisub of South Carolina, Inc.
- (c) Rock Hill Surgery Center, L.P. — *ownership* — Amisub of South Carolina, Inc. (72%)
Surgical Center of Rock Hill (28%)
- (c) Tenet Rehab Piedmont, Inc.
- (b) Brookwood Center Development Corporation
- (c) Alabama Digestive Health Endoscopy Center, L.L.C. (53% member interest)
- (c) Brookwood Home Health, LLC — *ownership* — Brookwood Center Development Corporation (51%);
other outside member (49%)
- (c) BWP Associates, Ltd. — *ownership* — Brookwood Center Development Corporation (80%)
Brookwood Development, Inc. (20%)
- (c) C.K. of Birmingham, LLC
- (c) Hoover Doctors Group, Inc.
- (c) Medplex Outpatient Medical Centers, Inc.
- (c) Medplex Outpatient Surgery Center, Ltd. — *ownership* — Others (15%)
Brookwood Center Development Corporation (8% GP, 73.765% LP);
Hoover Doctors Group, Inc. (1% LP); Medplex Outpatient Medical
Centers, Inc. (1% LP)
- (b) Brookwood Development, Inc.
- (b) Brookwood Health Services, Inc.
- (c) Brookwood Garages, L.L.C.
- (b) Brookwood Parking Associates, Ltd. — *ownership* — Tenet HealthSystem Medical, Inc. (99%),
Brookwood Garages, L.L.C. (1%)
- (b) Coastal Carolina Medical Center, Inc.
- (c) Coastal Carolina Pro Fee Billing, L.L.C.
- (b) Coastal Carolina Physician Practices, L.L.C.
- (c) Hardeeville Medical Group, L.L.C.
- (c) Hardeeville Primary Care, L.L.C.
- (b) Cumming Medical Ventures, Inc.
- (b) East Cooper Community Hospital, Inc.
- (c) The Southeastern Spine Institute Surgery Center, L.L.C. — *ownership* — East Cooper Community
Hospital, Inc., managing member (55%);
other outside members (45%)
- (b) Eastern Professional Properties, Inc.
- (b) Frye Regional Medical Center, Inc.
- (c) FryeCare Outpatient Imaging, L.L.C.

- (c) Frye Heart Excellence Team, LLC (50% member interest)
- (c) Frye Home Infusion, Inc.
- (c) Guardian Health Service, L.L.C. (50% member interest)
- (c) Tate Surgery Center, L.L.C.
- (c) Unifour Neurosurgery, L.L.C.
- (c) Viewmont Surgery Center, L.L.C.
- (b) Magnetic Resonance Imaging of San Luis Obispo, Inc.
- (b) New H Acute, Inc.
- (b) North Fulton Medical Center, Inc.
 - (c) Endoscopy Consultants, LLC — ownership — North Fulton Medical Center, Inc. (51%); other outside physician partners (49%)
 - (c) Georgia Center, LLC — ownership — North Fulton Medical Center, Inc. (51%); other outside physician partners (49%)
 - (c) North Fulton GI Center, L.L.C.
 - (c) Northwoods Surgery Center, LLC
 - (c) NorthPoint Health System, Inc.
 - (c) Northwoods Member, Inc.
 - (c) Roswell Georgia Surgery Center, L.L.C.
- (b) North Fulton MOB Ventures, Inc.
- (b) Palm Beach Gardens Community Hospital, Inc.
 - (c) Palm Beach Gardens Cardiac and Vascular Partners, LLC — ownership — Palm Beach Gardens Community Hospital, Inc. (50%); other outside physician partners (50%)
- (b) Piedmont Urgent Care and Industrial Health Centers, Inc.
 - (c) Catawba-Piedmont Cardiothoracic Surgery, L.L.C.
 - (c) Imaging Center at Baxter Village, L.L.C.
 - (c) Piedmont Behavioral Medicine Associates, LLC
 - (c) Piedmont Cardiovascular Physicians, L.L.C.
 - (c) Piedmont Carolina OB/GYN of York County, L.L.C.
 - (c) Piedmont Carolina Vascular Surgery, L.L.C.
 - (c) Piedmont East Urgent Care Center, L.L.C.
 - (c) Piedmont Express Care at Sutton Road, L.L.C.
 - (c) Piedmont Family Practice at Baxter Village, L.L.C.
 - (c) Piedmont Family Practice at Rock Hill, L.L.C.
 - (c) Piedmont Family Practice at Tega Cay, L.L.C.
 - (c) Piedmont General Surgery Associates, L.L.C.
 - (c) Piedmont Internal Medicine at Baxter Village, L.L.C.
 - (c) Piedmont Internal Medicine and Family Practice at York, L.L.C.
 - (c) Piedmont Pulmonology, L.L.C.
 - (c) Piedmont Surgical Specialists, L.L.C.
 - (c) Piedmont Urgent Care Center at Baxter Village, LLC
 - (c) Piedmont West Urgent Care Center, L.L.C.
 - (c) Sutton Road Pediatrics, L.L.C.
- (b) Physician Performance Network, L.L.C.
 - (c) Physician Performance Network of Georgia, L.L.C.
 - (c) Physician Performance Network of Philadelphia, L.L.C.
- (b) Professional Healthcare Systems Licensing Corporation
- (b) Roswell Medical Ventures, Inc.
 - (c) North Fulton Parking Deck, L.P. — ownership — Roswell Medical Ventures, Inc. (89.836%), other outside partners (10.164%)
- (b) Sierra Vista Hospital, Inc.
- (b) South Carolina Health Services, Inc.
 - (c) Bluffton Okatie Primary Care, L.L.C.
 - (c) Broad River Primary Care, L.L.C.
 - (c) Burnt Church Primary and Urgent Care, L.L.C.
 - (c) Cardiovascular & Thoracic Surgery Associates, L.L.C.
 - (c) Okatie Surgical Partners, L.L.C.
 - (c) Hardeeville Hospitalists, L.L.C.

