<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Issue/Question</th>
<th>Vendor</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorizations</td>
<td>1  We would like to have links and/or contact numbers to secure authorizations for medications not on the approved lists. Where can we find the links and/or contact numbers?</td>
<td>Humana/Beacon, Harmony Wellcare</td>
<td>Aetna Better Health will provide coverage for members with Transition of Care benefits. The plan will re-educate staff on the transition of care prior authorization requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2  A Member who has Transition of Care benefits is sometimes being told authorization is required and other times told authorization is not required from the same carrier. What is the plan to resolve some of these very preventable issues?</td>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>Aetna Better Health will provide coverage for members with Transition of Care benefits. The plan will re-educate staff on the transition of care prior authorization requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3  Authorization process cumbersome and lengthy. Response time slow or non-existent. Large administrative burden following up on approvals/denials that result in hours being spent trying to get an answer. What is being put in place to address the issue?</td>
<td>CCAI</td>
<td>Aetna Better Health provides 24 hour/7 day a week prior authorization capabilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4  If the MCO does not have 24 hour/7 day a week prior authorization capabilities – how are we to handle prior auth of an off-hours admission? We do not want to admit someone in the evening/overnight/over a weekend only to get a retro denial of the admit on the next business day. Especially, IP SA detox and Crisis admits.</td>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>Aetna Better Health provides 24 hour/7 day a week prior authorization capabilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5  Please explain why PsychHealth will not provide authorization for telephonic Crisis Intervention, and requires authorization to be secured after the face-to-face Crisis Intervention service has been rendered?</td>
<td>CountyCare/ PsychHealth</td>
<td>CountyCare/ PsychHealth</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|             | 6  Please explain why PsychHealth (for individuals with CCAI benefit) is only authorizing Mental Health Assessment for every client at a minimal level:  
• 4 units authorized for an initial | CountyCare/ PsychHealth | CountyCare/ PsychHealth |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Assessment (Takes an average of 8 units to complete)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Annual re-assessment (per Rule 132) not authorized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• For returning clients, a new assessment will be authorized (4 units) but only if they have been out of services longer than 6 months.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7 | We are finding that SA providers are underserved in Utilization Management departments at some MCOs. In one instance (Cenpatico) there is currently only one UM rep handling SA cases. This means that often, when pre-certification is required, staff at the treatment facility must wait for a return call from the UM rep, and then must spend 45+ minutes reading clinical documentation to the MCO employee, who is taking notes on the recited clinicals. Many medical specialties have pre-cert forms made available by payers to streamline the authorization process; can DASA assist MCOs in developing pre-cert forms that can be submitted along with clinical documentation? For services rendered to patients in crisis (i.e. medical detoxification) we would like to see MCOs relax the requirements for pre-certification; specifically, an increased allowed timeframe for notification. Some plans, like CountyCare, have done this for DASA providers, many of the ICPs however, still require pre-cert. |

8 | Beacon MMAI is revamping their auth process and requirements as of 8/8/14 and will be revising a new auth process as of 10/1, until then, they verbally notified providers that they are giving an additional 60 day “free” authorization starting as of 8/8. We have | Aetna does not believe that this question is applicable to Aetna. For non-network providers, there is a dedicated team of behavioral health utilization management reviewers with experience and credentials in behavioral health care (handling both mental health as well as substance abuse). For network providers, there are no prior authorization requirements. Regarding the pre-certification forms, providers can obtain the standard form from the Aetna website. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>no formal documentation regarding this since they are not ready and still writing it up (per my conversation with them yesterday). When can providers expect this policy in writing?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>BCBS and Cigna require prior authorization for CST (before beginning services). Will you be authorizing in units or for a time frame?</td>
<td>BCBS and Cigna</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>CountyCare/IlliniCare require prior authorization for CST and SASS before beginning services). Will you be authorizing in units or for a time frame?</td>
<td>CountyCare/IlliniCare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Some MCO’s require pre-certification authorization and continued stay review, while others do not. In some cases we cannot speak with a case manager and must leave a message with clinical information, awaiting a call back. Our clients are typically in a crisis situation and our admits are considered urgent. We have many walk-ins seeking treatment and they are forced to sit, at times, for hours as we are waiting for a call back or are asked to return the following day because we have not heard back from the MCO. What can be done to make this a more timely process?</td>
