

## PRIME CONTRACTOR SUBMISSION BEP UTILIZATION PLAN REVIEW

Agency Name: CMS

To: CAROL PINKERTON AND SUSAN HARTMAN

PBC Number: 13-75678

Solicitation Title: STAFF UNIFORMS FOR CORRECTIONS

Prime Contractor/Subcontractor: DEMOULIN BROS. & CO./NONE

Received Date: 4/15/13

Decision Date: 4/23/13

Prepared By: LESLIE TAYLOR

Subsequent to the review of the attached Utilization Plan(s), BEP has indicated the submission by the prime contractor in the above referenced solicitation to be:

Prime's submission deemed <b>RESPONSIVE</b> .	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Prime's submission deemed <b>NON-RESPONSIVE</b> , for the following reason(s):	<input type="checkbox"/>
The individual/some/all U plan(s) attached - not signed.	<input type="checkbox"/>
The individual/some/all U plan(s) attached - not notarized.	<input type="checkbox"/>
The individual/some/all U plan(s) attached - no award amount identified.	<input type="checkbox"/>
The individual/some/all U plan(s) attached - no subcontractor amount or percentage identified.	<input type="checkbox"/>
The individual/some/all U plan(s) attached - no detailed scope of work specified.	<input type="checkbox"/>
The individual/some/all U plan(s) attached listed vendor application for BEP certification that do not exist.	<input type="checkbox"/>
The individual/some/all U plan(s) attached identified BEP certified vendor(s) that are no longer certified.	<input type="checkbox"/>
The individual/some/all U plan(s) attached - no acceptable good faith effort.	<input type="checkbox"/>
The individual/some/all U plan(s) attached - incomplete due to missing responses and/or pages.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Based on the non-responsive status of the individual/some/all U plan(s) attached, the prime did not achieve the BEP goal stated in the solicitation.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Comments:</b> DeMoulin Bros. & Co. submitted a u-plan with the Good Faith Effort completed. I am deeming the u-plan responsive because of historical knowledge of the number of actual available uniform vendors in the BEP database and because I feel the BEP vendors DeMoulin were similar to the historical data.	

For specific details regarding the above reason(s) for non responsiveness, see the specific Utilization Plan(s) attached.