295

- (c) Heritage Medical Group of Hilton Head, L.L.C.
- (c) Hilton Head Occupational Medicine, L.L.C.
- (c) Hilton Head Regional Anesthesia Partners, L.L.C.
- (c) Hilton Head Regional Endocrinology Associates, L.L.C.
- (c) Hilton Head Regional OB/GYN Partners, L.L.C.
- (c) Mid-Island Primary and Urgent Care, L.L.C.
- (c) Nephrology Associates of Hilton Head, L.L.C.
- (c) Oncology Associates of the Low Country, L.L.C.
- (c) Orthopedic Associates of the Lowcountry, L.L.C.
- (c) Tenet Hilton Head Heart, L.L.C.
- (c) Tenet South Carolina Lowcountry OB/GYN, L.L.C.
- (b) Tenet Central Carolina Physicians, Inc.
- (b) Tenet DISC Imaging, Inc.
- (b) Tenet EKG, Inc.
- (b) Tenet Finance Corp.
- (b) Tenet Good Samaritan, Inc.
 - (c) Good Samaritan Surgery, L.L.C.
 - (c) Good Samaritan Cardiac & Vascular Management, LLC — *ownership — Tenet Good Samaritan, Inc. (50%); other outside physician partners (50%)*
- (b) Tenet HealthSystem Bartlett, Inc.
- (b) Tenet HealthSystem GB, Inc.
 - (c) AMC Acquisition Company, L.L.C.
 - (c) AMC Community Physician Practices, L.L.C.
 - (c) Atlanta Medical Billing Center, L.L.C.
 - (c) Sheffield Educational Fund, Inc.
 - (c) Tenet South Fulton Health Care Centers, Inc.
- (b) Tenet HealthSystem Nacogdoches ASC GP, Inc.
 - (c) NMC Lessor, L.P. — *ownership — GP: Tenet HealthSystem Nacogdoches ASC GP, Inc. (1%); LP: TH Healthcare, Ltd. (99%)*
 - (c) NMC Surgery Center, L.P. — *ownership — Tenet HealthSystem Nacogdoches ASC GP, Inc. (1% GP); Tenet HealthSystem Nacogdoches ASC, LP, Inc. (58.999% LP); other outside partners (49.001% LP)*
- (b) Tenet HealthSystem Nacogdoches ASC LP, Inc.
- (b) Tenet HealthSystem North Shore, Inc.
 - (c) North Shore Medical Billing Center, L.L.C.
 - (c) North Shore Physician Hospital Organization (50%)
 - (c) North Shore Physician Practices, L.L.C.
- (b) Tenet HealthSystem Philadelphia, Inc.
 - (c) HPS of PA, L.L.C.
 - (c) Tenet HealthSystem Bucks County, L.L.C.
 - (c) Tenet HealthSystem City Avenue, L.L.C.
 - (c) Tenet HealthSystem Elkins Park, L.L.C.
 - (c) Tenet HealthSystem Graduate, L.L.C.
 - (c) Tenet HealthSystem Hahnemann, L.L.C.
 - (c) Tenet HealthSystem Parkview, L.L.C.
 - (c) Tenet HealthSystem Roxborough, LLC
 - (c) Tenet HealthSystem Roxborough MOB, LLC
 - (c) Tenet HealthSystem St. Christopher's Hospital for Children, L.L.C.
 - (d) Center for the Urban Child, Inc.
 - (d) SCHC Pediatric Anesthesia Associates, L.L.C.
 - (d) SCHC Pediatric Associates, L.L.C.
 - (e) St. Christopher's Pediatric Urgent Care Center, L.L.C.
 - (e) St. Christopher's Pediatric Urgent Care Center - Allentown, L.L.C.
 - (d) StChris Care at Northeast Pediatrics, L.L.C.
 - (c) Tenet Home Services, L.L.C.
 - (c) Tenet Medical Equipment Services, L.L.C.
 - (c) The Healthcare Underwriting Company, a Risk Retention Group
 - (c) TPS of PA, L.L.C.

- (d) TPS II of PA, L.L.C.
- (d) TPS III of PA, L.L.C.
- (d) TPS IV of PA, L.L.C.
- (d) TPS V of PA, L.L.C.
- (d) TPS VI of PA, L.L.C.
- (c) MidAtlantic MedEvac, L.L.C.
- (b) Tenet HealthSystem SGH, Inc.
- (b) Tenet HealthSystem SL, Inc.
- (c) SLUH Anesthesia Physicians, L.L.C.
- (c) Tenet SLUH Physicians, L.L.C.
- (b) Tenet HealthSystem SL-HLC, Inc.
- (b) Tenet HealthSystem Spalding, Inc.
- (c) Griffin Imaging, LLC — *ownership — Tenet HealthSystem Spalding, Inc., managing member (50.5%); other outside members (49.5%)*
- (c) Spalding GI, L.L.C.
- (c) Spalding Health System, L.L.C. — *ownership — (49.836%)*
- (c) Spalding Medical Ventures, L.P.
- (c) Tenet EMS/Spalding 911, LLC — *ownership — (64.1%)*
- (b) Tenet Healthcare - Florida, Inc.
- (b) Tenet Investments, Inc.
- (b) Tenet Physician Services - Hilton Head, Inc.
- (b) Tenet Practice Resources, LLC
- (b) Tenet St. Mary's, Inc.
- (c) The Heart and Vascular Clinic, L.L.C.
- (b) Tenet Ventures, Inc.
- (b) Tenet West Palm Real Estate, Inc.
- (c) G.S. North, Ltd. — *ownership — (1% GP and 93.03% LP)*

Tenet HealthSystem Hospitals, Inc.

- (a) Alvarado Hospital Medical Center, Inc.