<td>Aetna: For non-network providers, prior authorization requests can be made by fax or phone call. There are two fax numbers: outpatient service requests call 855-320-8445, inpatient service requests and concurrent review call 855-687-6955. Please note that when a hospital first notifies the plan of an emergent admission, the hospital must call, and not fax the notification to Aetna. The turnaround time for initial determinations (approve/deny) for these emergent inpatient admissions is 24 hours, and is determined by the HFS contract.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Currently, Aetna Better Health and CountyCare/Cenpatico do not require pre-authorizations for assessment and placement in outpatient and residential for in-network providers. Some MCOs require pre-certification for residential only and some for both residential and outpatient.</td>
<td>ALL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| HFS - Behavioral Health Providers and MCOs Meeting in Greater Chicago Region  
- Aetna Better Health Responses |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Will all the MCOs consider adopting the policy and practice of not requiring pre-certifications? Most of our clients are referred to us in crisis situations from hospital emergency rooms, State mental health facilities, courts and jails, etc. Typically, the referral entity is looking for a transitional residential situation to stabilize and treat a client who otherwise....that is without our service.....would have to be admitted or treated in a more costly and more intensive or restrictive setting. Our experience with numerous cases of clients enrolled in MCOs is that the response for approvals for admissions and level of care is not always immediate or within a reasonable time period. Sometimes we need to leave messages on answering machines and are not returned calls in hours or days. This is an unacceptable practice for a client in crisis who then must be sent out while we await a response from the MCO. Usually, the client can't be found and is at risk of re-cycling various systems of care. This inadvertently becomes a costly venture for MCOs. This has even occurred with clients who are homeless. MCOs may find that more flexible admission and authorization policies will result in clinical common sense and cost efficient practices. Agencies are required to use ASAM criteria. Agency admission practices can be audited by MCOs to assure appropriate placement decisions.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Billing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 We would like an 835 return file for larger payers (that do not currently provide it). What is your reason for not offering this or are you in the process of developing it?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL Aetna will be providing the 835 file beginning in December 2014.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Claims are denied and services not submitted. Trying our best to get assistance to have resolved and have a sense that we are not supported by representatives. Is there any recourse when these types of errors occur? How can we recoup losses that are the mistakes on the MCO’s systems?</td>
<td>A Provider can contact the Aetna Better Health Claims processing department at 1-866-212-2851, or can check the Aetna online provider portal to identify whether claims have paid appropriately. If there are questions, or claims do not appear to have been paid appropriately, providers can contact their assigned Network Account Manager at 1-866-212-2851 to notify them of the issue, or submit a provider dispute for review. If Aetna has made the error, the claim will be repaid with any applicable interest due to the provider.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>For the past 3 years IlliniCare has refused to compensate BH providers for psychiatric evaluations completed by the MD which HFS has compensated us for in past. After much advocacy, last April the state director for IlliniCare indicated she had obtained authorization for payment. However, we have not received an official announcement or the billing codes with which to do so. Can this be confirmed? Can we be provided with the billing codes?</td>
<td>IlliniCare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Psychiatrists are MDs who bill directly to HFS as physicians, utilizing CPT codes (E &amp; M) not HCPCS codes. These bills are processed by HFS differently than Rule 132 billing claims. This option was removed from physicians who work for mental health providers and assign payments to their employer. What is the reason this exist?</td>
<td>IlliniCare</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Psychiatrists as physicians have their own documentation requirements for compliance to CPT coding standards and their work does not match the M0064 definition of “simple medication management”. What can be done so an accurate account of the type of services is billed?</td>
<td>IlliniCare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Inappropriate denials for “duplicate services” The MCO’s do not have their system configured correctly to pay out legit claims billed under the same CPT/HPCPS code on same DOS for different providers. Example: we are working with a client to transition them to an independent center; we bill for case management service and so does the indep center. The entity that gets their claim in first gets paid – other one denied for dup service. Both are legit claims. What can be done to correct this?</td>
<td>ALL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>What can providers expect in terms of timeframes for resolutions to concerns over reimbursement?</td>
<td>Aetna timeframe for reimbursement is 30 calendar days.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Numerous issues remain regarding billing among most MCOs. How can MCOs solve provider billing problems in a more effective and efficient way? The issues tend to be specific in nature and extremely difficult to resolve. The following are just a few of countless examples: Harmony/WellCare refuses to approve residential services stating it is not a covered service and should be billed to DASA. Yet it is an identified billable service in our Harmony contract.</td>
<td>ALL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Cenpatico/Illini Care has instructed us to use billing code H2036 for IOP (not a correct code for IOP according to HCPCS 2013) and H0005 for BCP. When we bill H2036 as instructed, the service gets denied</td>
<td>ALL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Aetna is addressing this “duplicate services” issue, and working with Aetna’s systems configuration team. We expect to have a resolution by 12/31/14.

