

Illinois Department of Children and Family Services
Institutional Review Board Meeting
Minutes
August 25, 2015

Chicago

Janet Ahern
Mark Holzberg
Theresa Hughes
Paula Jaudes
Brooke Taylor

ON PHONE

Debra Dyer
Kay Clark

EXCUSED

Brian Goodwin

CALL TO ORDER

Debra Dyer called the meeting to order at 2:03 p.m. A quorum was present.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Minutes were approved as read.

FOLLOW UP

Tonya Banks. *Trauma Experiences among African American Adolescents in Child Welfare.*

Sent email covering the issues that were presented by the board. Researcher's replies answered board's questions.

MOTION: Mark Holzberg motioned to approve the proposal.

Paula Jaudes seconded the motion and a unanimous vote from the remaining board members carried the motion.

Amy Dworsky. *Regenerations Aftercare Program Evaluation.*

Chapin Hall IRB was received.

Information from researcher was sent to the board for an email vote.

MOTION: Paula Jaudes motioned to approve the proposal.

Janet Ahern seconded the motion and a unanimous vote from the remaining board members carried the motion.

NEW PROPOSALS

Lindsey Davis. *Infant Sleep-Related, Unsafe Sleep Practices and Caregiver Characteristics: An Urban Population-Based Study.*

Page 1 – lists four research aims and 2 & 3 talk about mental and physical health – where is the researcher going to get the data? What is she going to do with the data? What’s the criteria?

Need Northwestern IRB.

Need to see a copy of the presentation and the proposed publication.

No good analysis of drug addiction and/or substance addiction in here.

OIG memo regarding sleep practices/studies – looking at mass infant deaths.

MOTION: Motion made to table this proposal until information is received by the researcher regarding the presentation.

Tamaki Hosoda. *RegenYouth Behavioral and Emotional Functioning Post-Discharge from Residential Treatment.*

Weak proposal. Going to look at CANS at time of discharge in residential facility and look again six months later – what if they bounce back to residential? There needs to be other ways to measure than CANS. Not measuring strengths at the six month mark.

Mark will talk to the researcher about the fact that his research proposal has already been completed.....incomplete summary.

Other things that this researcher can measure.

Northwestern IRB needed.

Measuring how can gain knowledge on understanding prediction of outcome and decision making process of child welfare on discharge.

Child strengths – behavioral and emotional needs. Strengths are looked at only at discharge and not six months later. Stability – HUGE outcome. Psychiatric hospitalizations.

MOTION: Motion made to table the proposal until information is gathered from the researcher.

Ram Yogev. *IMPAACT P1110: A Phase I Trial to Evaluate the Safety and Pharmacokinetics of Raltegravir in HIV-1 Exposed Neonates at High Risk of Acquiring HIV-1 Infection.*

Six weeks from birth. We will not have guardianship – cannot consult. Probably still won’t have guardianship at six months either.

This is Phase I – only look at amounts and experimenting. Some are quick metabolizers and some are slow metabolizers – low vs. high amounts.

Does it hurt the child/does it work.

Two groups – Cohort 1/2 – different amount and frequency (need clarification of the cohorts).

DCFS not involved at this point.

All initials are sent to the bio parent and approved – then we can consent. No quicker than 90 days – when we take TC (temporary custody)/PC (protective custody) of a child.

Would Guardian's Office allow a parent to consent to a trial if DCFS has TC? Yes, it's possible.

Some residual consents stay with the parent until DCFS gets guardianship. Parent can sometimes still consent to medical. Guardian cannot consent to participation in research study unless the Department has guardianship.

We could not approve this treatment because it's not standard care of treatment.

Dr. Jaudes will follow up with the researcher to get more information.

Can we do on an individual basis? Maybe yes. After we get guardianship, can we approve retroactively? Yes.

MOTION: Motion made to table this proposal until information is received from the researcher.

OTHER

Michaela Soyer Paper. Nice writer. Sent to Director's designee and will follow up with the Director and will let the board know. He will have comments to the board within the week.,

Theresa Hughes. Will start attending monthly meetings and report to the Director on whether the group is following the rules of the Open Meetings Act.

Next meeting scheduled for September 15, 2015, due to a majority of the group being unavailable on the regularly scheduled meeting date of September 22, 2015.

The meeting adjourned at 3:04 PM.