Tenet HealthSystem HealthCorp

- (a) OrNda Hospital Corporation
 - (b) AHM Acquisition Co., Inc.
 - (b) Commonwealth Continental Health Care, Inc.
 - (b) Coral Gables Hospital, Inc.
 - (c) CGH Hospital, Ltd. — *ownership — GP: Coral Gables Hospital, Inc. (99.913%) LP: FMC Medical, Inc. (0.087%)*
 - (d) Coral Gables Physician Services, L.L.C.
 - (d) Universal Medical Care Center, L.L.C.
- (b) Cypress Fairbanks Medical Center, Inc.
 - (c) New Medical Horizons II, Ltd. — *ownership — GP: Cypress Fairbanks Medical Center, Inc. (5%) LP: Tenet HealthSystem CFMC, Inc. (95%)*
- (b) FMC Medical, Inc.
- (b) Fountain Valley Regional Hospital and Medical Center
 - (c) Specialty Surgery Center at Fountain Valley Regional Hospital, L.L.C. — *ownership — Fountain Valley Regional Hospital and Medical Center (51%); other outside members (49%)*
- (b) GCPG, Inc.
 - (c) Garland MOB Properties, LLC
- (b) Gulf Coast Community Hospital, Inc.
 - (c) Gulf Coast Community Health Care Systems, Inc.
- (b) Houston Northwest Medical Center, Inc.
 - (c) HNMC, Inc.
 - (d) HNW GP, Inc.
 - (e) Houston Northwest Partners, Ltd. — *ownership — GP: HNW GP, Inc. (1%) LP: HNW LP, Inc. (99%)*

297

- (f) Conroe Surgery Center 2, LLC — *ownership* — *Houston Northwest Partners, Ltd. managing member (50.89%); other outside members (49.11%)*
- (f) Houston Northwest Operating Company, L.L.C. — *ownership* — *Houston Northwest Partners, Ltd. (86.69%); other outside members (13.31%)*
- (g) Houston Northwest Concessions, L.L.C.
- (f) Northwest Surgery Center, Ltd — *ownership* — *Houston Northwest Partners, Ltd. (51%); other outside partners (49%)*
- (d) HNW LP, Inc.
- (c) Northwest Houston Providers Alliance, Inc.
- (b) Newhope Imaging Center, Inc.
- (b) NWSC, L.L.C.
- (b) Republic Health Corporation of Rockwall County
- (c) Lake Pointe GP, Inc.
- (d) Lake Pointe Partners, Ltd. — *ownership* — *GP: Lake Pointe GP, Inc. (1%); LP: Lake Pointe Investments, Inc. (99%)*
- (e) Lake Pointe Operating Company, L.L.C. — *ownership* — *Lake Pointe Partners, Ltd. (94.674%); other outside members (5.326%)*
- (f) Billing Center Lake Pointe Medical, L.L.C.
- (c) Lake Pointe ASC GP, Inc.
- (c) Lake Pointe Investments, Inc.
- (d) Lake Pointe Rockwall ASC, LP — *ownership* — *GP: Lake Pointe Rockwall ASC GP, Inc. (1%); LP: Lake Pointe Investments, Inc. (99%)*
- (b) RHC Parkway, Inc.
- (c) North Miami Medical Center, Ltd. — *ownership* — *RHC Parkway, Inc. (85.91%) Commonwealth Continental Health Care, Inc. (14.09%)*
- (b) Saint Vincent Healthcare System, Inc.
- (c) OHM Services, Inc.
- (c) Saint Vincent Hospital, L.L.C.
- (b) SHL/O Corp.
- (b) Tenet HealthSystem CFMC, Inc.
- (b) Tenet HealthSystem CM, Inc.
- (a) Tenet MetroWest Healthcare System, Limited Partnership

Tenet HealthSystem International, Inc.

- (a) N.M.E. International (Cayman) Limited
- (b) HUG Services, Inc. — *ownership* — *N.M.E. International (Cayman) Limited (67%); Tenet Healthcare Corporation (30%); Tenet HealthSystem Medical, Inc. (3%)*
- (c) Captive Insurance Services, Inc.
- (c) Hospital Underwriting Group, Inc.
- (d) Professional Liability Insurance Company
- (a) The Healthcare Insurance Corporation

Tenet Hospitals, Inc.

- (a) National ASC, Inc.
- (a) Tenet Alabama, Inc.
- (b) Brookwood Primary Network Care, Inc.
 - (c) Alabama Cardiovascular Associates, L.L.C.
 - (c) Alabama Hand and Sports Medicine, L.L.C.
 - (c) Brookwood - Maternal Fetal Medicine, L.L.C.
 - (c) Brookwood Medical Partners - ENT, L.L.C.
 - (c) Brookwood Occupational Health Clinic, L.L.C.
 - (c) Brookwood Primary Care Cahaba Heights, L.L.C.
 - (c) Brookwood Primary Care - Homewood, L.L.C.
 - (c) Brookwood Primary Care Hoover, L.L.C.
 - (c) Brookwood Primary Care - Inverness, L.L.C.
 - (c) Brookwood Primary Care - Mountain Brook, L.L.C.
 - (c) Brookwood Primary Care - Oak Mountain, L.L.C.
 - (c) Brookwood Primary Care - Red Mountain, L.L.C.
 - (c) Brookwood Primary Care The Narrows, L.L.C.