Claims issues can be resolved by contacting the Aetna Better Health Claims processing department, or your assigned Network Account Manager at 1-866-212-2851.

Aetna is not rejecting these codes. Aetna currently covers code H2036 for members that are under 21 years of age. Please contact your Network Account Manager for
| Page | HFS - Behavioral Health Providers and MCOs Meeting in Greater Chicago Region  
<p>| - | -Aetna Better Health Responses |
|---|---|---|
| 7 | stating &quot;service not in contract.&quot; This denial comes to us even though we are following their instructions for payment and Cenpatico has already pre-authorized the service. | additional details at 1-866-212-2851. |
| 10 | Instances have occurred with Cenpatico/IlliniCare where rejection letters on claims have been received. Well after the fact it was discovered that claims with rejection letters are NOT entered into the claim system at the MCO offices. Can all the MCOs enter ALL claims received, rejected or not, into their systems? We have several claims they are now denied for timely filing reasons even after providing the MCO with written documentation that the claim was handled and sent to their offices in a timely manner. | Aetna: Claims received that do not contain the minimum criteria (i.e., provider name, member ID number, provider ID number, provider address) are not automatically identified and placed in a voided status with a letter being sent back to the provider noting the missing information. Initial claims must be received within 90 days of the date service performed, unless there is a contract exception, and 180 days for claim resubmissions, as noted in the provider’s contract. Providers can view additional information in the Provider Handbook located on the Aetna online website. Providers can also contact their assigned Network account manager at 1-866-212-2851. |
| 11 | Timely filing rules are currently 90 days for the initial submission. The MCO will use the first day of service as their start date. Many of our clients, especially in the case of inpatient, may be in our care for up to 28 days. It has always been our practice to wait for discharge to submit the claim. By doing so we are automatically losing up to 1/3 of that restricted filing allowance. Can the MCO use 90 days from day of discharge rather than admission for clients treated in a residential program as the rule? The 90 count currently used is not ‘business days’ meaning MCOs count weekends and holidays. | Claims must be filed on a valid claim form within 90 days from the date services are performed, unless there is a contractual exception. For hospital inpatient claims, date of service means the date of discharge of the enrollee. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Nearly 3/4 of our clients are insured under Medicaid. Our problem is that</td>
<td>Aetna: Initial claims must be received within 90 days of the date service performed, unless there</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>we are unable to provide needed services to many of these clients because</td>
<td>is a contract exception, and 180 days for claim resubmissions, as noted in the provider contract.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>they have been switched from one provider to another. It is difficult</td>
<td>Providers can view additional information in the Provider Handbook located on the Aetna website.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>for us to know when our clients have been switched. The clients get</td>
<td>Exceptions for older claims must be authorized and proof of original timely submission will be</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>notification by mail but no notification is sent to the providers.</td>
<td>considered. The provider should contact their assigned Network account manager at 1-866-212-2851 for</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Additionally we have lost a tremendous amount of revenue and are</td>
<td>additional assistance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>receiving many billing rejections due to these switches. We must call</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the DHS eligibility number at least twice weekly per client to</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>determine if that client is eligible to continue to receive services.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Some of our questions are-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>How are we to bill past services to the relevant MCOs for current</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>clients?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>How far back are we able to bill for services to each MCO?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Do we need CPT codes for billing MCOs?</td>
<td>Yes. CPT codes (H0002 Intake, H0004 Therapy/Counseling (Individual), H0005 Therapy/Counseling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(group), H0015 Intensive Outpatient Program, H0047 Rehabilitation, M0064 Medication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Monitoring, 90791 Diagnostic Interview Examination (no medical).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Aetna Better Health follows the Rule 132 and DASA billing guides. Please bill the correct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>codes pertaining to those billing guides and claims should process accordingly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>If we miss the relevant MCO cutoff date is there still a way to</td>
<td>Exceptions for older claims must be authorized and proof of original timely submission will be</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>recoup payment for services?</td>
<td>considered. Contact the assigned Network account manager at 1-866-212-2851 for additional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>assistance.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are we able to bill for new patients who have already been switched if we are not part of the provider’s network, specifically, County Care.