- (c) Brookwood Primary Care - Trussville, L.L.C.
- (c) Brookwood Primary Care - Vestavia, L.L.C.
- (c) Brookwood Primary Care Network - McCalla, L.L.C.
- (c) Brookwood Sports and Orthopedics, L.L.C.
- (c) Brookwood Specialty Care - Endocrinology, L.L.C.
- (c) Brookwood Women's Care, L.L.C.
- (c) Cardiovascular Associates of the Southeast, L.L.C.
- (c) Greystone Internal Medicine - Brookwood, L.L.C.
- (c) Norwood Clinic of Alabama, L.L.C.
- (b) Brookwood Retail Pharmacy, L.L.C.
- (a) Tenet California, Inc.
 - (b) Anaheim MRI Holding, Inc.
 - (b) Community Hospital of Los Gatos, Inc.
 - (c) Los Gatos Multi-Specialty Group, Inc.
 - (b) Doctors Hospital of Manteca, Inc.
 - (b) Doctors Medical Center of Modesto, Inc.
 - (c) Modesto On-Call Services, L.L.C.
 - (c) Modesto Radiology Imaging, Inc.
 - (c) Yosemite Medical Clinic, Inc.
 - (b) First Choice Physician Partners
 - (b) Golden State Medicare Health Plan
 - (b) JFK Memorial Hospital, Inc.
 - (c) SSC Holdings, L.L.C.
 - (b) Lakewood Regional Medical Center, Inc.
 - (b) Los Alamitos Medical Center, Inc.
 - (c) Reagan Street Surgery Center, L.L.C. — *ownership — Los Alamitos Medical Center, Inc (52%); other outside members (48%)*
 - (b) National Medical Ventures, Inc.
 - (b) Network Management Associates, Inc.
 - (b) PHPS-CHM Acquisition, Inc.
 - (c) Coast Healthcare Management, LLC
 - (c) Premier Health Plan Services, Inc.
 - (b) Placentia-Linda Hospital, Inc.
 - (c) Anaheim Hills Medical Imaging, L.L.C.
 - (b) San Ramon ASC, L.P.
 - (b) San Ramon Surgery Center, L.L.C.
 - (b) SRRMC Management, Inc.
 - (c) San Ramon Network Joint Venture, LLC — *ownership — SRRMC Management, Inc (51%); John Muir Health (49%)*
 - (d) San Ramon Ambulatory Care, LLC
 - (c) San Ramon Regional Medical Center, LLC — *ownership — SRRMC Management, Inc (51%); John Muir Health (49%)*
 - (d) Pleasanton Diagnostic Imaging, Inc.
 - (b) Tenet California Nurse Resources, Inc.
 - (b) Tenet California Medical Ventures I, Inc.
 - (b) Tenet El Mirador Surgical Center, Inc.
 - (b) Tenet HealthSystem Desert, Inc.
 - (b) Tenet HealthSystem KNC, Inc.
 - (b) Twin Cities Community Hospital, Inc.
 - (c) Templeton Imaging, Inc.
- (a) Tenet Florida, Inc.
 - (b) Advantage Health Network, Inc. — *ownership — Tenet Florida, Inc. (50%); other outside members (50%)*
 - (b) Delray Medical Center, Inc.
 - (b) Florida Regional Medical Center, Inc.
 - (b) FMCC Network Contracting, L.L.C.
 - (b) FREH Real Estate, L.L.C.
 - (b) FRS Imaging Services, L.L.C.
 - (b) Hollywood Medical Center, Inc.

- (b) International Health and Wellness, Inc.
- (b) National Medical Services II, Inc.
- (b) National Urgent Care, Inc.
- (b) Tenet Florida Physician Services, L.L.C.
 - (c) Center for Advanced Research Excellence, L.L.C.
 - (c) Sunrise Medical Group I, L.L.C.
 - (c) Sunrise Medical Group II, L.L.C.
 - (c) Sunrise Medical Group III, L.L.C.
 - (c) Sunrise Medical Group IV, L.L.C.
 - (c) Sunrise Medical Group V, L.L.C.
 - (c) Sunrise Medical Group VI, L.L.C.
 - (c) Tenet Florida Physician Services II, L.L.C.
 - (c) Tenet Florida Physician Services III, L.L.C.
- (b) Tenet Hialeah HealthSystem, Inc.
 - (c) Hialeah Real Properties, Inc.
 - (c) Tenet Hialeah (ASC) HealthSystem, Inc.
- (b) Tenet Network Management, Inc.
- (b) West Boca Medical Center, Inc.
 - (c) West Boca Health Services, L.L.C.
- (a) Tenet Georgia, Inc.
 - (b) AMC Neurosurgical Associates, L.L.C.
 - (b) Atlanta Medical Center Interventional Neurology Associates, L.L.C.
 - (b) Atlanta Medical Center Neurosurgical & Spine Specialists, L.L.C.
 - (b) Atlanta Medical Center Physician Group, L.L.C.
 - (b) Buckhead Orthopedic Surgery Center, L.L.C.
 - (b) Gastric Health Institute, L.L.C.
 - (b) Georgia Gifts From Grace, L.L.C.
 - (b) Georgia North Fulton Healthcare Associates, L.L.C.
 - (b) Georgia Northside Ear, Nose and Throat, L.L.C.
 - (b) Georgia Spectrum Neurosurgical Specialists, L.L.C.
 - (b) Jackson Medical Center, L.L.C.
 - (b) North Fulton Cardiovascular Medicine, L.L.C.
 - (b) North Fulton Hospitalist Group, L.L.C.
 - (b) North Fulton Primary Care Associates, L.L.C.
 - (b) North Fulton Primary Care - Windward Parkway, L.L.C.
 - (b) North Fulton Primary Care - Wylie Bridge, L.L.C.
 - (b) North Fulton Pulmonary Specialists, L.L.C.
 - (b) North Fulton Regional Medical Center Pro Fee Billing, L.L.C.
 - (b) North Fulton Women's Consultants, L.L.C.
 - (b) Rock Bridge Surgical Institute, L.L.C.
 - (b) Roswell Orthopedic Specialists, L.L.C.
 - (b) Rheumatology Associates of Atlanta Medical Center, L.L.C.
 - (b) Spalding Regional Ambulatory Surgery Center, L.L.C.
 - (b) Spalding Regional OB/GYN, L.L.C.
 - (b) Spalding Regional Physician Services, L.L.C.
 - (b) Spalding Regional Urgent Care Center at Heron Bay, L.L.C.
 - (b) SouthCare Physicians Group Neurology, L.L.C.
 - (b) SouthCare Physicians Group Obstetrics & Gynecology, L.L.C.
 - (b) South Fulton Regional Medical Center Pro Fee Billing, L.L.C.
 - (b) Surgical & Bariatric Associates of Atlanta Medical Center, L.L.C.
- (a) Total Health PPO, Inc. — ownership — Tenet Hospitals, Inc (49%); HealthScope (51%)
- (a) Tenet Louisiana, Inc.
 - (b) Meadowcrest Hospital, LLC
 - (b) Meadowcrest Multi-Specialty Clinic, L.L.C.
 - (b) Tenet 100 Medical Center Slidell, L.L.C.
 - (b) Tenet HealthSystem Memorial Medical Center, Inc.
 - (c) Tenet Mid-City Medical, LLC
- (a) Tenet Missouri, Inc.

- (b) Cedar Hill Primary Care, L.L.C.
- (b) Premier Emergency Physicians, L.L.C.
- (b) Premier Medical Specialists, L.L.C.
- (b) St. Louis University Hospital Ambulatory Surgery Center, L.L.C.
- (b) Tenet HealthSystem DI, Inc.
 - (c) Bridgeton Imaging, L.L.C.
 - (c) U.S. Center for Sports Medicine, L.L.C.
- (a) Tenet Nebraska, Inc.
- (a) Tenet North Carolina, Inc.
 - (b) Cardiology Physicians Associates, L.L.C.
 - (b) Cardiology Physicians Corporation, L.L.C.
 - (b) Central Carolina-CIM, L.L.C.
 - (b) Central Carolina-IMA, L.L.C.
 - (b) Central Carolina Hospital Pro Fee Billing, L.L.C.
 - (b) Central Carolina Physicians - Sandhills, L.L.C.
 - (b) FryeCare Appalachian, L.L.C.
 - (b) FryeCare Boone, L.L.C.
 - (b) FryeCare Morganton, L.L.C.
 - (b) FryeCare Northwest Hickory, L.L.C.
 - (b) FryeCare Physicians, L.L.C.
 - (b) FryeCare Valdese, L.L.C.
 - (b) FryeCare Watauga, L.L.C.
 - (b) FryeCare Women's Services, L.L.C.
 - (b) Frye Physicians - Tenet NC, L.L.C.
 - (b) Graystone Family Healthcare - Tenet North Carolina, L.L.C.
 - (b) Hallmark Family Physicians - Tenet North Carolina, L.L.C.
 - (b) Healthpoint of North Carolina, L.L.C.
 - (b) Hickory Family Practice Associates - Tenet North Carolina, L.L.C.
 - (b) North Carolina Community Family Medicine, L.L.C.
 - (b) Parkway Internal Medicine - Tenet North Carolina, L.L.C.
 - (b) Southern States Physician Operations, Inc.
 - (b) Tenet Claremont Family Medicine, L.L.C.
 - (b) Tenet Unifour Urgent Care Center, L.L.C.
 - (b) Viewmont Internal Medicine - Tenet North Carolina, L.L.C.
- (a) Tenet Physicians, Inc.
- (a) Tenet South Carolina, Inc.
 - (b) East Cooper Coastal Family Physicians, L.L.C.
 - (b) East Cooper Hyperbarics, L.L.C.
 - (b) East Cooper OBGYN, L.L.C.
 - (b) East Cooper Primary Care Physicians, L.L.C.
 - (b) Hilton Head Hospital Pro Fee Billing, L.L.C.
 - (b) Hilton Head Regional Healthcare, L.L.C.
 - (b) South Carolina East Cooper Surgical Specialists, L.L.C.
 - (b) South Carolina SeWee Family Medicine, L.L.C.
 - (b) Southern Orthopedics and Sports Medicine, L.L.C.
 - (b) Tenet Fort Mill, Inc.
 - (b) Tenet SC East Cooper Hospitalists, L.L.C.
 - (b) Tenet South Carolina Gastrointestinal Surgical Specialists, L.L.C.
 - (b) Tenet South Carolina Island Medical, L.L.C.
 - (b) Tenet South Carolina Mt. Pleasant OB/GYN, L.L.C.
- (a) Tenet Tennessee, Inc.
 - (b) Saint Francis Behavioral Health Associates, L.L.C.
 - (b) Saint Francis Cardiology Associates, L.L.C.
 - (b) Saint Francis Cardiovascular Surgery, L.L.C.
 - (b) Saint Francis Center for Surgical Weight Loss, L.L.C.
 - (b) Saint Francis Hospital Inpatient Physicians, L.L.C.
 - (b) Saint Francis Hospital Pro Fee Billing, L.L.C.
 - (b) Saint Francis Medical Partners, East, L.L.C.

301

- (b) Saint Francis Medical Specialists, L.L.C.
- (b) Saint Francis Surgical Associates, L.L.C.
- (a) Tenet Texas, Inc.
 - (b) Eastside ASC GP, Inc.
 - (c) Eastside Surgery, L.P.
 - (b) EPHC, Inc.
 - (b) Greater Dallas Healthcare Enterprises
 - (b) Greater Northwest Houston Enterprises
 - (b) Houston Sunrise Investors, Inc.
 - (b) National Ancillary, Inc.
 - (b) National HHC, Inc.
 - (b) National ICN, Inc.
 - (b) Physicians Performance Network of Houston
 - (b) Practice Partners Management, L.P. — *ownership* — GP: Tenet Texas, Inc. (1%); LP: Tenetsub Texas, Inc. (99%)
 - (b) Sierra Providence Healthcare Enterprises
 - (b) Sierra Providence Health Network, Inc.
 - (b) Tenet El Paso, Ltd. — *ownership* — GP: Tenet Texas, Inc. (1%); LP: Tenetsub Texas, Inc. (99%)
 - (b) Tenet Frisco, Ltd. — *ownership* — GP: Tenet Texas, Inc. (1%); LP: Tenetsub Texas, Inc. (99%)
 - (b) Tenet HealthSystem Hospitals Dallas, Inc.
 - (b) Tenet Hospitals Limited — *ownership* — GP: Tenet Texas, Inc. (1%); LP: Tenetsub Texas, Inc. (99%)
 - (c) Billing Center Doctors Hospital at White Rock Lake, L.L.C.
 - (c) PDN, L.L.C.
 - (d) Surgery Affiliate of El Paso, LLC — *ownership* — PDN, LLC, managing member (61%); other outside members (39%)
 - (c) Tenet Sun View Imaging, L.L.C.
 - (b) Tenet Relocation Services, L.L.C.
 - (b) Tenetsub Texas, Inc.