Are SUD Providers to submit claims for residential treatment or split bill for day of treatment and room and board?
If any companies want us to continue to split bill what are the appropriate SUD billing codes for the day of treatment and for room and board?

SUD Providers were previously given the Standardization Initiative billing codes; according to those codes 944 or 945 and H0047 is to be used for adult residential and 944 or 945 and H2036 is to be used for residential services under 20.

We have received conflicting information regarding billing codes for adolescent residential treatment services; are providers to use H0047 or H2036 for services provided in an adolescent residential treatment program.

In the past, if you were not a network provider with Harmony or Family Health Network, you were informed that there were no out of network benefits available, therefore you were able to bill Medicaid or DASA. Additionally, Harmony/Wellcare continues to state that residential is not a covered benefit. Who can the providers bill in this case?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case Management</th>
<th>Contracting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There is a huge difference between mental health case management and care management as the Health Plans practice it. Why is it that the Health Plans are not including or authorizing Case Management services?</td>
<td>Can the MCO’s outline their role (if any) in working with the FHP and ACA adult populations? Can they describe their method of contracting w/existing providers? Can they indicate differences in services and credentialing?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Providers can always contact Provider Services at 1-866-212-2851 for additional information or if they would like to request that Aetna fax or email the required forms or documents to them.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>BCBS is way behind in loading PCP’s into their system. We have had a contract w/ them for months – our providers are still not loaded. Makes it very difficult for our Case Management staff to assist our clients in signing up for an MCO and selecting their PCP. What is the status of loading PCPs in your system?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Are some providers getting different rates than the Medicaid rates or are all the contracts the same in terms of reimbursement?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Back in June we completed applications with both BC ICP and Meridian and the contracts are still not loaded. How do we see participants and bill for them if the contracts are not loaded?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>The contracts/agreements are not written for behavioral health organizations or free standing facilities like many of the SUD’s. We can spend months red lining and negotiating contract language to ensure that the language applies to our organization and the services we provide. These agreements do not address our services and problematic language includes line items related to drug formularies, staffing privileges and medical services. We have received Medical Group Agreements and Provider (Physician) Agreements rather than Facility or Ancillary Provider Agreements. Is it possible for an</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFS - Behavioral Health Providers and MCOs Meeting in Greater Chicago Region</td>
<td>Aetna Better Health Responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>There is currently a lack of consensus between MCOs regarding billing procedures and appropriate CPT/HCPCS codes for SA services. This is leading to confusion during the credentialing process and for billing departments. Many provider relations reps at MCOs still are unaware that DASA providers have state-assigned rates that are not published by HFS. This is creating substantial delays in provider credentialing as the MCO attempts to reconcile rate issues. These facility specific rates must then be included in the reimbursement methodology article in the contract which must then be amended any time a program or rate is changed. What can be done to properly communicate these challenges to MCO credentialing departments and streamline the contracting process?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Community Care Alliance is currently using PsychHealth to manage their behavioral health. In order to become a Community Care Alliance provider one must contract with PsychHealth. They have ridiculously low rates. Will they be required to pay the provider’s Medicaid rates?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credentialing</td>
<td>Rule 132 does not require services be provided by licensed clinicians. The credentialing documentation we have received from Harmony, BCBS, Aetna Better Health and Cenpatico, is indicating they will only credential and pay for services provided by licensed clinicians. We don't understand why the some MCO’s have put in an extra layer of credentialing that the state never required and is there any possibility of this</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Special rates assigned by HFS must be communicated at the time the provider contract is created so that the proper setup and reimbursement can be programmed into the Aetna systems. Providers should contact their Network Account Manager at 1-866-212-2851 so contract setups can be updated appropriately in the system.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Being changed?