T.I. GPO, Inc.

Vanguard Health Systems, Inc.

- (a) Vanguard Health Holding Company I, LLC
 - (b) Vanguard Holding Company I, Inc.
 - (b) Vanguard Health Holding Company II, LLC
 - (c) Vanguard Health Management, Inc.
 - (d) Harbor Health Plans, Inc.
 - (d) Vanguard Health Financial Company, LLC
 - (e) C7 Technologies, LLC
 - (e) Central Texas Corridor Hospital Company, LLC
 - (e) Hospital Development of West Phoenix, Inc.
 - (e) MacNeal Management Services, Inc.
 - (f) Chicago Health System ACO, LLC
 - (f) MacNeal Health Providers, Inc.
 - (f) Midwest Pharmacies, Inc.
 - (f) Primary Care Physicians Center, LLC - *ownership* - MacNeal Management Services, Inc. (94% of capital interests) and Thomas Mizen (6% of capital interests)
 - (f) Pros Temporary Staffing, Inc.
 - (f) The 6300 West Roosevelt Partnership - *ownership* - MacNeal Management Services, Inc. 50.326% (29.876% GP interest and 20.450% LP interest) and numerous limited partners
 - (f) Watermark Physician Services, Inc.
 - (e) MacNeal Medical Records, Inc.
 - (e) Resolute Hospital Company, LLC
 - (e) Southwest Children's Hospital, LLC
 - (e) V-II Acquisition Co., Inc.
 - (e) Valley Baptist Insurance Company

302

- (e) Vanguard IT Services, LLC
- (e) VHS Acquisition Corporation
- (e) VHS Acquisition Subsidiary Number 1, Inc.
- (e) VHS Acquisition Subsidiary Number 2, Inc.
- (e) VHS Acquisition Subsidiary Number 3, Inc.
- (f) LakeFront Medical Associates, LLC
- (e) VHS Acquisition Subsidiary Number 4, Inc.
- (e) VHS Acquisition Subsidiary Number 5, Inc. - *ownership - Vanguard Health Financial Company, LLC (100% voting common - 8,010 common shares) and Baptist Health Foundation of San Antonio (3,582 preferred)*
- (f) VHS San Antonio Imaging Partners, L.P. - *ownership - VHS Acquisition Subsidiary Number 5, Inc. General Partner (2%), Imaging Center Partners, L.P., Limited Partner (50%) and VHS San Antonio Partners, LLC, Limited Partner (48%)*
- (f) VHS San Antonio Partners, LLC - *ownership - VHS Acquisition Subsidiary Number 5, Inc., Managing Member (2%) and VHS Holding Company, Inc. (98%)*
 - (g) Baptist Medical Management Service Organization, LLC
 - (g) BHS Accountable Care, LLC
 - (g) BHS Integrated Physician Partners, LLC
 - (g) BHS Physicians Alliance For ACE, LLC
 - (g) Home Health Partners of San Antonio, LLC
 - (h) Journey Home Healthcare of San Antonio, LLC
- (e) VHS Acquisition Subsidiary Number 6, Inc.
- (f) VHS Acquisition Partnership Number 1, L.P. - *ownership - VHS Acquisition Subsidiary Number 6, Inc., General Partner (2%) and VHS Holding Company, Inc., Limited Partner (98%)*
- (e) VHS Acquisition Subsidiary Number 7, Inc.
- (f) Saint Vincent Physician Services, Inc.
- (e) VHS Acquisition Subsidiary Number 8, Inc.
- (f) Community Connection Health Plan, Inc.
- (f) Advantage Health Care Management Company, LLC
- (e) VHS Acquisition Subsidiary Number 9, Inc.
- (f) MetroWest Accountable Health Care Organization, LLC - *ownership - VHS Acquisition Subsidiary Number 9, Inc. (50%) and MetroWest Health Care Alliance, Inc. (50%)*
 - (g) Total Accountable Care Organization, LLC - *ownership - MetroWest Accountable Health Care Organization, LLC (70%) and VHS Acquisition Subsidiary Number 7, Inc. (30%)*
- (f) VHM Services, Inc.
- (e) VHS Acquisition Subsidiary Number 10, Inc.
- (e) VHS Acquisition Subsidiary Number 11, Inc.
- (e) VHS Acquisition Subsidiary Number 12, Inc.
- (e) VHS Genesis Labs, Inc.
- (e) VHS Holding Company, Inc.
- (f) BHS Physicians Network, Inc.
- (f) BHS Specialty Network, Inc.
- (g) Heart & Vascular Institute of Texas, Inc.
- (f) Resolute Health Family Urgent Care, Inc.
- (f) Resolute Health Physicians Network, Inc.
- (e) VHS Imaging Centers, Inc.
- (e) VHS New England Holding Company I, Inc.
- (e) VHS of Illinois, Inc.
- (f) HCM/CV, LLC - *ownership - VHS of Illinois, Inc. (50%), HeartCare Centers of Illinois, S.C. (25%) and Cardiac Surgery Associates, S.C. (25%)*
- (f) MacNeal Physicians Group, LLC
- (f) Vanguard Medical Specialists, LLC
- (f) VHS Chicago Market Procurement, LLC
- (e) VHS of Michigan, Inc.
- (f) CRNAS of Michigan

- (f) Detroit Education and Research
- (f) DMC Education & Research
- (f) Heart & Vascular Institute of Michigan
- (f) Southeast Michigan Physicians Insurance Company
- (f) VHS Children's Hospital of Michigan, Inc.
- (f) VHS Detroit Businesses, Inc.
- (f) VHS Detroit Receiving Hospital, Inc.
- (f) VHS Detroit Ventures, Inc.
- (g) DMC Shared Savings ACO, LLC
- (g) Michigan Pioneer ACO, LLC - *ownership - VHS Detroit Ventures, Inc. (99.875%), George E. Evans (0.25%), Murtaza Hussain (0.25%), Muhammad Y. Karim (0.25%), Michael G. Taylor (0.25%) and Carl D. Fowler (0.25%)*
- (f) VHS Harper-Hutzel Hospital, Inc.
- (f) VHS Huron Valley-Sinai Hospital, Inc.
- (f) VHS of Michigan Staffing, Inc.
- (f) VHS Physicians of Michigan
- (f) VHS Rehabilitation Institute of Michigan, Inc.
- (f) VHS Sinai-Grace Hospital, Inc.
- (f) VHS University Laboratories, Inc.
- (e) VHS of Orange County, Inc.
 - (f) VHS Acquisition Partnership Number 2, L.P. - *ownership - VHS of Orange County, Inc., General Partner (1%), VHS of Orange County, Inc., Limited Partner (58.4%), VHS Holding Company, Inc., Limited Partner (35%), Physician Investors, Limited Partners (5.6%)*
 - (f) VHS of Anaheim, Inc.
 - (g) North Anaheim Surgicenter, Ltd. - *ownership - VHS of Anaheim, Inc., General Partner (76.5%) and Physician Investors, Limited Partners (23.5%)*
 - (f) VHS of Huntington Beach, Inc.
 - (g) Magnolia Surgery Center Limited Partnership - *ownership - VHS of Huntington Beach, Inc., General Partner (1%), VHS Holding Company, Inc., Limited Partner (82.6%) and Third Parties (physicians), Limited Partner (16.4%)*
- (e) VHS of Phoenix, Inc.
 - (f) VHS Arizona Heart Institute, Inc.
 - (f) VHS of Arrowhead, Inc.
 - (f) VHS of South Phoenix, Inc.
 - (g) Arizona Health Partners, LLC
 - (g) Palm Valley Medical Center Campus Association - *ownership - VHS of South Phoenix, Inc. (72.38%), Palm Valley Med Bldg L.P. (Plaza) (5.72%), Palm Valley Med Bldg L.P. (Ruiz) (12.60%) and Palm Valley Nursing Facility L.P. (Nursing Home) (9.30%)*
 - (g) Phoenix Health Plans, Inc.
 - (h) VHS Phoenix Health Plan, LLC
 - (g) VHS Acquisition Company Number 1, LLC - *ownership - VHS of South Phoenix, Inc. (60%) and Medical Professional Associates of Arizona, P.C. (40%)*
 - (f) VHS Outpatient Clinics, Inc.
 - (g) Abrazo Medical Group Urgent Care, LLC
- (e) VHS Valley Management Company, Inc.
 - (f) Harlingen Physician Network, Inc.
 - (f) Rio Grande Valley Indigent Health Care Corporation
 - (f) Valley Health Care Network
 - (f) VHS Valley Health System, LLC - *ownership - VHS Valley Management Company, Inc., Manager (51%) and VB Medical Holdings (49%)*
 - (g) VHS Brownsville Hospital Company, LLC
 - (g) VHS Harlingen Hospital Company, LLC
 - (g) Valley Baptist Realty Company, LLC
 - (g) VHS Valley Holdings, LLC
 - (h) Valley Baptist Lab Services, LLC
 - (h) Valley Baptist Wellness Center, LLC

304

- (h) VB Brownsville IMP ASC, LLC
- (h) VB Brownsville LTACH, LLC
- (h) VBOA ASC GP, LLC
 - (i) VBOA ASC Partners, L.P. - *ownership - VBOA ASC GP, LLC General Partner (1%), Various physicians, Class A Limited Partners (38%) and VB Brownsville IMP ASC, LLC, Class B Limited Partner (61%)*
- (e) VHS West Suburban Medical Center, Inc.
 - (f) West Suburban Radiation Therapy Center, LLC
- (e) VHS Westlake Hospital, Inc.
- (e) VHS-Volunteer Insurance Ltd. (Cayman Islands Company)
 - (d) Vanguard Physician Services, LLC - *ownership - Vanguard Health Management, Inc. (60%) and MedSynergies, Inc. (40%)*
- (d) Healthcare Compliance, L.L.C.
- (d) New Dimensions, LLC
- (c) Vanguard Holding Company II, Inc.

Wilshire Rental Corp.

- (a) Hitchcock State Street Real Estate, Inc.

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We consent to the incorporation by reference in Registration Statement Nos. 33-55285, 33-57801, 333-21867, 333-24955, 333-26621, and 333-185162 on Form S-3, Registration Statement No. 333-191613 on Form S-4, and Registration Statement Nos. 33-57375, 333-00709, 333-01183, 333-38299, 333-41903, 333-41476, 333-41478, 333-48482, 333-74216, 333-151884, 333-151887, 333-166767, 333-166768 and 333-191614 on Form S-8 of our reports dated February 24, 2014, relating to the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule of Tenet Healthcare Corporation and subsidiaries, and the effectiveness of Tenet Healthcare Corporation and subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting, appearing in this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Tenet Healthcare Corporation for the year ended December 31, 2013.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Dallas, Texas
February 24, 2014

ATTACHMENT 36

204

Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification

I, Trevor Fetter, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Tenet Healthcare Corporation (the "Registrant");
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The Registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the Registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the Registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The Registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the Registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 24, 2014