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Credentialing and re-credentialing as a CMHS provider is a concern that also involves: Contracts, Customer service and Claims and is currently a cost to our agency of $70,000. In good faith, we provide service to the payers’ consumers without interruption. Yet, there is a significant payment problem due to the correct processing of our credentialing status. Specifically, that our agency’s location NPIs are correctly in the payer’s electronic system. When the contract is completed, it is not clear that the payer has entered our correct payee information to their EDI. It is discovered too late, when all claims to the payer are getting denied.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>We have been informed that as of 7/1/14 Harmony/Wellcare will be operating as the other MCO’s and covering rule 132 services and credentialing agencies as facilities. Can we get this confirmed in writing? Can they provide agencies with written confirmation of their credentialing status?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Many of the agreements we have seen are medical, individual or professional agreements and require credentialing of the staff and/or a list of credentialed staff. This is not applicable to SUD Providers. Alcohol and Drug treatment services are billed as facility services; reimbursement and rates are not based on staff credentials. Requiring staff rosters with credentials is an unnecessary use of an organization’s resources.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Aetna requires credentialing of all providers that participate in the network. The provider contract details these requirements.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Customer Service</th>
<th>resources. Can the contracts be revised to eliminate the staff credentialing/staff roster requirements?</th>
<th>Aetna Better Health, BCBS</th>
<th>Providers can obtain answers to questions regarding billing, claims, authorizations, benefits, contracts, etc. by visiting Aetna’s frequently asked questions (FAQs) section on the Aetna Better Health Website or by calling 1-866-212-2851.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Specifically for Billing and Claim concerns, it has been difficult to find contacts who understand the question regarding MMAI and ICP group/plan of their own company. Several instances of being passed around and not getting concern resolved. What is being done to correct this issue?</td>
<td>Aetna Better Health, BCBS</td>
<td>Aetna is committed to providing assistance in a timely manner. We have dedicated staff able to provide up to date information. However, the assigned Network Account Manager should be the providers’ primary point of contact. Responses to voicemail or email messages are made within 24-48 hours in most cases. Please contact Provider Services at 1-866-212-2851 to speak to the assigned network account manager directly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Some MCO’s have only 1 person to provide over site and serve as liaison to the BH agencies working with ICP and MMAI. Given the scope of responsibility it is difficult for them to respond to anything in a timely manner. We often wait weeks/months for a response to voice mails and emails. Does the MCO’s have plans to expand staff? Is there a certain time frame in which they are expected to respond?</td>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>Aetna has multiple ways for a provider to validate member eligibility. The provider portal shows current month eligibility information. Providers can call Aetna’s Member Services department at 1-866-212-2851 to validate eligibility. Member Services is available 24 hours, 7 days a week. Providers can also validate eligibility information on the State’s MEDI system for current or previously enrolled members.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>The workers at some benefit plans are giving out wrong information. Example - a call to HealthSpring – “Yes member is with us through Advocate and your agency does not show as in network”. A call to Advocate – “HealthSpring handles all of the mental health benefits for this plan.” A call back to HealthSpring – again told to call Advocate. At a request for a supervisor - “HealthSpring does handle this member’s benefits and your agency is in network.”</td>
<td>ALL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>How will the clinicians know who the care coordinator is for each client?</td>
<td>Beacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>When there is a change (for example a code or policy change), how will the MCOs communicate this to the contracted providers?</td>
<td>ALL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enrollment Verification</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Currently we must call BCBS to obtain the Member’s ID# (XOG...) and Group #, at time of enrollment (or after the SASS call) in our system, which is prior to the member’s first visit. This information is not shown in the state’s MEDI system when eligibility is verified. Will this information be available in MEDI in the near future?</td>
<td>BCBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Are the MCO’s required to have a provider manual reflective of practices and programs in Illinois? Many have a manual that is nationwide and not applicable. This makes rules/procedures confusing.</td>
<td>ALL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>How are MCOs defining and measuring quality?</td>
<td>ALL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Aetna website has the most up to date information about the plan. Changes do occur on occasion and notice is placed on the site at least 30 days prior to any changes. The health plan will send out letters to providers to advise of changes, if applicable.

Aetna’s provider manual is reflective of the Illinois market, and is available online at the Aetna website.

Aetna Better Health BH has a Quality Management Department that reviews and trends services to determine compliance with nationally recognized Standards, as well as recommend and/or promote improvements in the delivery of care and services to our members. Aetna’s quality department may conduct certain activities such as request for medical records, site reviews, peer reviews, and surveys.
### HFS - Behavioral Health Providers and MCOs Meeting in Greater Chicago Region
- Aetna Better Health Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Services</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Provider(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>What are the MCO procedures for clinical record reviews and where can we find that information?</td>
<td>ALL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clinical records will be reviewed among the Quality Department and Aetna Better Health Medical Management Team.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Discussions (via email or conference calls) will be conducted with the member’s providers to develop the appropriate care plans. Clinical information can be viewed on Aetna Better Health MyCare portal. Providers can register for the portal by downloading the required forms. Members would have to provide their permission for their health and demographic information to be disclosed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>We would like clear, written crosswalk of covered services including service limitations be made available. When can we expect this?</td>
<td>CCAI, Family Health Network, Harmony, HealthSpring, Humana, Meridian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Why are your current service limitations so out of line with other providers?</td>
<td>IlliniCare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Community Support Services – all Cenpatico staff not aware that first 200 units do not need prior auth. What can you do to educate all your staff?</td>
<td>Cenpatico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Why is Cenpatico placing max benefit limits on H0004 and H0005 (both 8 units/day)?</td>
<td>Cenpatico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>We were informed that the service limitations attached to the Rule 132 services in Cenpatico/CountyCare’s distributed “Cenpatico Illinois Covered Services and Authorizations Guidelines (version 8/5/14) are at the same level as originally imposed by the State. Crisis Intervention, for example, has limits to the service through Cenpatico; however, it is an unlimited benefit for all eligibility groupings through the state. Why is there an overly restrictive service limitation on Rule 132 services? What will you do to bring your policies in line with your practice?</td>
<td>CAIL, CountyCare, IlliniCare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Case Management-LOCUS is not an authorized service by PsychHealth for individuals with CCAI benefit. How can providers meet DMH requirements to complete a LOCUS without authorization for payment?</td>
<td>CountyCare/PsychHealth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Treatment Planning is not an authorized service by PsychHealth for individuals with CCAI benefit. How can a provider meet DMH requirements to complete a Treatment Plan without authorization for payment?</td>
<td>CountyCare/PsychHealth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>We have been having many issues with Cenpatico claims – codes changing, authorizations being denied…so it would be helpful to meet them in person. They are having trouble relating to what we do – they can’t give us a definition of “DASA facility” …it’s been a colossal waste of time to not get paid for services.</td>
<td>ALL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Some MCO’s are requiring APL coding and rates; these codes do not seem applicable to SUD services nor are the rates the same as the DHS DASA SUD Provider rates (for example there are no codes for residential services and group is per event not time based and the rate for individual is lower than the DHS DASA</td>
<td>ALL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Contracting</td>
<td>Some of the MCO’s contracts indicated you may not subcontract services. Does this mean all psychiatrists must be employees of the provider agency?</td>
<td>Aetna does, on occasion, subcontract to delegated vendors that are approved for specific services. Aetna subcontracts certain services; however, we do not relinquish the member to another entity for Care Management needs. Aetna’s Case Managers maintain such case loads and utilize services offered through behavioral health entities such as home care providers and outreach services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training</td>
<td>Can the providers obtain copies of the training materials from the MCO’s so they may hold group trainings at the facilities if web based training are not an option?</td>
<td>Aetna provides training to our providers in several ways, including webinars, onsite meetings, and provider fairs and they cover a variety of topics. Copies of the presentation materials are always available in hardcopy, or available via email from the assigned Network Account Manager. Aetna encourages providers to obtain a copy of the presentations for future reference and to share with their internal staff. Any questions regarding the training can always be answered by calling Aetna at 1-866-212-2851.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>