/s/ TREVOR FETTER

Trevor Fetter

President and Chief Executive Officer

ATTACHMENT 36

307

Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification

I, Daniel J. Cancelmi, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Tenet Healthcare Corporation (the "Registrant");
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The Registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the Registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the Registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The Registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the Registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 24, 2014

/s/ DANIEL J. CANCELMI

Daniel J. Cancelmi
Chief Financial Officer

308

ATTACHMENT 36

**Certifications Pursuant to Section 1350 of Chapter 63
of Title 18 of the United States Code**

We, the undersigned Trevor Fetter and Daniel J. Cancelmi, being, respectively, the President and Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer of Tenet Healthcare Corporation (the "Registrant"), do each hereby certify that (i) the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 (the "Form 10-K"), to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof, fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and (ii) the information contained in the Form 10-K fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Registrant and its subsidiaries.

Date: February 24, 2014

/s/ TREVOR FETTER

Trevor Fetter

President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: February 24, 2014

/s/ DANIEL J. CANCELMI

Daniel J. Cancelmi

Chief Financial Officer

The foregoing certification is being furnished solely pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1350; it is not being filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act, and is not to be incorporated by reference into any filing of the Registrant, whether made before or after the date hereof, regardless of any general incorporation language in such filing.

ATTACHMENT 36

309



Illinois Health Facilities and
Services Review Board
Springfield, IL

RE: Establishment of a Comprehensive Physical
Rehabilitation Unit at MacNeal Hospital

To Whom It May Concern:


I hereby attest that:

- the proposed establishment of a comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital will be funded through cash, and that no debt financing is to be used; and
- applicant Tenet Healthcare maintains sufficient cash and short-term securities to fund this project.

BY: _____

Title: CEO, Northeast Region

Notarized:



COST AND GROSS SQUARE FEET BY DEPARTMENT OR SERVICE

	A	B		C		D		E		F		G		H		Total	
		Cost/Sq. Foot	Mod.	New	Gross Sq. Ft.	Circ.	Gross Sq. Ft.	Circ.	Mod.	Gross Sq. Ft.	Circ.	Const. \$	(A x C)	Mod. \$	(B x E)	Costs	(G + H)
Inpatient Rehab.		\$ 218.00						7,825						\$ 1,705,850	\$ 1,705,850		
Contingency		\$ 10.00												\$ 78,250	\$ 78,250		
TOTAL		\$ 228.00						7,825						\$ 1,784,100	\$ 1,784,100		

PROJECTED OPERATING AND CAPITAL COSTS
per ADJUSTED PATIENT DAY

Adjusted Patient Days:	\$ 84,638,257	
	\$ 1,794	47,167

Operating Expense per Adjusted Patient Day:

	Rehab.
salaries/benefits	\$ 32.88
medical supplies	\$ 0.49
TOTAL	\$ 33.36

Capital Expense per Adjusted Patient Day:

	Hospital
Depreciation	\$ 268.75
Interest	\$ 99.23
TOTAL	\$ 367.98

SAFETY NET IMPACT STATEMENT

MacNeal Hospital provides a broad spectrum of safety net services to the community it serves, and has done so for many years. These safety net services—ranging from an Emergency Department treating in excess of 60,000 area patients a year to community-based screening and disease prevention programs—are critical to the community, including a large Latino community that looks to MacNeal and its community-based clinical partners such as Alivio Medical Center as their primary sources for medical care. Approximately 40% of MacNeal’s patients are Latino, and MacNeal operates as a bilingual facility. During 2013 2.4% of the patients admitted to MacNeal were categorized as “charity care” (consistent with the IDPH’s definition) and 21.3% of the patients admitted were Medicaid recipients.

The proposed project is limited to the establishment of a comprehensive physical rehabilitation unit at MacNeal Hospital, and does not involve the discontinuation of a facility or category of service. Therefore, the project will not have an impact on any traditional safety net services.

After paginating the entire, completed application, indicate in the chart below, the page numbers for the attachments included as part of the project's application for permit:

INDEX OF ATTACHMENTS		
ATTACHMENT NO.		PAGES
1	Applicant/Coapplicant Identification including Certificate of Good Standing	31
2	Site Ownership	33
3	Persons with 5 percent or greater interest in the licensee must be identified with the % of ownership.	
4	Organizational Relationships (Organizational Chart) Certificate of Good Standing Etc.	34
5	Flood Plain Requirements	35
6	Historic Preservation Act Requirements	37
7	Project and Sources of Funds Itemization	38
8	Obligation Document if required	
9	Cost Space Requirements	40
10	Discontinuation	
11	Background of the Applicant	41
12	Purpose of the Project	57
13	Alternatives to the Project	59
14	Size of the Project	61
15	Project Service Utilization	62
16	Unfinished or Shell Space	
17	Assurances for Unfinished/Shell Space	
18	Master Design Project	
19	Mergers, Consolidations and Acquisitions	
	Service Specific:	
20	Medical Surgical Pediatrics, Obstetrics, ICU	
21	Comprehensive Physical Rehabilitation	64
22	Acute Mental Illness	
23	Neonatal Intensive Care	
24	Open Heart Surgery	
25	Cardiac Catheterization	
26	In-Center Hemodialysis	
27	Non-Hospital Based Ambulatory Surgery	
28	Selected Organ Transplantation	
29	Kidney Transplantation	
30	Subacute Care Hospital Model	
31	Children's Community-Based Health Care Center	
32	Community-Based Residential Rehabilitation Center	
33	Long Term Acute Care Hospital	
34	Clinical Service Areas Other than Categories of Service	
35	Freestanding Emergency Center Medical Services	
	Financial and Economic Feasibility:	
36	Availability of Funds	133
37	Financial Waiver	
38	Financial Viability	
39	Economic Feasibility	310
40	Safety Net Impact Statement	313
41	Charity Care Information	24